Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 2, C781–C785, 2009 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/2/C781/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



AMTD

2, C781-C785, 2009

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "A new CF-IRMS system for the quantification of the stable isotopes of carbon monoxide from ice cores and small air samples" by Z. Wang and J. E. Mak

T. Röckmann (Referee)

t.roeckmann@uu.nl

Received and published: 17 November 2009

The paper presents an analytical method for stable isotope measurements on CO from ice core air. Whereas the method is strongly based on the one published by Mak and Wang in 1998, the present manuscript presents much more informative data and tests on the method than the original one, and it extends the method to the analysis of ice air samples. Therefore, the manuscript is sufficiently novel to be published in AMT, and it fully fits in the scope of the journal.

I suggest that three issues are improved before the paper can be accepted for publication in AMT.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- 1) The description of blanks, the discussion of the relevant data in the tables and the correction for blanks in the derivation of the final concentration and isotope values.
- 2) Don't you want to say at least a few sentences about the scientific significance of the ice air results? I do not suggest a full interpretation, but at least some remarks on what you see there. For example: How do the isotope values in the ice compare to the annual average in Antarctica? Heavier or lighter? Does it go in the same direction as expected from the gravitational signal, or opposite? How large is the shift compared e.g. to the d15N of N2, which should be a good proxy for the gravitational effect in d13C of CO, since it has the same masses. A few words would make this paper much more interesting than just presenting reproducibility.
- 3) There are (too) many spelling and language errors throughout the manuscript, at least from page 2692 on, which the authors should correct. I note several of them below, but I strongly recommend the authors to perform a thorough language check!
- Ad 1) Please describe in detail what the different blanks are the "analytical blank", the "system blank", the Schütze blank" and the "ice blank"

page 2694, I5 ff: Please describe the data in table 3 in more detail. What is the average isotopic composition? How is this used to correct samples? What about the dependence on the gas amount (or extraction time)? It would have been useful to perform one test with 100 ml only but extended time to be able to differentiate between sample amount and extraction time. If you have data, please show them.

page 2694, I15 ff: please specify: after the ice was flushed with zero air for a few times??? What is really done? Can the blank be decreased even further? Discuss the data in table 4, including the isotope data. How is this blank taken into account in the calculation of the final delta value? And variations of this blank (factor 2 in table 4)? Why is the d18O value from the "dark" experiment significantly lighter than the rest (but the amount and d13C) are not significantly higher? What would you actually expect from photochemical production? Please discuss the table better!

AMTD

2, C781-C785, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



page 2697, section 3.3: Please show how the blank contamination is accounted for in the calculation of the ice core data. there may be two different blanks here, the ice blank and the Schütze blank. How sensitive are the results to these blanks?

I suggest that the tables are listed in the order they are used in the text, and I think this is 3-4-1-2

ad 3)

p2692

I6: and attaching THEM

I16: which informs of the NEED FOR replacement

118: helium AT A flow rate

121: loaded ON, or INJCTED into AT A helium flow

122: what means from back inlet of GC? please clarify and correct language

128: based on A calibration gas

p2693

I1 delete "results"

I3: It is nowhere actually specified what this "new cryogenic vacuum system" actually does. I can read it between the lines around line 10, and I think understand it, but please clarify how it works.

I11: what means the sample is "processed" for 5 min? I think this relates to the last point.

I15/16: please correct language "...is used..."

122 ff: Do you have a reference for permeability of CO through membranes?

AMTD

2, C781-C785, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



I 27: correct language and specify what is really meant "...simple with the dilution process...."

p2694

112: tests BASED

I13: A high ice blank

I16 ff. Please write clearly what is meant. "The is blank was subjected to light tests" is not really precise, I think. <also following sentence: Sample environment with and without light???

p2695:

12: immersed in A cooling bath

14: in A hot water bath held at A temperature

15: remove "occluded", when it has been removed it is not longer occluded

115: is derived FROM the

116 in THE cryogenic

118: delete "of the measurements"

page 2696:

12: tion GAS

17: Air samples WERE collected

18, rewrite sentence

I11: with THE conventional

I12: AliquotS of THE remaining samples

AMTD

2, C781-C785, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



I14 FF: write down the average and standard deviation for the dual inlet and con flow results, either in the text or in table 1. Please also report the usually assigned error for a "full" dual inlet measurement, not only the MS error, this is comparing apples and pears. Also mention that the sample from Mauna Loa can be used to assess the linearity of the system, since this sample is isotopically far away form the others.

I22/23 isotope ratios ARE shown in TABLE 2

126 indicate THAT

p2697

I3: A new analysis technique for SIMULTANEOUS stable isotope ratio () MEASURE-MENTS...

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 2, 2689, 2009.

AMTD

2, C781-C785, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

