



### Supplement of

### A searchable database and mass spectral comparison tool for the Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) and the Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM)

Sohyeon Jeon et al.

Correspondence to: Brent J. Williams (brentw@wustl.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

#### S1. Description of comparison constraints provided by the panel

1. Sample type: This indicates if there were any instrumental or experimental setup conditions that are not reflective of general atmospheric conditions. Sample type is classified into 'Ambient' (immediate ingestion of ambient air to the instrument) and 'Non-ambient' (including all chamber studies, any measurement whereby the spectrum is a specific source or a standard, collected on filters as a preliminary step).

2. Perturbation: This indicates if there was a perturbation of the sample due to some instrumental intermediary. If the sampling was carried out with an oxidation flow reactor, a thermodenuder, or if a sample was collected on filters, for example, it is classified as 'Perturbed', if not, 'Non-Perturbed'.

3. Deconvoluted: This denotes if the spectrum was generated by an analysis step such as positive matrix factorization (PMF). If yes, then 'Deconvoluted', if not, then 'Non-deconvoluted'

4. Instrument: This indicates the instrument used for detection. It includes Air Quality ACSM, ToF-ACSM, Quad-AMS, C-ToF-AMS, HR-ToF V-mode, HR-TOF W-mode, Long-ToF V-mode, Long-ToF W-mode, and multiple instruments.

5. Resolution: This indicates the resolution of the sample spectrum. Options are UMR (unit mass resolution), HR to UMR (high resolution converted to UMR), and combination (HR for low masses, UMR for high).

6. Vaporizer type: The two options are a capture vaporizer and a standard vaporizer.

7. Aerosol CO and CO<sub>2</sub> contributions: This indicates whether the signals of CO<sup>+</sup> at m/z 28 and CO2+ at m/z 44 in the mass spectrum were adjusted to be equal during the data processing. In the case of UMR data, the default signal from aerosol CO<sup>+</sup> at m/z 28 is set to be identical to the contribution from aerosol  $CO_2^+$  signal at m/z 44 (Allan et al., 2004). Especially in the case of high biomass burning sources, users may have adjusted the organic component at m/z 28 to be different from that at CO<sub>2</sub> at m/z 44. In the UMR case ('mz 28/mz 44 = 1 (for UMR)' on the UMR comparison tab of the panel), this option screens for cases when these two signals are equal, which is reflective of more ambient measurements and the default fragmentation table, and for cases when these signals are not equal ('Ratio  $\neq 1$  (for both)' on the UMR comparison tab), which is very uncommon. In the case of HR data, the default setting is to not fit the CO<sup>+</sup> ion, as it is generally unseparated from the dominant  $N_2^+$  ion at m/z 28. The default setting in the HR fragmentation table is to set the signal of aerosol  $CO_2^+$  to aerosol  $CO_2^+$ . In terms of HR families, this default setting means that the family CHO1 signal at m/z 28 is equal to the family CHOgt1 signal at m/z 44. In the HR case ('CO (CHO1) / CO2 (CHOgt1) = 1 (for HRtoUMR)' on the UMR comparison tab or 'CO/CO2 =1' on the HR comparison tab of the panel), this option screens for cases when these two signals are equal, which is reflective of more ambient measurements and the default HR fragmentation table, and for cases when these signals are not equal ('CO/CO2  $\neq$  1' on the HR comparison tab of the panel), which is very uncommon.

HR family name	Chemical formula
Сх	Cx
СН	$C_xH_y$
CHO1	$C_x H_y O_z$ (z=1)
CHOgt1	$C_x H_y O_z$ (z>1)
CHN	$C_x H_y N_w$
CHO1N	$C_x H_y O_z N_w$ (z=1)
CHOgt1N	$C_x H_y O_z N_w$ (z>1)
CS	$C_x S_y$
НО	H <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub>
Air*	$N_x, O_x, Ar$
Cl	H <sub>x</sub> Cl <sub>y</sub>
NH	N <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub>
SO	$S_x O_y$
NO	N <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub>
Tungsten*	$W_x O_y (y \ge 0)$
Other	All ions not included in any other category
CSi	C <sub>x</sub> Si <sub>y</sub>

Table S1. Description of the chemical formula for HR family name.

\*On the HR comparison tab, air and tungsten abundances are reset to zero.

*Table S2. Top matches from HR "Ambient" sample comparison results with the BBOA factor from the DAURE campaign (m/z 1-200) depending on score and score with HR family (CH, CHO, and combined)* 

Top 10 matches sorted by score with HR family (CH)					
# in	Sampla	UMR	Score with	Deference	
DB	Sample	Score	HR family	Reference	
293	Changdao island_2011_biomass burning	0.9632	0.9589	(Hu et al., 2013)	
245	SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_SVOOA	0.9346	0.9565	(Docherty et al., 2011)	
246	MILAGRO Campaign_2006_BBOA	0.8119	0.9525	(Aiken et al., 2009)	
305	Beijing urban area_2010_MOOOA	0.7156	0.9433	(Hu et al., 2016)	
313	SOAS campaign_2013_LOOOA_II	0.9287	0.9376	(Hu et al., 2015)	
289	CalNex campaign_2010_CIOA	0.9036	0.9312	(Hayes et al., 2013)	
300	Beijing urban area_2011_HOA	0.9367	0.9309	(Hu et al., 2016)	
297	Changdao island_2011_HOA	0.8209	0.9309	(Hu et al., 2013)	
290	CalNex campaign_2010_LOA	0.8115	0.9306	(Hayes et al., 2013)	
292	CalNex campaign_2010_SVOOA	0.8352	0.9283	(Hayes et al., 2013)	
	Top 10 matches sorted by s	core with I	HR family (Cl	HO1)	
# in	Sampla	UMR	Score with	Deference	
DB	Sample	score	HR family	Kelelelice	
310	SOAS campaign_2013_SOA	0.9445	0.9527	(Hu et al., 2015)	
270	Paris Summer_2009_MOA	0.904	0.9266	(Crippa et al., 2013)	
300	Beijing urban area_2011_HOA	0.9367	0.9153	(Hu et al., 2016)	
315	KORUS-AQ study_2016_LOOOA	0.9164	0.9098	(Hu et al., 2018b)	
255	DAURE campaign_2009_COA	0.8692	0.9093	(Mohr et al., 2012)	
278	DIAPASON2014 campaign_BBOA	0.9004	0.8979	(Struckmeier et al., 2016)	
269	Paris Summer_2009_SVOOA	0.9149	0.8919	(Crippa et al., 2013)	

261	CARES campaign_2010_MOOOA	0.8644	0.8824	(Setyan et al., 2012)
273	Xian and Beijing_BBOA	0.8963	0.8718	(Elser et al., 2016)
277	Xian and Beijing_OOA	0.8521	0.8709	(Elser et al., 2016)
	Top 10 matches sorted by score	with HR fa	mily (CHO1-	-CHOgt1)
# in	Sampla	Score	Score with	Bafaranaa
DB	Sample	(All)	HR family	Kelelelice
310	SOAS campaign_2013_SOA	0.9445	0.9426	(Hu et al., 2015)
278	DIAPASON2014 campaign_BBOA	0.9004	0.9378	(Struckmeier et al., 2016)
293	Changdao island_2011_biomass burning	0.9632	0.9259	(Hu et al., 2013)
270	Paris Summer_2009_MOA	0.904	0.9221	(Crippa et al., 2013)
300	Beijing urban area_2011_HOA	0.9367	0.9114	(Hu et al., 2016)
296	Changdao island_2011_CCOA	0.9239	0.9079	(Hu et al., 2013)
255	DAURE campaign_2009_COA	0.8692	0.9038	(Mohr et al., 2012)
277	Xian and Beijing_OOA	0.8521	0.9004	(Elser et al., 2016)
261	CARES campaign_2010_MOOOA	0.8644	0.8957	(Setyan et al., 2012)
313	SOAS campaign_2013_LOOOA_II	0.9287	0.8855	(Hu et al., 2015)
	Top 10 matches sorted by score with	th HR fam	ily (CH+CHC	01+CHOgt1)
# in	# in Sample		Score with	Reference
DB	Sample	(All)	HR family	Kelefellee
293	Changdao island_2011_biomass burning	0.9632	0.962	(Hu et al., 2013)
310	SOAS campaign_2013_SOA	0.9445	0.9429	(Hu et al., 2015)
300	Beijing urban area_2011_HOA	0.9367	0.936	(Hu et al., 2016)
245	SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_SVOOA	0.9346	0.9322	(Docherty et al., 2011)
313	SOAS campaign_2013_LOOOA_II	0.9287	0.9289	(Hu et al., 2015)
296	Changdao island_2011_CCOA	0.9239	0.9256	(Hu et al., 2013)
315	KORUS-AQ study_2016_LOOOA	0.9164	0.9225	(Hu et al., 2018b)
243	SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_LOA	0.8121	0.9196	(Docherty et al., 2011)
269	Paris Summer_2009_SVOOA	0.9149	0.914	(Crippa et al., 2013)
301	Beijing urban area_2011_COA	0.9097	0.9061	(Hu et al., 2016)

Table S3. Top matches from UMR "Ambient" sample comparison results with the OOA factor from the DAURE campaign (default setting, m/z 1-200)

# in DB	Sample	Score	Reference
264	SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_OOAc	0.9861	(Saarikoski et al., 2012)
305	Beijing urban area_2010_MOOOA	0.9827	(Hu et al., 2016)
262	SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_OOAa	0.9801	(Saarikoski et al., 2012)
263	SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_OOAb	0.9801	(Saarikoski et al., 2012)
244	SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_LVOOA	0.9779	(Docherty et al., 2011)
311	SOAS campaign_2013_MOOOA	0.9778	(Hu et al., 2015)
285	POPE2014 campaign_LVOOA	0.9776	(Struckmeier et al., 2016)
299	Changdao island_2011_LVOOA	0.9760	(Hu et al., 2013)
314	KORUS-AQ study_2016_MOOOA	0.9708	(Hu et al., 2018a)
303	Beijing urban area_2011_MOOOA	0.9706	(Hu et al., 2016)

Factor	# in DB	Sample	Score	Reference
	334	Chamber m-Xylene aged SOA	0.9190	
	212	Myrcene_O3	0.9172	(Loza et al., 2012)
	213	Terpinolene_O3	0.9165	
	197	m-Xylene_O3	0.9155	
1	220	Terpinolene_O3	0.9153	(Bahreini et al., 2005)
1	203	a-humulene_O3	0.8983	
	333	Chamber m-Xylene peak growth SOA	0.8886	(Loza et al., 2012)
	321	Incense Coil	0.8859	(Li et al., 2012)
	185	Glycogen	0.8853	(Schneider et al., 2011)
	32	Utah Sage, Rabbitbrush	0.8841	(FLAME)
	190	Casein	0.8280	(Schneider et al., 2011)
	336	Chamber m-xylene_high NOx	0.8087	(Chhabra et al., 2010)
	212	Myrcene_O3	0.7922	
	213	Terpinolene_O3	0.7916	
2	203	a-humulene_O3	0.7916	(Pahraini at al. 2005)
Z	220	Terpinolene_O3	0.7905	(Ballelin et al., 2003)
	204	a-terpinene_O3	0.7844	
	218	a-Humulene_O3	0.7750	
	32	Utah Sage, Rabbitbrush	0.7727	(FLAME)
	219	a-Terpinene_O3	0.7705	(Bahreini et al., 2005)
	192	MethylAmmon_nitrate	0.8002	(Murphy et al. $2007$ )
	191	Monoethan_nitrate	0.7944	(Mulphy et al., 2007)
	128	AmmoniumNitrate	0.7907	(Hogrefe et al., 2004)
	336	Chamber m-xylene_high NOx	0.7528	(Chhabra et al., 2010)
3	238	photooxidized-Tma_O7	0.7507	
5	195	Triethylamm_nitrate	0.7277	(Murphy et al., 2007)
	193	TrimethylAmmon_nitrate	0.7200	
	190	Casein	0.6770	(Schneider et al., 2011)
	334	Chamber m-Xylene aged SOA	0.6163	(Loza et al., 2012)
	333	Chamber m-Xylene peak growth SOA	0.6150	
	197	m-Xylene_O3	0.9587	
	213	Terpinolene_O3	0.9479	(Bahreini et al. 2005)
	220	Terpinolene_O3	0.9421	(Dunienn et ul., 2003)
	212	Myrcene_O3	0.9410	
4	334	Chamber m-Xylene aged SOA	0.9387	(Loza et al., 2012)
•	203	a-humulene_O3	0.9278	(Bahreini et al. 2005)
	204	a-terpinene_O3	0.9191	
	333	Chamber m-Xylene peak growth SOA	0.9063	(Loza et al., 2012)
	32	Utah Sage, Rabbitbrush	0.8960	(FLAME)
	321	Incense Coil	0.8947	(Li et al., 2012)
	217	Bcaryophyllene_O3	0.9674	
5	223	b-Pinene_O3	0.9489	(Bahreini et al., 2005)
5	206	beta-pinene_O3	0.9406	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	205	beta-caryophyllene_O3	0.9398	

Table S4. Top matches from UMR "Non-ambient" sample comparison results with the factors 1-6 from the DAURE campaign when setting new mass range (m/z 45-200). A blank space of the reference column serves as the equivalent of ditto-marks.

	219	a-Terpinene_O3	0.9387	
	218	218 a-Humulene_O3		
	222	Myrcene_O3	0.9186	
	33	Lignin Powder	0.9171	(FLAME)
	234	Diesel_Exhaust_2	0.9167	$(S_{0}, \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2},$
	235	Diesel_Exhaust_3	0.9160	(Sage et al., 2007)
	217	Bcaryophyllene_O3	0.9545	
	b-Pinene_O3	b-Pinene_O3	0.9420	
206	beta-pinene_O3	0.9311	(Pahraini at al. 2005)	
	205 beta-caryophyllene_O3		0.9281	(Ballelli et al., 2003)
6	218	a-Humulene_O3	0.9236	
0	219	a-Terpinene_O3	0.9214	
	33	Lignin Powder	0.9085	(FLAME)
234 Diesel_Exhaust_2 0.9065		0.9065	$(S_{a}, z_{a}, z_{b}, z_{b})$	
235 Diesel_Exhaust_3		0.9039	(Sage et al., 2007)	
	31	Utah Juniper Foliage and Sticks 0.9004 (Sage et al., 2008		(Sage et al., 2008)



<Figure S1. The screenshot of the UMR Database tab>



<Figure S2: The screenshot of the HR Data Comparison tab>

AMS_Mass_Spectral_Comparisons					
AMS/ACSM MS Comparison	TOOI 3.5A	Open /user	guide Current version check Version website	Washington University in St. Louis	P 💉
High Resolution Mass Spectrum	Open HR database webpage	HR family color legend plot			
284_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_HOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_LOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_SVOOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_SVOOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_BBOA           289_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_BBOA           289_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_HOA           290_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_SVOOA           291_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_HOA           291_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_VOOA           292_DAURE campaign_2009_BBOA           293_DAURE campaign_2009_HOA           294_DAURE campaign_2009_HOA           295_DAURE campaign_2009_HOA           295_CARES campaign_2010_HOA           296_CARES campaign_2010_HOA           296_CRES campaign_2010_HOA <td>0.12 - 0.11 - 0.09 - 0.08 - 0.07 - 0.06 - 0.04 - 0.03 - 0.02 - 0.01 - 20 40</td> <td></td> <td><mark>Трефиорилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентриле</mark> 120 140 160</td> <td></td> <td>HR family selection (All (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CG VH0 (Air VCL (NH VS0 (NO (Other VCS)</td>	0.12 - 0.11 - 0.09 - 0.08 - 0.07 - 0.06 - 0.04 - 0.03 - 0.02 - 0.01 - 20 40		<mark>Трефиорилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентриле</mark> 120 140 160		HR family selection (All (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CG VH0 (Air VCL (NH VS0 (NO (Other VCS)
302_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_OOAc 303_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_HOA	Type:	Vaporizer	V Vaporize		_
304_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_BBOA	Comment	vaporizer:	s vaponze		
305_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_NOA 306_Paris Summer_2009_COA 307_Paris Summer_2009_SVOOA	Comment:	El energy:	70 Commer	it:	
308_Paris Summer_2009_MOA	Aerosol Perturbation: NP	Citation:	Mohr, C., et al., ACP 2012, 12, 16	49-1665	Open URL
309_Paris Summer_2009_LVOOA 310_Paris Summer_2009_HOA	Type:	Group:	Claudia Mohr Prvt PSI		
311_Xian and Beijing_BBOA 312_Xian and Beijing_HOA	Comment:	Experim	nenter Name		
313_Xian and Beijing_COA 314_Xian and Beijing_CCOA 315_Xian and Beijing_COA	Analysis: DEC	Description	BBOAFactor analysis results of a urban background site Barcelon	mbient measurements at an a, Spain, March 2009	Open Table

<Figure S3. The screenshot of the HR Database tab>

Metadata_Table_UMR_7_Beech_Flame					
Aeroso	🖗	2			
Row	Metadata	Metadata			
	0	1			
0	AerosolOrigin	NA	^		
1	AerosolPerturbatio	NP			
2	Analysis	NDEC			
3	Instrument	Q			
4	Resolution	UMR			
5	Vaporizer	S			
6	ShortFileDescript	Beech - Flaming			
7	El Energy	70			
8	Vaporizer Temp(C	600			
9	CommentDAQ				
10	NonAmbient Type				
11	Comment NonAm				
12	Perturbed Type				
13	Comment Perturb				
14	Experimenter Nan				
15	Group Str	S. Weimer PSI			
16	CitationUrl	http://www.agu.org			
17	CitationStr	S. Weimer et al. J			
18	Citation Fig	Fig 4			
19	CommentAnalysis	Start (Phase I)			
20					
<			>		

<Figure S4. The screenshot of the popped-up citation table when clicking the 'Open metadata table' Button on the panel, in either the HR Data Comparison tab>

#### References

Aiken, A. C., Salcedo, D., Cubison, M. J., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Docherty, K. S., Sueper, D., Kimmel, J. R., Worsnop, D. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Stone, E. A., Schauer, J. J., Volkamer, R. M., Fortner, E., de Foy, B., Wang, J., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, V., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Gaffney, J., Marley, N. A., Paredes-Miranda, G., Arnott, W. P., Molina, L. T., Sosa, G., and Jimenez, J. L.: Mexico City aerosol analysis during MILAGRO using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry at the urban supersite (T0) – Part 1: Fine particle composition and organic source apportionment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 6633–6653, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6633-2009, 2009.

Allan, J. D., Delia, A. E., Coe, H., Bower, K. N., Alfarra, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Middlebrook, A. M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: A generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer data, Journal of Aerosol Science, 35, 909–922, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.02.007, 2004.

FLAME: http://chem.atmos.colostate.edu/FLAME/.

Bahreini, R., Keywood, M. D., Ng, N. L., Varutbangkul, V., Gao, S., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Measurements of Secondary Organic Aerosol from Oxidation of Cycloalkenes, Terpenes, and m-Xylene Using an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 5674–5688, https://doi.org/10.1021/es048061a, 2005.

Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental analysis of chamber organic aerosol using an aerodyne high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 4111–4131, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4111-2010, 2010.

Crippa, M., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., DeCarlo, P. F., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Marchand, N., Sciare, J., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Identification of marine and continental aerosol sources in Paris using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 1950–1963, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50151, 2013.

Docherty, K. S., Aiken, A. C., Huffman, J. A., Ulbrich, I. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Sueper, D., Worsnop, D. R., Snyder, D. C., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J., Grover, B. D., Eatough, D. J., Williams, B. J., Goldstein, A. H., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: The 2005 Study of Organic Aerosols at Riverside (SOAR-1): instrumental intercomparisons and fine particle composition, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 12387–12420, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12387-2011, 2011.

Elser, M., Huang, R.-J., Wolf, R., Slowik, J. G., Wang, Q., Canonaco, F., Li, G., Bozzetti, C., Daellenbach, K. R., Huang, Y., Zhang, R., Li, Z., Cao, J., Baltensperger, U., El-Haddad, I., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: New insights into PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical composition and sources in two major cities in China during extreme haze events using aerosol mass spectrometry, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 3207–3225, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3207-2016, 2016.

Hayes, P. L., Ortega, A. M., Cubison, M. J., Froyd, K. D., Zhao, Y., Cliff, S. S., Hu, W. W., Toohey, D. W., Flynn, J. H., Lefer, B. L., Grossberg, N., Alvarez, S., Rappenglück, B., Taylor, J. W., Allan, J. D., Holloway, J. S., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Massoli, P., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Weber, R. J., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Kreisberg, N. M., Goldstein, A. H., Thalman, R., Waxman, E. M., Volkamer, R., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Dusanter, S., Griffith, S., Stevens, P. S., Brioude, J., Angevine, W. M., and Jimenez, J. L.: Organic aerosol composition and sources in Pasadena, California, during the 2010 CalNex campaign, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 9233–9257, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50530, 2013.

Hogrefe, O., Drewnick, F., Lala, G. G., Schwab, J. J., and Demerjian, K. L.: Development, Operation and Applications of an Aerosol Generation, Calibration and Research Facility Special Issue of Aerosol Science

and Technology on Findings from the Fine Particulate Matter Supersites Program, Aerosol Science and Technology, 38, 196–214, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820390229516, 2004.

Hu, W., Hu, M., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Yuan, B., Chen, W., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Chen, C., Wang, Z., Peng, J., Zeng, L., and Shao, M.: Chemical composition, sources, and aging process of submicron aerosols in Beijing: Contrast between summer and winter, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121, 1955–1977, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024020, 2016.

Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the New Capture Vaporizer for Aerosol Mass Spectrometers (AMS): Elemental Composition and Source Apportionment of Organic Aerosols (OA), ACS Earth Space Chem., 2, 410–421, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.8b00002, 2018a.

Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers: Characterization of organic aerosol mass spectra, Aerosol Science and Technology, 52, 725–739, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2018.1454584, 2018b.

Hu, W. W., Hu, M., Yuan, B., Jimenez, J. L., Tang, Q., Peng, J. F., Hu, W., Shao, M., Wang, M., Zeng, L. M., Wu, Y. S., Gong, Z. H., Huang, X. F., and He, L. Y.: Insights on organic aerosol aging and the influence of coal combustion at a regional receptor site of central eastern China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 10095–10112, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-10095-2013, 2013.

Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Krechmer, J. E., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y. J., de Sá, S. S., McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander, M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 11807–11833, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015, 2015.

Li, Y. J., Yeung, J. W. T., Leung, T. P. I., Lau, A. P. S., and Chan, C. K.: Characterization of Organic Particles from Incense Burning Using an Aerodyne High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerosol Science and Technology, 46, 654–665, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.653017, 2012.

Loza, C. L., Chhabra, P. S., Yee, L. D., Craven, J. S., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemical aging of *m*-xylene secondary organic aerosol: laboratory chamber study, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 151–167, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-151-2012, 2012.

Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P. F., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Slowik, J. G., Richter, R., Reche, C., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Seco, R., Peñuelas, J., Jiménez, J. L., Crippa, M., Zimmermann, R., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Identification and quantification of organic aerosol from cooking and other sources in Barcelona using aerosol mass spectrometer data, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 1649–1665, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1649-2012, 2012.

Murphy, S. M., Sorooshian, A., Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Chhabra, P., Tong, C., Surratt, J. D., Knipping, E., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary aerosol formation from atmospheric reactions of aliphatic amines, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 2313–2337, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-2313-2007, 2007.

Saarikoski, S., Carbone, S., Decesari, S., Giulianelli, L., Angelini, F., Canagaratna, M., Ng, N. L., Trimborn, A., Facchini, M. C., Fuzzi, S., Hillamo, R., and Worsnop, D.: Chemical characterization of

springtime submicrometer aerosol in Po Valley, Italy, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8401–8421, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8401-2012, 2012.

Sage, A. M., Weitkamp, E. A., Robinson, A. L., and Donahue, N. M.: Evolving mass spectra of the oxidized component of organic aerosol: results from aerosol mass spectrometer analyses of aged diesel emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 7, 10065, 2007.

Sage, A. M., Weitkamp, E. A., Robinson, A. L., and Donahue, N. M.: Evolving mass spectra of the oxidized component of organic aerosol: results from aerosol mass spectrometer analyses of aged diesel emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 1139–1152, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-1139-2008, 2008.

Schneider, J., Freutel, F., Zorn, S. R., Chen, Q., Farmer, D. K., Jimenez, J. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Wiedensohler, A., and Borrmann, S.: Mass-spectrometric identification of primary biological particle markers and application to pristine submicron aerosol measurements in Amazonia, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 11415–11429, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11415-2011, 2011.

Setyan, A., Zhang, Q., Merkel, M., Knighton, W. B., Sun, Y., Song, C., Shilling, J. E., Onasch, T. B., Herndon, S. C., Worsnop, D. R., Fast, J. D., Zaveri, R. A., Berg, L. K., Wiedensohler, A., Flowers, B. A., Dubey, M. K., and Subramanian, R.: Characterization of submicron particles influenced by mixed biogenic and anthropogenic emissions using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: results from CARES, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8131–8156, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8131-2012, 2012.

Struckmeier, C., Drewnick, F., Fachinger, F., Gobbi, G. P., and Borrmann, S.: Atmospheric aerosols in Rome, Italy: sources, dynamics and spatial variations during two seasons, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 15277–15299, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15277-2016, 2016.

User guide section

# AMS MASS SPECTRA DATABASE COMPARISON PANEL

Version 3.5A user guide

Jeon, Sohyeon

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Getting started	3
1.1 Files necessary for the AMS spectra database comparison panel	3
1.2 How to load the AMS spectral database and create the AMS spectral comparison panel in an experiment	Igor 3
1.3 AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool	6
1.4 How to convert HR MS to UMR family grouped MS	7
Chapter 2. Unit mass resolution (UMR) mass spectra comparison	9
2.1 The selection of a mass spectrum of interest	9
2.1.1 User supplied 1D/2D UMR MS	9
2.1.2 MS Existing in the data base	11
2.2 A comparison result of the sample with reference mass spectrum and options	11
2.2.1 UMR Comparison Result Table	11
2.2.2 UMR Comparison Result Mass Spectrum Plot	12
2.2.3 Mass Spectra Rescaling Options	12
2.2.4 Comparison Constraints	13
2.3 Citation information	14
Chapter 3. High resolution to unit mass resolution (HR) spectra comparison	15
3.1 The selection of a mass spectrum of interest	15
3.1.1 User supplied 1D/2D HR MS	15
3.1.2 MS existing in the data base	16
3.2 A comparison result of the sample with reference mass spectrum and options	16
3.2.1 Result Table	16
3.2.2 Mass spectrum plot	17
3.2.3 HR family selection	18
3.2.4 Mass Spectra Rescaling Options	19
3.3.5 Comparison Constraints	19
3.4 Citation information	19
Chapter 4. Database library	20
Chapter 5. Export database	22

## **Chapter 1. Getting started**

# **1.1 Files necessary for the AMS spectra database comparison panel**

In order to use the AMS spectral database in Igor Pro, users need two sets of files: i) the HDF (Hierarchical Data Format, or h5) database file and ii) two database procedure files (ipf) named 'AMS MS database\_v\*\*.ipf' and 'AMS MS database\_HR2UMR\_v\*\*.ipf'. Users can download the ipf files from the existing webpage (<u>https://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/AMSsd/</u>). Alternatively, users can download and open the Igor template experiment file (AMS\_database\_v\*\*\_template.pxt) for the AMS database, which already has the database and procedure files already loaded. The template file can be downloaded on the same webpage mentioned above. The panel and all other code described herein are compatible with Igor versions 7. 08, 8.04, and 9.02 for Macintosh and Microsoft operating systems.

# **1.2** How to load the AMS spectral database and create the AMS spectral comparison panel in an Igor experiment

The Igor Pro template experiment file (AMS\_database\_v\*\*\_template.pxt) already has the database and necessary procedure files loaded. However, when the user creates a new database h5 file or doesn't have the template experiment file, the user can follow the processes below

- 1) Open Igor pro program.
- 2) On the top Igor menu, click [File] > [Open File] > [Procedure...] and open both procedure files: 'AMS MS database\_v\*\*.ipf' and 'AMS MS database\_HR2UMR\_v\*\*.ipf' (Or drag both procedure files to the Igor Pro experiment). Compile the procedure files.
- 3) On the menu, select [Data] > [Load waves] > [New HDF5 Browser]
- 4) On the panel, select the 'Open HDF5 file' button and select the database h5 file that you want to import <Figure 1.1> (Database h5 files must have 'database' and 'databaseHR2UMR' in the file name. Refer to 'Chapter 5. Export the database' to create a database h5 file).

T HDESBrowser0						
Create HDF5 File Open HDF5 File Cl Read Only	ose HDF5 File Help		Preview Option Show Graph	onsShow Table		
File: C:Users:jeons:Desktop:SJ:ACT LAB:AMS database from webpage:HR database:database_220724 Show Dump Show Attributes In Dump						
Selected Group: //database			_Load Dataset	Options		
Selected Dataset: AerosolOrigin, class=H5T_STRING			Table: Displa	y in New Table 👻		
Use Hyperselection Hyper Selection Wave:			Graph: Displa	ay in New Graph 💌		
✓ Load Groups Recursively Transpose 2D Datasets						
Groups Load Group Save Data Folder	Datasets Load Dataset		Save Waves			
root	Dataset	Rank	Dim Sizes	Type	Valu	
database	AerosolOrigin	1	436;	string	<tc ^<="" td=""></tc>	
databaseHR2UMR	AerosolPerturbation	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>	
	Analysis	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>	
	CitationFigStr	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>	
	CitationStr	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>	
	CitationUrl	1	436;	string	<to td="" ∀<=""></to>	
	<				>	
Group Attributes	Dataset Attributes					
^	Attribute	Rank	Dim Sizes	Туре	Valu	
	IGORWaveType	1	1:	32-bit	0 ^	
~	<				> `	

<Figure 1.1. HDF5 Browser panel when AMS database.h5 file has been opened.>

5) On the 'Groups' section, select 'database' and click the 'Load Group' button and select 'databaseHR2UMR' and click the 'Load Group' button again. <Figure 1.1>

Caution: Both the 'database' and 'databaseHR2UMR' folders have to be uploaded in the 'root:' folder like below:

Data Browser		? — © X
Current Data Folder Display Vaves Variables Strings Info Plot	: root:	▼

<Figure 1.2. Required locations of 'database' and 'databaseHR2UMR' folders>

6) Click the 'Close HDF5 File' button on the panel to close the HDF5 Browser panel.

7) On the top Igor menu, click [Database] > [Initialize] to create 'databasePanel' and 'Globals' folders in the 'root:' like <Figure 1.3>



<Figure 1.3. Correct final folder locations to use the database panel>

- 8) On the top Igor menu, select [Database] > [Database Panel] to open the database panel.
- 9) Database is now ready to use!

## 1.3 AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool

For a more rigid standardization of data format, it is highly recommended to use AMS/ACSM database export tool within Igor experiments to create an HDF file that combines many spectra into one file with metadata fields. The created HDF file will be used on the 'UMR Data Comparison' and 'HR Data Comparison' tabs of the panel as 'New MS (refer to 2.1.1, 3.1.1)'. A new stand-alone ipf for this tool is being provided as a link on the existing website (<u>https://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/AMSsd/</u>).

Users should enter the metadata of their MS through the interface (Figure 1.4) and based on the entered metadata the HDF file will be named and created. Metadata categories on the export tool follow the 'Comparison Constraints' fields of the database panel (refer to 2.2.4). After the user has created an HDF file using the gold button in the panel, the HDF file generated from this tool will contain these entities (a wave in the Igor software is a matrix of numeric or text data):

- 1D numeric wave called 'mz'
- 1D text wave called 'mzlabel'
- 1D text wave called 'SpectraComments' as metadata
- 1D text wave called 'SpectraName' as metadata
- 2D numeric matrix called 'Spectra' as MS
- 2D text wave called 'SpectraMetaData' as metadata

Aerosol Data Base Export	
AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool 2.0.3	
HR Database	
Spectra DAQ metadata Analysis metadata CV Database	Spectra DAQ metadata Analysis metadata CV Database
Aerosol origin Select  Type Select Type Select Opt. comment optional description Pop Aerosol treatment Select Type	Experimenter name       your name         Group/Institution       Le. Jimenez Group, Colorado-Boulder         Citation       Le. ACP, 2009, Vol.x. pp. yyy, SRef-ID: xox         Citation url       Le. http://direct.sref.org/xoox-yyyy/acp/2009-x-yyyy         Citation fig #       Fig #(s)         Comments       location, date, field project, misc info
Spectrum selection	
Verify Clear table of graph Verify Clear table Pop table	
Full path of m/z axis wave	
Spectra name Full path of wave Optional comments	
File creation	
File name (A_P_NDec_V_HR_S_test.h5	
Set file location Show	
Create data base h5 file Mail file to CUDatabaseManager@gmail.com	

<Figure 1.4. Screenshot of AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool>

## 1.4 How to convert HR MS to UMR family grouped MS

For UMR data, users don't need additional pretreatment if one created the HDF file through the AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool. However, for HR data, the MS saved in the HDF file is in HR (with individual ion designations such as C2H3O) and has no family designation stored in the HDF file using AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool. This section describes the steps for converting the HR data to UMR family grouped MS, if needed.

- 1) On the menu, select [Data] > [Load waves] > [New HDF5 Browser]
- 2) On the panel, select the 'Open HDF5 file' button and select the created HDF file that you created using the AMS/ACSM Data Export Tool.

HDF5Browser0				-	
Create HDF5 File Open HDF5 File Cle Read Only	ose HDF5 File Help		Preview Opti Show Graph	onsShow Table	
File: C:Users:jeons:Desktop:SJ:ACT LAB:AMS database fro	om webpage:From Donna:A_NP_De	ec_V_HR	Show Dump	Show Data In D Show Attribute	ump s In Dump
Selected Group: / Selected Dataset: Spectra, class=H5T_FLOAT			Load Dataset Table: Displa	options	
Use Hyperselection Hyper Selection Wave:		- L	Graph: Displa	ay in New Graph 👻	
Groups Load Group Save Data Folder	Datasets Load Dataset		Save Waves		
root	Dataset	Rank	Dim Sizes	Туре	Valu
	Spectra	2	771;8;	32-bit	<tc ^<="" td=""></tc>
	SpectraComments	1	8;	string	
	SpectraMetaData	2	30;2;	string	"nui
	Spectrainame	1	ŏ; 771.	string	10
	mzlabol	1	771.	SZ-DIL	
~	<		771,	string	>
Group Attributes	Dataset Attributes				
	Attribute	Rank	Dim Sizes	Туре	Valu
	IGORWaveType	1	1;	32-bit	2 ^
~	<				>

3) On the 'Groups' section, click the 'Load Group' button.

\* Caution: The uploaded folder has to be placed in the 'root:' folder like below:

	Ν	lan	ne		
⊣	• •	/	<b>J</b> h	roc	ot
			>	Ala.	Packages
			>	A.	database
			>	A.	databaseHR2UMR
			>	A.	databasePanel
			>	Ala.	Globals
			>	Jh.	A_NP_Dec_V_HR_S_DAUREFpeak
¢ –					

- 4) Click the 'Close HDF5 File' button on the panel to close the HDF5 Browser panel (If the HDF file is UMR data users can stop here).
- 5) Within the Data Browser window, right-click on the created folder and select 'Copy Full Path'
- 6) In the main Igor menu, select [Database] > [Convert HR2UMR]
- 7) Enter the path of created folder like below:

🐹 Enter HR data folder path		?	×
Enter HR data folder path. (e.g.) root:A_NP_Dec_V_HR_S_myP	MF:		_
root:A_NP_Dec_V_HR_S_DAUREFpeak:			
Continue	lp	Cance	el:

8) Press the Continue button. The code will generate converted UMR spectra with HR family designation like below.



# Chapter 2. Unit mass resolution (UMR) mass spectra comparison

## 2.1 The selection of a mass spectrum of interest

#### 2.1.1 User supplied 1D/2D UMR MS

The user supplied 1D/2D UMR MS option is used to compare a user's mass spectrum with mass spectra in the database. To use the user supplied 1D/2D UMR MS option, 3 waves are needed: i) MS spectra wave, ii) wave of m/z value matching the MS spectra, and iii) Species wave. Detailed information about the waves is described below (Figure 2.1):

- MS wave: the 1D/2D wave of the mass spectrum from users. The wave values indicate the relative abundance of signal vs m/z in the mass spectrum. If the wave is in 2D, each column should correspond to a mass spectrum. If one created an MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool (1.3), this wave corresponds to the wave named 'Spectra'.
- *m/z* value wave: the 1D wave of *m/z* values matching the MS spectra wave. The *m/z* values should be integers. The row number of this wave should match that of the MS spectra wave. If one created a UMR MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool (1.3), this wave corresponds to the wave named 'mz'. If one converted HR to UMR MS through the internal function in the AMS database, this wave corresponds to the wave named 'myHR2UMRmz'
- Species wave: the 1D text wave describing each mass spectrum. The row number of this wave should match the column number of the MS spectra wave. This wave corresponds to the wave named 'SpectraName' if one created the MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool.

Table0:mz,Sp	ectra, SpectraN	ame					
R0			12				#
Row	mz		Spectra[][0]	Spectra[][1]	Spectra[][2]	SpectraName	
			0	1	2		
0		12	0.0126627	0.00723526	0.00990823	BBOA	^
1		13	0.00458538	0.0045581	0.00343991	LVOA	
2		15	0.0235422	0.0309041	0.0139332	COA	
3		16	0.00157571	0.00010379	0.00143828		
4		17	0.00984817	0.000648689	0.00898923		
5		18	0.0393927	0.00259476	0.0359569		
6		19	4.69687e-05	2.91742e-06	4.28359e-05		
7		20	7.88741e-05	4.8992e-06	7.19341e-05		
8		24	0.00145562	0.00150001	0.00146649		
9		25	0.00505095	0.00508161	0.00405383		
10		26	0.0242691	0.0249169	0.0195293		
11		27	0.0327079	0.041742	0.0405658		
12		28	0.175079	0.0115323	0.159809		
13		29	0.0622086	0.0564865	0.0305588		~
<							>

<Figure 2.1. An example of m/z value wave ('mz'), MS wave ('Spectra'), and Species wave ('SpectraName') wave in Igor>

To use the User supplied UMR MS option, users can follow the below:

- 1) Check 'User supplied 1D UMR MS' to activate popup menus, if users have more than two mass spectra, check 'User supplied 2D UMR MS'
- 2) Select a 'Folder' including the waves mentioned above. This folder has to be placed in the root folder.
- 3) Select a MS Spectra wave (e.g. Spectra) from the 'MS' popup menu.
- 4) Select an m/z value wave (e.g. mz) from the 'mz' popup menu. The list automatically shows only waves having the same number of rows as the MS wave.
- 5) Select a species wave (e.g. SpectraName) from the 'Species wave' popup menu if you checked 'User supplied 2D UMR MS'.
  - 5-2) If you checked 'User supplied 2D UMR MS', select a mass spectrum that one wants to compare with the database in the 'MS wave' popup menu.
- 6) Click the 'Compare' button to calculate the cosine similarity of all MS in the database.

#### 2.1.2 MS Existing in the data base

The existing MS option is used to compare a mass spectrum in the database with other reference mass spectra in the database. To use the Existing MS option, users can follow the steps below.

- 1) Check 'MS Existing in the data base' to activate the 'Sample Mass Spectrum' list
- 2) Click the mass spectrum to be compared in the list box.
- 3) As soon as the user clicks the mass spectrum in the list box, cosine similarity is automatically calculated.

# **2.2 A comparison result of the sample with reference mass spectrum and options**

#### 2.2.1 UMR Comparison Result Table

The result table consists of two columns: 'Reference MS' and 'Score'. The 'Reference MS' column indicates the reference mass spectrum name in the list. The 'Score' column shows the calculated cosine similarity between the mass spectrum of interest and the reference mass spectrum in the database. The result is sorted by the score from largest to smallest.

		302_Beijing urban area_2010_COA	1	ê	
Poi	nt	Reference MS	Cosine score		
0		302_Beijing urban area_2010_COA	0.9640		^
1		270_Xian and Beijing_HOA	0.9497		
2		297_Beijing urban area_2011_COA	0.9491		
3		247_MILAGRO Campaign_2006_HOA	0.9484		
4		268_Paris Summer_2009_HOA	0.9477		
5		303_Beijing urban area_2010_HOA	0.9403		
6		284_CalNex campaign_2010_HOA	0.9361		
7		313_Nonanal	0.9345		
8		261_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_HOA	0.9327		
9		305_Beijing urban area_2010_CCOA	0.9305		
10		1_Diesel Bus Exhaust	0.9290		
11		99_Paris_WInter_2010_HOA	0.9268		
12		118_ClusterMS_HOA	0.9242		
13		255_CARES campaign_2010_HOA	0.9233		
14		276_DIAPASON2014 campaign_HOA	0.9221		
15		111_EUCAARI_2008_HOA_median	0.9216		
16		77_HOA_avg	0.9195		~
<				>	

<Figure 2.2. Screenshot of result table on the 'UMR Data Comparison' tab>

#### 2.2.2 UMR Comparison Result Mass Spectrum Plot

The UMR data comparison tab provides 5 different types of plots: i) Sample MS, ii) Reference MS, iii) Sample and Reference MS, iv) Sample MS – Reference MS, and v) Sample MS vs Reference MS. Users can select the plot type through the pop menu. A detailed description of plot types is shown below:

- Sample MS: mass spectrum of the selected mass spectrum to be compared in the selection region
- Reference MS: mass spectrum of the reference mass spectrum selected in the result table.
- Sample MS and Reference MS: mirror mass spectra of Sample MS (red) and Reference MS (black)
- Sample MS Reference MS: subtracted mass spectrum between Sample MS and Reference MS
- Sample MS vs Reference MS: scatter plot between Sample MS (y-axis) and Reference MS (x-axis). It also provides regression information.

The 'Number of Tags' option above the plot window is used to label the mass value on the mass spectrum from largest to smallest for as many as entered. For example, if 5 is entered, the top 5 mass value is labeled in the plot. Users can open the plot in a new window by pressing the 'Pop' button.



<Figure 2.3. Screenshot of Plot window on the 'UMR Data Comparison' tab>

#### 2.2.3 Mass Spectra Rescaling Options

Users can rescale the mass spectrum and its calculation by changing the mass range of the mass spectrum or increasing/decreasing m/z or intensity exponents. It rescales the mass spectrum based on equation 1 below.

Weighted intensity =  $[m/z]^m$  [Peak intensity]<sup>n</sup> (Eqn. 1)

As soon as the user changes the value in a variable box, the panel automatically calculates the cosine similarity with the reweighted mass spectrum and shows new results in the results table.

Г	Mass Spe	ctra Rescal	ling Options (all) _		 _
	m/z Min	1	m/z Exponent	0 🜲	
	m/2 ividx	500 👻	int exponent		

<Figure 2.4. Screenshot of Scaling Options section on the 'UMR Data Comparison' tab>

#### **2.2.4 Comparison Constraints**

Users can use comparison constraints to filter the results in the database based on its metadata. It provides 6 categories to constrain the results: Database reference sample type, perturbation, deconvolution, instrument, MS resolution, and vaporizer type. The details of each category are described below.

- Sample type: Origin of the aerosol. It indicates if there were any instrumental or experiment set-up conditions that are not reflective of general atmospheric conditions. Sample type is classified into 'Ambient' (immediate ingestion of ambient air to the instrument) and 'Non-ambient' (including all chamber studies, any measurement whereby the spectrum is a specific source or a standard, or collected on filters as a preliminary step).
- Perturbation: It indicates if there was a perturbation of the sample due to some instrumental intermediary. If the sampling was carried out with an oxidation flow reactor, thermodenuder, a sample collected on filters, etc, it is classified as 'Perturbed', if not, 'Non-Perturbed'
- Deconvoluted: It denotes if there was an analysis step such as positive matrix factorization (PMF). If yes, 'Deconvoluted', if not, 'Non-deconvoluted'
- Instrument: It indicates the instrument used. It includes Air Quality ACSM, ToF-ACSM, Quad-AMS, C-ToF-AMS, HR-ToF V-mode, HR-TOF W-mode, L-ToF V-mode, L-ToF W-mode, and multiple instruments.
- Resolution: It shows the resolution of the sample spectrum. It includes unit mass resolution, high resolution, and combination (HR for low masses, UMR for high). Furthermore, if the spectrum is generated from HR to UMR, then the sample is assigned as HR that has been summed to UMR.
- Vaporizer type: It includes a capture vaporizer and a standard vaporizer.
- Aerosol CO and CO<sub>2</sub> contributions: This indicates whether the signals of CO<sup>+</sup> at m/z 28 and CO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> at m/z 44 in the mass spectrum were adjusted to be equal during the data processing. In the case of UMR data, the default signal from aerosol CO<sup>+</sup> at m/z 28 is set to be identical to the contribution from aerosol CO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> signal at m/z 44 (Allan et al., 2004). Especially in the case of high biomass burning sources, users may have adjusted the organic component at m/z 28 to be different from that at CO<sub>2</sub> at m/z 44. In the UMR case ('mz 28/mz 44 = 1 (for UMR)' on the UMR comparison tab of the panel), this option screens for cases when these two signals are equal, which is reflective of more ambient measurements and the default fragmentation table, and for cases when these signals are not equal ('Ratio  $\neq$  1 (for both)' on the UMR comparison tab), which is very uncommon. In the case of HR data, the default setting is to not fit the CO<sup>+</sup> ion, as it is generally unseparated from the dominant N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ion at m/z 28. The default setting in the HR fragmentation table is to set the signal of aerosol CO<sup>+</sup> to aerosol CO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>. In terms of HR families, this default setting means that the family CHO1 signal at m/z 28 is equal to the family CHOgt1 signal at m/z 44. In the HR case ('CO (CHO1)

/ CO2 (CHOgt1) = 1 (for HRtoUMR)' on the UMR comparison tab or 'CO/CO2 =1' on the HR comparison tab of the panel), this option screens for cases when these two signals are equal, which is reflective of more ambient measurements and the default HR fragmentation table, and for cases when these signals are not equal ('CO/CO2  $\neq$  1' on the HR comparison tab of the panel), which is very uncommon.

The user may select one or more desired constraint(s) and then press the 'Apply' button. At least one option must be selected in each category.



<Figure 2.5. Screenshot of filtering option section on the 'UMR Data Comparison' tab>

## 2.3 Citation information

Citation information indicates the metadata of each mass spectrum. Users can obtain detailed information about the mass spectrum such as comments on each constraint category above, a short description of the selected mass spectrum, electron ionization (EI) energy, vaporizer temperature, experimenter's name, provider's group, citation URL, citation authors, and citation figure number.

In order to see the citation information, users can press the 'Open metadata table' button and then a table pops up that includes the descriptions above. When a user clicks the 'Open' button, it directly opens the paper on a web browser if the metadata has the citation URL. The top entry corresponds to the target mass spectrum and the bottom entry corresponds to the selected reference mass spectrum on the result table. If the target mass spectrum is 'New MS' from the user, the top 'Get Citation' button and 'Open the paper' buttons will be deactivated.

Mass Spectra Info							
7_Beech_Flame							
Open metadata table	Open article URL						
28_Ponderosa Pine Duff							
Open metadata table	Open article URL						
Open UMR AMS database webpage							

<Figure 2.6. Screenshot of citation section on the panel>

# **Chapter 3. High resolution to unit mass resolution (HR) spectra comparison**

## 3.1 The selection of a mass spectrum of interest

#### 3.1.1 User supplied 1D/2D HR MS

The User supplied 1D/2D HR MS option for HR data is used to compare a user's mass spectrum with reference mass spectra in the database. To use the User supplied 1D/2D HR MS option for HR data, users need i) MS spectra wave, ii) wave of exact m/z value matching the MS spectra, iii) wave of m/z value label matching the m/z value wave, and iv) Species wave. Detailed information about the waves is described below (Figure 3.1):

- MS wave: the 1D/2D wave of the HR mass spectrum from users. The wave values indicate the relative abundance of signal vs *m*/*z* in the mass spectrum. If the wave is in 2D, each column should correspond to a mass spectrum. If one created MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool (1.3), this wave corresponds to the wave named 'Spectra'.
- m/z value wave: the 1D wave of m/z values matching the MS spectra wave. The m/z values should be an exact mass. The row number of this wave should match the MS wave. If one created an MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool (1.3), this wave corresponds to the wave named 'mz'.
- m/z value label wave: the 1D string wave of m/z values label (chemical formula of HR fragments) matching the m/z value wave. If one created MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool (1.3), this wave corresponds to the wave named 'mzlabel'.
- Species wave: the 1D string wave describing each mass spectrum. The row number of this wave should match with the column number of the MS wave. This wave corresponds to the wave named 'SpectraName' if one created the MS through AMS/ACSM Database Export Tool.

TableQ:mz,mzlabel,Spectra,SpectraName											23	
R	3	_									<u>Z</u> 🕸 i	2
Row	mz	mzlabel	Spectra[][0]	Spectra[][1]	Spectra[][2]	Spectra[][3]	Spectra[][4]	Spectra[][5]	Spectra[][6]	Spectra[][7]	SpectraName	
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
0	12	c C	0.000434148	0.000235043	0.0152212	0.0132596	0.0142344	0.00041096	0.00839986	0.0142231	BBOA	
1	13.0078	CH	0.00320853	0.000903705	0.00493116	0.00483059	0.00362393	0.00138969	0.00371362	0.00692391	HOA	
2	14.0156	CH2	0.00594328	0.0318419	5.42059e-09	0.016034	0.0175614	0.0148814	0.047795	0.00925004	F6	
3	15.0235	CH3	0.0256971	0.00833613	0.0211116	0.0225184	0.0110164	0.0106991	0.0338279	0.0252794	F5	
4	15.9949	0	0.000337033	0.000240519	0.00123261	0.00116126	0.00226491	0.000574431	0.000860504	0.00165571	F4	
5	16.0313	CH4	1.13919e-09	4.62853e-09	6.29949e-10	0.00360487	6.61393e-10	0.0814056	2.38171e-09	2.10033e-09	F3	
6	17.0027	НО	0.002126	0.00152459	0.00770649	0.00708935	0.0141698	0.00369391	0.00549871	0.0103491	F2	
7	18.0106	H2O	0.00849809	0.0061039	0.0308357	0.0283579	0.0566829	0.014772	0.0219912	0.0413916	F1	
8	21.0053	C2H2Oplus2	7.64824e-05	3.39631e-05	7.5584e-05	0.000118971	9.58982e-05	5.43831e-05	5.38168e-05	0.000140855		Ē.,
9	21.9949	CO2plus2	3.48583e-10	2.53057e-09	0.000675729	0.000496803	0.00099768	6.62498e-05	0.000825814	0.000741053	Î	

<Figure 3.1 Examples of MS waves ('Spectra'), m/z value wave ('mz'), m/z value label wave ('mzlabel'), Species wave ('SpectraName') for HR MS comparisons>

To use the User supplied 1D/2D HR MS option, users can follow the below:

- 1) Check 'User supplied 1D HR MS' to activate popup menus, if you have more than two mass spectra, check 'User supplied 2D HR MS'.
- 2) Select a 'Folder' including the waves mentioned above. This folder has to be placed in the root folder.
- 3) Select a MS wave (e.g. Spectra) from the 'Spectra' popup menu.
- 4) Select an m/z value wave (e.g. mz) from the 'mz' popup menu. The list automatically shows only the wave having the same number of rows as the MS wave.
- 5) Select an m/z value label wave (e.g. mzlabel from the 'mz label' popup menu. The list automatically shows only the wave having the same number of rows as the mz wave.
- 6) Select a spectra name wave (e.g. SpectraName) from the 'Spectra Name' popup menu if you have more than two mass spectra.
  - 6-2) Select a mass spectrum that one wants to compare with the database in the 'MS wave' popup menu.
- 7) Click the 'Compare' button to calculate the cosine similarity of all MS in the database.

#### **3.1.2 MS existing in the data base**

Please refer to 2.1.2.

# **3.2 A comparison result of the sample with reference mass spectrum and options**

#### 3.2.1 Result Table

The result table for HR consists of three columns: 'Reference MS', 'Score', and 'Score with HR family'. For the 'Reference MS' and the 'Score' column, please see 2.2.1. The 'Score with HR family' column represents the cosine similarity calculated only by the selected HR ion families.

	306	_Beijing urban area_20	10_COA	<b>1</b>	
F	Point	Reference MS	UMR Score	Score with HR fa	
	0	306_Beijing urban area_2(	0.96352	0.96356	$\sim$
	1	274_Xian and Beijing_HO	0.94985	0.94991	
	2	301_Beijing urban area_20	0.94823	0.94828	
	3	247_MILAGRO Campaign_	0.94805	0.94811	
	4	272_Paris Summer_2009_	0.9471	0.94716	
	5	307_Beijing urban area_20	0.93889	0.93889	
	6	288_CalNex campaign_20	0.93559	0.93561	
	7	317_Nonanal	0.93485	0.93491	
	8	265_SPC Research Station	0.93177	0.93183	
	9	309_Beijing urban area_20	0.92949	0.92924	
	10	259_CARES campaign_20	0.92219	0.92225	
	11	280_DIAPASON2014 camp	0.92215	0.9222	
	12	242_SOAR-1_Campaign_2	0.92008	0.92014	
	13	279_DIAPASON2014 camp	0.91928	0.91934	
	14	276_Xian and Beijing_CC(	0.91589	0.91595	
	15	283_POPE2014 campaign	0.91395	0.91401	
	16	300_Beijing urban area_20	0.91342	0.91342	
	17	268_Paris Summer_2009_	0.9107	0.91076	$\vee$
<				>	

<Figure 3.2. Example of a Result Table on 'HR Data Comparison' tab. 'UMR score' indicates cosine similarity calculated with UMR MS and 'Score with HR family' indicates cosine similarity calculated with summed and normalized MS of selected HR families >

#### 3.2.2 Mass spectrum plot

The plot shows the stacked mass spectrum of the selected HR ion families such as Cx, CH, CHO1, CHOgt1, etc. Detailed information on HR ion families is described in 3.2.3. The top plot represents the target mass spectrum to be compared and the bottom does the selected reference mass spectrum in the database.



<Figure 3.3. Mass spectrum plot with the selected HR families on the 'HR Data Comparison' tab>

#### 3.2.3 HR family selection

The HR ion families used here are the same names generated by PIKA which is the most commonly used AMS data analysis tool for HR. The panel also followed the same color legend from PIKA for consistency. The chemical formula for each HR ion family is described below. The 'Other' family indicates HR ions that are not a member of any other family.

Table 3.1.	The chemic	al formula	of HR	families
------------	------------	------------	-------	----------

HR family name	Chemical formula
Сх	C <sub>x</sub>
СН	$C_xH_y$
CHO1	$C_x H_y O_z$ (z=1)
CHOgt1	$C_x H_y O_z$ (z>1)
CHN	$C_x H_y N_w$
CHO1N	$C_xH_yO_zN_w$ (z=1)
CHOgt1N	$C_x H_y O_z N_w (z>1)$
CS	$C_x S_y$
НО	$H_xO_y$
Air*	$N_x, O_x, Ar$
Cl	$H_xCl_y$
NH	$N_xH_y$
SO	$S_xO_y$
NO	$N_xO_y$
Tungsten*	$W_x O_y (y \ge 0)$
Other	-
CSi	C <sub>x</sub> Si <sub>y</sub>

\*On HR data comparison tab, the abundances of air and tungsten is reset to zero.

#### **3.2.4 Mass Spectra Rescaling Options**

Please see 2.2.3.

#### **3.3.5** Comparison Constraints

For an HR spectra comparison, the panel provides the same categories as the UMR data comparison tab except for the 'Instrument' and 'MS resolution' options. In the case of HR spectra, several non-applicable options are removed. Please refer to 2.2.4 for a detailed description.

### **3.4 Citation information**

Please see 2.3.

# **Chapter 4. Database library**

On the UMR Database and HR Database tabs, the panel plots the reference mass spectrum in the database and provides its metadata for users to look through easily. The list box presents the name of the reference mass spectrum in the database. When the user clicks one of them, the corresponding mass spectrum or stacked mass spectrum (HR) and metadata are displayed. The example displays for a UMR and an HR reference spectrum are below.



<Figure 4.1 The UMR Database tab>

AMS_Mass_Spectral_Comparisons					
AMS/ACSM MS Comparison	TOOI 3.5A	Open /user	guide Current version check Version website	Washington University in St. Louis	P 💉
High Resolution Mass Spectrum	Open HR database webpage	HR family color legend plot			
284_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_HOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_LOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_SVOOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_SVOOA           285_SOAR-1_Campaign_2005_BBOA           289_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_BBOA           289_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_HOA           290_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_SVOOA           291_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_HOA           291_MILAGRO Campaign_2005_VOOA           292_DAURE campaign_2009_BBOA           293_DAURE campaign_2009_HOA           294_DAURE campaign_2009_HOA           295_DAURE campaign_2009_HOA           295_CARES campaign_2010_HOA           296_CARES campaign_2010_HOA           296_CRES campaign_2010_HOA <td>0.12 - 0.11 - 0.09 - 0.08 - 0.06 - 0.07 - 0.06 - 0.04 - 0.03 - 0.02 - 0.01 - 20 40</td> <td></td> <td><mark>Трефиорилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентриле</mark> 120 140 160</td> <td></td> <td>HR family selection (All (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CS VH0 (Air VCL (MH VS0 (NO (Other VCS)</td>	0.12 - 0.11 - 0.09 - 0.08 - 0.06 - 0.07 - 0.06 - 0.04 - 0.03 - 0.02 - 0.01 - 20 40		<mark>Трефиорилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентрилентриле</mark> 120 140 160		HR family selection (All (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CH01 VCH0gt1 (CS VH0 (Air VCL (MH VS0 (NO (Other VCS)
302_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_OOAc 303_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_HOA	Type:	Vaporizer	V Vaporize		
304_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_BBOA	Comment	vaporizer:	s vaponze		
305_SPC Research Station Po Valley_2008_NOA 306_Paris Summer_2009_COA 307_Paris Summer_2009_SVOOA	Comment:	El energy:	70 Commer	it:	
308_Paris Summer_2009_MOA	Aerosol Perturbation: NP	Citation:	Mohr, C., et al., ACP 2012, 12, 16	49-1665	Open URL
309_Paris Summer_2009_LVOOA 310_Paris Summer_2009_HOA	Type:	Group:	Claudia Mohr Prvt PSI		
311_Xian and Beijing_BBOA 312_Xian and Beijing_HOA	Comment:	Experim	nenter Name		
313_Xian and Beijing_COA 314_Xian and Beijing_CCOA 315_Xian and Beijing_COA	Analysis: DEC	Description	BBOAFactor analysis results of a urban background site Barcelon	mbient measurements at an a, Spain, March 2009	Open Table

<Figure 4.2 The HR Database tab>

# **Chapter 5. Export database**

To export a database from Igor Pro to an h5 file, users have to save all the waves and strings in the 'database' and 'databaseHR2UMR' folders. The following steps describe how to export a database to an h5 file:

- 1) On the menu, select [Data] > [Load Waves] > [New HDF5 Browser].
- 2) On the HDF5 browser panel, click the 'Create HDF5 File' button.
- 3) Enter the name of the HDF5 file.h5 to be created. Users must include '.h5' at the end of the file name.
- 4) On the panel, click the 'Save Data Folder' button.
- 5) On the 'Save Data Folder as HDF5 Group' panel, select both the 'database' and 'databaseHR2UMR' folders.

Save Data Folder as HDF5 Group								
Select Data Folder to Save as Group								
	root Packages database databaseHR2UMR databasePanel Globals A_NP_Dec_V_HR_S_DAUREFpeak							
<	× ×							
	Save Done Done V Save Groups Recursive V Include Igor Attributes							

<Figure 5.1 The Igor window prompting the user to select data folders >

6) Check the 'Save Groups Recursive' and 'Include Igor Attributes' and click the 'Save' button.

7) Confirm that both folders are loaded in the 'Groups' window (on the left below) and check that everything is there on the right by clicking 'database' and then 'databaseHR2UMR''.

Group Save Data Folder		Datasets Load Dataset		Save Waves		
root		Dataset	Rank	Dim Sizes	Туре	Valu
database		AerosolOrigin	1	436;	string	<tc ^<="" td=""></tc>
databaseHR2UMR		AerosolPerturbation	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>
		Analysis	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>
		CitationFigStr	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>
		CitationStr	1	436;	string	<to< td=""></to<>
		CitationUrl	1	436;	string	<to td="" ⊻<=""></to>
<u>&gt;</u>	4	<				>

<Figure 5.2 A section of the Igor window in the HDF5 Browser panel>

- 8) Click the 'done' button on the 'Save Data Folder as HDF5 Group'.
- 9) Click the 'Close HDF5 File' button on the panel to complete the creation of the database library file.