

Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*

**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: the EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli¹, M. Viana², K. E. Yttri³, J. Genberg⁴, and J.-P. Putaud¹

¹European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Climate Change Unit, via Enrico Fermi 1, 21020 Ispra, Italy

²Institute for Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA-CSIC), C/Lluís Solé i Sabarís s/n, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

³Department of Atmospheric and Climate Research Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), P.O. Box 100, 2027 Kjeller, Norway

⁴Nuclear Physics, Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden

Received: 3 June 2009 – Accepted: 16 September 2009 – Published: 1 October 2009

Correspondence to: F. Cavalli (fabrizia.cavalli@jrc.ec.europa.eu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Thermal-optical analysis is a conventional method for determining the carbonaceous aerosol fraction and for classifying it into organic carbon, OC, and elemental carbon, EC. Unfortunately, the different thermal evolution protocols in use can result in a wide elemental carbon-to-total carbon variation by up to a factor of five. In Europe, there is currently no standard procedure for determining the carbonaceous aerosol fraction which implies that data from different laboratories at various sites are of unknown accuracy and cannot be considered comparable. In the framework of the EU-project EUSAAR (European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research), a comprehensive study has been carried out to identify the causes of differences in the EC measured using different thermal evolution protocols; thereby the major positive and negative biases affecting thermal-optical analysis have been isolated and minimised to define an optimised protocol suitable for European aerosols. Our approach to improve the accuracy of the discrimination between OC and EC was essentially based on four goals. Firstly, charring corrections rely on faulty assumptions – e.g. pyrolytic carbon is considered to evolve completely before native EC throughout the analysis –, thus we have reduced pyrolysis to a minimum by favoring volatilisation of OC. Secondly, we have minimised the potential negative bias in EC determination due to early evolution of light absorbing carbon species at higher temperatures in the He-mode, including both native EC and combinations of native EC and pyrolytic carbon potentially with different specific cross section values. Thirdly, we have minimised the potential positive bias in EC determination resulting from the incomplete evolution of OC during the He-mode which then evolves during the He/O₂-mode, potentially after the split point. Finally, we have minimised the uncertainty due to the position of the OC/EC split point on the FID response profile by introducing multiple desorption steps in the He/O₂-mode. Based on different types of carbonaceous PM encountered across Europe, we have defined an optimised thermal evolution protocol, the EUSAAR.2 protocol, as follows: step 1 in He, 200°C for 120 s; step 2 in He 300°C for 150 s; step 3 in He 450°C for 180 s; step 4 in He 650°C

AMTD

2, 2321–2345, 2009

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



for 180 s. For steps 1–4 in He/O₂, the conditions are 500°C for 120 s, 550°C for 120 s, 700°C for 70 s, and 850°C for 80 s, respectively.

1 Introduction

5 Atmospheric elemental carbon, EC, is a product of incomplete combustion of fossil fuels in transportation, heating, and power generation, and of wood and biomass in residential heating, and agriculture activities. It is ubiquitous in the fine aerosol particles and appears at measurable levels even in the most remote locations (e.g. Putaud et al., 2004). EC has been observed to comprise from 8% to 17% of the atmospheric fine aerosol at European rural and urban background sites and kerbside sites, respectively
10 (Putaud et al., 2004). The prevalence of aerosol EC has been a concern because of its role in adverse effects on human health (Highwood et al., 2006; Adar and Kaufman, 2007; Bérubé et al., 2007). In recent years, scientific attention has also been given to its role as a driver of global warming (e.g. Jacobson, 2001; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). Measurement of atmospheric EC has long been problematic, due to the fact
15 that its physical and morphological properties are complex and variable. A variety of thermal and thermal-optical measurement techniques have been developed to measure organic carbon (OC) and EC. While these methods generally measure the same amount of total carbon (TC), the discrimination of TC into OC and EC is operationally defined with large differences in the amount of OC and EC measured by different methods (e.g. Schmid et al., 2001; Park et al., 2002; ten Brink et al., 2004; Park et al., 2005).
20 In thermal-optical analyses, the carbonaceous material in aerosol particles deposited on a quartz-fibre filter is thermally desorbed according to a prescribed temperature protocol, first in an inert atmosphere (He) and then in an oxidizing atmosphere (He/O₂). Ideally, all OC would desorb in the inert gas stream while EC would combust in the oxidizing atmosphere at high temperature. In reality however, thermally unstable organic
25 compounds pyrolyse in the He-mode to form pyrolytic carbon (PC) which then usually desorbs off the filter in the oxidizing atmosphere, like native EC. Incorrectly accounting

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



for PC formation can very significantly bias the discrimination between OC and EC (e.g. Schmid et al., 2001; Schauer et al., 2003). To correct for pyrolysis, the optical properties of the sample are monitored before and during the analysis with a laser beam. As PC absorbs the laser light, light transmission and reflectance decrease while OC chars in the He-mode. When PC and EC are released from the filter in the He/O₂-mode, transmission and reflectance increase again and the point at which the transmission and reflectance reach the pre-pyrolysis value is used to discriminate OC and EC (split point).

IMPROVE and NIOSH have been the most widely thermal-optical protocols used in the atmospheric science community. Traditionally, the IMPROVE protocol (Chow et al., 1993) has been applied to samples from non-urban background sites in the US IMPROVE network; in 2005, the IMPROVE network started to apply the IMPROVE_A protocol, an only slightly modified version of IMPROVE thanks to refined measures of the sample temperature (Chow et al., 2007). The EPA/NIOSH (or STN) protocol (Peterson and Richards, 2002) has been applied to samples from urban sites in USA-EPA's Speciation Trends Network. These protocols differ in temperature set points – higher for NIOSH (e.g. the highest temperature in He is 900°C) than for IMPROVE (e.g. the highest temperature in He is 550/580°C) – and in the residence times at each temperature step – typically longer for IMPROVE than for EPA/NIOSH. Moreover, the IMPROVE protocol uses the reflectance method to correct for charring, while the EPA/NIOSH protocol has adopted the transmittance method. Previous studies have demonstrated that such differences might significantly alter the measured amounts of OC and EC (e.g. Chow et al., 1993, 2004; Conny et al., 2003). As of October 2009, the US urban network will also use the IMPROVE_A protocol (US EPA, 2006), and consistent OC and EC measurements will be obtained throughout the US urban and non-urban networks.

In Europe, there is currently no standard procedure for analysing the carbonaceous aerosol fraction, thus data from different laboratories at various sites are of unknown accuracy and cannot be compared. Addressing this issue is becoming more and more

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



important as the EU Directive 2008/50/EC states that *measurements shall be made, at rural background locations [...] for the purposes of providing, as a minimum, information on the total mass concentration and the chemical speciation concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5})*. The EU-project EUSAAR (European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research, www.eusaar.net) integrates 20 high quality European regional background stations with the objective of harmonizing aerosol measurements of interest to air quality and global climate through coordinated protocols. Within this framework, efforts have been devoted to address major recommendations by Watson et al. (2005) for evaluating OC and EC measurement methods. We have identified the causes of differences in the EC measured using different thermal evolution protocols and thereby isolated and minimised the major positive and negative biases affecting thermal-optical analysis. As a result of this work, we defined a new protocol, EUSAAR_2 (Table 1), optimised for analysing carbonaceous aerosols at European regional background sites.

2 Methods

2.1 Samples and analysis

Five different types of samples were investigated in this study: i) ambient aerosol samples collected on quartz-fibre filters at four different background sites of the EUSAAR network, representing regionally distinct particulate matter: Birkenes (Norway), K-Pusztas (Hungary), Ispra (Italy), and Montseny (Spain); ii) filters spiked with fulvic acid from the Suwannee River, which can mimic *humic-like-substances*, abundant in the fine atmospheric aerosol (Hoffer et al., 2006); iii) filters spiked with levoglucosan, a major component of wood smoke aerosol; iv) samples of both biogenic and anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol (SOA) generated in smog chamber photo-oxidations of α -pinene and toluene, respectively; and v) Light-absorbing-carbon-only (LAC-only) samples generated by thermal treatment of ambient aerosol samples (Yu and Li, 2003),

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



which contain a mixture of pyrolysed organic carbon, PC, and native EC.

The samples were analysed using a Sunset Laboratory Dual-Optical Carbonaceous Analyser. Table 1 lists the NIOSH, the IMPROVE, the EUSAAR_1 long and short and the EUSAAR_2 protocols tested in the experiments; in addition, variants of the EUSAAR_1 long protocol were tested, in which the temperature of the last step in the He-mode was *modified* to 550°C, 750°C and 850°C. We refer to these protocols as He4-550, He4-750 and He4-850, respectively.

In the EUSAAR_2 protocol, optical correction of charring was performed by transmittance. Visual investigations of samples at different temperature stages of analysis indicates that formation of pyrolytic carbon occur throughout the filter section (Chow et al., 2004). Analyses of undenuded and denuded aerosol samples – using a carbon honeycomb denuder with an proven efficiency >80% in removing volatile organic compounds – were performed applying the EUSAAR_2 protocol and both reflectance and transmittance optical corrections for charring. The ratios between reflectance- and transmittance-corrected EC concentrations obtained from the two different sampling conditions were similar, 1.70 ± 0.01 , on average; therefore, in contrast to the hypothesis of Chow et al. (2004), charring of volatile organics absorbed in the filter (as a result of positive sampling artifacts) plays a negligible role on the difference between EC_TOR and EC_TOT when the analytical protocol EUSAAR_2 is used. On the contrary, the formation of pyrolytic carbon throughout the filter section must arise from the charring of particulate OC which diffuses in the filter during the sampling or analysis phase. Several studies have demonstrated that the removal of particulate WSOC and/or brown carbon by extraction with water or organic solvents prior to thermochemical analysis considerably reduces charring (Andreae and Gelencsèr, 2006) which also shows that the formation of pyrolytic carbon arise from charring of particulate OC. As total carbon includes also the pyrolytic carbon formed in the inner part of the filter, a proper optical correction should account for the charring that occurs through the whole filter as transmittance does.

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3 Results and discussion

In thermal–optical analyses, the accurate discrimination between OC and EC relies upon either one or the other of the following two assumptions being correct: i) PC formed during the He-mode is assumed to have the same specific cross section (σ) as the atmospheric native EC. If so, regardless of when PC and EC actually evolve from the filter during the analysis, the desorbed carbon beyond the split point is equivalent to the mass of native EC. ii) Alternatively, all PC formed during the He-mode is assumed to evolve from the filter before the native EC throughout the analysis. In this case despite possible differences in σ of PC and EC, the post split point carbon mass represents the true native EC. Previous studies have however demonstrated that neither of these assumptions always holds. PC and EC have been found to co-evolve from the He/O₂-mode and, even prematurely, from the He-mode at high temperatures; moreover, they have been shown to have significantly different values of σ (e.g. Chow et al., 2004; Yang and Yu, 2002; Yu et al., 2002; Subramanian et al., 2006; Han et al., 2007). There are, then, inherent potential biases in both directions in the determination of OC and EC. To determine best practice, a thermal-optical protocol was, therefore, developed to minimise the effects of those *events* during the analysis that cause these biases in the OC/EC split. We have attempted to satisfy the following optimisation criteria:

- 1 – Charring is reduced to a minimum, to minimise in turn the effect of any difference in σ of PC and EC on the accuracy of the optical correction and, thus, of the discrimination between OC and EC.
- 2 – Evolution and/or pyrolysis of OC is completed by the end of the He-mode to avoid any residual unevolved and uncharred OC evolving in the He/O₂-mode. This, in turn, reduces the uncontrolled risk that a fraction of non-light-absorbing organic carbon evolves even after the OC/EC split and positively biases the measured EC.
- 3 – Premature evolution of LAC during the He-mode is minimised to prevent the early

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



evolution of LAC species containing native EC. In such cases the resulting post-split EC might be altered, because of possibly different σ of EC and PC.

4 – Multiple desorption steps are designed for the He/O₂-mode to ensure that the OC/EC split point occurs where the FID response profile is low; minimising the uncertainty in the split point position will minimise uncertainty in the discrimination of OC and EC.

With this in mind, the following key parameters were investigated in designing the optimised EUSAAR_2 thermal protocol: i) the steps at low temperature; ii) the He-mode maximum temperature; iii) the residence time of each temperature step; and iv) the temperature steps in the He/O₂-mode.

3.1 Temperature set points

3.1.1 Low temperature steps in the He-mode

A set of samples has been analysed using the EPA/NIOSH thermal protocol (Peterson and Richards, 2002) and a *modified* version of this protocol. The two protocols differ in the low temperature steps applied in the He-mode; the EPA/NIOSH protocol has a single step at 310°C of 60 s (Table 1) whereas the *modified* version of the EPA/NIOSH protocol uses two steps at 200°C and 300°C with significantly longer residence time of 180 s and 240 s, respectively.

Results show that the amount of OC evolving up to a temperature of about 300°C is 15.7±1.3% higher in the *modified*-EPA/NIOSH than in the original EPA/NIOSH protocol (Table 2). The additional step at 200°C and longer times favour the release of organic carbon prior to the more aggressive heating steps where pyrolysis occurs accordingly to thermograms. The amount of OC available for evolution and/or pyrolysis at higher temperatures is thus reduced. Interestingly, the overall amount of PC formed as measured by the sample transmittance and assuming $\sigma=45\text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$ (Subramanian et al., 2007), is on average 16.8±1.9% smaller compared to the EPA/NIOSH proto-

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



col. In other words, the OC that evolves at lower temperatures in the modified protocol would otherwise char at higher temperature steps in the EPA/NIOSH method. In agreement with Yu et al. (2002) and Chow et al. (2004), our results indicate that the extent of charring is strongly dependent on the temperature set-points, and secondly that prolonging residence times can favour a more complete carbon evolution, which, consequently, reduces charring formation. Because of unequal σ for PC and EC, the reduction in charring improves, in turn, the accuracy of the OC/EC split.

Additional samples have also been analysed in presence and absence of oxygen at the two steps at low temperature. In contrast to Cachier et al. (1989) and Ohta and Okita (1984), our results show negligible differences in the amounts of PC when oxygen-containing versus oxygen-free carrier gas is used for the lowest temperature steps. Therefore, taking into consideration the risk of EC combustion in an oxidizing atmosphere when temperature approaches 340°C, and the potential temperature deviation from set-points in the Sunset Lab. Instrument (Chow et al., 2005), an inert atmosphere was finally selected for the first two steps at low temperature, at 200 and 300°C, in the optimised protocol.

3.1.2 Maximum He-mode temperature and premature LAC evolution

Figure 1a shows a thermogram obtained from the analysis of an ambient PM_{2.5} aerosol sample collected in Ispra (IT) using a NIOSH-type thermal protocol (NIOSH 1999) with the maximum He-mode temperature of 850°C. The transmission of laser light through the sample decreases through much of the He-mode due to PC formation; it reaches a minimum value partway through the 850°C step in He and then begins increasing, indicating the premature evolution of LAC. This phenomenon is not specific to Ispra samples: aerosol samples from Birkenes (NO), K-Pusztá (HU), and Montseny (ES) also exhibited a rise in the laser transmission signal during the highest temperature step, and many other examples are reported in the literature (e.g. Chow et al., 2001; Yu et al., 2002). At high temperatures, inorganic oxides, part of the filter deposit, can supply oxygen promoting combustion of LAC in an inert environment. In the event of

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the evolution of LAC in the He-mode of the analysis, the critical issue is to determine the type of LAC that evolves. Yu et al. (2002) suggest that it is PC. If the LAC evolving in the He-mode at high temperature is pure PC this does not produce any bias in OC and EC determination. However, Subramanian et al. (2006) demonstrated more recently that the LAC evolving in helium at high temperature can be either native EC or PC or a combination of them. And EC and PC cannot be accurately split using the laser signal since they were reported to have generally different σ values (Chow et al., 2004; Subramanian et al., 2006). Indeed, literature values of σ for native EC deposited on quartz filters range from $8.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$ to $25.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$ for solvent-extracted ambient samples (Gundel et al., 1984; Liousse et al., 1993; Petzold et al., 1997; Subramanian et al., 2006), whereas the reported σ for PC are almost always greater: in particular, $35 \text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$ is found for Pittsburgh samples (Subramanian et al., 2006), $52.8 \pm 10.6 \text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$ for Fresno samples and $48.5 \pm 3.9 \text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$ is reported for IMPROVE network samples (Chow et al., 2004). If σ of PC is larger than σ of EC, premature evolution in the He-mode of LAC containing EC will lead a He4-850 protocol like NIOSH-type (Fig. 1a) to underestimate the native EC amount. A solution is to lower the maximum temperature of the He-mode. Figure 1b shows the thermogram from a He4-550 protocol (Table 1), such as IMPROVE, of the same sample as in Fig. 1a. The laser signal reaches its minimum value at 550°C and does not increase until the He/ O_2 -mode, indicating no loss of LAC in the He-mode. A series of thermal-optical analyses of LAC-only samples were therefore performed to identify the temperature at which premature evolution of LAC starts and to assess the extent of this bias. LAC-only samples were generated by thermal treatment of ambient aerosol samples according to the method proposed by Yu and Li (2003), to form a mixture of PC and native EC. The LAC-only samples were analysed using a thermal protocol with varying maximum temperatures in the He-mode of 650 , 750 and 850°C . Increasing the maximum He-mode temperature caused an increase in the fraction of LAC evolving during that step. On average $2.5 \pm 2.4\%$, $16.2 \pm 5.9\%$ and $21.2 \pm 4.4\%$ of the total LAC was found to prematurely evolve in He at 650°C , 750°C and 850°C , respectively (Fig. S1 from Supporting Information). These observations

suggest that the underestimation of the amount of EC measured in ambient PM_{2.5} aerosol samples using He4-650, He4-750 and He4-850 protocols could increase as the maximum He-mode temperature increases; and secondly that the potential biases produced by a protocol with the maximum temperature in the He-mode of 650°C, as the EUSAAR protocol, are quite moderate, if not negligible.

3.1.3 Maximum He-mode temperature and organic carbon

Lowering the maximum temperature of the peak He-mode below 850°C certainly improves the accuracy of the OC/EC split by avoiding the premature evolution of LAC. The major concern becomes then the possibility that OC will not totally volatilize or completely pyrolyse during the He-mode of the analysis, and thus evolve into the He/O₂-mode instead. In these circumstances, there is an uncontrolled risk that a fraction of OC evolves even after the OC/EC split point and is erroneously measured as native EC. To minimise the potential of this positive bias for EC, we determined which temperature is necessary to produce total volatilization or pyrolysis of OC in the He-mode. We analysed quartz filters loaded with i) SOA, both anthropogenic and biogenic, ii) levoglucosan, and iii) fulvic acid. The selected species represent some of the major components of the OC aerosol fraction and the filters analysed did not contain EC. The protocol used for these tests has the following temperature steps in the He-mode: 550, 650, 750 and 850°C, each of 240 s. Thermograms of these analyses are shown in Fig. 2. The derivative of the laser transmission is used to measure the formation or release of light-absorbing carbon during the analysis and is expressed as negative carbon in the He-mode and positive carbon in the He/O₂-mode; dividing this by an appropriate σ gives an estimate of the absorbing carbon formed or lost, which complements standard thermal-optical analysis output (Bond, personal communication, 2007). Thermograms 2a and 2d clearly show that at maximum He-mode temperatures $\leq 550^\circ\text{C}$, a significant fraction of organic carbon is neither evolved nor completely pyrolysed in the He-mode: organic carbon still evolves (FID signal) and chars (Laser signal derivative) at temperatures higher than 550°C. Our findings imply that analysis of these samples using

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

5 a He4-550 protocol results in a significant fraction of organic carbon evolving in the He/O₂-mode, potentially even after the OC/EC split point. Consequently, EC as defined by a He4-550 protocol such as IMPROVE, should be considered overestimates of the native EC. To prevent this potential overestimation of EC, it is advisable to increase the maximum He-mode temperature above 550°C; however this temperature should be lower than 850°C to minimise the premature LAC evolution.

10 Figure 2a shows that a large fraction (91%) of the anthropogenic SOA carbon evolves and/or pyrolyses by the end of the 650°C step. The results for biogenic SOA and levoglucosan (Figs. 2b,c) are quite similar: 98% of the carbon evolves and/or pyrolyses as the analysis completed the step at 650°C. Conversely, ca. 80% only of the carbon from fulvic acid evolves and/or pyrolyses by the end of the 650°C step. Thus, in a protocol with a maximum temperature in the He-mode of 650°C, a fraction of fulvic acid carries over into He/O₂-mode. Fulvic acid is a high molecular weight substance with a marked degree of aromaticity and, therefore, has a refractory character. But carrying out the analysis at temperatures higher than 650°C a large release of pyrolysed carbon is observed (Fig. 2d): the corresponding FID carbon peak thus consists of OC and evolved PC. In this circumstance, it is impossible to demonstrate that increasing the temperature above 650°C would significantly improve the OC fraction evolved and/or pyrolysed in the He-mode. In addition, similar experiments were performed with ambient atmospheric aerosol collected at the previously mentioned four EUSAAR sites. Results confirm that, for most samples, OC totally evolves or chars as the analyses completed the 650°C step. In a few cases, only a fraction of maximum 10% of OC evolves at higher temperatures. Again, at higher temperatures (from 750°C, and even more at 850°C), premature evolution of LAC occurs; the FID carbon peak is thus the sum of OC and prematurely evolved LAC and, in such case, it is impossible to conclude that increasing the temperature above 650°C would significantly improve OC fraction evolved in the He-mode. Finally, 650°C, the highest temperature reached in the He-mode by the EUSAAR_2 protocol, appears as the best compromise between adequate evolution and/or pyrolysis of OC and a minimum LAC pre-combustion in the He-mode.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

3.2 Temperature plateau durations

NIOSH and IMPROVE protocols also differ in the duration of their temperature steps (Table 1). The IMPROVE time steps are variable, since the shifting to the next temperature set-point is not initiated until the slope of the FID response reaches zero, whereas NIOSH protocols explicitly fix durations at each temperature set-point, often giving rise to overlapping in OC peaks. Typically, individual steps are longer for IMPROVE than for the NIOSH protocol. In this work, residence times at each temperature set-point have been explicitly specified so that i) FID response approaches the baseline before the next set-point, so that carbon peaks are well-defined, which might be useful for comparing the thermal properties of carbonaceous aerosol, and infer information on their predominant sources; and ii) the total analysis time remains suitable for routine monitoring applications.

Particularly crucial is the residence time of the highest He-mode temperature step which needs to be long enough to guarantee that no further pyrolysis and evolution of OC occur at this temperature. Similarly, the duration of the highest temperature step in the He/O₂-mode should allow LAC species to completely evolve before calibration, thereby preventing residual LAC from evolving in the methane calibration peak, biasing both the total LAC load and the calibration peak.

In the EUSAAR₂ protocol (Table 1), residence times at each temperature step were selected such that the various carbon peaks are separated for a vast majority of the samples collected during different seasons and at various sites in Europe, with filter loadings ranging from 5 to 62 μg C cm⁻².

3.3 The helium/oxygen-mode

Ideally, the OC/EC split should occur in the He/O₂-mode, where the FID signal is low, so that the discrimination of OC and EC is less sensitive to the uncertainty in the split point position. Several studies were performed, varying the number of steps, their temperature and duration, to design the He/O₂-mode. In general, with a limited num-

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ber of steps in He/O₂-mode, the occurrence of a split point at the maximum of a high carbon peak, as shown in Fig. 3a, was more frequent, whereas it was hindered with multiple desorption steps, by a smoother FID response profile over the entire He/O₂-mode (Fig. 3b). We compared, in particular, the EUSAAR.1 and EUSAAR.2 protocols, having two and four temperature steps, respectively, in the He/O₂-mode (Table 1). Uncertainties in the EC concentrations were calculated assuming an uncertainty of ±3% for the laser value (Cary, personal communication, 2005). For the EUSAAR-1 protocol, the corresponding uncertainty in the EC concentration ranged from 3 to 15%, whereas for the EUSAAR-2 protocol the uncertainty was generally lower, from 2 to 7%. In short, the conditions for the He/O₂-mode in which uncertainty in the OC/EC split determination was minimised are the following: step 1 500°C for 120 s, step 2 550°C for 120 s, step 3 700°C for 70 s, and finally step 4 850°C for 80 s (Table 1).

4 Conclusions

A detailed study has been performed to assess and then minimise the effects of various procedural *events* that can potentially magnify the inherent biases affecting the results of OC and EC analyses by thermal-optical methods. Our approach to improve the accuracy of the discrimination between OC and EC was based on four goals. First, as charring correction relies on assumptions that proved not to be commonly true, we reduced pyrolysis to minimum levels in favour of a maximum volatilisation of organic carbon by adding and prolonging steps at lower temperatures. Second, we minimised the potential negative bias in EC determination caused by early release of LAC including native EC at high temperature in the He-mode. Third, we minimised the potential positive bias in EC determination resulting from the slipping of residual OC into the He/O₂-mode and its potential evolution after the split point by maximizing the evolution and/or pyrolysis of OC at high temperature in the He-mode. Finally, we reduced the uncertainty arising from the position of the OC/EC split point on the FID response profile through multiple desorption steps in the He/O₂-mode.

**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Since both premature light absorbing carbon (LAC) evolution and slipping of non-light absorbing carbon into the He/O₂-mode occur over a range of temperature which depends on sample load and composition, specifying a single thermal protocol for which both biases would disappear is impossible. The present study indeed demonstrates the need to adopt a compromise that minimises these biases, respectively enhanced if too high and too low temperatures are reached in the He analytical mode. This work largely focused on the determination of the optimal value for this temperature. Analyses of ambient aerosol samples and of LAC-only samples were used to demonstrate that high temperatures in He ($\geq 750^{\circ}\text{C}$) lead to premature LAC evolution possibly containing EC. For instance, more than 20% of LAC prematurely evolves when the maximum He-mode temperature is 850°C as in a NIOSH-type protocol. EC defined by this analysis is biased low because the optical correction for charring assumes that PC and native EC absorption cross sections are equal, whereas σ of PC is higher than σ of EC. While this problem can be mitigated by lowering the maximum He-mode temperature, analyses of ambient aerosol samples and various organics-spiked filters indicate that a too low maximum He-mode temperature ($\leq 550^{\circ}\text{C}$) allow OC to slip into the He/O₂ mode of the analysis. Only 55% of the OC from high molecular mass organic molecules evolves in the He-mode when the maximum He-mode temperature is 550°C as in the IMPROVE protocol. If OC evolves after the OC/EC split, it could bias the EC values high. Therefore, the best compromise is around 650°C , the highest temperature reached in the He-mode by the EUSAAR₂ protocol.

Studies were carried out involving aerosol samples collected at four EUSAAR sites across Europe, at Birkenes, Norway, at K-Puszt, Hungary, at Ispra, Italy, and at Montseny, Spain, that are characteristic of regionally distinct particulate matter mixtures. These studies gave a comprehensive overview of the thermal-optical behaviour of carbonaceous aerosol with diverse chemical properties: differences in the carbon fractions volatilised at the various temperatures and in the extent of the premature evolution of LAC were mainly observed. Among the various protocols we tested, those with a maximum temperature in He set at 650°C , yield the lowest LAC pre-combustion

and the minimum unevolved OC remaining and therefore, the most accurate estimation of EC.

The optimised thermal protocol EUSAAR_2 is defined as follows: step 1 in He, 200°C for 120 s; step 2 in He 300°C for 150 s; step 3 in He 450°C for 180 s; step 4 in He 650°C for 180 s. For steps 1–4 in He/O₂, the conditions are 500°C for 120 s, 550°C for 120 s, 700°C for 70 s, and 850°C for 80 s, respectively. EUSAAR_2 represents the best compromise for the analysis of OC and EC in different types of carbonaceous aerosol mixtures encountered across regional background sites in Europe.

The European atmospheric science community and monitoring networks (e.g. EMEP) are currently lacking an appropriate standardized method for the OC and EC determination. Using the EUSAAR_2 protocol developed in this study will ensure that results obtained by various laboratories are comparable because the potential biases have been minimised as much as possible given the intrinsic limitations of the thermal-optical method. This is an important step forward for getting robust atmospheric OC and EC data sets that can be used in linking emissions, ambient concentrations and effects of the carbonaceous aerosol. This study represents as well a useful tool for understanding and interpreting the differences and potential biases in the measurements performed in the past across the world, and in turn, to possibly reconcile emission, atmospheric and modelled OC and EC data.

5 Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material is available at <http://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/2/2321/2009/amtd-2-2321-2009-supplement.pdf>

Acknowledgement. We would like to acknowledge the support of the European Community – Research Infrastructure Action under the FP6 “Structuring the European Research Area” Programme, EUSAAR Contract N° RII3-CT-2006-026140. We also thank everyone involved in the planning and operation of these experiments.

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



References

- Adar, S. D. and Kaufman, J. D.: Cardiovascular disease and air pollutants: Evaluating and improving epidemiological data implicating traffic exposure, *Inhal. Toxicol.*, 19, 135–149, 2007.
- Andreae, M. O. and Gelencser, A.: Black carbon or Brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3131–3148, 2006,
5 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3131/2006/>.
- BéruBé, K., Balharry, D., Sexton, K., Koshy, L., and Jones, T.: Combustion-derived nanoparticles: Mechanisms of pulmonary toxicity, *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. P.*, 34, 1044–1050, 2007.
- Cachier, H., Bremond, M. P., and Buat-Ménard, P.: Determination of atmospheric soot carbon with a thermal method, *Tellus*, 41B, 379–390, 1989.
- 10 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Prithett, L. C., Pierson, W. R., Frazier, C. A., and Purcell, R. G.: The DRI thermal/optical reflectance carbon analysis system: Description, evaluation, and applications in US air quality studies, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27A, 1185–1201, 1993.
- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Crow, D., Lowenthal, D. H., and Merrifield, T.: Comparison of improve and NIOSH carbon measurements, *Aerosol. Sci. Technol.*, 34, 23–34, 2001.
- 15 Chow J. C., Watson J. G., Chen, L. W. A., Arnott, W. P., and Moosmuller H.: Equivalence of elemental carbon by thermal/optical reflectance and transmittance with different temperature protocols, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38, 4414–4422, 2004.
- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L.-W. A., Paredes-Miranda, G., Chang, M.-C. O., Trimble, D., Fung, K. K., Zhang, H., and Yu, J. Z.: Refining temperature measures in thermal/optical carbon analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2961–2972, 2005,
20 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2961/2005/>.
- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L.-W. A., Chang, M. C. O., Robinson, N. F., Trimble, D., Kohl, S. D.: The IMPROVE.A temperature protocol for thermal/optical carbon analysis: Maintaining consistency with a long-term database, *J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.*, 57(9), 1014–1023, 2007.
- 25 Conny, J. M., Klinedinst, D. B., Wight, S. A., and Paulsen, J. L.: Optimizing thermal-optical methods for measuring atmospheric elemental (black) carbon: A response surface study, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 37, 703–723, 2003.
- 30 Gundel, L. A., Dod, R. L., Rosen, H., and Novakov, T.: The relationship between optical attenuation and Black carbon concentration for ambient and source particles, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 36, 197–202, 1984.

The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Highwood, E. J. and Kinnersley, R. P.: When smoke gets in our eyes: The multiple impacts of atmospheric black carbon on climate, air quality and health, *Environ. Int.*, 32, 560–566, 2006.
- Han, Y., Cao, J. Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., An, Z., Jin, Z., Fung, K., and Liu, S.: Evaluation of the thermal/optical reflectance method for discrimination between char- and soot-EC, *Chemosphere*, 69, 526–533, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.03.024, 2007.
- Hoffer, A., Gelencsér, A., Guyon, P. Schmid, O., Frank, G. P., Artaxo, P., and Andrea, M. O.: Optical properties of humic-like substances (HULIS) in biomass-burning aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3563–3570, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3563/2006/>.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, *Nature*, 409, 695–697, 2001.
- Liousse, C., Cachier, H., and Jennings, S. G.: Optical and thermal measurements of black carbon aerosol content in different environments-variation of the specific attenuation cross-section, *sigma*, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27, 1203–1211, 1993.
- NIOSH Elemental Carbon (Diesel Particulate): Method 5040, <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/pdfs/5040f3.pdf>, 1999.
- Ohta, S. and Okita, T.: Measurements of particulate carbon in urban and marine air in Japanese areas, *Atmos. Environ.*, 18, 2439–2445, 1984.
- Park, S. S., Kim, Y. J., and Fung, K.: PM_{2.5} carbon measurements in two urban areas: Seoul and Kwangju Korea, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 1287–1297, 2002.
- Park, S. S., Bae, M. S., Schuauer, J. J., Ryu, S. Y., Kim, Y. J., Yong Cho, S., and Kim, S. J.: Evaluation of the TMO and TOT methods for OC and EC measurements and their characteristic in PM_{2.5} at an urban site of Korea during ACE-Asia, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 5101–5112, 2005.
- Peterson, M. R. and Richards, M. H.: Thermal-optical-transmittance analysis for organic, elemental, carbonate, total carbon, and OCX₂ in PM_{2.5} by the EPA/NIOSH method. In *Proceedings, Symposium on Air Quality Measurement Methods and Technology-2002*, edited by Winegar, E. D., Tropp, R. J., Air & Waste Management Association, Pittsburgh, PA, 83-1–83-19, 2002.
- Petzold, A., Kopp, C., and Niessner, R.: The dependence of the specific attenuation cross-section on black carbon mass fraction and particle size, *Atmos. Environ.* 31, 661–672, 1997.
- Putaud, J.-P., Raes, F., Van Dingenen, R., Brüeggemann, E. Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S.,

**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hueglin, C., Laj, P., Lorbeer, G., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N., Mueller, K., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Tørseth, K., and Wiedensohler, A. A.: European aerosol phenomenology-2: chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban rural and background sites in Europe, *Atmos. Environ.*, **38**, 2579–2595, 2004.

Ramanathan, V. and Carmichael, G.: Global and regional climate changes due to black carbon, *Nature Geosci.*, **1**, 221–227, doi:10.1038/ngeo156, 2008.

Schauer, J. J., Mader, B. T., Deminter, J. T., Heidemann, G., Bae, M. S., Seinfeld, J. H., Flanagan, R. C., Cary, R. A., Smith, D., Huebert, B. J., Betram, T., Howell, S., Kline, J. T., Quinn, P., Bates, T., Lim, H. J., Yu, J. Z., Yang, H., and Keywood, M. D.: ACE-Asia intercomparison of a thermal-optical method for the determination of particle-phase organic and elemental carbon, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **37**, 993–1001, 2003.

Schmid, H., Laskus, L., Abraham, H. J., Baltensperger, U., Lavanchy, V., Biazjak, M., Burba, P., Cachier, H., Crow, D., Chow, J., Gnauk, T., Even, A., ten Brink, H. M., Giesen, K.-P., Hitzemberger, R., Hueglin, C., Maenhaut, W., Pio, C., Carvahlo, A., Putaus, J.-P., Toom-Sauntry, D., and Puxbaum, H.: Results of the “carbon conference” international aerosol carbon round robin test stage I, *Atmos. Environ.*, **35**, 2111–2121, 2001.

Subramanian, R., Khlystov, A. Y., and Robinson, A. L.: Effect of peak inert-mode temperature on elemental carbon measured using thermal-optical analysis, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, **40**, 763–780, 2006.

Subramanian, R., Roden, C. A., Boparai, P., and Bond, T.: Yellow beads and missing particles: trouble ahead for filter-based absorption measurements, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, **41**, 630–637, 2007.

ten Brink, H., Maenhaut, W., Hitzemberger, R., Gnauk, T., Spindler, G., Even, A., Chi, X., Bauer, H., Puxbaum, H., Putaud, J.-P., Tursic, J., and Berner, A.: INTERCOMP2000: the comparability of methods in use in Europe for measuring the carbon content of aerosol, *Atmos. Environ.*, **38**, 6507–6519, 2004.

US EPA: Modification of Carbon Procedures in the Speciation Network and FAQs. PM_{2.5} speciation Trends Network Newsletter, (April): 2–3, 2006, <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/pm25/spec/spnews5.pdf>.

Yang, H. and Yu, J. Z.: Uncertainties in charring correction in the analysis of elemental and organic carbon in atmospheric particles by thermal/optical methods, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **36**, 5199–5204, 2002.

Yu, J. Z., Xu, J., and Yang, H.: Charring characteristics of atmospheric organic particulate matter in thermal analyses, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 754–761, 2002.

Yu, J. Z. and Li, Q.: Charring minimisation in thermal analysis of aerosol carbon. EC/OC workshop in Durango, USA, 2003.

- 5 Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., and Chen, L.-W. A.: Summary of organic and elemental carbon/black carbon analysis methods and intercomparisons, *Aeros. Air Qual. Res.*, 5, 65–102, 2005.

AMTD

2, 2321–2345, 2009

**The EUSAAR
protocol**

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The EUSAAR
protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Table 1. Temperature protocols used to analyse samples in the present work: temperature set point and residence time are reported. EUSAAR.2 protocol temperature set points and residence times are also reported.

	EPA/NIOSH ^b	NIOSH 5040	IMPROVE ^c	EUSAAR.1 short	EUSAAR.1 long	He4-550	He4-750	He4-850	EUSAAR.2
STEP	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s	T, duration °C, s
He1	310, 60	250, 60	120, 150–580	200, 120	200, 180	200, 180	200, 180	200, 180	200, 120
He2	475, 60	500, 60	250, 150–580	300, 150	300, 240	300, 240	300, 240	300, 240	300, 150
He3	615, 60	650, 60	450, 150–580	450, 180	450, 240	450, 240	450, 240	450, 240	450, 180
He4	900, 90	850, 90	550, 150–580	650, 180	650, 240	650, 240	750, 240	850, 240	650, 180
He/O ₂ 1 ^a	600, 45	650, 30	550, 150–580	550, 240	550, 300	550, 300	550, 300	550, 300	500, 120
He/O ₂ 2	675, 45	750, 30	700, 150–580	850, 150	850, 180	850, 180	850, 180	850, 180	550, 120
He/O ₂ 3	750, 45	850, 30	800, 150–580						700, 70
He/O ₂ 4	825, 45	940, 120							850, 80
He/O ₂ 5	920, 120								

^a A mix of 1% oxygen in UHP helium.

^b The temperature program for the EPA/NIOSH method is reported in Peterson and Richards (2002).

^c The residence time at each temperature in the IMPROVE protocol depends on when the flame ionization detector (FID) signal returns to the baseline to achieve well-defined carbon fractions.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The EUSAAR protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Table 2. TC OC and PC, in $\mu\text{g C cm}^{-2}$ units, obtained from analysis of aerosol samples using both a EPA/NIOSH thermal protocol (Peterson and Richards, 2002) and a version modified by the addition of steps at low temperature. OC is reported as the organic carbon peaks, evolved in the He-mode prior to and after 300°C ; PC is estimated by the sample transmittance over the entire He-mode and assuming a specific absorption cross section of $45\text{ m}^2/\text{g C}$.

THERMAL	sample	TC $\mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$	OC $\mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$		PC ^a $\mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$
			$\leq 300^{\circ}\text{C}$	$> 300^{\circ}\text{C}$	
EPA/NIOSH	1	11.59	2.41	4.27	3.87
Modified EPA/NIOSH	1	11.51	2.81	4.20	3.36
EPA/NIOSH	2	12.79	2.82	4.54	1.99
Modified EPA/NIOSH	2	12.79	3.29	4.60	1.67
EPA/NIOSH	3	8.33	1.93	3.05	3.62
Modified EPA/NIOSH	3	8.30	2.21	2.98	3.12

^a PC peak area estimated from the sample transmittance

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[I◀](#)
[▶I](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


The EUSAAR
protocol

F. Cavalli et al.




Fig. 1. Thermograms from the analysis of a typical ambient $PM_{2.5}$ sample collected in Ispra, with (a) a NIOSH-type and (b) a He-550 protocol.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

The EUSAAR
protocol

F. Cavalli et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 2. Thermograms from the analysis of toluene photo-oxidation aerosol products (a), α -pinene photo-oxidation aerosol products (b), levoglucosan (c), and fulvic acid (d). The protocol used for the analysis has the following steps in the He-mode: He-4 at 550°C, He-5 at 650°C, He-6 at 750°C and He-7 at 850°C, each of 240 s.

The EUSAAR
protocol

F. Cavalli et al.




Fig. 3. Thermograms from the analysis of an aerosol sample with the EUSAAR.1 (a) and EUSAAR.2 (b) protocols.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)