**S1 Details of descent reports**

As stated in Sect. 2, in 2019 the dropsonde BUFR sequence 3 09 053 (WMO 2019) was being used as an interim measure. At ECMWF a pre-processor was used to convert to the new ‘descent’ sequence 3 09 056 and it is these converted reports that have been made available. The main disadvantage of the dropsonde sequence was that the only identifier allowed was an aircraft identifier - this was set to missing by the MW41 software (newer reports generated directly in 3 09 056 have the WIGOS station identifier). This makes matching ascent and descent reports non-trivial - the last time/position of the ascent and the first time/position of the descent have to be compared. For the collocations in Table 2 a few percent of the descent reports were not matched up.

The BUFR reports give the start point of the profile and time/position offsets from the start point. They do not contain the vertical velocity, but this can be derived from the sequence of heights and time offsets. (In UK RS41 reports from 2018 the time offsets for standard levels, 10/20/30/50 hPa etc, seemed inconsistent with those for other levels by up to about 12 seconds, so standard and other levels should not be mixed when calculating vertical velocity.)

ECMWF provides an openly available package called ecCodes to decode or encode BUFR reports, it is available from https://confluence.ecmwf.int/display/ECC/ecCodes+Home and has Fortran, Python and C bindings. Other decoding packages are available, e.g. https://github.com/NOAA-EMC/NCEPLIBS-bufr/tree/master.
Figure S1. Collage of photographs showing balloon remnants (Totex TA and TX balloons of different size) and parachutes (orange or silvery) after landing - Lindenberg launches with frostpoint hygrometer.

Images S2 and S3 were supplied by Timo Laine of the Finnish Meteorological Institute.
Figure S2. Estonia, Hiiumaa, Tahkuna Beach. This finding was reported to FMI on the 3rd of May, 2020 by Miina Krabbi.
Figure S3. Finland, Tuusula Ruotsinkylä (near Helsinki airport), reported by TV-meteorologist Mrs. Seija Paasonen. The sonde was discovered swinging in a tree, 16th of April, 2020.

**S3 Descent rate profiles for Lindenberg**

Figures S4 and S5 for Lindenberg, Germany can be compared with Figure 5 for Sola, Norway. Even with parachutes the Lindenberg descents show a lot of variability in descent speeds, but probably less than for Sola.
Figure S4. As figure 5, but for Lindenberg descents in December 2017.
Figure S5. As figure 5, but for Lindenberg descents in July 2018.

S4. Examples of wind profiles

Figures S6 and S7 show ascent and descent winds for Lindenberg launches (00 and 06 UTC profiles) in January 2021 (it is easier to match the profiles using the recent descent reports including the station identifier). The descent profiles (red) usually have less small-scale variability, although a few are ‘noisy’ (partially shown by the lower panel of figure S7).
Figure S6. Two sets of ascent and descent wind profiles from 2021-01-04. Wind components in m s\(^{-1}\) with 60 added to the v component for plotting. Data from BUFR reports received at ECMWF, reports with over 5000 levels have been thinned.
Figure S7. Two sets of ascent and descent wind profiles from 2021-01-05. Wind components in m s\(^{-1}\) with 60 added to the v component for plotting. Data from BUFR reports received at ECMWF, reports with over 5000 levels have been thinned.
**S5 Humidity comparison**

Figure S8 for specific humidity can be compared with figure 15 for relative humidity.
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Figure S8. Specific humidity comparison with ECMWF background September - November 2019. Standard level statistics of mean (dashed) and SD (solid) O-B differences for ascent (black) and descent (red) for four different countries.