Polarization lidar for detecting dust orientation: system design and calibration
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Abstract. Dust orientation has been an ongoing investigation in recent years. Its potential proof will be a paradigm shift for dust remote sensing, invalidating the currently used simplifications of randomly oriented particles. Vertically resolved measurements of dust orientation can be acquired with a polarization lidar designed to target the off-diagonal elements of the backscatter matrix which are nonzero only when the particles are oriented. Building on previous studies, we constructed a lidar system emitting linearly and elliptically polarized light at 1064 nm and detecting the linear and circular polarization of the backscattered light. Its measurements provide direct flags of dust orientation, as well as more detailed information of the particle microphysics. The system also has the capability to acquire measurements at varying viewing angles. Moreover, in order to achieve good signal-to-noise ratio in short measurement times, the system is equipped with two laser sources emitting in an interleaved fashion and two telescopes for detecting the backscattered light from both lasers. Herein we provide a description of the optical and mechanical parts of this new lidar system, the scientific and technical objectives of its design, and the calibration methodologies tailored for the measurements of oriented dust particles. We also provide the first, preliminary measurements of the system during a dust-free day. The work presented does not include the detection of oriented dust (or other oriented particles), and therefore the instrument has not been tested fully in this objective.

1 Introduction

Mineral dust is one of the most important aerosol types in terms of mass and optical depth (e.g., Tegen et al., 1997) and therefore significantly impacts radiation (e.g., Li et al., 2004), while it also interacts with liquid or ice clouds, modifying their optical properties and lifetimes (e.g., DeMott et al., 2003) and affecting in addition precipitation processes (e.g., Creamean et al., 2013). Once dust particles are deposited at the surface of the Earth, they provide micronutrients to the ocean (e.g., Jickells et al., 2005) or to land ecosystems (e.g., Okin et al., 2004). For these reasons, IPCC (2013) identified mineral dust and its impacts on weather, climate, and biogeochemistry, along with the associated uncertainties in climate projections, as key topics for future research.

All aforementioned impacts are strongly affected by the dust size. Observations show that the coarse mode of dust (Weinzierl et al., 2017; Ryder et al., 2018), or even of giant dust particles (van der Does et al., 2018), can be sustained during long-range transport. The process is simulated in dust transport models, which, however, consistently overestimate large particle removal, as repeatedly found through compar-
isons of model simulations against measurements (e.g., Adebiyi and Kok, 2021). This discrepancy between observations and theory suggests that other processes counterbalance the effect of gravity along transport. A possible explanation is the electrification of the particles in the dust plumes (Nicoll et al., 2011; Harrison et al., 2018; Daskalopoulou et al., 2021a) and the retention of the larger dust particles due to the subsequent electric force (Mallios et al., 2020; Toth et al., 2020).

A side effect of dust electrification may be the preferential orientation of the nonspherical dust particles (Ulanowski et al., 2007; Mallios et al., 2021). If present, particle orientation will play a role in the radiation reaching the surface of the Earth and the top of the atmosphere, as well as in the interpretation of the remote sensing observations used for dust monitoring from space, that cannot be described using the long-established assumption of randomly oriented particles. The phenomenon of dust orientation has been extensively studied for space dust (e.g., Whitney and Wolff, 2002), whereas the investigation for the Earth’s atmosphere is a relatively new field of research. Specifically, the only indication of dust orientation in the Earth’s atmosphere comes from astronomical polarimetry measurements of dichroic extinction during a dust event at the Canary Islands (Ulanowski et al., 2007) and a dust event at the island of Antikythera in Greece (Daskalopoulou et al., 2021b). However these measurements refer to column-integrated values that are not capable of vertically resolved retrievals.

Lidars (light detection and ranging) are capable of providing vertically resolved measurements of dust orientation in the atmosphere. Previous studies have demonstrated the feasibility of using circularly or linearly polarized lidar measurements to detect the orientation of ice crystals in clouds (Kaul et al., 2004; Hayman et al., 2012; Balin et al., 2013; Volkov et al., 2015; Kokhanenko et al., 2020), and it has been theoretically shown that these techniques can be extended to oriented dust particles (Geier and Arienti, 2014). Specifically, Geier and Arienti (2014) demonstrated that although the linearly polarized measurements provided by most of the lidar systems are sufficient for discerning ice crystal orientation, this is not the case for smaller particles such as dust, for which we expect much lower differences than the order(s) of magnitude reported for oriented particles in clouds. What they suggested is to use light that is linearly polarized along a plane at an angle $\neq 0$, or circularly polarized light, and detect the backscattered light at different polarization planes. With this approach they showed that the off-diagonal elements of the backscatter phase matrix could be retrieved, providing information on the orientation of the particles.

In this work we propose a different approach for the polarization lidar we designed and constructed, aiming for direct measurements of dust orientation, without having to retrieve the individual off-diagonal elements of the backscatter phase matrix, and also aiming at increasing the information content of the measurements for dust microphysical properties. The new lidar, nicknamed WALL-E, employs two lasers, with the first emitting linearly polarized light along a plane at 45$^\circ$ with respect to the horizon and the second emitting elliptically polarized light, with the angle of the polarization ellipse at 5.6$^\circ$ with respect to the horizon and degree of linear polarization of 0.866. The two laser sources emit interleavingly, and their backscattered light is collected also interleavingly, for both, by two telescopes. At the detection side, after the first telescope, the linear polarization of the backscattered light is measured, whereas after the second telescope the circular polarization of the backscattered light is measured. The operating wavelength is at 1064 nm for better probing the dust coarse mode. The system is capable of measuring at more than one zenith and azimuth viewing angle, so as to provide more information on the dust orientation and microphysical properties, depending on the angle of the particle orientation. In order to derive the orientation properties of the particles with respect to the horizon, we define the polarization of the emitted and detected light with respect to the horizon. To achieve highly accurate polarization measurements with high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), the lidar system uses high-power lasers (i.e., Class 4 lasers), large aperture telescopes, and a small receiver field of view. For the design and calibration of the system, we followed the high-quality standards of the European Aerosol Research Lidar Network (EARLINET) (Freudenthaler, 2016).

In Sect. 2 we present a general description of the instrument, focusing on the optical and the mechanical parts of the system. In Sect. 3 we describe the methodology we followed for the system design, based on specific scientific and technical objectives. In Sects. 4 and 5 we present the various calibration procedures. In Sect. 6 we provide a methodology for comparing the measurements with the ones from commonly used polarization lidars, using the volume linear depolarization ratio. In Sect. 7 we present the first measurements of the system, acquired during a dust-free case in Athens, Greece (we should note that the instrument has not acquired measurements of oriented particles yet). In Sect. 8 we provide an overview of this work, and we discuss its future perspectives. The detailed calculations for the methodologies presented herein are provided in Appendices A, B, and C, as well as in the Supplement. Moreover, a table containing all acronyms and symbols is also provided in the Supplement.

2 Overview of the lidar components and operation

The lidar system is equipped with two emission units and two detection units. The lasers in the emission units emit interleaved light pulses, and their backscattered light is measured interleavingly for each laser at the detectors of the detection units. Each of the detection units is comprised of a telescope, polarizing optics, and two detectors. The system uses this “two laser–two telescope–four detector” setup to record eight separate signals with good SNR in short measurement times.
The lidar system, with the “head” part at the top (1), the “electronics compartment” at the bottom (2), and the “positioner” of the head (3).

The lidar has been developed by Raymetrics S.A. and it is housed in a compact enclosure that permits the system to perform measurements in the field, under a wide range of ambient conditions. As shown in Fig. 1, the system is comprised of the upper “head” part, containing the lasers, the telescopes, and the detection units of the system; the bottom “electronics compartment”, containing the power supplies of the lasers, the transient recorders (TRs), the master trigger control unit, and the lidar peripheral controlling unit (LPC); and the “positioner”, which holds the head and facilitates the measurements at various viewing angles, which are set manually by changing the azimuth and zenith position of the head. The electronics compartment and the head are connected with two umbilical tubes that contain the cooling lines of the lasers and the power and communication cables. Figure 2 shows a sketch of the emission and detection units of the system, with a detailed description provided in Sect. 3.

Due to the analog operation at 1064 nm, the time range of the measurements is restricted by the dark signal changes, which are mainly affected by the change of the (internal) system temperature. The investigation of the acceptable temperature changes, and corresponding acceptable time ranges during which the dark signal does not change considerably, is a work in progress, with first results setting the acceptable temperature changes to $\pm 2^\circ C$, which require a new dark measurement every 0.5 h during summertime or every 2 h during wintertime. Also, due to the high power of the lasers, there is no eye safety classification for the lidar, although the beam is expanded five times. This restricts the operation of the system when there are no aircraft in the airspace of the measurements.

2.1 The head

The head of the system contains the emission and detection units (Fig. 2), with the lasers at the first and the telescopes, the polarizing optical elements, and the detectors at the latter. The lasers and telescopes are placed in a diamond-shaped layout (Fig. 3, right), that ensures equal distances of both lasers from both telescopes, for the proper alignment of the laser beams with the field of view of both telescopes.

We use Nd:Yag lasers (CFR400 from Lumibird S.A.), emitting at 1064 nm, with energy per pulse of $\sim 250$ mJ (Table 1). We expand the laser beams by 5 times with beam expanders of Galilean type. Each laser and beam expander are mounted on a metallic plate, which ensures their alignment and proper expanding of the outgoing laser beam. In front of the lasers we place appropriate optical elements in order to change the polarization state of the emitted light, as described in Sect. 3. Specifically, in front of “laser A”, we place a half wave plate (HWP) to change the plane of its linear polarization to the plane at $45^\circ$ with respect to the horizon, and in front of the “laser B” we place a quarter wave plate (QWP) followed by a HWP, to change its linear polarization to elliptical polarization, with the angle of the polarization ellipse at $5.6^\circ$ with respect to the horizon and degree of linear polarization of 0.866. The QWP and the HWPs are mounted on stepping-index rotational mounts (with accuracy of $0.1^\circ$), which enable us to accurately rotate them to different positions and produce the desired polarization states, as described in Sect. 4.

The telescopes are of Dall–Kirkham type, with an aperture of 200 mm, focal length of 1000 mm (f/number 5), field stop with diameter of 2 mm, and a field of view of 2 mrad. The full overlap of the laser beams to the telescope field of views is achieved above ranges of 400–600 m.

The detection units after the telescopes (Fig. 2) contain the optical elements (e.g., HWP, QWP, polarizing beamsplitter cube, PBS) that alter the Stokes vector of the collected backscattered light, so as to measure its polarization state effectively, as discussed in detail in Sect. 3. The optical elements are well aligned with each other, considering the high tolerance for misalignment due to the emitting divergence at 0.2 mrad and the field of view of 2 mrad. The signals are recorded by two cooled avalanche photodiodes (APDs) at each detection unit, which contain remote-controlled power supplies and cooling units. We operate the APDs in analog mode (not Geiger). The signals from the APDs are pre-amplified and digitized by a 16 bit A/D with a sampling rate of 40 MHz and bandwidth of DC to 20 MHz. After digitization, the signals are stored as millivolts (mVolts) on the hard disk of the embedded computer. Each detection unit also contains a shutter for performing dark measurements, a camera for the alignment of the lasers with the telescopes, and an
Figure 2. Sketch of the emission and detection units of the system: two lasers shooting alternatively (LA and LB), with the backscattered signals correspondingly alternatively collected by two telescopes (TA and TB) and then redirected at two detectors for each telescope ($D_s$, $s = T, R$ as of “Transmitted” and “Reflected” channels). As described in detail in Sect. 3, the polarization of the light emitted from each laser is changed appropriately, using the HWP$_{LA}$ for laser A and the QWP$_{LB}$ followed by the HWP$_{LB}$ for laser B. The laser beam of each laser is expanded with a beam expander (BEX). At the detection unit after the first telescope, the light goes through the PBS$_{TA}$, and after the second telescope, the light goes through QWP$_{TB}$ and PBS$_{TB}$. The HWP$_{TA}$ at telescope A is used to correct the rotation of the PBS$_{TA}$ (Sect. 4.1). The HWP$_{TB}$ at telescope B is used to check the position of the QWP$_{TB}$ with respect to the PBS$_{TB}$ (Sect. S4 in the Supplement). The shutter at each telescope is used for performing dark measurements, and the interference filter is used for reducing the background light in the measurements. The camera at each telescope is used for the alignment of the laser beams with the field of view of the telescope.

Figure 3. The lidar head. (a) Cover of the lidar head, showing the windows in front of the two lasers and the two telescopes. (b) Photorealistic image of the internal parts of the head, showing the lasers and their beam expanders, the telescopes, and the rest of the detection units. (c) Front view of the head, showing the diamond-shaped layout of the lasers (LA and LB) and telescopes (TA and TB).
Table 1. Laser A and B parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Laser A</th>
<th>Laser B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal wavelength (nm)</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>256.1</td>
<td>256.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near field beam diameter (mm)</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse width – full width at half maximum (FWHM; ns)</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divergence at 86.5 % (mrad)</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse rate (Hz)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The detection unit after telescope A, containing the optical elements that are used for the detection of the polarization state of the backscattered light, with the signals recorded at the two APDs (1). It also contains a PBS (2), followed by cleaning polarizing sheet filters (3), a shutter for dark measurements (4), a camera for the alignment (5), a turning mirror for redirecting the light to the camera (6), an interference filter for the reduction of the background light (7), and a mechanical rotator (8) for accurately rotating a HWP for the system calibration (Sect. 4). The detection unit after telescope B is the same, with a QWP placed before the PBS.

Figure 5. The fork-type positioner of the lidar head.

2.2 The positioner

The positioner enables the lidar head to move along different zenith and azimuth angles. The positioning of the head at various viewing angles is controlled manually. Due to constraints from the umbilical tubes, the head can be moved along $-10$ to $+90^\circ$ from the zenith and at $-150$ to $+150^\circ$ around the vertical. The positioner consists of two side arms and a base (Fig. 5) which can be manually rotated. For changing the zenith angle, one of the side arms is driving, and the other is free. A break on the free arm reduces the backlash.

2.3 The electronics compartment

The electronics compartment (Fig. 6) contains the power supplies of the two lasers, the LPC, the Licel rack containing the TRs for digitizing and recording the signals from the APDs, and the master trigger control unit that synchronizes the emission of the two lasers and the acquisition of the backscattered signals. Moreover, it contains an uninterruptible power supply (UPS) and a precipitation sensor. The UPS can provide power to the system for about 1 h, in case of power failure. This is enough time for a proper cool down of the lasers and shutting down of the system. The precipita-
The synchronization of this complicated lidar system with two lasers emitting interleavingly and with their backscattered signals recorded interleavingly requires a sophisticated triggering system. We use a master trigger control unit, produced by Licel GmbH (Fig. 7), that utilizes two trigger generators for the synchronization of the emission of the lasers and of the acquisition of the signals. As shown in Fig. 7b, the first trigger generator produces a pulse that starts the flash lamp. The laser builds up its maximum energy for 160 µs, and then a second pulse turns on the active Q switch, which allows for the release of the laser beam pulse. In the meantime, a third pulse triggers the acquisition of the backscattered light from laser A. Pre-trigger measurements are acquired until the emission of the laser A beam pulse. The same sequence is performed from the second trigger generator for laser B, starting 10 ms later, in order to avoid the recording of overlapping photons from the backscattered light of laser A. The duty cycle of lasers A and B is 12 ms, and the time between each cycle is 50 ms (fixed due to the repetition rate of the lasers of 20 Hz), with 38 ms idle time.

The lidar system is controlled from the LPC unit. This is an “enhanced” embedded computer with specific I/Os that fits the lidar requirements, providing several ethernet interfaces that make the controlling (local or remote) of the lidar easy and safe. The LPC controls all lidar sub-components (e.g., the lasers, data acquisition systems), along with any auxiliary equipment used by the lidar system (e.g., the precipitation sensor, temperature and humidity sensors, and cameras for the alignment). Additionally, it controls the mechanical rotators of the optical elements used for calibration purposes (Sect. 4), and it stores the acquired raw measurements. The precipitation sensor (Fig. 6) provides information about precipitation conditions and causes shutdown of the lidar when precipitation is detected. Moreover, several external easy accessible push buttons are connected to the LPC and can be used by the operators to shut down the lasers in case of emergency.

3 Emission and detection design based on the measurement strategy

The core of the new lidar system is its emission and detection design, based on our measurement strategy for monitoring the oriented dust in the atmosphere. Our approach is different from the measurement strategy of previous works, which either focus on the retrieval of the individual elements of the backscatter matrix of the oriented particles utilizing moving elements in the system (e.g., Kaul et al., 2004) or use complicated designs that are difficult to be calibrated effectively (e.g., Geier and Arienti, 2014). We choose to avoid both, in order to achieve robust measurements along with their effective calibration. Moreover, most of the previous works utilize visible light measurements, whereas we use near-infrared light measurements at 1064 nm, to better probe the larger dust particles (Gasteiger and Freudenthaler, 2014; Burton et al., 2016).

Figure 2 shows the simplest design of a two laser–two telescope–four detector lidar system that is able to detect the elliptically polarized backscattered light from oriented particles in the atmosphere without using any moving parts for the emission or the detection of light. The linear polarization of the backscattered signal is detected using a linear-polarization analyzer (a PBS) in the detection unit after telescope A, and the circular polarization of the backscattered signal is detected using a circular-polarization analyzer (a QWP followed by a PBS) in the detection unit after telescope B. The calibration methodology is based on the solutions introduced by Freudenthaler (2016) for EARLINET lidar systems, as well as on new methodologies tailored for the detection of oriented particles, presented in Sect. 4.

Instead of retrieving the individual off-diagonal elements of the backscatter matrix, we aim for measurements that are combinations of only the off-diagonal elements of the backscatter matrix that will be nonzero only in case of oriented particles. This way we acquire direct measurements of dust orientation, in the form of flags of “yes” or “no” particle orientation. This first-level information of the oriented dust in the atmosphere is straightforward to achieve, since it does not require any inversion procedure. Moreover, it is important to have, considering that the possibility of dust orientation has not been extensively investigated in the Earth’s atmosphere, even at this elementary level. To achieve this, laser A should emit linearly polarized light at 45°, as discussed in detail below.

Along with the measurements of “orientation flags”, we use the measurements from laser B in order to acquire additional information for the particle orientation properties, such as the particle orientation angle and the percentage of oriented particles in the atmosphere, as well as information on...
dust microphysics, e.g., an estimation of the particle size and refractive index. These are parameters that are necessary to have in order to explain the phenomenon of dust orientation in more detail. The methodology for defining the optimum measurements includes extensive simulations for different atmospheric scenarios and machine learning tools. Briefly, the backscattered light is simulated for different mixtures of dust particles with realistic sizes and irregular shapes, including cases with random and preferential particle orientation. We investigate a large number of possible polarizations for laser B, and we evaluate their information content based on the performance of the corresponding neural network retrievals that use the simulated lidar measurements to retrieve the oriented dust microphysical properties. This is an ongoing work, with the first results identifying that the emission from laser B should be elliptically polarized, with the angle of the polarization ellipse at 5.6° and degree of linear polarization of 0.866.

This section provides the methodology we followed to define the properties of the optical elements in the emission and the detection units of the lidar system, so as to fulfill the technical and scientific objectives of our measurement strategy.

Considering the layout in Fig. 2, the only “free” parameters that we need to define are the polarization state of the light from the emission units of laser A and B and the position of the QWP_{TB} in the detection unit after telescope B (the HWP_{TA} and HWP_{TB} are used for calibration purposes; see Sects. 4 and S4 in the Supplement).

The measured signal $I^{s}_{i,k,s}$ for laser $i = LA, LB$, at the detection unit after telescope $k = TA, TB$, at the detector $s = T, R$ (“transmitted” and “reflected” channel after the PBS$_k$, respectively), is shown in Eq. (1).

$$I^{s}_{i,k,s} = \eta_{s,k}M_{i,k}\[(F + G)\hat{i}_i + \hat{i}_g\] + y_{N,i,k,s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$M_{i,TA} = E_{i,TA}eM_{s,TA}M_{O,TA}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$M_{i,TB} = E_{i,TB}eM_{s,TB}M_{QW,TB}M_{O,TB}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In Eq. (1), $\eta_{s,k}$ is the amplification of the signals of lasers at $s = T$ or $R$ detector of the detection unit after telescope $k$, $M_{i,k}$ is a row vector expressing the measured polarization at the detection unit after telescope $k$, $F$ and $G$ are the backscatter Stokes phase matrices of the dust particles and of the gas molecules, respectively, at a certain range in the atmosphere, and $\hat{i}_i$ and $\hat{i}_g$ are the Stokes vector of the light from the emission unit of laser $i$ and the Stokes vector of the background.
skylight, respectively. Equations (2) and (3) describe in more detail the vectors \( M_{i,k} \): \( E_{i,k} = A_i O_{i,k} T(0,r)^{-2} E_{ij} \), where \( A_i \) is the area of the telescope \( k \), \( O_{i,k} \) is the overlap function of the laser beam receiver field of view with range \( 0-1 \) (for laser \( i \) and telescope \( k \)), \( T(0,r) \) is the transmission of the atmosphere between the lidar at range \( r = 0 \) and a specific range in the atmosphere, and \( E_{ij} \) is the pulse energy of laser \( i \). \( T(0,r) \) is simplified to a scalar, since the polarization effect due to the transmission (e.g., dichroism) is deemed to be small (Ulanowski et al., 2007). \( e = [1, 0, 0, 0] \) denotes the measurement of only the intensity of light reaching the APDs. \( M_{i,k} \) is the Mueller matrix of the PBS\(_k\) followed by cleaning polarizing sheet filters, \( M_{O,k} \) is the Mueller matrix of the receiver optics (e.g., telescope \( k \), collimating lenses, bandpass filter), and \( M_{QWP} \) is the Mueller matrix of the QWP\(_{TB}\). For the explicit definition of the Muller matrices in Eqs. (1), (2), and (3), see Sect. S1. \( y_{N_{i,k,s}} \) is the electronic background of the \( s = T \) or \( R \) detector at the detection unit after telescope \( k \), for detecting the backscattered signal of laser \( i \).

We simplify Eq. (1) as shown in Eq. (4), considering measurements that are free from the sunlight and electronic background. We use vector \( p_{i,k} \) with elements calculated by the elements of \( i_i \) (emitted polarization) and \( M_{i,k} \) (detected polarization), as shown in Eq. (5). \( f \) and \( g \) are the vectorized scattering matrices \( F \) and \( G \), respectively (\( f_{m+4(n-1)} = F_{mn} \) and \( g_{m+4(n-1)} = G_{mn} \)).

\[
I_{i,k,s} = y_{s,k} p_{i,k}(f + g) \tag{4}
\]

\[
p_{i,k,m+4(n-1)} = M_{i,k,m} i_{m} \tag{5}
\]

Performing the Mueller matrix calculations, \( I_{i,TA,s} \) and \( I_{i,TR,s} \) can be written as a function of the Stokes parameters of the light from the emission units of the lasers \( i_i = \{I_i, Q_i, U_i, V_i\}^T \), the fast-axis angle \( \phi_{TB} \) of the QWP\(_{TB}\) with the reference plane, and the backscatter Stokes phase matrix elements, as shown in Eqs. (A1) and (A2) in Appendix A. As can be deduced by these equations, in order to use laser \( A \) to achieve measurements that contain only the off-diagonal elements of the backscatter matrix, the following conditions must be met: \( Q_{LA} = 0 \) for \( I_{LA,TA,s} \) in Eq. (A1), \( V_{LA} = 0 \) and \( \phi_{TB} = 45^\circ \) for \( I_{LA,TR,s} \) in Eq. (A2). Thus, the Stokes vector of the light from the emission unit of laser \( A \) should be \( 45^\circ \) linearly polarized, with Stokes vector \( i_{LA} = [1, 0, 1, 0]^T \). This is achieved using the HWP\(_{LA}\) in front of laser \( A \) as discussed in Sect. 4.2. Moreover, the fast-axis angle of the QWP\(_{TB}\) should be at \( \phi_{TB} = 45^\circ \).

For calibration reasons, we use the ratios of the measurements of the reflected and transmitted channels after the PBS\(_k\) (Eqs. 6 and 7). Then, the calibrated backscatter signal ratios of laser \( A \) provide direct flags of particle orientation (\( F_{LA,TA} \) and \( F_{LA,TR} \) in Eqs. 9 and 10, respectively), when their values are \( \neq 1 \).

\[
\frac{I_{LA,TA,R}}{I_{LA,TA,T}} = \frac{1 - f_{12} + f_{13} - f_{23} + g_{11}}{1 + f_{12} + f_{13} + f_{23} + g_{11}} \tag{6}
\]

\[
\frac{I_{LA,TR,R}}{I_{LA,TR,T}} = \frac{1 + f_{13} + f_{14} - f_{34} + g_{11}}{1 + f_{13} - f_{14} + f_{34} + g_{11}} \tag{7}
\]

The calibration factors \( \eta_{TA} \) and \( \eta_{TB} \) are derived as shown in Sect. 5. Due to the HWP\(_{TB}\) in the detection unit after telescope \( B \) (used for checking for systematic errors in measurements, as discussed in Sect. S4), Eq. (7) changes to Eq. (8).

\[
\frac{I_{LA,TR,R}}{I_{LA,TR,T}} = \frac{1 + f_{13} + f_{14} + f_{34} + g_{11}}{1 + f_{13} - f_{14} - f_{34} + g_{11}} \tag{8}
\]

The orientation flags \( F_{LA,TA} \) and \( F_{LA,TR} \) are then provided from Eqs. (9) and (10).

\[
F_{LA,TA} = \frac{1}{\eta_{TA}} \frac{I_{LA,TA,R}}{I_{LA,TA,T}} \tag{9}
\]

\[
F_{LA,TR} = \frac{1}{\eta_{TB}} \frac{I_{LA,TR,R}}{I_{LA,TR,T}} \tag{10}
\]

Having achieved signals that provide direct orientation flags with laser \( A \), we use laser \( B \) to increase the information content of the measurements in terms of dust orientation properties (e.g., angle and percentage of oriented particles in the atmosphere) and of dust microphysical properties (e.g., size and refractive index). As discussed above, the derivation of the optimum polarization state of \( i_{LB} \) is still ongoing, with the elliptical polarization provided herein \((i_{LB} = [1, 0.85, 0.17, 0.5]^T)\) to be a first estimation. The elliptical polarization of \( i_{LB} \) is set with the QWP\(_B\) and the HWP\(_{LB}\) in front of laser \( B \) (Fig. 2), as discussed in Sect. 4.3. The corresponding signal ratios are shown in Eqs. (A11) and (A12) in Appendix A.

### 4 Definition of the polarization of the emitted and detected light with respect to the horizon

The polarization of the light emitted and detected by the system should be defined with respect to the horizon, so the retrieved properties of the oriented particles are defined with respect to the horizon. This is done by first leveling the head of the lidar along the horizon using a spirit level, which then enables us to use the frame of the lidar head as the reference coordinate system. The “frame coordinate system” (Fig. 8a) is a right-handed coordinate system, with the \( x \) axis parallel to the horizon and the \( z \) axis pointing in the propagation direction of the emitted light from lasers \( A \) and \( B \), considering that both lasers are parallel.

The optical elements are considered to be well aligned with each other in the detection units after telescopes \( A \) and \( B \) (because their holders are manufactured and assembled in...
a mechanical workshop with high accuracy), but the detection units are possibly rotated around the optical axis with respect to the frame coordinate system by angles $\omega_{TA}$ and $\omega_{TB}$, respectively (Fig. 8b and c). The Stokes vectors of the light collected at telescopes A and B are consequently described including a multiplication with the rotation matrices $R_{TA}(-\omega_{TA})$ and $R_{TB}(-\omega_{TB})$, respectively (see Eq. S.5.1.7 in Freudenthaler, 2016), which affects the measurements of the polarized components after PBS$_{TA}$ (Eqs. A3 and A5) but not after PBS$_{TB}$ (Eqs. A7 and A8). The rotation of the detection unit after telescope A is corrected using the HWP$_{TA}$, as shown in Sect. 4.1.

The “DU$_{TA}$ coordinate system” and the “DU$_{TB}$ coordinate system” in Fig. 8b and c are the right-handed coordinate systems of the detection units after telescopes A and B, respectively. The $x_{DU_{TA}}$ and $y_{DU_{TA}}$ axes coincide with the incidence plane of PBS$_{TA}$, and the $x_{DU_{TB}}$ and $y_{DU_{TB}}$ axes coincide with the incidence plane of PBS$_{TB}$.

In Appendix A, Eqs. (A3)–(A8) show the formulation of Eqs. (A1) and (A2) for $I_{k}^{lsr}$ with respect to the frame coordinate system, taking into account all the optical elements of the system, along with the rotation of the detection units after telescopes A and B. The analytic derivations of Eqs. (A3)–(A8) are provided in Sect. S2.

The Stokes vector of the light from the emission unit of lasers A and B is provided by $I_{LA}$ (Eq. 11) and $I_{LB}$ (Eq. 12), respectively. The light emitted directly from laser A ($I_{LSR,LA}$) and laser B ($I_{LSR,LB}$) is considered to be 100% linearly polarized, with angle of polarization ellipse with respect to the frame coordinate system $\alpha_{LA}$ and $\alpha_{LB}$, respectively. The light emitted directly from laser A is linearly polarized with fast-axis angle $\alpha_{LA}$, with angle of polarization ellipse $2\theta_{LA} = 45^\circ$. The light emitted directly from laser B is linearly polarized with unknown angle of polarization $\alpha_{LB}$. As shown in Eq. (12), using the QWP$_{LB}$ with fast-axis angle $\phi_{LB} = \alpha_{LB} - 30^\circ$ and the HWP$_{LA}$, we produce the elliptically polarized light emitted from the emission unit of laser B with angle of polarization $5.6^\circ$ and degree of linear polarization 0.866.

Figure 8. (a) The “frame coordinate system” (black) is the reference coordinate system with the $x_F$ axis parallel to the horizon. (b) The “DU$_{TA}$ coordinate system” (light blue) is the coordinate system of the detection unit after telescope A, which is rotated with respect to the frame coordinate system by an angle $\omega_{TA}$. The effect of this rotation on the signals is corrected using HWP$_{TA}$, placed at $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\alpha_{LA}}{2}$ (red) with respect to the $x_F$ axis. (c) The “DU$_{TB}$ coordinate system” (orange) is the coordinate system of the detection unit after telescope B, which is rotated with respect to the frame coordinate system by an angle $\omega_{TB}$. The rotation does not affect the measured signals. The QWP$_{TB}$ before PBS$_{TB}$ is placed at $\phi_{TB} = 45^\circ$ with respect to the $x_{DU_{TB}}$ axis. (d) The light emitted directly from laser A is linearly polarized with unknown angle of polarization $\alpha_{LA}$. As shown in Eq. (11), using the HWP$_{LA}$ with fast-axis angle $\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ + \frac{\alpha_{LA}}{2}$, we produce the light emitted from the emission unit of laser A with angle of polarization $2\theta_{LA} = 45^\circ$. (e) The light emitted directly from laser B is linearly polarized with unknown angle of polarization $\alpha_{LB}$. As shown in Eq. (12), using the QWP$_{LB}$ with fast-axis angle $\phi_{LB} = \alpha_{LB} - 30^\circ$ and the HWP$_{LA}$, we produce the elliptically polarized light emitted from the emission unit of laser B with angle of polarization $5.6^\circ$ and degree of linear polarization 0.866.
angle $\phi_{LB}$ of QWP$_{LB}$ followed by the HWP$_{LB}$ with fast-axis angle $\theta_{LB}$ in front of laser B (Fig. 8d and e; Eqs. 11 and 12).

In order to simplify Eqs. (11) and (12), we use the angles $\theta_{LA} = \theta_{LB} - \frac{\alpha_{LA}}{2}$ and $\phi_{LB} = \phi_{LB} - \alpha_{LB}$. From Eqs. (11) and (12) we deduce $\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ$ and $\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ - \frac{\alpha_{LA}}{2}$ (Fig. 8d), $\phi_{LB} = -30^\circ$, $\phi_{LB} = \alpha_{LB} - 30^\circ$, and $\theta_{LB} = \frac{\alpha_{LB}}{2} - 12.2^\circ$ (Fig. 8e).

$$i_{LA} = M_{HW\_LA}(\theta_{LA})i_{lm\_LA}(\alpha_{LA}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C_{4}(4\theta_{LA} - 2\phi_{LA}) \\ s_{4}(4\theta_{LA} - 2\phi_{LA}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C_{4} \theta_{LA} \\ s_{4} \theta_{LA} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$$

$$i_{LB} = M_{HW\_LB}(\theta_{LB})M_{QWP\_LB}(\phi_{LB})i_{lm\_LB}(\alpha_{LB}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C_{2}(\phi_{LB} - \alpha_{LB})C_{4}(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) \\ C_{2}(\phi_{LB} - \alpha_{LB})s_{4}(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) \\ -s_{2}(\phi_{LB} - \alpha_{LB}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.85 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

4.1 Correction of the signal $I_{\perp \perp \_TA\_s}$ due to the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A

The analytic formulas provided for the signals $I_{\perp \perp \_TA\_s}$ in Appendix A show that the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A changes the signals $I_{LA\_TA\_s}$ (Eq. A3) and $I_{LB\_TA\_s}$ (Eq. A5). In order to correct for this effect, we have to set the fast-axis angle of the HWP$_{TA}$ at $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\alpha_{TA}}{2}$ with respect to the $x_{DU\_TA}$ axis (Fig. 8b), so that $C_{4}(4\theta_{TA} + 2\phi_{TA}) = 1$ and $s_{4}(4\theta_{TA} + 2\phi_{TA}) = 0$ in Eqs. (A3) and (A5). After this correction, $I_{LA\_TA\_s}$ and $I_{LB\_TA\_s}$ are provided by Eqs. (A4) and (A6), respectively.

Since the value of $\theta_{TA}$ with respect to the $x_{DU\_TA}$ axis is unknown a priori, we assume that it deviates from the desired value by an unknown angle $\varepsilon_{TA}$; thus $\theta_{TA} = \theta_{TA} - \frac{\alpha_{TA}}{2} + \varepsilon_{TA}$. We derive $\varepsilon_{TA}$ by using the measurements from laser A, after placing a linear polarizer in front of the window of laser A at $45^\circ$ from the $x_{F}$ axis (Fig. 9) and rotating the HWP$_{TA}$ in order to perform a methodology similar to the “$\Delta 90^\circ$ calibration” of Freudenthaler (2016), as shown in Fig. 10 and described in detail in Appendix B. The methodology is applicable only when there are only randomly oriented particles in the atmosphere.

4.2 Definition of the polarization of the light from the emission unit of laser A with respect to the horizon

In order to set the linear polarization of the light from the emission unit of laser A at $45^\circ$ with respect to the horizon, as discussed in Sect. 3, we have to set $\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ$ with respect to the $x_{F}$ axis (Eq. 11; Fig. 8d). Since the value of $\phi_{LB}$ is unknown a priori, we assume that it is equal to an unknown angle $\varepsilon_{LA}$. We derive $\varepsilon_{LA}$ by performing the A$90^\circ$ calibration of Freudenthaler (2016) by rotating the HWP$_{LA}$ in front of laser A, as shown in Fig. 11 and discussed in detail in Appendix C. Then, we rotate the HWP$_{LA}$ by $22.5^\circ - \varepsilon_{LA}$ and set $\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ$. ($\theta_{LA} = \theta_{LA} - \frac{\alpha_{LA}}{2}$ (Eq. 11); thus in order to change $\theta_{LA}$ by angle $\varepsilon$, it is sufficient to rotate the HWP$_{LA}$ and change its fast-axis angle $\phi_{LB}$ by angle $\varepsilon$.)

Our methodology considers that the atmosphere consists of only randomly oriented particles and that we have corrected the effect of the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A on signals $I_{\perp \perp \_TA\_s}$ (Sect. 4.1).

4.3 Definition of the polarization of the light from the emission unit of laser B with respect to the horizon

The desired elliptical polarization of the light from the emission unit of laser B with respect to the horizon is shown in Eq. (13), with angle of the polarization ellipse $\alpha_{em} = 5.6^\circ$ and degree of linear polarization $b_{em} = 0.866$. $b_{em} = C_{2}\phi_{LB}$; thus in order to set it to the desired value, we rotate the QWP$_{LB}$ and change $\phi_{LB}$ appropriately. ($\phi_{LB} = \phi_{LB} - \alpha_{LB}$ (Eq. 12); thus for changing $\phi_{LB}$ by angle $\varepsilon$, it is sufficient to rotate the QWP$_{LB}$ and change its fast-axis angle $\phi_{LB}$ by angle $\varepsilon$.) After we have set $b_{em}$, we set $\alpha_{em} = 2\theta_{LB} - \phi_{LB}$ to the desired value, by rotating the HWP$_{LB}$ in front of laser B. The angles $\alpha_{LB}$, $\phi_{LB}$, $\phi_{LB}$, and $\theta_{LB}$ are shown in Fig. 8e.

$$i_{LB} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C_{2}\phi_{LB}C_{4}(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) \\ C_{2}\phi_{LB}s_{4}(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) \\ -s_{2}\phi_{LB} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b_{em}C_{2}\phi_{LB} \\ b_{em}s_{2}\phi_{LB} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.85 \\ 0.17 \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$
A. Tsekeri et al.: Polarization lidar for detecting dust orientation

Figure 10. Methodology for correcting the measurements $I_{TA,s}$ due to the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A.

Our methodology is described in Fig. 12. We consider randomly oriented particles in the atmosphere, and we use the measurements of laser B at the detection unit after telescope A. The detection unit is aligned with the frame coordinate system (Sect. 4.1). First, we consider that the polarization of the light from the emission unit of laser B with respect to the frame coordinate system is unknown, with unknown angle of the polarization ellipse $\alpha$ and degree of linear polarization $b$. In order to set them to $\alpha_{em}$ and $b_{em}$, we perform the following steps:

1. We derive $\alpha$ and $b$ by turning the HWP$_{LB}$ by appropriate angles, using a similar methodology to the $\Delta 90^\circ$ calibration of Freudenthaler (2016), as shown in steps 1 and 2 in Fig. 12 and in detail in Appendix D.

2. We change $\varphi_{LB} = \frac{\alpha \cos(b)}{2}$ by turning the QWP$_{LB}$ by $\alpha \cos(b) - \alpha \cos(b)$. Then, $\varphi_{LB,new} = \frac{\alpha \cos(b_{em})}{2}$, and the degree of linear polarization is set to the desired value $b_{em}$ (step 3 in Fig. 12).

3. The turning of QWP$_{LB}$ in (2) changes the angle of the polarization ellipse to an unknown value of $\alpha_{new}$. We calculate $\alpha_{new}$ using the methodology in (1) (step 4 in Fig. 12).

4. After deriving $\alpha_{new}$, we set the angle of the polarization ellipse to the desired value $\alpha_{em}$, by turning HWP$_{LB}$ by $\frac{\alpha_{em} - \alpha_{new}}{2}$ (step 5 in Fig. 12).

5 The derivation of the calibration factors $\eta_{TA}$ and $\eta_{TB}$

The calibration factors $\eta_{TA}$ and $\eta_{TB}$ are derived considering randomly oriented particles in the atmosphere.

We calculate $\eta_{TA}$ using the ratio of the signals from laser A at the detection unit after telescope A, considering that the effect of its rotation on the signals is corrected (Sect. 4.1).

$$\eta_{TA} = \frac{\eta_{R,T_{A,T}} \eta_{T_{A,T}}}{{\eta}_{T_{A,T}}}$$

is calculated as shown in Eq. (14), using Eq. (A4) in Appendix A, with zero off-diagonal elements.

$$\frac{I_{LA_TA,R}}{I_{LA_TA,T}} = \frac{\eta_{R,T_{A,T}} \eta_{T_{A,T}}}{\eta_{T_{A,T}}} \frac{f_{11} + g_{11}}{f_{11} + g_{11}} \Rightarrow \eta_{TA} = \frac{I_{LA_TA,R}}{I_{LA_TA,T}} \quad (14)$$

We derive the calibration factor $\eta_{TB}$ by using the ratio of the signals from laser A at the detection unit after telescope B, as shown in Eq. (15), using Eq. (A7) in Appendix A, with zero off-diagonal elements.

$$\frac{I_{LA_TB,R}}{I_{LA_TB,T}} = \frac{\eta_{R,T_{B,T}} \eta_{T_{B,T}}}{\eta_{T_{B,T}}} \frac{f_{11} + g_{11}}{f_{11} + g_{11}} \Rightarrow \eta_{TB} = \frac{I_{LA_TB,R}}{I_{LA_TB,T}} \quad (15)$$
6 The derivation of the volume linear depolarization ratio (VLDR)

The VLDR ($\delta$) is a useful optical parameter for comparing the measurements of the new polarization lidar with measurements from the commonly used polarization lidars, which emit linearly polarized light and measure the corresponding cross- and parallel-polarized components of the backscattered light. $\delta$ is calculated using the atmospheric polarization parameter $a$ as shown in Eq. (16).

$$\delta = \frac{1 - a}{1 + a}$$

We derive $\delta$ considering an atmosphere containing only randomly oriented particles. Moreover, we consider that the effect of the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A with respect to the frame coordinate system is corrected (Sect. 4.1) and that the calibration factor $\eta_{TA}$ is calculated using measurements of laser A, as shown in Sect. 5.

We turn HWP$_{TA}$ by 22.5°. Then, $I_{LA,TA,s}$ is provided from Eq. (A3), using $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\pi}{12} + 22.5^\circ$ and $\alpha = \frac{g_{11} + g_{00}}{f_{11} + f_{00}}$, as shown in Eq. (17). $\delta$ is calculated as shown in Eq. (18), using Eq. (16).

$$\frac{I_{LA,TA,s}}{\eta_{TA} E_{LA,TA} T_{z,TA} T_{D,TA} F_{11} (f_{11} + g_{11})} = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \alpha D_{z,TA}]$$

Due to the rotation of HWP$_{TA}$, the VLDR measurements cannot be acquired simultaneously with $F_{LA,TA}$ (Eq. 9) and $I_{LB,TA,R}$ (Eq. A11), but they are acquired simultaneously with $F_{LA,TB}$ (Eq. 10) and $I_{LB,TB,R}$ (Eq. A12).

7 First measurements

We present preliminary measurements from Athens, Greece, on 15 June 2021. The measurements were acquired during a dust-free day, with low aerosol optical depth (AOD) of 0.1 at 500 nm, as provided from the AERONET station in Athens. The absence of dust is supported by WRF-Chem model simulations, indicating that desert dust has not been advected over the region, as well as by the low values of VLDR at 532 nm, measured with the PollyXT lidar of Antikythera (Baars et al., 2016), indicating spherical particles (not shown here). The instrument pointed at a viewing angle of 80° off-zenith. Figure 13 shows the quicklooks of the attenuated backscatter signals from laser A ($I_{LA,TA,T}$, $I_{LA,TA,R}$, $I_{LA,TB,T}$, and $I_{LA,TB,R}$) acquired at
Use $HWP_{LB}$ and $QWP_{LB}$ to define the polarization of laser B with respect to the horizon.

1. Rotate $HWP_{LB}$ by 22.5° and \(-22.5°\) and derive $\alpha$.
2. Rotate $HWP_{LB}$ by $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and by 22.5°, $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and derive $b$.
3. Rotate $QWP_{LB}$ by $\frac{\cos(b_m) - \cos(b)}{2}$ and set the degree of linear polarization to the desired value $b_m$.
4. Rotate $HWP_{LB}$ by 22.5° and \(-22.5°\) and derive $\alpha_{ace}$.
5. Rotate $HWP_{LB}$ by $\frac{\alpha_{ace} - \alpha_{ace}}{2}$ and set the angle of polarization ellipse to the desired value $\alpha_m$.

Figure 12. Methodology for defining the polarization of the emitted light from laser B with respect to the horizon.
Figure 13. Lidar measurements at 1064 nm, acquired at Athens, Greece, on 15 June 2021, at 14:33–15:02 UTC. The viewing angle is 80° off-zenith. The height in the plots denotes the altitude and not the range of the measurements, and it is provided above sea level (a.s.l.). (a) \( I_{LA_TA,T} \) and (b) \( I_{LA_TA,R} \) are the attenuated backscatter signals from laser A measured at the detection unit of telescope A. (d) \( I_{LA_TB,T} \) and (e) \( I_{LA_TB,R} \) are the attenuated backscatter signals from laser A measured at the detection unit of telescope B. The corresponding orientation flags are shown in (c) \( F_{LA_TA} \) and (f) \( F_{LA_TB} \). The dotted black line shows the full-overlap height of the signals, at 200 m above the ground (i.e., 400 m a.s.l.). The gaps in the quicklooks contain cloudy profiles which are screened out.

The aerosol layer extends up to \( \sim 2.6 \) km. Both orientation flags have values of \( \sim 1 \), showing the absence of oriented particles, as expected for a dust-free atmosphere. Specifically, the values shown in Fig. 13c for \( F_{LA_TA} \) and Fig. 13f for \( F_{LA_TB} \) are 1, with a standard deviation of \( \pm 0.05 \), up to 1.5 km, where most of the aerosols reside (the standard deviation is calculated as the variation of the values of orientation flags from the full-overlap height up to 1.5 km). The standard deviation grows larger at higher heights and reaches \( \pm 0.2 \) at 2.6 km, at the top of the aerosol layer. Figure 14 shows the 22 min averages of the orientation flags \( F_{LA_TA} \) and \( F_{LA_TB} \) at 0.4–2.6 km, from the overlap height up to the top of the aerosol layer. The standard deviation of the 22 min average values of \( F_{LA_TA} \) and \( F_{LA_TB} \) is \( \pm 0.02 \) up to 1.5 km and grows up to \( \pm 0.1 \) at 2.6 km. The biases shown below 1 km, especially for \( F_{LA_TB} \), are not understood well yet, but they are within the standard deviation of \( \pm 0.02 \) at heights 1–1.5 km.

A measure of the quality of the signals is shown from the Rayleigh fit test (Freudenthaler et al., 2018) in Fig. 15.
signals agree well, with less than 10% difference, with the molecular atmosphere at the aerosol-free heights at 3–4 km. This is not the case for altitudes > 4 km (not shown here), most probably due to the signal attenuation and the analog signal distortions. Due to the viewing angle of 80° off-zenith, the altitude of 4 km corresponds to 23 km in range; thus the range of measurements for low AODs and for a viewing angle of 80° off-zenith is ~1–23 km. For higher AODs (e.g., 0.4 at 500 nm) and zenith measurements, this range reduces at ~1–12 km (not shown here).

8 Overview and future perspectives

The new polarization lidar nicknamed WALL-E is designed to monitor possible dust particle orientation in the Earth’s atmosphere. This work describes in detail the conceptual design, its mechanical and optical parts, the calibration procedures, and finally the first, preliminary measurements of the system.

The design extends the boundaries of lidar polarimetry, considering the various states of polarization emitted and detected by the system, and their interleaved emission and acquisition, which enables the detection of eight signals with two lasers—two telescopes—four detectors. Moreover, an important part of the design is the development of new methodologies for the calibration of the measurements and their alignment with the horizon, so as to define a reference system for the particle orientation. The mechanical developments include the compact design of the system, its mobility, its ability to perform measurements at the field under a wide range of ambient conditions, and its ability to perform measurements at various zenith and azimuth viewing angles.

Further work is required towards improving the system performance and fulfill its objective. Firstly, the system has not yet measured dust orientation or the orientation of other particles (e.g., rain as in Hayman et al., 2012); thus it is not fully tested in this respect. The detection of the orientation of rain has been tried, but it has not been completed, since it entails high technical challenges, mainly due to the analog
detection of the signals which are saturated from overlaying clouds and/or the rain. Although this is not impossible to cope with, it requires extensive experimentation, which will be part of our future work. Moreover, further analysis should be done on the definition of the elliptical polarization of laser B, so as to maximize the information content provided in the measurements with respect to the dust particle microphysical properties. An extended analysis should also be performed for the characterization of the analog signal distortions, which are expected to affect the quality of the signals. Specifically, we will investigate their dependence on temperature and signal strength and their change with height range and time. A very preliminary indication of their effect is shown in the first measurements presented herein, with a standard deviation of the measured orientation flags of 2 %–10 %.

Resolving the scientific question of the role of dust electrification on dust removal processes requires systematic observations of its main “tracer”, the orientation of dust. Currently, the only indication of particle orientation comes from astronomical polarimetry measurements of dichroic extinction, which cannot provide a strong proof for the phenomenon though, due to their small number. Although polarimetric lidar and radar methods have been applied to quantitatively estimate the orientation of ice crystals, to the best of our knowledge there is no such technique available to detect and characterize aerosol particle orientation. Our work envisages crossing barriers by advancing the current lidar technologies towards developing a system able to detect and quantify particle orientation. The new polarization lidar presented herein will be capable of providing vertically resolved measurements of dust orientation flags, along with measurements with information content on the microphysical properties of the dust particles.

If dust orientation is proven for the dust particles in the Earth’s atmosphere, its detection and monitoring will unlock our ability for realistic simulations of the desert dust radiative impact and optimize parameterizations for the natural aerosol component in Earth system models in the face of today’s challenges posed by climate change. Applications of the new polarization lidar are not limited to this work but are anticipated to open new horizons for atmospheric remote sensing.

Appendix A: Formulas of lidar signals $I_{i,k,s}$ and lidar signal ratios $I_{i,k,R}$

Equations (A1)–(A2) show the analytic formulas of the signal $I_{i,k,s}$ (Eq. 4), for telescopes $k = TA$ and TB, considering ideal receiver optics (e.g., telescope, collimating lenses, and bandpass filter), with no diattenuation, retardation, and misalignment effects. Due to the rotation of the detection units after telescopes A and B, and the optical elements used for the calibration procedures as discussed in Sect. 4, Eqs. (A1)–(A2) are a simplified version of the actual equations of the signals $I_{i,k,s}$, which are provided in Eqs. (A3)–(A8). The analytic derivations of Eqs. (A3)–(A8) are provided in Sect. S2. Finally, the signal ratios that are used instead of the individual signals due to calibration reasons are provided in Eqs. (A9)–(A12).

A1 Lidar signals $I_{i,k,s}$, considering no rotation of the detection units

\[ I_{i,k,TA} = \eta_{s,TA} E_i T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11} \]

\[ = (f_{11} + g_{11}) I_i + f_{12} D_{s,TA} I_i + f_{21} Q_i \]

\[ + (f_{22} + g_{22}) D_{x,TA} Q_i + f_{31} U_i \]

\[ + f_{32} D_{s,TA} U_i + f_{41} V_i + f_{42} D_{s,TA} V_i \]

(A1)

\[ I_{i,k,TB} = \eta_{s,TB} E_i T_{s,TB} T_{O,TB} F_{11} \]

\[ = I_i + (D_{s,TB} c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} I_i + Q_i) f_{12} \]

\[ + (-D_{s,TB} c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} I_i + U_i) f_{13} \]

\[ + (-D_{s,TB} s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} I_i + V_i) f_{14} + D_{s,TB} c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} Q_i f_{22} \]

(A2)

\[ + D_{s,TB} (-c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} Q_i + c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} U_i) f_{23} \]

\[ + D_{s,TB} (-s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} Q_i + c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} V_i) f_{24} \]

\[ + D_{s,TB} c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} V_i f_{33} \]

\[ + D_{s,TB} (s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} U_i + c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} V_i) f_{34} \]

\[ - D_{s,TB} s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} V_i f_{44} + f_{41} I_i \]

\[ + D_{s,TB} c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} Q_i s_{22} + D_{s,TB} c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} U_i g_{33} \]

\[ - D_{s,TB} s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} V_i g_{44} \]

$T_{s,k}$ is the unpolarized transmittance ($s = T$) or reflectance ($s = R$) of the PBS$_k$, $T_{O,k}$ is the transmittance of the receiver optics, $f_{ij} = \frac{F_i}{F_T}$ and $g_{ij} = \frac{G_i}{F_T}$ are the backscatter Stokes phase matrix elements of the oriented dust particles and gases, respectively, $I_i$, $Q_i$, $U_i$, and $V_i$ are the Stokes parameters of the light from the emission units of the lasers $i$, $D_{s,k}$ are the diattenuation parameters of the transmitted or reflected channels of the PBS$S_k$ followed by the cleaning polarizing sheet filters ($D_{T,k} = 1$ and $D_{R,k} = -1$, respectively, see Sect. S1), $\phi_{TB}$ is the fast-axis angle of the QWP$_{TB}$, and $c_2s_{\phi_{TB}} = \cos(2\phi_{TB})$, $s_2s_{\phi_{TB}} = \sin(2\phi_{TB})$.

A2 Lidar signals $I_{i,k,s}$, considering the rotation of the detection units

Equations (A3)–(A8) show the signals $I_{i,k,s}$, taking into account all the optical elements of the system, along with the rotation of the detection units after telescopes A and B, with respect to the frame coordinate system (Fig. 8). The analytic derivations of Eq. (A3)–(A8) are provided in Sect. S2.
A2.1 $I_{LA\_TA, s}$

$$I_{LA\_TA, s} = \frac{\eta_{s,TA} E_{LA\_TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O\_TA} F_{11}}{}$$

$$= f_{11} + g_{11} + c_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{12} + s_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{13}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} C(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) f_{12} + s_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{13}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} S(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) f_{13}$$

$$- f_{13} - c_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{23} + s_{4\theta_{TA}} (f_{13} + g_{33})$$  \[ (A3) \]

After correcting $I_{LA\_TA, s}$ for the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A, by setting the fast-axis angle of HWP $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\theta_{TA}}{2}$ (Section 4.1), Eq. (A3) is written as Eq. (A4).

$$I_{LA\_TA, s} (\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\theta_{TA}}{2})$$

$$= f_{11} + g_{11} + c_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{12} + s_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{13}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} [f_{12} + c_{4\theta_{TA}} (f_{12} + g_{22}) + s_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{23}]$$  \[ (A4) \]

A2.2 $I_{LB\_TA, s}$

$$I_{LB\_TA, s} = \frac{\eta_{s,TA} E_{LB\_TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O\_TA} F_{11}}{}$$

$$= f_{11} + (D_{s,TA} C(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) + c_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB})) f_{12}$$

$$+ [c_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) - D_{s,TA} S(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA})] f_{13}$$

$$- s_{2\phi_{LA}} f_{14} + D_{s,TA} C(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) C_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{22}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} C_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) S_{2\phi_{LB}} f_{24}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} S(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) C_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{32}$$

$$- D_{s,TA} S(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) S_{2\phi_{LB}} f_{34}$$

$$+ g_{11} + D_{s,TA} C(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) S_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) g_{22}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} S(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) C_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) g_{32}$$  \[ (A5) \]

After correcting $I_{LB\_TA, s}$ for the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A, by setting the fast-axis angle of HWP $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\theta_{TA}}{2}$ (Section 4.1), Eq. A5 is written as Eq. A6.

$$I_{LB\_TA, s} (\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\theta_{TA}}{2})$$

$$= f_{11} + [D_{s,TA} C(4\theta_{TA} + 2\omega_{TA}) + c_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB})] f_{12}$$

$$+ c_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{13} - s_{2\phi_{LB}} f_{14}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} C_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{22}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} C_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{24}$$

$$+ g_{11} + D_{s,TA} C_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) g_{22}$$  \[ (A6) \]

A2.3 $I_{LA\_TB, s}$

$$I_{LA\_TB, s} = \frac{\eta_{s,TA} E_{LA\_TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O\_TA} F_{11}}{}$$

$$= f_{11} + c_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{12} + s_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{13} + D_{s,TA} f_{14}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} C_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{24} - D_{s,TA} S_{4\theta_{TA}} f_{34} + g_{11}$$  \[ (A7) \]

A2.4 $I_{LB\_TB, s}$

$$I_{LB\_TB, s} = \frac{\eta_{s,TA} E_{LB\_TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O\_TA} F_{11}}{}$$

$$= f_{11} + c_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{12} + c_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{13}$$

$$+ D_{s,TA} - s_{2\phi_{LB}} f_{14} + D_{s,TA} C_{2\phi_{LB}} C(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{24}$$

$$- D_{s,TA} C_{2\phi_{LB}} S(4\theta_{LB} - 2\phi_{LB}) f_{34} - D_{s,TA} S_{2\phi_{LB}} f_{44}$$

$$+ g_{11} - D_{s,TA} S_{2\phi_{LB}} g_{44}$$  \[ (A8) \]

A3 Lidar signal ratios $I_{L,B} / I_{L,A}$, after correcting the rotation of the detection units

For calibration reasons, we use the ratios of the reflected and transmitted channels, instead of the individual signals. The signal ratios of lasers A and B, measured at the detection units after telescopes A and B, are provided in Eqs. (A9)–(A12).

$$\frac{I_{LA\_TA, R}}{I_{LA\_TA, f}} = \eta_{TA} - \frac{1 - f_{12} + f_{13} - f_{23} + g_{11}}{1 + f_{12} + f_{13} + f_{23} + g_{11}}$$  \[ (A9) \]

$$\frac{I_{LA\_TB, R}}{I_{LA\_TB, f}} = \eta_{TB} - \frac{1 + f_{13} - f_{14} + f_{34} + g_{11}}{1 + f_{13} + f_{14} - f_{34} + g_{11}}$$  \[ (A10) \]

$$\frac{I_{LB\_TA, R}}{I_{LB\_TA, f}} = \eta_{TA} - \frac{1 + 0.15 f_{12} + 0.17 f_{13} + 0.5 f_{14} - 0.85 f_{22} - 0.17 f_{23} - 0.5 f_{24} + g_{11} - 0.85 g_{22}}{1 + 1.85 f_{12} + 0.17 f_{13} + 0.5 f_{14} + 0.85 f_{22} + 0.17 f_{23} + 0.5 f_{24} + g_{11} + 0.85 g_{22}}$$  \[ (A11) \]

$$\frac{I_{LB\_TB, R}}{I_{LB\_TB, f}} = \eta_{TB} - \frac{1 + 0.85 f_{12} + 0.17 f_{13} - 0.5 f_{14} - 0.85 f_{24} + 0.17 f_{34} - 0.5 f_{44} + g_{11} - 0.5 g_{44}}{1 + 0.85 f_{12} + 0.17 f_{13} + 1.5 f_{14} + 0.85 f_{24} - 0.17 f_{34} + 0.5 f_{44} + g_{11} + 0.5 g_{44}}$$  \[ (A12) \]
Appendix B: Derivation of $\varepsilon_{TA}$ for correcting $I_{LA,TA,s}$ and $I_{LB,TA,s}$, for the rotation of the detection unit after telescope $A$.

After placing a linear polarizer in front of the window of laser A at 45° from $x_p$ axis (Fig. 9), we acquire the measurements $I_{LA,TA,s,45^\circ}$ (Eq. S24 in the Supplement). Considering $\theta_{TA} = \frac{-\omega_{TA}}{2} + \varepsilon_{TA}$, we derive $I_{LA,TA,s,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}}$ as shown in Eq. (B1).

$$I_{LA,TA,s,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}} = \frac{1}{2} f_{11} + g_{11} + D_{s,TA} f_{4}(\varepsilon_{TA} + \omega_{TA})$$

$$\eta_{s,TA} E_{LA,TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (f_{33} + g_{33}) \left( 1 + s_4 \phi_{LA} \right) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{LA,TA,s,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (f_{33} + g_{33}) \left( 1 + s_4 \phi_{LA} \right) \right]$$

$$\eta_{s,TA} E_{LA,TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (f_{11} + g_{11} + D_{s,TA} f_{4\varepsilon_{TA}}) \left( f_{33} + g_{33} \right) \left( 1 + s_4 \phi_{LA} \right) \right]$$

$$a = \frac{f_{TA} \theta_{1} + \varepsilon_{TA}}{f_{11} + g_{11}}$$

We derive $\varepsilon_{TA}$ in a similar way to the $\Delta 90^\circ$ calibration (Sect. 11 in Freudenthaler, 2016), by rotating the HWP$_{TA}$ by an additional angle of 0 and 45° with respect to the $s_{\Delta}DU_{TA}$ axis (Fig. 10). The respective calculations are provided in Eq. (B2)–(B9):

$$\eta^* (\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2} + \varepsilon_{TA})$$

$$= \frac{I_{LA,TA,R,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}}}{I_{LA,TA,L,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}}}$$

$$= \frac{1 + a s_4 \phi_{TA}}{1 - a s_4 \phi_{TA}} \frac{1 + s_4 \phi_{LA}}{1 - s_4 \phi_{LA}} \frac{1 + a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}}{1 - a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}}$$

$$= \eta_{TA} \frac{1 + a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}}{1 - a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}} \frac{1 + s_4 \phi_{LA}}{1 - s_4 \phi_{LA}}$$

$$\eta^* (\theta_{TA} = \frac{45^\circ}{2} - \frac{\omega_{TA}}{2} + \varepsilon_{TA})$$

$$= \frac{I_{LA,TA,R,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=\frac{45^\circ}{2}-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}}}{I_{LA,TA,L,45^\circ,\theta_{TA}=\frac{45^\circ}{2}-\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}+\varepsilon_{TA}}}$$

$$= \frac{1 + a s_4 (45^\circ + \varepsilon_{TA})}{1 - a s_4 (45^\circ + \varepsilon_{TA})} \frac{1 + s_4 \phi_{LA}}{1 - s_4 \phi_{LA}} \frac{1 + a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}}{1 - a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}}$$

$$= \frac{1 + a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}}{1 - a s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}} \frac{1 + s_4 \phi_{LA}}{1 - s_4 \phi_{LA}}$$

Following the tangent half-angle substitution (Sect. S.12.1 in Freudenthaler, 2016) we derive $\varepsilon_{TA}$ and $a$ by successive approximation, as shown in Eqs. (B5)–(B9).

$$\varepsilon_{TA} = \frac{1}{4} \arcsin \left( \frac{\arcsin (Y (\varepsilon_{TA}, a))}{2} \right)$$

$$a = \frac{1}{s_4 \varepsilon_{TA}} \tan \left( \frac{\arcsin (Y (\varepsilon_{TA}, a))}{2} \right)$$

As a first approximation of $\varepsilon_{TA}$ we calculate $\varepsilon_{TA_i}$ with Eq. (B7).

$$Y (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i}, a) = \frac{2 a s_4 (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i})}{1 + a^2 s_4 (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i})^2}$$

$$Y (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i}, a) = \frac{s_4 (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i})}{s_4 (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i})^2}$$

$$\approx \frac{s_4 (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i})}{s_4 (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i})^2} \approx \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{TA_i}}{\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_{TA} \approx \frac{Y (\varepsilon_{TA}, a)}{Y (\varepsilon_{TA}, a) - Y (\varepsilon_{TA} - \varepsilon_{TA_i}, a) \varepsilon_{TA_i}}$$

Figure B1 shows the test performed for zeroing $\varepsilon_{TA}$ and setting $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}$ (step 3 in Fig. 10), by the successive steps described above. Specifically, with zero rotation of the HWP$_{TA}$, $\varepsilon_{TA_i} = 4.69^\circ$, with $-4.69^\circ$ rotation of the HWP$_{TA}$, $\varepsilon_{TA_i} = -0.22^\circ$, and finally with $-4.69^\circ + 0.22^\circ = -4.47^\circ$ rotation of the HWP$_{TA}$, $\varepsilon_{TA} = 0^\circ$.

Appendix C: Derivation of $\varepsilon_{LA}$ for defining the polarization of $I_{LA}$ with respect to the horizon

For the derivation of the $\varepsilon_{LA}$ we use the signals $I_{LA,TA,s}$ (Eq. A4), after they are corrected for the effect of the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A, by setting $\theta_{TA} = -\frac{\omega_{TA}}{2}$ (Sect. 4.1). Moreover, we consider that the atmosphere consists of randomly oriented particles; thus the off-diagonal elements in Eq. (A4) are zero. Then, the signals $I_{LA,TA,s}$ are
The test performed for zeroing $\epsilon_{TA}$ and setting of $\theta_{TA} = -\alpha_{TA}$, for the correction of $I_{LA,TA,s}$ and $I_{LB,TA,s}$, for the rotation of the detection unit after telescope A.

provided in Eq. (C1).

$$I_{LA,TA,s} = \eta_{s,TA} E_{LA,TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11}$$

$$= \eta_{s,TA} E_{LA,TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11} \left( f_{11} + g_{11} \right)$$

$$= \eta_{s,TA} E_{LA,TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11} \left( 1 + a D_{s,TA} c_{0,TA} \right), \quad (C1)$$

where $\theta_{LA} = \epsilon_{LA}$, and $a$ is the atmospheric polarization parameter, $a = \frac{g_{22} + g_{11}}{f_{11} + g_{11}}$.

We rotate the HWP$_{LA}$ by $+22.5^\circ$ and $-22.5^\circ$ with respect to the $x_F$ axis, and we derive $\epsilon_{LA}$ by performing the $90^\circ$ calibration of Freudenthaler (2016), as shown in Eqs. (C2)–(C8).

$$\eta^*(\theta_{LA} = +22.5^\circ + \epsilon_{LA}) = I_{LA,TA,R}(\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ + \epsilon_{LA})$$

$$= I_{LA,TA,T}(\theta_{LA} = 22.5^\circ + \epsilon_{LA})$$

$$= \eta_{TA} \frac{1 - ac(22.5^\circ + \epsilon_{LA})}{1 + ac(22.5^\circ + \epsilon_{LA})}$$

$$\approx \frac{s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}{s_{4_{LA}}} \approx \frac{\epsilon_{LA} - \epsilon_{LA}}{\epsilon_{LA}} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon_{LA}}{\epsilon_{LA}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{LA} \approx \frac{Y(\epsilon_{LA} - \epsilon_{LA})}{Y(\epsilon_{LA}, a)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{LA} \approx \frac{2s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}{1 + a^2 s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}$$

Following the tangent half-angle substitution (Sect. S.12.1 in Freudenthaler, 2016) we derive $\epsilon_{LA}$, as shown in Eqs. (C5)–(C8).

$$\epsilon_{LA} = \frac{1}{4} \arcsin \left[ \frac{1}{a} \tan \left( \frac{\arcsin(Y(\epsilon_{LA}, a))}{2} \right) \right] \quad (C5)$$

As a first approximation of $\epsilon_{LA}$, we calculate $\epsilon_{LA}$ with Eq. (C6).

$$\epsilon_{LA} = \frac{1}{4} \arcsin \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\arcsin(Y(\epsilon_{LA}, a))}{2} \right) \right] < \epsilon_{LA} \quad (C6)$$

After adjusting the HWP$_{LA}$ by $-\epsilon_{LA}$, which results in $\theta_{LA} = \epsilon_{LA} - \epsilon_{LA}$ with respect to the $x_F$ axis, we derive $Y(\epsilon_{LA} - \epsilon_{LA}, a)$ (Eq. C7). Then, $\epsilon_{LA}$ is calculated by Eq. (C8).

$$Y(\epsilon_{LA} - \epsilon_{LA}, a) = \frac{2as_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}{1 + a^2 s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}$$

$$\approx \frac{s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}{s_{4_{LA}}} \quad (C7)$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{LA} \approx \frac{Y(\epsilon_{LA} - \epsilon_{LA})}{Y(\epsilon_{LA}, a)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{LA} \approx \frac{2s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}{1 + a^2 s_{4\epsilon_{LA}}}$$

Figure B1 shows the test performed for zeroing $\epsilon_{LA}$, by the successive steps described above. Specifically, with zero rotation of the HWP$_{LA}$, $\epsilon_{LA} = 4.03^\circ$, with $-4.03^\circ$ rotation of the HWP$_{LA}$, $\epsilon_{LA} = 0.56^\circ$, with $-4.03^\circ - 0.56^\circ = -4.59^\circ$ rotation of the HWP$_{LA}$, $\epsilon_{LA} = 0.13^\circ$, and finally with $-4.59^\circ - 0.13^\circ = -4.72^\circ$ rotation of the HWP$_{LA}$, $\epsilon_{LA} = 0^\circ$.

Appendix D: Derivation of the angle of the polarization ellipse $\alpha$ and of the degree of linear polarization $b$, for defining the polarization of $i_{LB}$ with respect to the horizon.

D1 Derivation of the angle of the polarization ellipse $\alpha$

The signals $I_{LB,TA,s}$ (Eq. A6) are provided by Eq. (D1), considering an atmosphere with randomly oriented particles (all off-diagonal elements of the backscatter matrix are zero) and that the detection unit after telescope A is aligned with the system frame (Sect. 4.1). Note that in Eq. (D1) “$a$” is the atmospheric polarization parameter, whereas “$\alpha$” is the angle of the polarization ellipse of the light from the emission unit of laser B.

$$I_{LB,TA,s} = \eta_{s,TA} E_{LB,TA} T_{s,TA} T_{O,TA} F_{11}(f_{11} + g_{11})$$

$$= 1 + D_{s,TA} c_{2\epsilon_{LB}} c(\theta_{LB} - 2\theta_{LB})$$

$$= 1 + abD_{s,TA} c_{2\epsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{LB} = \frac{2as_{4\epsilon_{LB}}}{1 + a^2 s_{4\epsilon_{LB}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{LB} \approx \frac{Y(\epsilon_{LB} - \epsilon_{LB})}{Y(\epsilon_{LB}, a)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{LB} \approx \frac{2s_{4\epsilon_{LB}}}{1 + a^2 s_{4\epsilon_{LB}}}$$
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In order to derive the angle $\alpha$, we rotate the HWP$_{LB}$ by $+22.5^\circ$ and $-22.5^\circ$ with respect to the $x_F$ axis, setting $\alpha_{+45^\circ} = \alpha + 45^\circ$ and $\alpha_{-45^\circ} = \alpha - 45^\circ$, respectively (since $\alpha = 2\theta_{LB} - \phi_{LB}$, Eq. 13). Then we perform a methodology similar to the $\Delta 90^\circ$ calibration of Freudenthaler (2016) to derive $\alpha$, as shown in Eqs. (D2)–(D8).

$$
\eta^*(\alpha_{+45^\circ}) = \frac{I_{LB\_TA\_R\_a,45^\circ}}{I_{LB\_TA\_T\_a,45^\circ}} = \eta_{TA\_1} \frac{1 - abc_{(2a+90)}}{1 + abc_{(2a+90)}} = \eta_{TA\_2} \frac{1 + abs_{2a}}{1 - abs_{2a}} \tag{D2}
$$

$$
\eta^*(\alpha_{-45^\circ}) = \frac{I_{LB\_TA\_R\_a,-45^\circ}}{I_{LB\_TA\_T\_a,-45^\circ}} = \eta_{TA\_1} \frac{1 - abc_{(2a-90)}}{1 + abc_{(2a-90)}} = \eta_{TA\_2} \frac{1 + abs_{2a}}{1 - abs_{2a}} \tag{D3}
$$

$$
Y(\alpha, a, b)
= \eta^*(\alpha_{+45^\circ}) - \eta^*(\alpha_{-45^\circ}) = \frac{2abs_{2a}}{1 + a^2b^2s_{2a}^2} \tag{D4}
$$

Following the tangent half-angle substitution (Sect. S.12.1 in Freudenthaler, 2016), we derive $\alpha$ by successive approximation, as shown in Eqs. (D5)–(D9).

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \arcsin \left[ \frac{1}{ab} \tan \left( \frac{\arcsin (Y(\alpha, a, b))}{2} \right) \right] \tag{D5}
$$

As a first approximation of $\alpha$, we calculate $\alpha_l$ with Eq. (D6).

$$
\alpha_l = \frac{1}{2} \arcsin \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\arcsin (Y(\alpha, a, b))}{2} \right) \right] \tag{D6}
$$

After adjusting the HWP$_{LB}$ by $-\frac{\alpha}{2}$, which results in $\alpha_{new} = \alpha - \alpha_l$ (Eq. 13), we derive $Y(\alpha - \alpha_l, a, b)$ (Eq. D7). Then, $\alpha$ is calculated by Eq. (D8).

$$
Y(\alpha - \alpha_l, a, b)
= \frac{\eta^*(+45^\circ + \alpha - \alpha_l) - \eta^*(-45^\circ + \alpha - \alpha_l)}{\eta^*(+45^\circ + \alpha - \alpha_l) + \eta^*(-45^\circ + \alpha - \alpha_l)}
= \frac{2abs_{2(a - \alpha_l)}}{1 + a^2b^2s_{2(a - \alpha_l)}^2} \tag{D7}
$$

$$
Y(\alpha - \alpha_l, a, b)
= \frac{s_{2(a - \alpha_l)}(1 + a^2b^2s_{2(a - \alpha_l)}^2)}{s_{2a}(1 + a^2b^2s_{2a}^2)} \approx \frac{\alpha - \alpha_l}{\alpha}
= 1 - \frac{\alpha_l}{\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha \approx \frac{Y(\alpha, a, b) - Y(\alpha - \alpha_l, a, b)}{\alpha_l} \tag{D8}
$$

D2 Derivation of the degree of linear polarization $b$

As shown in Fig. 12, after calculating $\alpha$ in Sect. D1, we rotate the HWP$_{LB}$ by $-\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and by $22.5^\circ - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, setting $\alpha_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\alpha_2 = 45^\circ$ with respect to the $x_F$ axis, respectively (Eq. 13).

Then, $b$ is derived from Eqs. (D9)–(D11) (using Eq. D1), considering that we have already derived the atmospheric polarization parameter $a$ using the measurements of laser A at the detection unit after telescope A, as shown in Sect. 6.

$$
\eta^*(\alpha_1 = 0^\circ) = \frac{I_{LB\_TA\_R\_a,01}}{I_{LB\_TA\_T\_a,01}} = \eta_{TA\_1} \frac{1 - abc_{0}}{1 + abc_{0}} = \eta_{TA\_2} \frac{1 - ab}{1 + ab} \tag{D9}
$$

$$
\eta^*(\alpha_2 = +45^\circ) = \frac{I_{LB\_TA\_R\_a,02}}{I_{LB\_TA\_T\_a,02}} = \eta_{TA\_1} \frac{1 - abc_{90}}{1 + abc_{90}} = \eta_{TA\_2} \frac{1 - ab}{1 + ab} \tag{D10}
$$

$$
b = \frac{1}{\alpha} \eta^*(\alpha_2 = +45^\circ) - \eta^*(\alpha_1 = 0^\circ) \tag{D11}
$$
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