



Supplement of

The IAGOS NO_x instrument – design, operation and first results from deployment aboard passenger aircraft

Florian Berkes et al.

Correspondence to: Florian Berkes (f.berkes@fz-juelich.de) and Andreas Petzold (a.petzold@fz-juelich.de)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure S1: Detailed pneumatic diagram of the IAGOS NO_x instrument (Revision 1), showing all pneumatic connections. A details description is available in the SOP (https://www.iagos.org/iagos-core-instruments/package2b/).



Figure S2: Determination of instrument characteristics during an external GPT in the laboratory. GPT off: only NO is flushed through the instrument. GPT on: mixture of NO and NO₂. Zero-Air: NO and NO₂ free gas. For each interval at least 5 minutes of measurements are taken. Measure modes are shown for NO_c (pink) and for NO (light blue); the zero modes are shown for NO_c (purble) and for NO (dark blue).

10

5



Figure S3: Left: Possible contribution of surface air masses based on 4 days backward simulations using the FLEXPART model on the Plume observed at cruise altitude shown in Fig 12. FLEXPART was driven by meteorological analysis data of 1° degrees in longitude and latitude with 60 hybrid-pressure levels in the vertical from ERA-Interim. Tracers are inert, non-interacting particles and released for one hour when the first plume was observed (23 UTC).

15 Tracers are inert, non-interacting particles and released for one hour when the first plume was observed (23 UTC). FLEXPART model output is given as the potential emission sensitivity (PES) of the particles over a particular region every hour. For this analysis the PES was time-intergraded over the analyzed period from the surface up to 500 m. Right: Fire detection map at this time period from https://lance.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/imagery/firemaps.cgi.



Figure S4: NO water correction factor depending on the ambient water vapor mixing ratio.



5 Figure S5: Experimental and theoretical converter efficiency determined for a pressure range between 180 and 1000 hPa. The residence time τ increases with higher pressure inside the PLC and leads to an increase of the converter efficiency. For each individual instrument is the function $\tau(p)$ determined.