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Updated Kinetics Data for Acetone Oxide (CH$_3$)$_2$COO

Acetone oxide (CH$_3$)$_2$COO and acetone are formed via ozonolysis of TME, while only a certain fraction of CIs is thermally stabilized:

\[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_{12} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \phi(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO} + (1 - \phi) (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO}^* + \text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O} \quad (\text{R1}) \]

where \( \phi = 0.32 \) is the yield of SCIs for this reaction (Newland et al., 2015), non-stabilized CI is marked with an asterisk.

SCI can in turn decompose to form a peroxy radical and OH:

\[ (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO} \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{CH}_3\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{OO}^- + \text{OH} \quad (\text{R2}) \]

where \( k_2 = 305 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Chhantyal-Pun et al., 2016).

It can also undergo bimolecular reactions with trace gases:

\[ (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{products} \quad (\text{R3}) \]

\[ (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO} + (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO} \xrightarrow{k_4} \text{products} \quad (\text{R4}) \]

where \( k_3 = 1 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Long et al., 2018), \( k_4 = 6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Chhantyal-Pun et al., 2016).

Reactivity of the spin trap DMPO with stabilized Criegee intermediates

The reactivity of DMPO with SCIs in the gas phase was estimated indirectly. We conducted TME ozonolysis experiments in which we varied the amount of TME and the spin trap DMPO. In each experiment we detected SCI-DMPO adducts and estimated the mixing ratio of these species using the mass spectrometric voltage scanning procedure based on collision-induced dissociation (Table S1). We calculated amounts of formed SCI-DMPO adducts in these experiments as a function of the reaction rate coefficient \( k_{\text{SCI+DMPO}} \) using the MCM-based kinetic model with updated data from the literature (solid lines on Fig. S1). Our experimental data (circles on Fig. S1) determines the value for this coefficient \( k_{\text{SCI+DMPO}} = 6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which is a factor of 5 lower than the collisional limit of typical atmospheric reactions. The observed amount of SCI-DMPO is likely underestimated due to wall losses in the experimental setup and inside the CIMS instrument, which gives the lower limit for \( k_{\text{CI+DMPO}} \). This result underlines high reactivity of non-radical spin traps, such as DMPO, with stabilized Criegee intermediates.
Figure S1: Calculated concentrations of SCI-DMPO adducts in various TME ozonolysis experiments as a function of the reaction rate coefficient $k_{SCI+DMPO}$ (solid lines) and observed concentrations of SCI·DMPO adducts in each experiment (circles). $k_{SCI+DMPO} = 6 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ gives the lower limit for the reaction rate constant (dashed line). Reactant concentrations in different experiments are $[TME] = (1.11 - 8.61) \times 10^{12}$; $[O_3] = 5.41 \times 10^{12}$; $[DMPO] = (1.05 - 2.09) \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$.

3 Additional Table and Figures

Table S1: Estimated NH$_4^+$ CIMS sensitivity factors for DMPO adducts with CIs and RO$_2$ species detected in TME and $\alpha$-pinene ozonolysis experiments. The maximum sensitivity of NH$_4^+$ CIMS was experimentally determined in each experiment and depends on operational conditions. During spin trapping experiments with DMPO the maximum instrument sensitivity was 12,000 ndcps/ppb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Ion formula</th>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>Estimated sensitivity factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$-SCI·DMPO</td>
<td>C$<em>9$H$</em>{17}$NO$_3$NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>205.155</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$-RO$_2$·DMPO</td>
<td>C$<em>9$H$</em>{16}$NO$_4$NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>220.142</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{10}$-SCI·DMPO</td>
<td>C$<em>{16}$H$</em>{27}$NO$_4$NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>315.228</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{10}$-RO$_2$·DMPO</td>
<td>C$<em>{16}$H$</em>{26}$NO$_5$NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>330.216</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: Descriptions of ozonolysis experiments with HFA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Olefin</th>
<th>Initial olefin concentration, molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>O$_3$ concentration, molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>HFA concentration, molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Calculated amount of reacted olefin, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TME</td>
<td>$1.85 \cdot 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$1.67 \cdot 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$6.09 \cdot 10^{15}$</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3: Descriptions of ozonolysis experiments with HFA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Olefin</th>
<th>Initial olefin concentration, molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>O(_3) concentration, molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>DMPO concentration, molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Calculated amount of reacted olefin, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TME</td>
<td>3.69 (\cdot) 10(^{11})</td>
<td>7.87 (\cdot) 10(^{12})</td>
<td>2.01 (\cdot) 10(^{12})</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-pinene</td>
<td>4.92 (\cdot) 10(^{11})</td>
<td>1.03 (\cdot) 10(^{13})</td>
<td>1.10 (\cdot) 10(^{13})</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S2: High resolution mass spectral peaks around m/z 241 obtained during the ozonolysis experiments of TME in the presence (a) and absence (b) of HFA. The blue peak \((C_6H_{16}O_3F_6)H^+\), m/z 241.03) is observed in the presence of HFA. The red line is the individual fitted peak; background is shown in gray.
Figure S3: Chemical losses of stabilized Criegee intermediates (CH$_3$)$_2$COO calculated assuming different $k_{SCI+HFA}$ reaction rates under experimental conditions. $k_{SCI+HFA} = 3 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ corresponds to the rate constant for CH$_2$OO + HFA reaction (Taatjes et al., 2012). Previous studies used lower rate constant ($2 \times 10^{-13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$; Drozd et al., 2011). Even at lower values of the reaction rate the major chemical loss pathway for SCI is the reaction with HFA.

Figure S4: Ion tracers observed in an isoprene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [isoprene] = 1.23 $\times$ 10$^{13}$; [O$_3$] = 3.20 $\times$ 10$^{14}$; [HFA] = 5.35 $\times$ 10$^{15}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. 
Figure S5: Ion tracers observed in a pentene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are \([\text{pentene}] = 4.18 \times 10^{13}; [\text{O}_3] = 6.15 \times 10^{13}; [\text{HFA}] = 5.35 \times 10^{15}\) molecule cm\(^{-3}\).

Figure S6: Ion tracers observed in a hexene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are \([\text{hexene}] = 2.21 \times 10^{13}; [\text{O}_3] = 2.95 \times 10^{14}; [\text{HFA}] = 5.35 \times 10^{15}\) molecule cm\(^{-3}\).
Figure S7: TEMPO·OH adduct signal ($C_9H_{18}NO\cdot H^+$, m/z 174.149), measured by $H_3O^+$ CIMS, as a function of TEMPO concentration.

Figure S8: Ion tracers observed by $H_3O^+$ CIMS in a TME ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are $[TME] = 4.92 \times 10^{11}$; $[O_3] = 1.89 \times 10^{13}$; $[DMPO] = 1.27 \times 10^{12}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. 
Figure S9: Chemical loss of organic peroxy radicals CH₃C(=O)CH₂OO⁻ calculated assuming different $k_{RO₂+DMPO}$ reaction rates under experimental conditions.

Figure S10: Ion tracers observed by H₂O⁻ CIMS in an α-pinene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [α-pinene] = 4.92 × 10¹¹; [O₃] = 1.03 × 10¹³; [DMPO] = 1.10 × 10¹³ molecule cm⁻³.
Figure S11: Ion tracers observed by NH$_4^+$ CIMS in an α-pinene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [α-pinene] = 4.92 × 10$^{11}$; [O$_3$] = 1.03 × 10$^{13}$; [DMPO] = 1.10 × 10$^{13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$.
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