



Supplement of

Identification of ice-over-water multilayer clouds using multispectral satellite data in an artificial neural network

Sunny Sun-Mack et al.

Correspondence to: Sunny Sun-Mack (szedung.sun-mack-1@nasa.gov)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.



Fig. S1. Cumulative probability distributions of Aqua MODIS 2009 false SL and true ML clouds
from MCANN as functions of upper-layer cloud optical depth for ice (left) and water (right)
phase clouds over snow free (top) and snow/ice covered (bottom) surfaces. The major tick marks
for the x-axes on the top panels are 0, 0.0025, 0.05. 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.75, 0.9, 1.1,
1.3, 1.5, 1.8, 2.1, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, and 150.



Fig. S2. Global average Aqua MODIS 1.38-µm reflectance as a function of viewing zenith angle

- 19 for JAJO 2019.



Fig. S3. Global average Aqua MODIS 3.75-μm radiance as a function of viewing zenith angle for
 JAJO 2019.





29

30 Fig. S4. Global average Aqua MODIS 6.70-µm radiance as a function of viewing zenith angle for

- 31 32 JAJO 2019.



Fig. S5. Global average Aqua MODIS 8.55-µm radiance as a function of viewing zenith angle for

- JAJO 2019.
- 38



41 Fig. S6. Global average Aqua MODIS 11.9-µm radiance as a function of viewing zenith angle for

- 42 JAJO 2019.





47 Fig. S7. Global average Aqua MODIS 13.3-μm radiance as a function of viewing zenith angle for
48 JAJO 2019 over snow/ice free surfaces.





54 Fig. S8. Global average Aqua MODIS brightness temperature difference between 3.75-µm and

55 10.8-μm channels as a function of viewing zenith angle for JAJO 2019.



59

Fig. S9. Global average Aqua MODIS brightness temperature difference between 6.70-µm and

- 10.8-µm channels as a function of viewing zenith angle for JAJO 2019.





66

67 Fig. S10. Global average Aqua MODIS brightness temperature difference between 8.55-μm and

68 10.8-μm channels as a function of viewing zenith angle for JAJO 2019.



72 Fig. S11. Global average Aqua MODIS brightness temperature difference between 10.8-µm and

- 73 11.9-μm channels as a function of viewing zenith angle for JAJO 2019.





77 Fig. S12. Global average Aqua MODIS brightness temperature difference between (a) 10.8-μm

- 78 and 13.3-μm and (b) 11.9-μm and 13.3-μm channels as a function of viewing zenith angle for
- 79 JAJO 2019.
- 80



- 82

Fig. S13. Global average CM4 Aqua MODIS cloud optical depth as a function of viewing zenith angle for JAJO 2019.



Fig. S14. Global average CM4 Aqua MODIS cloud particle effective radius as a function of viewing zenith angle for JAJO 2019.



- 100 Figure S15. Cloud parameters derived from Aqua MODIS data between 1°N (top) and 13°N (bottom)
- 101 around 135°W, at ~22:35 UTC, 16 April 2019. (a) CM4 pixel scene classification, (b) Pseudocolor RGB
- 102 image, red: 0.64 μ m reflectance, green: BT₃₇, green; blue: reverse BT₁₁. (c) MCANN classification, and (d)
- 103 CM4 cloud effective height.
- 104



Figure S16. Cloud parameters derived from Aqua MODIS data between 29°N (top) and 17°N (bottom)

- around 140°W, at ~22:45 UTC, 16 January 2019. (a) CM4 pixel scene classification, (b) Pseudocolor RGB
- image, red: 0.64 µm reflectance, green: BT₃₇, green; blue: reverse BT₁₁. (c) MCANN classification, and (d)
- CM4 cloud effective height.



- 117
- 118
- 119
- 120 Fig. S17. Multilayer fraction of total cloud cover for JAJO 2013 using Aqua MODIS MCANN
- 121 retrievals (top) at near-nadir ($-18^{\circ} < VZA < 3^{\circ}$), and (bottom) for all VZAs. Daytime on left,
- 122 nighttime on right.