



Corrigendum to
**“Evaluating the performance of five different chemical ionization
techniques for detecting gaseous oxygenated organic species”
published in Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 2403–2421, 2019**

Matthieu Riva^{1,2}, Pekka Rantala¹, Jordan E. Krechmer³, Otso Peräkylä¹, Yanjun Zhang¹, Liine Heikkinen¹,
Olga Garmash¹, Chao Yan¹, Markku Kulmala^{1,4}, Douglas Worsnop^{1,3}, and Mikael Ehn¹

¹Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research/Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki,
Helsinki, 00140, Finland

²Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON, 69626, Villeurbanne, France

³Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA

⁴Aerosol and Haze Laboratory, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering,
Beijing University of Chemical Technology (BUCT), Beijing, China

Correspondence: Matthieu Riva (matthieu.riva@ircelyon.univ-lyon1.fr) and Mikael Ehn (mikael.ehn@helsinki.fi)

Published: 5 July 2019

The mass resolution of the Vocus reported in Table 1 is incorrect, it is listed as 12 0000, whereas it should be listed as 12 000. Therefore, it is important that potential readers and/or users do not expect this mass spectrometer to reach such a high mass resolving power.