



# High-resolution chemical ionization mass spectrometry (ToF-CIMS): application to study SOA composition and processing

D. Aljawhary, A. K. Y. Lee, and J. P. D. Abbatt

Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON CM5S 3H6, Canada

Correspondence to: J. P. D. Abbatt (jabbatt@chem.utoronto.ca)

Received: 24 May 2013 – Published in Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.: 4 July 2013

Revised: 14 October 2013 – Accepted: 21 October 2013 – Published: 26 November 2013

**Abstract.** This paper demonstrates the capabilities of chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) to study secondary organic aerosol (SOA) composition with a high-resolution (HR) time-of-flight mass analyzer (aerosol-ToF-CIMS). In particular, by studying aqueous oxidation of water-soluble organic compounds (WSOC) extracted from  $\alpha$ -pinene ozonolysis SOA, we assess the capabilities of three common CIMS reagent ions: (a) protonated water clusters  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ , (b) acetate  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and (c) iodide water clusters  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  to monitor SOA composition. Furthermore, we report the relative sensitivity of these reagent ions to a wide range of common organic aerosol constituents. We find that  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  is more selective to the detection of less oxidized species, so that the range of O/C and  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  (carbon oxidation state) in the SOA spectra is considerably lower than those measured using  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ . Specifically,  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  ionizes organic compounds with  $\text{OS}_\text{C} \leq 1.3$ , whereas  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  both ionize highly oxygenated organics with  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  up to 4 with  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  being more selective towards multi-functional organic compounds. In the bulk O/C and H/C space (in a Van Krevelen plot), there is a remarkable agreement in both absolute magnitude and oxidation trajectory between ToF-CIMS data and those from a high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-AMS). Despite not using a sensitivity-weighted response for the ToF-CIMS data, the CIMS approach appears to capture much of the chemical change occurring. As demonstrated by the calibration experiments with standards, this is likely because there is not a large variability in sensitivities from one highly oxygenated species to another, particularly for the  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  reagent ions. Finally, the data illustrate the capability of aerosol-ToF-CIMS to monitor specific chemical change, including the fragmentation

and functionalization reactions that occur during organic oxidation, and the oxidative conversion of dimeric SOA species into monomers. Overall, aerosol-ToF-CIMS is a valuable, selective complement to some common SOA characterization methods, such as AMS and spectroscopic techniques. Both laboratory and ambient SOA samples can be analyzed using the techniques illustrated in the paper.

## 1 Introduction

Organic compounds comprise an important subset of atmospheric constituents and can exist in all atmospheric phases (i.e. gas, particle and aqueous). It is known that organics play important roles in determining the abundance of atmospheric oxidants and influencing the properties of suspended particles and aqueous droplets, affecting climate and human health (Hallquist et al., 2009; Ervens et al., 2011). Global-scale measurements of aerosol particles have assigned 20–90 % of sub-micron aerosol mass to be organic (Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009), with much of this material secondary in nature (i.e. Secondary Organic Aerosol or SOA) having been formed in the atmosphere through the condensation of oxidation products of volatile precursors or from in-cloud oxidation processes (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Hallquist et al., 2009; De Gouw and Jimenez, 2009; Ervens et al., 2011). There are thought to be hundreds to thousands of organic compounds within SOA, which may undergo chemical processing in the atmosphere after condensation (Hamilton et al., 2004).

While gas phase oxidation reactions have been studied extensively, there is a growing demand on advanced analytical techniques which allow for the detection and elucidation

of condensed phase organic species and to assist in understanding their transformations, sources and sinks (Duarte and Duarte, 2011; Laskin et al., 2012; Pratt and Prather, 2012a, b). Such techniques can vary in sensitivity, specificity, and time response. The ability of such techniques to be deployed in the field is highly desirable because a comprehensive understanding of organic processing requires both lab and complimentary field measurements.

Mass spectrometry is increasingly being applied for measurement of condensed phase atmospheric organics because it has the potential to provide quantitative chemical formula information with very high sensitivity. Approaches vary via their means of vaporizing the constituents, in their ionization methods and in the mass analyzers employed. Widely used instruments are the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS), which is based on electron ionization (EI) with flash vaporization at 600°C, and single-particle approaches that use lasers for both particle vaporization and/or ionization (Murphy and Thomson, 1995; Gard et al., 1997; Canagaratna et al., 2007; Onasch et al., 2012). AMS instruments are field deployable and have emerged as a very powerful tool to study PM (Canagaratna et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009) and more recently fog and cloud droplets (Ge et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012). The attraction of the AMS is the ability to derive quantitative information of inorganic and organic constituents in an on-line manner. While measurements from the AMS have substantially improved the understanding of PM evolution in the atmosphere, much molecular information is lost due to significant fragmentation during the hard ionization by EI.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) has been used in several lab and field studies to elucidate the organic aerosol and fog water composition (Altieri et al., 2008; Perri et al., 2009; Tan et al., 2009, 2010; Lim et al., 2010; Mazzoleni et al., 2010; Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010; Kundu et al., 2012). The advantage of ESI-MS is the soft ionization of ESI, in which the analyte ion stays intact; as well, the sample is not heated before ionization. Also, ESI-MS instruments are sometimes equipped with high-resolution Fourier transform ion cyclotron mass spectrometer (FTICR-MS) and other high-resolution instruments, by which molecular level information for individual species can be drawn from very complex samples (Laskin et al., 2012, 2013). The application of ESI-MS in aerosol research has substantially improved the understanding of aerosol evolution and processing in the atmosphere (Perri et al., 2009; Tan et al., 2009, 2010; Laskin et al., 2012, 2013). However, in this technique the sample must be mixed with a solvent that is required to induce ionization, which might lead to unwanted bulk reactions between the analyte and the solvent (Bateman et al., 2008). Most importantly, ESI-(FTICR)-MS instruments are not yet field deployable for on-line measurements.

While the technique of chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) has been used in atmospheric chemistry for decades for gas phase measurements, it has only now being

applied to the study of organic processing that takes place in atmospheric cloud-, fog-, and rain-water, and particles (Thornton et al., 2003; Hearn and Smith, 2004; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005; Sareen et al., 2010; Ervens et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2012). An advantage of the CIMS over other mass spectrometry techniques is its ability to use a variety of reagent ions that induce the chemical ionization in order to selectively detect certain classes of organic compounds of interest. For instance, protonated water clusters  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  have been used for the detection of oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur containing organic compounds for decades (Sunner et al., 1988; Lindinger et al., 1998). Iodide water cluster  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  reagent ions have been employed in several lab and field studies that targeted oxygenated organic compounds and nitrogenous inorganic species such as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClNO}_2$  (Caldwell et al., 1989; Bertram et al., 2009; Kercher et al., 2009; Yatavelli and Thornton, 2010; Le Breton et al., 2012). In addition, the use of acetate reagent ions has recently been emphasized for the detection of organic, halo-organic (e.g. trichloroacetic acid), inorganic acids (e.g.  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) as well as nitrophenols (Veres et al., 2008). Gas phase  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and its neutral ambient clusters have also been detected with CIMS using nitrate reagent ions (Mauldin et al., 1999; Kurtén et al., 2011; Jokinen et al., 2012). Aside from the reagent ions versatility, chemical ionization is a relatively soft ionization technique and thus the analyte ion is often not fragmented. This is important if information at the molecular level is desired, such as in mechanistic and kinetic studies. However, as the sample composition becomes more complex, the low resolution quadrupole mass analyzer used previously with CIMS instruments becomes inadequate to resolve the whole organic composition (Hearn and Smith, 2006), and higher resolution instruments are preferred.

Aerosol studies utilizing the CIMS rely on thermal desorption to volatilize the organic constituents of the particles, since these organics are of low volatility. For instance, a multi-orifice volatilization impactor (MOVI) has recently been developed as an interface to CIMS instruments, which allows for on-line separation of gas and particle phase organics (Yatavelli and Thornton, 2010; Yatavelli et al., 2012). While particles are collected on the MOVI, gaseous species are detected by the CIMS. After particle collection ends, the impactor is heated gradually and organics volatilize off depending on their vapour pressures. Thus, it can also provide vapour pressure information of the species being analyzed. Another interface that has been previously employed in aerosol studies is the aerosol-CIMS (or thermal desorption CIMS, TD-CIMS) (Hearn and Smith, 2004, 2006; Sareen et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2012). The aerosol-CIMS can detect both gas and particle phase constituents simultaneously on-line by introducing a heated inlet to volatilize particle phase components.

This paper illustrates the potential of high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol-CIMS (ToF-CIMS) to study the composition of a complex organic matrix in the atmosphere, namely


the water-soluble organic compounds (WSOC) of  $\alpha$ -pinene ozonolysis SOA. We treat this complex organic mixture and its subsequent aqueous photo-oxidation components as models to highlight the capabilities of high-resolution aerosol-ToF-CIMS to study organic aerosol composition and processing. While this study focuses on the aqueous phase chemistry of organics, the technique and the approach discussed here can be pursued in other reaction systems and phases (i.e. gas and heterogeneous phases). We illustrate how a comprehensive analysis can be achieved by choosing the ToF-CIMS reagent ions to selectively ionize certain classes of organic compounds within the WSOC SOA. In particular, three reagent ions: (1) protonated water clusters  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ , (2) acetate anions,  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and (3) iodide water cluster  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  ions were used to explore their selectivity for the same change in chemical composition occurring during WSOC photo-oxidation. The results are presented as oxygen-to-carbon atomic ratios (O/C), hydrogen-to-carbon atomic ratios (H/C), carbon oxidation state ( $\text{OS}_\text{C}$ ) and numbers of carbon atoms (#C) distributions over the course of aqueous phase oxidation for the three reagent ions. For reference, we compare the data to those simultaneously obtained with high-resolution AMS. Furthermore, we illustrate that different chemical classes, corresponding to monomers and dimers of the  $\alpha$ -pinene oxidation products, were observed for the WSOC in all the three reagent ions ToF-CIMS spectra. The differing rates of oxidation of the monomer and dimer species illustrate how aerosol-ToF-CIMS can be utilized to study chemical change within a chemically complex matrix. The detailed mechanistic interpretation of the oxidative evolution of the hundreds of peaks within the aerosol-ToF-CIMS spectra, along with selected kinetics studies of major constituents, will be presented in a subsequent publication.

## 2 Experimental

The experiments were carried out in the following sequence: (1) SOA generation and collection, (2) aqueous phase photo-oxidation of WSOC and (3) on-line detection of photo-oxidation products using high-resolution ToF-CIMS and AMS (Aerodyne Inc.).

### 2.1 SOA generation and collection


The reaction of ozone with  $\alpha$ -pinene was used to generate SOA in a continuous manner in the University of Toronto Mobile Oxidation, Concentration and Aging (MOCA) chamber (i.e. FEP Teflon bag,  $1\text{ m}^3$  volume). An OH radical scavenger was not added and as a result  $\alpha$ -pinene was oxidized by both ozone and OH, and no seed aerosol was employed. The experimental set-up is illustrated in Fig. 1. The chamber was run in the dark at room temperature and pressure. Dry ( $\text{RH} \sim < 5\%$ ), purified, hydrocarbon free air, from a pure air generator (Model 737, AADCO), was the carrier



**Fig. 1.** Experimental set-up used to run a  $1\text{ m}^3$  MOCA chamber in continuous mode to generate SOA by the gas phase ozonolysis of  $\alpha$ -pinene. Air flowing into and out of the chamber was controlled by mass flow controllers. Instruments connected to the outlet of the chamber were used to monitor gas phase and particle concentrations.

gas and flowed continuously into the chamber before the reagents were introduced. All flows were controlled using mass flow controllers (MKS). Ozone was generated by passing 0.1 LPM (Liter per minute) of purified air over a mercury lamp.  $\alpha$ -Pinene (Aldrich,  $> 99\%$ ) was injected continuously in 1 LPM of air using a  $25\ \mu\text{L}$  syringe (Hamilton) mounted on a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, Pump11 Elite) at a rate of  $0.1\ \mu\text{L}\ \text{min}^{-1}$ . In order to reduce the concentration of  $\alpha$ -pinene in the chamber, 0.1 LPM of the air carrying  $\alpha$ -pinene was introduced in the chamber while the rest flowed to the exhaust. A needle valve was placed on the exhaust line to ensure sufficient pressure was present to allow 0.1 LPM  $\alpha$ -pinene flow into the chamber (see Fig. 1). Make-up air of 10.5 LPM was added in order to dilute the reagents and to give a residence time of  $\sim 1.6\text{ h}$  in the chamber. A pump was connected to the chamber outlet to pull 8.9 LPM of air through an  $\text{O}_3$  denuder to remove part of the ozone.

An ozone analyzer (model 49i, Thermo scientific) was used to monitor the  $\text{O}_3$  concentration in the chamber,  $\alpha$ -pinene concentration was monitored with a Proton Transfer Reaction-Mass Spectrometer (PTR-MS, Ionicon) by following  $m/z$  137 and 81, and the SOA size distribution was measured using a TSI Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS, 3081 Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA), 3025A Condensation Particle Counter (CPC)). Typical time profiles for the reagents and SOA mass loading (assuming a density of  $1.2\ \text{g}\ \text{mL}^{-1}$ ) are shown in Fig. 2. When the reagents and SOA loading reach a stable level (Fig. 2), a Teflon filter (47 mm diameter and  $2\ \mu\text{m}$  pore size, Pall life sciences) was placed downstream of the ozone denuder (Fig. 1). SOA was collected on the filter for 6–8 h and the aerosol mass collected ( $0.5\text{--}0.7\ \text{mg}$  per filter) was determined by an electronic balance before and after SOA collection. Immediately after SOA was collected, the filter was immersed in  $\sim 50\ \text{mL}$  of purified water ( $18\ \text{m}\Omega\ \text{cm}$ , Veolia) in a plastic bottle (Nalgene), which was pre-rinsed with purified water.



**Fig. 2.** Time profiles of  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $\alpha$ -pinene and SOA mass loading evolution in the MOCA chamber, which was run in continuous mode. Dashed red line indicates when SOA collection began.

The immersed filter was shaken for  $\sim 15$  min and then left in the freezer at  $-30^\circ\text{C}$ .

## 2.2 Aqueous phase photo-oxidation of WSOC

The aqueous phase photo-oxidation reaction was carried out in a photo-reactor (Rayonet Reactor, RPR-200) equipped with UV-B lamps (RPR-3000, peak emission at 310 nm) mounted in a circular manner such that they are equidistant from the centre. A stirring plate and a fan were added to the photo-reactor to ensure adequate solution mixing and cooling, respectively. The aqueous solutions were placed in a glass bottle (Wheaton) in the centre of the photo-reactor, and thus light of wavelengths greater than 300 nm was transmitted to the sample. The solution temperature when the lamps were on was  $28^\circ\text{C}$ . Hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich,  $\geq 30\%$  in water, TraceSELECT) was added to the sample such that a 1 mM concentration was present. The aqueous phase OH photo-oxidation was initiated by the photolysis of hydrogen peroxide to form OH. From the decay of species with known rate constants with OH (i.e. *cis*-pinonic acid), we estimate that the steady state OH concentration is on the order of  $(1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-13}$  M.

Three frozen WSOC solutions were allowed to thaw at room temperature and combined. Purified water (18 m $\Omega$  cm, Veolia) was added to make a 200 mL solution of  $\sim 10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  SOA mass in water. The 200 mL solution was divided into 4  $\times$  50 mL aliquots. To carry out the OH oxidation a 1  $\times$  50 mL aliquot was used and 2  $\times$  50 mL solutions were used for photolysis (i.e. no  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ) and dark (i.e.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  but no light) control experiments, and 1  $\times$  50 mL was a backup. A volume of 5.13  $\mu\text{L}$  of hydrogen peroxide was injected in the SOA solution to give a concentration of 1 mM. The oxidation was initiated by the irradiation of UV-B lamps. The photo-oxidation and control experiments were allowed

to run for 4 h, where the photo-oxidized sample was exposed to a total of  $1.6 \times 10^{-9}$  M s $^{-1}$  of OH.

## 2.3 On-line detection of WSOC and the photo-oxidation products


The WSOC solution was continuously atomized using a TSI constant output atomizer (model 3076). A fraction of the droplets was diluted by a factor of 4 with nitrogen gas (BOC, grade 4.8) or air (Linde, grade 0.1) before entering the high-resolution ToF-CIMS (Aerodyne Inc.) (Fig. 3) for on-line detection.

In order to volatilize the organics from the droplets, the diluted flow was heated up to  $150^\circ\text{C}$  by passing it through a 70 cm long Siltek-coated stainless steel tubing (Restek). The tubing was heated by wrapping it with a heating tape (Omega, STH051) and the temperature was controlled using a modified temperature controller (Omega, CN1A-TC). The residence time in the heated line was about 1.4 s. Temperature ramping experiments were carried out where the temperature of the line was ramped from  $100^\circ\text{C}$  to  $250^\circ\text{C}$  in steps of  $50^\circ\text{C}$ . The line temperature (of  $150^\circ\text{C}$ ) was selected such that the signal for the majority of the ions of interest was maximized. These observations were consistent with Yatavelli et al. (2012), where the thermogram of  $\alpha$ -pinene ozonolysis particle phase showed that the product of  $m/z$  155–357 peaked in signal at temperatures below  $150^\circ\text{C}$ . Approximately 0.8 LPM of the unheated droplet flow was diluted by air (Linde, grade 0.1) (see Fig. 3) and passed through a diffusion dryer. The flow was then introduced to the HR-AMS (Aerodyne Inc.) and the SMPS (TSI, 3081 DMA, 3776 CPC). A pump was also connected to the manifold downstream of the dryer in order to reduce the residence time of the droplets in the tubing downstream from the atomizer.


### 2.3.1 High-resolution ToF-CIMS

A detailed description of the HR-ToF-CIMS can be found in Bertram et al. (2011) and Yatavelli et al. (2012). The sample flow rate entering the low pressure ion-molecule reaction (IMR) chamber of the ToF-CIMS was set to 2.0 LPM by a critical orifice.

The reagent ions used in this work were protonated water cluster  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ , acetate anions  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and iodide water clusters  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ . A fritted glass bubbler was used to bubble water (18 m $\Omega$  cm) with 2.2 LPM of nitrogen to generate water vapor for creating  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ . Acetate reagent ions were generated by a flow of 10 sccm from the acetic anhydride (Sigma, 539996) headspace contained in a stainless steel bottle (Swagelok) in room temperature, which was subsequently diluted by 2.2 LPM of nitrogen. A home-built permeation tube left at room temperature containing methyl iodide (Sigma, I8507) was the source required to create the iodide water cluster reagent ions. One reagent ion was used at a time. Each reagent ion precursor passed through a  $^{210}\text{Po}$




**Fig. 3.** The set-up used to run the aqueous phase photo-oxidation of WSOC. The solution was constantly stirred and atomized in the glass bottle while UV-B light was continuously irradiated for 4 h. The flow carrying the mist from the atomizer was split such that part was introduced to the HR ToF-CIMS and the rest was admitted to the HR-AMS and SMPS. The red line indicates the ToF-CIMS heated inlet (150 °C). MFC refers to mass flow controller.



**Fig. 4.** The set-up used to operate the ToF-CIMS. Sample flow was introduced to the IMR through the heated inlet.  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  and acetate reagent ions were generated by passing a flow of nitrogen gas carrying (a) water (b) methyl iodide and (c) acetic anhydride through a  $^{210}\text{Po}$  radioactive cell, respectively. One reagent ion was used at a time. Bolded circles indicate points of attachment of the reagent ion flows on the right to the manifold on the left. Sccm refers to standard cubic centimeters per minute.


radioactive cell (NRD, Model 2031), at a flow of 2 LPM set by a critical orifice, in order to create the ionization reagent ions (Fig. 4). The analyte ionization took place by the following chemical ionization reactions:



for acetate,



and for iodide water clusters,



The IMR pressure and temperature were adjusted to  $96 \pm 1$  mbar and  $57^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The pressure of the collisional dissociation chamber (CDC), the chamber following

the IMR containing the short segmented quadrupoles (SSQ), was controlled to  $2.0 \pm 0.2$  mbar. A nitrogen flow was used to dilute the sample flow in the  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  reagent ion experiments (Fig. 3), while a flow of air was used for the dilution in the  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  reagent ion experiments. This was essential as the acetate reagent ion concentration seemed to be sensitive to the amount of oxygen present.

The fields' strength within the ToF-CIMS controls the amount of gas phase ion clustering and fragmentation. The ToF-CIMS was operated in weak-field mode during the experiments where  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  were used as the reagent ions. This is because fragmentation was observed for the sample ions in the  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  experiments when moderate- to strong-fields were applied. Also, in the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  case, the analyte ion is detected clustering with  $\text{I}^-$  as illustrated in Reaction (R3) and the weak field ensures that the cluster stays intact to maximize the overall signal. In contrast, acetate reagent ion experiments were run in strong-field mode, because a mixture of deprotonated analyte (Reaction R2) and analyte clustering with acetate ions were observed in weak-field mode. To minimize complexity of ion assignment, a strong-field ensured that the majority of the peaks in the mass spectra followed (Reaction R2). A list of the voltages in the mass spectrometer used to run the experiments is given in Table S1. All experiments were run in V-mode with the time-of-flight mass spectrometer, and data were acquired at 1 s time resolution and averaged to 5 min using the data analysis software, Tofware 2.2.2 (Aerodyne Inc.). Peak fitting and ion assignment were performed for all peaks in the spectra for the three reagent ions up to molecular weight of 300 u. The mass accuracy for all V-mode experiments was  $\pm 5 \mu\text{Th Th}^{-1}$  (ppm). The ToF resolving power was 4100–4300. More details regarding mass accuracy and resolving power of the mass spectrometer can be found elsewhere (Bertram et al., 2011; Yatavelli et al., 2012; Jokinen et al., 2012). Data for the three reagent ions were normalized to reagent ion signal and background subtracted.

### 2.3.2 HR-AMS

The aqueous particles passed through a diffusion dryer and were subsequently analyzed by the HR-AMS for determination of non-refractory components. The working principle of the AMS has been reviewed by Canagaratna et al. (2007). The HR-AMS switched between V-mode (1 min) and W-mode (4 min) during the experiments. The data analysis was performed using Squirrel (version 1.51H) and Pika (version 1.10H). The standard fragmentation table in Pika with the corrected air fragment column for our carrier gas were used. The elemental analysis of W-mode data was performed with the default correction factors for O/C (0.75) and H/C (0.91) in Pika (Aiken et al., 2008).

## 2.4 Sensitivity evaluation


To evaluate relative sensitivities of different species, the following organic compounds were used: glyoxal (Sigma, 40 wt. % in H<sub>2</sub>O), methylglyoxal (Sigma, 40 % in H<sub>2</sub>O), formic acid (Sigma, 50 wt. % in H<sub>2</sub>O), acetic acid (Fisher, 99.9%), glyoxylic acid (Sigma, 50 wt. % in H<sub>2</sub>O), pyruvic acid (Sigma, 98%), pinonic acid (Sigma, 98%), oxalic acid (Fisher, 99.9%), malonic acid (Sigma, 99%), succinic acid (Fisher, 99.7%), levoglucosan (Sigma, 99%), citric acid (Sigma, ≥ 99.5%) and L-tartaric acid (Fisher, >99.5%). Three standard solutions of a combination of the thirteen organic compounds were prepared in purified water (18 mΩ cm). The concentrations of each of the organic compounds were 5, 15 and 25 μM. The standard solutions were sampled as illustrated in Fig. 3, with the ToF-CIMS operating with the three reagent ions separately. The sensitivity of each compound was obtained from the slope of the three-point calibration curve.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 ToF-CIMS sensitivity and selectivity evaluation

The sensitivity of 13 organic compounds with known concentrations in the aqueous phase was assessed using the ToF-CIMS with the three reagent ions. This test was performed in order to evaluate the selectivity of each reagent ion towards known organic compounds. The organic compounds were chosen such that a variety of functional groups are presented.

Fig. 5a shows the sensitivities of the 13 compounds using (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> reagent ions. The sensitivities obtained for all compounds are relatively low with the exception of cis-pinonic acid and succinic acid. The proton transfer reaction (Reaction R1) is governed by the gas phase affinity of the organic compounds to protonate. The reaction proceeds if the gas phase basicity of the analyte is higher than that of the water clusters, (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>. The reagent cluster ions observed in the spectra were with *n* = 3, 2, 4 listed by descending intensity, although this may not reflect the nature of the cluster distribution in the IMR and it is unknown which combination of the water cluster ions ionizes the analyte. The gas phase basicity of the clusters are 730, 694 and 769 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (NIST, 2013). Gas phase basicity data are not available for the majority of the organic compounds, except for formic acid (710 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and acetic acid (753 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) (Hunter and Lias, 1998). The gas phase basicity of acetic acid is higher than *n* = 2 and 3 water clusters. However, formic acid gas phase basicity is only higher than that of the *n* = 2 water cluster. Formic acid was not observed in the (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> reagent ion spectra at all concentrations, unlike acetic acid. This indicates that the proton transfer reaction from (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> to formic acid did not proceed. In ad-



**Fig. 5.** The sensitivity of 13 organic compounds in units of CPS (counts per second)/μM in solution. The sensitivities were obtained by operating the ToF-CIMS with the three reagent ions (a) (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup>, giving rise to protonated molecular ions; (b) CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup>, giving rise to deprotonated species aside from levoglucosan which was detected as a cluster with acetate; and (c) I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>, giving rise to clusters with iodide. The error bars reflect the standard error in the slopes of the 3-point calibration curves. Also, the total ion count (TIC) is shown for each reagent ion.

dition, according to these observations, (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> clusters with *n* ≥ 3 appear to be playing a role in the proton transfer reaction. This also suggests the possibility that the actual distribution of (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> clusters is with *n* ≥ 3 in the IMR. The observation of the *n* = 2 cluster in the mass spectra was probably due to fragmentation of higher order clusters yielding a *n* = 2 cluster. However, this interpretation is based on the data from only two organic compounds and more

information regarding other compounds is required before a conclusive understanding can be drawn. It appears from Fig. 5a that all the multi-functional organic compounds have relatively low sensitivities compared to pinonic acid, malonic acid, succinic acid, levoglucosan and citric acid, all of which contain reduced carbons ( $-\text{CH}_2-$ ). This illustrates the selectivity of  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  reagent ions towards compounds that are not highly oxygenated.

Details about the  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  ionization method can be found elsewhere (Veres et al., 2008). Ionization takes place if the gas phase acidity of the analyte is higher than that of acetic acid. It has been found that acetic acid has a low gas phase acidity and thus most acids can deprotonate via Reaction (R2) (Veres et al., 2008). The sensitivity of the ToF-CIMS to the organic compounds using acetate reagent ions is shown in Fig. 5b. All acids were detected in the deprotonated form and all the non-acid bearing compounds were not detected sensitively, with the exception of levoglucosan, which was detected as a cluster with  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$ . Interestingly, the majority of the acids have more uniform sensitivities, with less than a factor of 4 difference, when compared to Fig. 5a and c. In addition, small compounds such as formic, glyoxylic and pyruvic acids ( $\text{C} < 3$ ) and large organic acid compounds show similar sensitivities. The results demonstrate that  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  reagent ions are selective to acids in general, in accord with the work of Veres et al. (2008). However, compounds with non-acid functionality (e.g. levoglucosan) can also be observed clustering with  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$ .

$\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  reagent ions data are shown in Fig. 5c. The ionization by Reaction (R3) has been described earlier (Caldwell and Kebarle, 1984) and used extensively in atmospheric studies (Thornton and Abbatt, 2005; Zhao et al., 2012). The ionization depends on the ability of the organic compounds to hydrogen bond with the iodide anion. In addition, since iodide is a large polarizable anion, compounds with large polarizability, such as those with high molecular weight and those containing double bonds, will be better detected. As can be seen in Fig. 5c, multi-functional compounds with  $\text{C} > 3$  can be detected with very similar sensitivities. Those compounds are di-acids, tri-acids and alcohols, all of which can participate in hydrogen bonding with the iodide and at the same time are more polarizable by size. Glyoxylic acid, which has aldehydic and acidic groups, was also detected with a good sensitivity similar to the multi-acids and unlike its analogue (in structure), pyruvic acid. This is possibly due to the fact that aldehydic hydrogen in glyoxylic acid is slightly electron deficient and thus can form a hydrogen bond with the iodide in contrast to the methyl group hydrogens in pyruvic acid. The aldehydes, glyoxal and methylglyoxal, were not detected well. These two aldehydes have been previously detected in the hydrated gas phase forms after volatilization from a solution using  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  reagent ions (Zhao et al., 2012). However, these previous measurements using aerosol-CIMS were carried out at lower heated inlet temperatures ( $110^\circ\text{C}$ ). Thus, it is possible that dehydration of the aldehydes was more effi-

cient at  $150^\circ\text{C}$ , which was the temperature used in this study. As a result, the ability of binding to iodide was reduced.

Overall,  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  were found to be best in detecting multifunctional organic compounds which could particularly be useful for detecting oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA) components that are generally observed in the field.


### 3.2 WSOC and aqueous photo-oxidation processing

Four hour aqueous photo-oxidation experiments of the WSOC were followed using the ToF-CIMS using the three reagent ions. In all cases the control experiments (not shown) conducted with either  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  present but not light, or vice versa, showed negligible chemical change as compared to the photo-oxidation results arising from when both are present. As a result, only data from photo-oxidation experiments are presented. As well, we note that the intensity of the CIMS peaks in the control experiments conducted with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , water and light (but no WSOC) were minor compared to the peaks that were associated with the WSOC.

#### 3.2.1 Raw mass spectra

Mass spectra were collected every second for 4 h of oxidation. The mass spectra obtained for the WSOC before oxidation and after 2 and 4 h of oxidation are shown in Fig. 6. As the reaction proceeds, regions where ions decay are shown in the left spectra and regions where ions form are shown in the right spectra. All spectra before the oxidation was initiated show two humps, monomers and dimers regions, where the monomer region corresponds to ions with molecular weight similar to cis-pinonic and cis-pinic acids (i.e. primary oxidation products of  $\alpha$ -pinene). Dimeric species are thought to arise via (1) ester, acetal and peroxy-acetal formation in the aqueous phase; (2) gas phase oxidation in the chamber before the SOA was collected; or (3) droplet evaporation in the heated inlet. It is clear that the dimer region in the three reagent ions spectra is decaying during photo-oxidation. In addition, the majority of the products formed after 2 and 4 h of oxidation lie in a lower molecular weight monomer region. This is one indication that fragmentation (and functionalization) reactions are possibly occurring within the organic species during oxidation. By comparing the time-dependent spectra of the dimer to monomer region in the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  spectra, it is clear that the dimers decay at a much faster rate compared to the monomers. This could be due to the fact that dimer reactions lead to monomers (fragmentation), which subsequently appear as if the monomers are slowly reacting.

The observation that the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  spectra are showing the largest and most clear decay compared to  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  spectra may be due to gas phase ion cluster formation for the two latter reagent ions. To illustrate, it was observed (not shown) in the  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  and in  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  sensitivity test (Sect. 3.1) that some of the ions detected did



**Fig. 6.** Mass spectra of the photo-oxidized SOA using the three reagent ions, protonated water clusters  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ , acetate  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and iodide water clusters  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ , at time 0, 2 and 4 h. The spectra on the left show the  $m/z$  regions where the intensity decreased indicating loss of signal. The spectra on the right show the  $m/z$  regions where the intensity increased for some ions illustrating products formation. Monomer and dimer regions were observed in the spectra of the three reagent ions, most clearly in the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  spectra.


not form by a proton transfer reaction from/to the reagent ions as in Reactions (R1) and (R2). These ions form by gas phase clustering between ions formed in Reactions (R1) and (R2) with non-ionized analyte compounds, and thus show up as high molecular weight ions. These gas phase ion clusters can be a combination of (1) an analyte ion and another analyte or (2) an analyte ion and a reagent ion related species such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  clusters or acetic acid/acetic anhydride. Such ions did not show up in the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  spectra. As a result, the dimer region in  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  and in  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  contains a combination of real dimers existing in aqueous solution (or formed during the droplet evaporation process), such as those that appear in the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  spectra, and those formed by gas phase ion clusters.

### 3.2.2 Ion assignment and speciation

Peak fitting was performed for all three reagent ion spectra covering chemical formulas with molecular weights up to 300 u ( $m/z$  301,  $m/z$  299 and  $m/z$  427 for  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ , respectively). Peaks higher than 300 u cannot be assigned for the  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  reagent ions with high confidence (see supplementary information). Chemical formulas were assigned for odd  $m/z$

based on selection criteria governed by the following chemical formula  $\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n+2}\text{O}_x$ , where formulas assigned cannot have a number of hydrogen atoms greater than  $2n + 2$  for a given carbon number equal to  $n$ . Elements other than C, H and O were not considered in the formula predictions as it was assumed that those elements were absent from the reagents (WSOC and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ) and purified water. The peaks were fit with the minimum number of ions such that a residual area (un-fitted area) of less than 5 % is achieved. Isotopic patterns were used to confirm ion assignments in some cases. The number of ions assigned were 595, 555 and 428 for  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ , respectively. The smaller number of ions assigned for the same chemical composition with  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  compared to the other reagent ions is an indication of the selectivity of  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ .

From the ion lists generated for the spectra, hydrogen-to-carbon ratios (H/C), oxygen-to-carbon ratios (O/C) and the number of carbon atoms (#C) were derived for the individual chemical formulas. The carbon oxidation state ( $\text{OS}_\text{C}$ ) was calculated as described in (Kroll et al., 2011) by the approximation  $\text{OS}_\text{C} \approx 2\text{O}/\text{C} + \text{H}/\text{C}$ . The ion chemical formulas were corrected for the proton added when using  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ , and subtracted in the case of  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$ , such that the




**Fig. 7.** Box plots showing the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles for H/C, O/C, OS<sub>C</sub> and #C for the pool of ions detected by each reagent ion. The population size was 595, 555 and 428 ions for (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>, respectively.

neutral chemical formula of the analytes was used for calculations and plotting. Also, gas phase ion clusters cannot be separated from non-cluster ions that appear at the same  $m/z$  as both could have the same chemical formula. The distribution of the 4 parameters H/C, O/C, OS<sub>C</sub> and #C for the ions lists is shown in Fig. 7 as percentile box plots.

The ions assigned in the (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> spectra cover noticeably narrower H/C, O/C and OS<sub>C</sub> ranges as compared to CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>. In addition, the H/C distribution spans a higher H/C region ranging from 1 to 2 compared to 0.6–2 for the other reagent ions. Lower O/C and OS<sub>C</sub> values for all the percentiles are also observed for (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup>. The CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup> percentiles for the 4 parameters are very similar overall. This indicates that the assigned ions in the two reagent ions spectra are similar, although with some selectivity associated with I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>. These results are consistent with the observation in Sect. 3.1, where highly oxygenated multi-functional organics were detected with high sensitivity with CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup> but not with (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup>. It is noted also that these two reagent ions (CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>) can detect some species with extremely high OS<sub>C</sub>, approaching 3.7 and 4, and O/C, approaching 2.75 and 3, respectively.

### 3.2.3 The Van Krevelen diagram

The intensity of each ion together with the H/C and O/C values were used to calculate the intensity weighted average elemental ratios,  $\overline{H/C}$  and  $\overline{O/C}$ . This allows plotting the time-dependent  $\overline{H/C}$  and  $\overline{O/C}$  for the photo-oxidation reaction in a Van Krevelen diagram (Heald et al., 2010; Ng et al., 2011). One question of interest is the degree to which the Van Krevelen diagram is similar to data that are derived from the AMS instrument. Figure 8b expands the Van Krevelen diagram to emphasize the differences between the data for the ToF-CIMS three reagent ions and the AMS. As a ref-



**Fig. 8.** Van Krevelen diagram of the AMS and ToF-CIMS data for the WSOC aqueous phase photo-oxidation using the three reagent ions. The plot on the right is an expanded view of the plot on the left. The timescale covers the 4 h of photo-oxidation moving from light to dark bolded symbols. The dark blue dashed lines are the parameterized O/C and H/C from the triangle plot compiling a large data set of ambient and laboratory data as described in Ng et al. (2011). Note that although AMS measurements were conducted for each reagent ion experiment, for clarity sake only one set of data (Water or (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup>) is plotted here; the other experiments gave similar results. The linear fit for the AMS data, which is shown in orange, had a slope of  $-0.48$ . The error bars of the AMS H/C and O/C are shown in grey obtained from the H/C and O/C accuracies of 10 % and 30 % reported in Aiken et al. (2007).

erence point, it is interesting that the slope of the linear fit of the AMS data is  $-0.48$ , which is essentially the same as the slope of  $-0.5$  found by Ng et al. (2011) from a compilation of aerosol ambient and chamber SOA data affected by aging. As well, Fig. 8a illustrates that the ToF-CIMS and AMS data largely overlap in the H/C and O/C spaces, with the ToF-CIMS data lying within the accuracy range of the AMS data. This close agreement is somewhat surprising given the use of uncalibrated ToF-CIMS ion intensity, which is not necessarily a good approximation to the true analyte abundances. As well, the AMS detects only particle phase organics, while the aerosol-ToF-CIMS detects both gas and particles phase components. Ideally this comparison would be made using sensitivities that are tied to molecular composition, with the gas and particle signals separated. The fact that the CIMS and AMS data agree so closely with each other suggests that the CIMS sensitivities are similar to each other for the major, highly oxygenated components of the aerosol, especially when using the iodide and acetate reagent ions. To some degree, this is consistent with the conclusions drawn above from the work done with standards.


Figure 8b highlights the differences between the three ToF-CIMS reagent ions. The data show that the maximum  $\overline{O/C}$  obtained with (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> was 0.53, while CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup> maxima were 0.75 and 0.71. This illustrates the inability of (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup> reagent ions to efficiently ionize highly oxygenated organics, especially those present at the end of the oxidation reaction. Correspondingly, the trajectory of the (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>H<sup>+</sup>  $\overline{O/C}$  and  $\overline{H/C}$  data series always lags in time behind those for CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sup>-</sup> and I(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>. While

$\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  follow a similar path in  $\overline{\text{O}/\text{C}}$  as the reaction proceeds, their  $\overline{\text{H}/\text{C}}$  values slightly diverge at  $\overline{\text{O}/\text{C}}$  of 0.6, where the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  data stay closer to the AMS data. As discussed in Sect. 3.1 (i.e. sensitivity evaluation),  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  reagent ions allow for efficient detection of carboxylic acids in general and show no discrimination in ionizing small acids, which are relatively volatile (or semi-volatile) and are not detected by the AMS. Thus, more volatile species with higher H/C may be detected by  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  causing a divergence from the AMS data. For instance, Fig. 5b shows that  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  allows detecting formic acid (H/C = 2) in similar sensitivity as other multifunctional acids such as citric acid (H/C = 1.3). On the other hand, Fig. 5c illustrates that formic acid sensitivity is approximately an order of magnitude less than that of citric acid with  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  reagent ions. Formic acid is relatively volatile and is not detected by the AMS unlike citric acid, which can form particles detected by the AMS. Thus, the signal of formic acid is masked in the  $\overline{\text{H}/\text{C}}$  calculation for the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  case making the  $\overline{\text{H}/\text{C}}$  and  $\overline{\text{O}/\text{C}}$  trajectories similar to those from the AMS. Thus, it is reasonable that the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  data converge to those from the AMS, given that the ToF-CIMS data are likely dominated by particle-phase species (i.e. less volatile, large acids and multifunctional organics).

### 3.2.4 The difference-Kroll diagram

The 2-D space of the other two parameters  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  and #C, the so-called Kroll diagram, can also be used to obtain more informative evidence on the nature of chemical change (Kroll et al., 2011). In a typical Kroll diagram, the  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  is plotted as a function of #C. Here, the ToF-CIMS is advantageous over the AMS as it provides carbon number information directly for individual ions. This feature of ToF-CIMS arises from the soft ionization ability that frequently retains the ions intact without fragmentation. In addition, the ToF-CIMS provides a means by which bulk or individual compound  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  and #C values can be obtained. The  $\overline{\text{OS}_\text{C}}$  and  $\overline{\#C}$  are the intensity weighted averages of  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  and #C summed over all individual ions.

In order to observe a change in the  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  and #C distribution for individual compounds over the 4 h of photo-oxidation, a difference-Kroll diagram was constructed as shown in Fig. 9. In the difference-Kroll diagram the intensities of ions with the same co-ordinates on the plot are summed at time 0 and 4 h. The Kroll diagram information at time 4 h is subtracted from that at time 0 h. Coordinates with positive intensity are those that decay away and are marked in dark coloured solid circles. Negative intensities indicate coordinates where ions have formed after 4 h of photo-oxidation and are marked in solid light coloured circles. The highest  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  in the difference-Kroll diagram for  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$  is 1.33. On the other hand, the plots for  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  clearly show many occupied coordinates with  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$



**Fig. 9.** Difference-Kroll diagrams for the  $\text{WSO}_\text{C}$  before oxidation and after 4 h of oxidation using the three reagent ions;  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$ . Light bolded circles indicate coordinates where ions formed while dark bolded circles indicate ion losses. The average  $\text{OS}_\text{C}$  and #C are also shown over 4 h of oxidation. The size of marker indicates the magnitude of the subtracted intensity.

ranging from 2 to 4 (i.e. very highly oxidized species), with #C less than 10. All three reagent ion difference-Kroll diagrams show that the majority of the ions formed after 4 h of oxidation have a lower carbon number and higher oxidation state compared to the original state, consistent with a large degree of functionalization and fragmentation occurring in the reaction (Kroll et al., 2009). In addition, the vertical distribution ( $\text{OS}_\text{C}$ ) of the ions in the  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  Kroll diagram is narrower compared to  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}^-$ , which is consistent with the selectivity observed for  $\text{I}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^-$  in Sect. 3.1.

The  $\overline{OS_C}$  and  $\#C$  reflect how the whole distribution is moving over 4 h of oxidation. The change in  $\overline{OS_C}$  and  $\#C$  is most obvious with  $CH_3C(O)O^-$  and  $I(H_2O)_n^-$  reagent ions while a small change is observed in the  $(H_2O)_nH^+$  Kroll diagram, in large part because this latter reagent ion is insensitive to many of the more highly oxidized species that are forming. In all three cases, the  $\overline{OS_C}$  and  $\#C$  trajectory indicates that both fragmentation and functionalization reactions are taken place. Note that one caveat in comparing overall intensities of one coordinate on the Kroll diagram to another is that the sensitivity may vary from species to species. As illustrated in Sect. 3.1 through our sensitivity analyses, this variation is expected to be much less for  $CH_3C(O)O^-$  and  $I(H_2O)_n^-$  than for  $(H_2O)_nH^+$ .

#### 4 Significance and conclusions

Given the widespread use of CIMS in the atmospheric chemistry community, it is important to evaluate how well CIMS can be used to assess the chemical nature of aerosol composition, especially SOA (Sareen et al., 2010; Yatavelli and Thornton, 2010; Yatavelli et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012). In this paper, we have focussed on two aspects of this analysis, taking advantage of new instrumental advances in the field, especially a high-resolution time-of-flight that can readily be deployed to the field (Bertram et al., 2011). In particular, we have addressed how the composition of a common WSOC SOA material is analyzed using three common CIMS reagent ions, monitoring both the starting material but also the changes that arise during an aqueous OH oxidation process. To our knowledge this is the first illustration of the comparative abilities of these common reagent ions to study the composition of complex organic mixtures, such as SOA. As well, while it was convenient for us to monitor change occurring during aqueous phase oxidation in the laboratory, we stress that the same general behaviour is likely to be observed from chemical change being driven by gas phase or heterogeneous OH oxidation. It is hoped that these characterization experiments will form the foundation for similar analyses of aging processes observed in the field as well. For example, these methods could be used for studying: cloud-water oxidation (Lee et al., 2012) or atmospheric aerosol processing as observed using the MOVI interface (Yatavelli and Thornton, 2010; Yatavelli et al., 2012).

Specific findings include

- Complex organic composition of atmospherically relevant WSOC samples can be resolved with high-resolution aerosol ToF-CIMS.
- The monomer and dimer regions of  $\alpha$ -pinene SOA WSOC can be resolved and observed with ToF-CIMS, most clearly with  $I(H_2O)_n^-$  reagent ions. The chemical change of these two regions can be followed on-

line with the dimers degrading more rapidly than the monomers.

- The simplicity in the switching of the ToF-CIMS reagent ions allows for a more comprehensive understanding of chemical change.
- This also illustrates that care must be taken when choosing the reagent ions with which to operate depending on the targeted analysis. For instance,  $(H_2O)_nH^+$  reagent ions are shown to be more selective towards the more reduced organic compounds with WSOC SOA, while  $CH_3C(O)O^-$  and  $I(H_2O)_n^-$  allow for the detection of more highly oxygenated compounds with  $I(H_2O)_n^-$  being more selective to multifunctional compounds. In general, the range of sensitivities for the latter two reagent ions is far less than with the more selective  $(H_2O)_nH^+$ .
- Despite these potential differences in sensitivity to different analytes, we point out that the data arising from a combination of the  $(H_2O)_nH^+$  data and either the  $CH_3C(O)O^-$  and  $I(H_2O)_n^-$  results yield a Van Krevelen analysis that is remarkably similar to that measured simultaneously by high-resolution AMS, despite the AMS being only sensitive to particle phase species and the ToF-CIMS to both gas and particle phases. Thus, the demonstrated ability of AMS data to study aging of organic aerosol using Van Krevelen analysis can also be applied using ToF-CIMS data.
- Aerosol-ToF-CIMS clearly can monitor the functionalization and fragmentation processes that occur with SOA as it is oxidized by OH, generally giving rise to more functionalized products that are of lower carbon number and higher oxidation state.
- A potential source of data analysis complications is the presence of gas phase ion clusters, specifically in the cases of  $(H_2O)_nH^+$  and  $CH_3C(O)O^-$  reagent ions. In addition, dehydration of organics in the heated inlet or ions fragmentation in the ToF-CIMS can increase the difficulty of the identification of the unknown analyte present in the aqueous solution.

To finish, we note that the SOA aerosol-ToF-CIMS spectra also contain an enormous amount of detailed mechanistic information, related to the formation and decay of specific chemical species. An analysis of these spectra in that context will form the basis of a subsequent publication.

**Supplementary material related to this article is available online at <http://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/6/3211/2013/amt-6-3211-2013-supplement.pdf>.**

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to acknowledge infrastructure funding from the Canada Foundation for Innovation and Ontario Research Foundation to the Canadian Aerosol Research Network. As well, operational support comes from NSERC, OGS, Environment Canada and the Alex Harrison Award in Analytical Mass Spectrometry. The authors note extensive help from H. Stark (Aerodyne Inc.) and R. Zhao (Abbott group) with the operation and data analysis of the CIMS.

Edited by: M. Sipilä

## References

- Aiken, A. C., DeCarlo, P. F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Elemental analysis of organic species with electron ionization high-resolution mass spectrometry, *Anal. Chem.*, 79, 8350–8358, 2007.
- Aiken, A. C., Decarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 4478–4485, 2008.
- Altieri, K. E., Seitzinger, S. P., Carlton, A. G., Turpin, B. J., Klein, G. C., and Marshall, A. G.: Oligomers formed through in-cloud methylglyoxal reactions: Chemical composition, properties, and mechanisms investigated by ultra-high resolution FT-ICR mass spectrometry, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1476–1490, 2008.
- Bateman, A. P., Walser, M. L., Desyaterik, Y., Laskin, J., Laskin, A., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: The effect of solvent on the analysis of secondary organic aerosol using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 7341–7346, 2008.
- Bertram, T. H., Thornton, J. A., and Riedel, T. P.: An experimental technique for the direct measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> reactivity on ambient particles, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2, 231–242, doi:10.5194/amt-2-231-2009, 2009.
- Bertram, T. H., Kimmel, J. R., Crisp, T. A., Ryder, O. S., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thornton, J. A., Cubison, M. J., Gonin, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: A field-deployable, chemical ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1471–1479, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1471-2011, 2011.
- Caldwell, G. and Kebarle, P.: Binding-Energies and Structural Effects in Halide Anion ROH and RCOOH Complexes from Gas-Phase Equilibria Measurements, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 106, 967–969, 1984.
- Caldwell, G., Masucci, J., and Ikononou, M.: Negative-Ion Chemical Ionization Mass-Spectrometry Binding of Molecules to Bromide and Iodide Anions, *Org. Mass Spectrom.*, 24, 8–14, 1989.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M., Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P., and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 26, 185–222, 2007.
- De Gouw, J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Organic Aerosols in the Earth's Atmosphere, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 7614–7618, 2009.
- Duarte, R. M. B. O. and Duarte, A. C.: A critical review of advanced analytical techniques for water-soluble organic matter from atmospheric aerosols, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 30, 1659–1671, 2011.
- Ervens, B., Turpin, B. J., and Weber, R. J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud droplets and aqueous particles (aqSOA): a review of laboratory, field and model studies, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 11069–11102, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11069-2011, 2011.
- Gard, E., Mayer, J., Morrical, B., Dienes, T., Ferguson, D., and Prather, K.: Real-time analysis of individual atmospheric aerosol particles: Design and performance of a portable ATOFMS, *Anal. Chem.*, 69, 4083–4091, 1997.
- Ge, X., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y., Ruehl, C. R., and Setyan, A.: Effect of aqueous-phase processing on aerosol chemistry and size distributions in Fresno, California, during wintertime, *Environ. Chem.*, 9, 221–235, 2012.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, Th. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5155–5236, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009, 2009.
- Hamilton, J. F., Webb, P. J., Lewis, A. C., Hopkins, J. R., Smith, S., and Davy, P.: Partially oxidised organic components in urban aerosol using GCXGC-TOF/MS, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1279–1290, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1279-2004, 2004.
- Heald, C. L., Kroll, J. H., Jimenez, J. L., Docherty, K. S., DeCarlo, P. F., Aiken, A. C., Chen, Q., Martin, S. T., Farmer, D. K., and Artaxo, P.: A simplified description of the evolution of organic aerosol composition in the atmosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L08803, doi:10.1029/2010GL042737 2010.
- Hearn, J. D. and Smith, G.: A chemical ionization mass spectrometry method for the online analysis of organic aerosols, *Anal. Chem.*, 76, 2820–2826, 2004.
- Hearn, J. D. and Smith, G. D.: Reactions and mass spectra of complex particles using Aerosol CIMS, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 258, 95–103, 2006.
- Hunter, E. and Lias, S.: Evaluated gas phase basicities and proton affinities of molecules: An update, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 27, 413–656, 1998.
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimojo, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C.

- E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere, *Science*, 326, 1525–1529, 2009.
- Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Lönn, G., Hakala, J., Petäjä, T., Mauldin III, R. L., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and neutral cluster measurements using CI-API-TOF, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4117–4125, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4117-2012, 2012.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
- Kercher, J. P., Riedel, T. P., and Thornton, J. A.: Chlorine activation by  $N_2O_5$ : simultaneous, in situ detection of  $ClNO_2$  and  $N_2O_5$  by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2, 193–204, doi:10.5194/amt-2-193-2009, 2009.
- Kroll, J. H., Smith, J. D., Che, D. L., Kessler, S. H., Worsnop, D. R., and Wilson, K. R.: Measurement of fragmentation and functionalization pathways in the heterogeneous oxidation of oxidized organic aerosol, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 8005–8014, 2009.
- Kroll, J. H., Donahue, N. M., Jimenez, J. L., Kessler, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Wilson, K. R., Altieri, K. E., Mazzoleni, L. R., Wozniak, A. S., Bluhm, H., Mysak, E. R., Smith, J. D., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Carbon oxidation state as a metric for describing the chemistry of atmospheric organic aerosol, *Nat. Chem.*, 3, 133–139, 2011.
- Kundu, S., Fisseha, R., Putman, A. L., Rahn, T. A., and Mazzoleni, L. R.: High molecular weight SOA formation during limonene ozonolysis: insights from ultrahigh-resolution FT-ICR mass spectrometry characterization, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 5523–5536, doi:10.5194/acp-12-5523-2012, 2012.
- Kurtén, T., Petäjä, T., Smith, J., Ortega, I. K., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Vehkamäki, H., Mauldin, L., Worsnop, D. R., and Kulmala, M.: The effect of  $H_2SO_4$  – amine clustering on chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) measurements of gas-phase sulfuric acid, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 3007–3019, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3007-2011, 2011.
- Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Mass spectrometric approaches for chemical characterisation of atmospheric aerosols: critical review of the most recent advances, *Environ. Chem.*, 9, 163–189, 2012.
- Laskin, J., Laskin, A., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: New mass spectrometry techniques for studying physical chemistry of atmospheric heterogeneous processes, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 32, 128–170, 2013.
- Le Breton, M., McGillen, M. R., Muller, J. B. A., Bacak, A., Shallcross, D. E., Xiao, P., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D., Coe, H., and Percival, C. J.: Airborne observations of formic acid using a chemical ionization mass spectrometer, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 3029–3039, doi:10.5194/amt-5-3029-2012, 2012.
- Lee, A. K. Y., Hayden, K. L., Herckes, P., Leitch, W. R., Ligio, J., Macdonald, A. M., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Characterization of aerosol and cloud water at a mountain site during WACS 2010: secondary organic aerosol formation through oxidative cloud processing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7103–7116, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7103-2012, 2012.
- Lim, Y. B., Tan, Y., Perri, M. J., Seitzinger, S. P., and Turpin, B. J.: Aqueous chemistry and its role in secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10521–10539, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10521-2010, 2010.
- Lindinger, W., Hansel, A., and Jordan, A.: On-line monitoring of volatile organic compounds at pptv levels by means of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) – Medical applications, food control and environmental research, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 173, 191–241, 1998.
- Mauldin, R., Tanner, D., Heath, J., Huebert, B., and Eisele, F.: Observations of  $H_2SO_4$  and MSA during PEM-Tropics-A, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 104, 5801–5816, 1999.
- Mazzoleni, L. R., Ehrmann, B. M., Shen, X., Marshall, A. G., and Collett Jr., J. L.: Water-Soluble Atmospheric Organic Matter in Fog: Exact Masses and Chemical Formula Identification by Ultrahigh-Resolution Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 44, 3690–3697, 2010.
- Murphy, D. M. and Thomson, D. S.: Laser Ionization Mass-Spectroscopy of Single Aerosol-Particles, *Aerosol. Sci. Tech.*, 22, 237–249, 1995.
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), <http://webbook.nist.gov/cgi/cbook.cgi?ID=C7732185&Mask=40> (last access: 30 March 2013), 2013.
- Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6465–6474, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6465-2011, 2011.
- Onasch, T. B., Trimborn, A., Fortner, E. C., Jayne, J. T., Kok, G. L., Williams, L. R., Davidovits, P., and Worsnop, D. R.: Soot Particle Aerosol Mass Spectrometer: Development, Validation, and Initial Application, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 46, 804–817, 2012.
- Perri, M. J., Seitzinger, S., and Turpin, B. J.: Secondary organic aerosol production from aqueous photooxidation of glycolaldehyde: Laboratory experiments, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 1487–1497, 2009.
- Pratt, K. A. and Prather, K. A.: Mass spectrometry of atmospheric aerosols – Recent developments and applications. Part I: Off-line mass spectrometry techniques, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 31, 1–16, 2012a.
- Pratt, K. A. and Prather, K. A.: Mass spectrometry of atmospheric aerosols – Recent developments and applications. Part II: On-line mass spectrometry techniques, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 31, 17–48, 2012b.
- Sareen, N., Schwier, A. N., Shapiro, E. L., Mitroo, D., and McNeill, V. F.: Secondary organic material formed by methylglyoxal in aqueous aerosol mimics, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 997–1016, doi:10.5194/acp-10-997-2010, 2010.
- Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Gelencser, A., Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E., Kiss, G., Hertkorn, N., Harir, M., Hong, Y., and Gebefuegi, I.: Analysis of the Unresolved Organic Fraction in Atmospheric Aerosols with Ultrahigh-Resolution Mass Spectrometry and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy: Organosulfates As Photochemical Smog Constituents, *Anal. Chem.*, 82, 8017–8026, 2010.
- Sunner, J., Nicol, G., and Kebarle, P.: Factors Determining Relative Sensitivity of Analytes in Positive Mode Atmospheric-Pressure Ionization Mass-Spectrometry, *Anal. Chem.*, 60, 1300–1307, 1988.

- Tan, Y., Perri, M. J., Seitzinger, S. P., and Turpin, B. J.: Effects of Precursor Concentration and Acidic Sulfate in Aqueous Glyoxal-OH Radical Oxidation and Implications for Secondary Organic Aerosol, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 8105–8112, 2009.
- Tan, Y., Carlton, A. G., Seitzinger, S. P., and Turpin, B. J.: SOA from methylglyoxal in clouds and wet aerosols: Measurement and prediction of key products, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 5218–5226, 2010.
- Thornton, J. and Abbatt, J.: N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> reaction on submicron sea salt aerosol: Kinetics, products, and the effect of surface active organics, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 109, 10004–10012, 2005.
- Thornton, J., Braban, C., and Abbatt, J.: N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> hydrolysis on submicron organic aerosols: the effect of relative humidity, particle phase, and particle size, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 4593–4603, 2003.
- Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C., Welsh-Bon, D., Zahniser, M., Herndon, S., Fall, R., and de Gouw, J.: Development of negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NIPT-CIMS) for the measurement of gas-phase organic acids in the atmosphere, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 274, 48–55, 2008.
- Yatavelli, R. L. N. and Thornton, J. A.: Particulate Organic Matter Detection Using a Micro-Orifice Volatilization Impactor Coupled to a Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (MOVI-CIMS), *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 44, 61–74, 2010.
- Yatavelli, R. L. N., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Wargo, J. D., Kimmel, J. R., Cubison, M. J., Bertram, T. H., Jimenez, J. L., Gonin, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Thornton, J. A.: A Chemical Ionization High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer Coupled to a Micro Orifice Volatilization Impactor (MOVI-HRToF-CIMS) for Analysis of Gas and Particle-Phase Organic Species, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 46, 1313–1327, 2012.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P. F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimojo, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams, P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L13801, doi:10.1029/2007GL029979, 2007.
- Zhao, R., Lee, A. K. Y., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Investigation of Aqueous-Phase Photooxidation of Glyoxal and Methylglyoxal by Aerosol Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry: Observation of Hydroxyhydroperoxide Formation, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 6253–6263, 2012.