Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 3, 3105–3132, 2010 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/3/3105/2010/ doi:10.5194/amtd-3-3105-2010 © Author(s) 2010. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

H_2O and δD profiles remotely-sensed from ground in different spectral infrared regions

M. Schneider 1,* , G. C. Toon 2 , J.-F. Blavier 2 , F. Hase 1 , and T. Leblanc 3

¹Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), IMK-ASF, Karlsruhe, Germany
 ²Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
 ³Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology, Wrightwood, USA
 ^{*}now at: Agencia Estatal de Meteorología (AEMET), CIAI, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

Received: 14 July 2010 - Accepted: 16 July 2010 - Published: 23 July 2010

Correspondence to: M. Schneider (matthias.schneider@kit.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

We present ground-based FTIR (Fourier transform infrared) water vapour analyses performed for three different spectral regions: in the mid-infrared at 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ as well as in the near infrared at 4560–4710 cm⁻¹. All three analyses allow the retrieval of lower, middle, and upper tropospheric water vapour amounts with a vertical resolution of about 2, 4, and 6 km, respectively. The mid-

- infrared analyses allow in addition the retrieval of lower and middle/upper tropospheric δD values with a vertical resolution of 3 and 7 km, respectively. The H₂O profiles retrieved in all three spectral regions show a very good agreement with coincident
 Vaisala RS92 radiosonde measurements performed on seven different days during the Measurements of Humidity in the Atmosphere and Validation Experiment (MOHAVE) 2009 campaign. We analyse 325 ground-based FTIR spectra measured on 11 different days. For optimised line parameters we find that the 325 H₂O profiles retrieved in each
- of the three spectral regions and the 325 δ D profiles retrieved in the two mid-infrared regions agree very well. Spectroscopic parameters are the major error source for the ground-based remote sensing of δ D profiles. Our inter-comparison of the two different
- mid-infrared spectral regions allows thus an empirical estimation of the precision of the remotely-sensed δD data of 10 and 20‰, for the lower and middle/upper troposphere, respectively.

20 1 Introduction

The Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change describes the insufficient understanding of the atmospheric water cycle as a major source of uncertainties of climate projections (Randall et al., 2007). Atmospheric water cycle research is thus of highest scientific priority for predicting climate change.

²⁵ Climate change research requires continuous, high quality, and consistent long-term observations with good global coverage. In the framework of NDACC (Network for the



Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change, Kurylo and Zander, 2000) about 20 ground-based FTIR spectrometers have measured high quality solar absorption spectra in the mid-infrared over many years and at many different globally distributed sites. Currently the TCCON (Total Carbon Column Observing Network) is establishing and measures high quality solar absorption spectra in the near infrared at about 10 globally distributed sites with almost the same type of instrument. High quality ground-based FTIR networks provide consistent long-term data with some global representativeness. Schneider et al. (2010b) document the potential of NDACC for investigating the atmospheric water cycle by providing consistent long-term observations of tropospheric

¹⁰ H₂O and δD profiles ($\delta D = 1000 \times (\frac{[HD^{16}O]/[H_2^{16}O]}{SMOW} - 1)$, whereby SMOW=3.1152×10⁻⁴; with SMOW: Standard Mean Ocean Water). However, Schneider and Hase (2009a,b) demonstrate that the precision of the remotely-sensed H₂O and δD profiles strongly depends on a proper parameterisation of the H₂O and HDO line shapes. The theoretical estimations of Schneider et al. (2006a,b) document that in particular the retrieved δD profiles are very sensitive to inconsistencies in the applied spectroscopic line parameters. Thus, an empirical validation of the remotely-sensed δD profiles is highly

rameters. Thus, an empirical validation of the remotely-sensed δD profiles is highly desirable.

In this paper we document the consistency of the tropospheric H_2O profiles retrieved in three different mid- and near infrared spectral regions as well as the consistency of

- the tropospheric δD profiles retrieved in the two different mid-infrared regions. We analyse 325 spectra measured by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's (JPL) MkIV FTIR spectrometer during the MOHAVE-2009 campaign (MOHAVE: Measurements of Humidity in the Atmosphere and Validation Experiments, http://tmf-lidar.jpl.nasa.gov/campaigns/ mohave2009.htm), which took place in October 2009 at JPL Table Mountain Facility,
- ²⁵ California, USA. The MkIV covers a very broad infrared range (650–5500 cm⁻¹) in a single measurement, which assures us that the absorption signatures recorded in the different spectral regions are produced by the same airmass. Therefore, the consistency check presented here mainly reflects deficiencies in the spectroscopic parameters and is not influenced by the detection of different airmasses. Since errors in the



spectroscopic parameters are the main error source our paper provides a good empirical error assessment of the FTIR δD data. This is important since in order to achieve an optimal signal to noise ratio most ground-based FTIR instruments use optical filters and measure the different mid- and near-infrared spectral regions by a sequence of ⁵ measurements. In this paper we demonstrate that tropospheric H₂O and δD profiles can be consistently retrieved in different spectral regions. The possibility of applying different spectral regions significantly increases the number of recorded spectra that can be used for ground-based water vapour profile analyses, leading to a denser dataset with good temporal and spatial coverage. A dense dataset is important to study the high variability and the long-term behavior of the tropospheric water vapor distribution. Furthermore, it will assure more coincidences with satellite overpasses,

- thereby facilitating the still unsatisfactory validation of the space-based near- and midinfrared sensors with the potential for global tropospheric H₂O and δ D observations: ACE (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment, Nassar et al., 2007), TES (Tropospheric
- ¹⁵ Emission Spectrometer, Worden et al., 2006a), SCIAMACHY (Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography, Frankenberg et al., 2009), and IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer, Herbin et al., 2009). These sensors have different sensitivities: ACE, TES, and IASI measure mainly upper and middle tropospheric δD whereas SCIAMACHY detects column integrated δD . A comprehen-
- sive satellite sensor validation requires δD profile data, and the ground-based FTIR technique is unique in providing such data on a continuous basis.

In Sect. 2 we give a brief description of the MOHAVE-2009 campaign and the MkIV spectrometer. Section 3 presents the analysed spectral regions and Sect. 4 documents the theoretical performances of the retrievals. Sections 5 and 6 compare the

²⁵ retrievals to coincident radiosonde measurements and between each other. Our study is summarised in Sect. 7.



2 FTIR measurements during MOHAVE-2009

5

The MOHAVE-2009 campaign took place at the JPL Table Mountain Facility (TMF) in October 2009. For the campaign a large variety of different experiments performed side-by-side water vapour measurements from the ground to the mesopause:

- 3 water vapour Raman lidars: nighttime measurements, vertical range: ground-20 km.
 - 15 cyrogenic frostpoint hygrometers and 3 frost-point hygrometer radiosondes: during night (in coincidence with lidar observations), vertical range: ground-30 km.
 - 50 Vaisala RS92 radiosondes: Mostly during night, vertical range: ground-15 km.
- 2 microwave radiometer: night and day, vertical range: 20–80 km.
 - 2 GPS receivers: night and day, only total column amounts.
 - FTUVS: daytime measurements, only total column amounts.
 - The MkIV FTIR: daytime measurements, vertical range: from the ground to the upper tropopause.
- ¹⁵ The main focus of the campaign was a further development and a quality assessment of the water vapour lidar technique. Therefore most measurements were performed during night. In addition measurements were performed in coordination with satellite overpasses (Aura MLS, Aura TES, Aqua AIRS, ACE, and MIPAS).

The MkIV FTIR spectrometer was designed and built at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in 1984. Since then it has been operated on different platforms (ground-, balloonbased, and aircraft-based) in the framework of a large variety of different campaigns mainly dedicated to the investigation of stratospheric ozone chemistry. The MkIV can measure high resolution spectra (maximal optical path difference of 200 cm) and covers



a very broad spectral range ($650-5650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), whereby two liquid nitrogen-cooled detectors are applied: an HgCdTe photoconductor (for wavenumbers below 1850 cm^{-1}) and an InSb photodiode for higher wavenumbers. The two detector design prevents photon noise from the high frequencies, where the sun is brighter, from degrading the

signals at the lower frequencies. Simultaneous high-resolution measurement over such a wide spectral region imposes severe constraints on the dynamic range and linearity required of the detectors, pre-amplifiers, and signal chains. In the MkIV, this problem is addressed by using of a 18-bit ADC module. More details about the MkIV spectrometer can be found in Toon (1991) and on the web page: http://mark4sun.jpl.nasa.gov/.

3 The analysis in different spectral regions

We analyse the spectra with the algorithm PROFFIT (Hase et al., 2004). We perform the retrievals in three different spectral regions where ground-based solar absorption spectra have relatively isolated $H_2^{16}O$, $H_2^{18}O$, and $HD^{16}O$ lines of different strength: the 790–1330 cm⁻¹, the 2650–3050 cm⁻¹, and the 4560–4710 cm⁻¹ regions. The fitted spectral microwindows containing the water vapour lines are shown in Figs. 1–3. The Figures show a typical MkIV MOHAVE-2009 measurement with a spectral resolution of about 0.008 cm⁻¹ (OPDmax=116.5 cm). In addition, we fit for all retrievals two CO₂ lines of different strength, which allows us to estimate the temperature from the measured spectra (Schneider and Hase, 2008). Due to the large vertical gradi-

- ent and the large dynamic range of tropospheric water vapour amounts, ground-based water vapour retrievals are very demanding. In order to produce data of good quality we apply the sophisticated recipes presented in Schneider and Hase (2009b). These are: (a) a fit to a variety of water vapour lines with different strength, (b) a logarithmic scale inversion, (c) a speed-dependent Voigt line-shape model, (d) a simultaneous temperature prefile retrieval, and (c) the consideration of etmospheric aminoion for the
- ²⁵ temperature profile retrieval, and (e) the consideration of atmospheric emission for the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ retrieval. For the retrievals in the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3050 cm⁻¹ regions we introduce an $H_2^{16}O-HD^{16}O$ (and $H_2^{16}O-H_2^{18}O$) inter-species constraint. This allows an optimal estimation of δD profiles (Schneider et al., 2006b).



As spectral parameters we use the HITRAN 2008 parameters (Rothman et al., 2009, with 2009 updates), which we slightly modified in order to minimize the systematic differences between the ground-based FTIR profiles and radiosonde data: a modification of 1–2% of the pressure broadening coefficients γ_{air} and of line intensity coefficients I of less than 5%. These modifications are all within the uncertainty ranges given in

J of less than 5%. These modifications are all within the uncertainty ranges given in the HITRAN parameter file and are thus justified. Furthermore, we adapted the line parameters for a speed-dependent Voigt line-shape model, which is recommended for ground-based FTIR water vapour profile remote sensing (Schneider and Hase, 2009a; Schneider et al., 2010c). For further details about the modified HITRAN line parameters applied in this study please refer to (Schneider et al., 2010c).

4 Theoretical performance

Figure 4 shows the averaging kernels for H₂O for the three retrievals and for the typical measurement as shown in Figs. 1–3. In general all three spectral regions offer a similar vertical resolution and sensitivity (sum along the row of the averaging kernel matrix)
¹⁵ with respect to tropospheric H₂O. Structures with a width of 2–3, 4, and 6 km can be detected for the lower, middle, and upper troposphere, respectively. There are small differences concerning the sensitivity and vertical resolution: for the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ region the sensitivity is better than 75% from the surface up to an altitude of 11 km, whereas for the 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ and 4560–4710 cm⁻¹ this sensitivity range extends to above 12 km. In the lower troposphere the mid-infrared retrievals provide more detailed profile information when compared to the near infrared retrieval.

Figure 5 depicts the averaging kernels of δD for the mid-infrared retrievals (790– 1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹). Again, both spectral regions offer a similar vertical resolution but there are slight differences concerning the sensitivity. The natural δD variability is rather small (about 8%). Such a small variability causes only weak spectral signatures and as a consequence the profiles of δD are more difficult to measure than profiles of H₂O. The vertical resolution is limited to about 3 km for the lower troposphere



and 7 km for the middle/upper troposphere and the sensitivity is larger only than 75% for altitude below about 8.5 and 9 km for the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals, respectively.

The trace of the averaging kernel matrix quantifies the amount of information intro-⁵ duced by the measurement. It can be interpreted in terms of degrees of freedom of the measurement (dof). The larger this value the more independent the solution is from the a priori assumptions. Table 1 collects the typical dof values for the retrievals in the different spectral regions. The dof values are between 2 and 3 for H₂¹⁶O and HD¹⁶O. In the middle infrared regions we retrieve both isotopologues, which explains that the typical overall water vapour dof value is about 5 compared to 2.6 in case of the near infrared, where we only retrieve the main isotopologue. In addition in the 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ region we get some information from the H₂¹⁸O isotopologue.

5 H₂O profiles

During MOHAVE-2009 many radiosondes were launched. Although most launches were during night (in order to coincide with the lidar measurements) there were seven Vaisala RS92 measurements performed at the same time as MkIV measurements. The sondes were launched about 350 m from the location of the spectrometer. Therefore, the coincident RS92 in-situ and the MkIV remote sensing experiments detect very similar airmasses, at least at low altitudes.

Figure 6 compares the 7 coincident RS92 and MkIV H₂O profiles (for the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ retrieval): the small black squares show the RS92 profiles after the so-called time-lag, radiation, and empirical corrections were applied (Miloshevich et al., 2004, 2009). These in-situ profiles offer a very high vertical resolution. To the contrary, the remote sensing technique only allows resolving rather rough vertical structures
 (see averaging kernels of Fig. 4). For an adequate comparison we have to degrade the RS92 profiles to the vertical resolution of the MkIV profiles. Therefore, we convolve the



vertically highly-resolved RS92 profiles (x_{RS92}) with the FTIR averaging kernels \hat{A} :

 $\hat{x}_{\text{RS92}} = \hat{A}(x_{\text{RS92}} - x_{\text{a}}) + x_{\text{a}}$

The result is a smoothed RS92 profile (\hat{x}_{RS92}) with the same vertical resolution as the FTIR profile (x_a in Eq. (1) stands for the a priori climatological mean profile). The black stars in Fig. 6 depict these \hat{x}_{RS92} profiles. Their comparison to the vertically highly-resolved profiles (black squares) gives a good impression about the vertical H₂O structures that can be resolved by the ground-based FTIR remote sensing technique: a ground-based FTIR system is well able to detect the relatively large water vapour amount between 7 and 12 km on day 23 October 2009 but its vertical resolution is too rough for reproducing the sharp maximum at 11.5 km on day 25 October 2009. The red

- 10 rough for reproducing the sharp maximum at 11.5 km on day 25 October 2009. The red circles represent the profiles as retrieved from the MkIV spectra in the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ region. They agree very well with the smoothed RS92 profiles and reveal the capability of the FTIR system to capture the large dynamic of tropospheric water vapour and to distinguish between lower and upper tropospheric humidity.
- ¹⁵ Figure 7 summarizes the RS92-MkIV H₂O profile comparisons. It depicts the differences between the FTIR and smoothed RS92 profiles (difference between red circles and black stars of Fig. 6) for all three spectral region. Generally the agreement is within 20% (defined as 2× FTIR-RS92). The large relative difference between FTIR and RS92 on 28 October 2009 are similar for all retrievals. On this day the troposphere is very
- dry (compare Fig. 6) and the observation of a slightly more humid airmasses by the RS92 if compared to the FTIR can be responsible for this outlier. For all three retrievals the mean difference to the sonde (thick grey line) is smaller than 10% and the standard deviation is within 20% throughout the troposphere, documenting the good quality of all the remotely-sensed profiles.
- ²⁵ During MOHAVE-2009 325 spectra were measured on 11 different days. We made 325 retrievals in each of the three spectral regions and analysed their consistency. Figure 8 shows the correlations between the column integrated, lower, middle, and upper tropospheric water vapour amounts obtained by the 325 retrievals in the three



(1)

spectral regions. The top panels show the correlations between the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals and the lower panel between the 4560–4710 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals. The correlations are very strong (coefficients ρ are close to 1 throughout the troposphere). Figure 8 proves that the water vapour profiles obtained in the three different spectral regions are very consistent. In all three spectral regions the FTIR system observes almost identical lower, middle, and upper tropospheric water vapour variations.

In Fig. 9 we depict a statistics of the difference between the different water vapour retrievals. The left panel shows the mean and 1σ standard deviation (black line and error bars) of difference between the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals. The mean difference is smaller than 9% throughout the troposphere and the scatter is smaller than 16%. When comparing two remotely-sensed profiles we have to account for differences in the averaging kernels. The H₂O averaging kernels of the 790– 1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals are similar but not identical (see Fig. 4). With a statistics of the difference between the real state *x* and the climatological mean state x_a represented by the ensemble { $x-x_a$ } we can estimate how the differences in the averaging kernels affects our comparison:

 $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2\} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_1 - \hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_2)\{\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_a\}$

5

Here \hat{A}_1 and \hat{A}_2 are typical averaging kernels for the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and the 2650– 3180 cm⁻¹ retrieval, respectively. The ensemble $\{e_1 - e_2\}$ represents the expected differences between the two retrievals caused by the different averaging kernels (see also Rodgers and Connor, 2003). The grey areas in the graphs of Fig. 9 represent the 1σ area of the ensemble $\{e_1 - e_2\}$. We observe that most of the difference (mean and scatter) between the retrieved H₂O profiles can be explained by the averaging kernels.

The profiles are very consistent and if accounting for the different averaging kernels the agreement between the different retrievals is very likely better than 5%. Only the somewhat increased difference between the lower tropospheric amounts obtained from the 4560–4710 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals cannot be explained by the averaging



(2)

kernels. It is very likely due to inconsistencies between the spectroscopic line parameters of these two spectral regions.

6 δD profiles

- Besides the ground-based FTIR there is no other MOHAVE-2009 experiment with the capability to measure tropospheric δD profiles. So we cannot perform a comparison as shown in Figs. 6 and 7 for the H₂O profiles. Instead we compare statistics. The grey area in Fig. 10 represents the 1 σ range of subtropical δD in-situ measurements performed by Ehhalt (1974) and Zahn (2001). Superimposed are statistics of δD as retrieved from the MOHAVE-2009 MkIV spectra. The red line shows the mean and the 1 σ standard deviation for all the 325 δD profiles retrieved in the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ region, the blue line and error bars show the same but for the 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ retrievals. In both spectral regions we observe the monotonic decrease of δD values between the lower and upper troposphere. Since small inconsistencies between the HD¹⁶O and H₂¹⁶O line parameters would significantly change the retrieved δD profile shape
- ¹⁵ (Schneider et al., 2006b), Fig. 10 suggests that the modified HITRAN 2008 HD¹⁶O and H₂¹⁶O line parameters given by Schneider et al. (2010c) are quite consistent.

In Fig. 11 we depict correlations between the δD values obtained by the retrievals in the two mid-infrared spectral regions. The agreement is very satisfactory. Please keep in mind that the axis are in the ‰ scale, i.e. Fig. 11 shows variations of a few percent only. Such small variation are very challenging for a remote sensing system. Nevertheless, we observe that for both spectral regions the same small variation in δD

are detected. Keeping in mind that uncertainties in the spectroscopic parameters are the major error source for ground-based δD remote sensing (Schneider et al., 2006b), the strong correlation between the δD profiles retrieved in two different spectral regions is a robust demonstration of the guality of these profiles.



Figure 12 shows the mean and standard deviation for the 325 δ D profiles retrieved in the two mid-infrared regions. The mean difference is very low in the lower troposphere (mean and 1 σ standard deviation of smaller than 10‰). It is largest in the middle/upper troposphere (at 9 km it is about 25‰). A part of these differences is caused by the slightly different averaging kernels (the grey area shows the estimation according to Eq. 2). The difference is the sum of the errors from both retrievals. Assuming a certain independence of the retrievals we can conclude that each retrieval produces lower and middle/upper tropospheric δ D with a precision of better than 10 and 20‰, respectively.

7 Conclusions

5

- ¹⁰ We show that tropospheric H_2O and δD profiles can be obtained from infrared solar absorption spectra recorded in different spectral regions. The mid-infrared regions (790– 1330 cm⁻¹ and the 2650–3180 cm⁻¹) are measured regularly by the NDACC groundbased FTIR spectrometers, whereas the near infrared region (4560–4710 cm⁻¹) is covered by the TCCON spectrometers. Concerning H_2O all three spectral regions allow the distinction between lower, middle, and upper tropospheric water vapour according
- to the averaging kernels of Fig. 4. During the MOHAVE-2009 campaign the agreement with the Vaisala RS92 radiosonde profiles is within 20%. When applying optimised spectroscopic parameters the different water vapour profiles are very consistent. The agreement between the retrievals is very likely better than 5% throughout the tropo-
- 20 sphere. Therefore, we can collect the water vapour profiles obtained in the different spectral regions in a single database. Such a collection of long-term continuous water vapour profile data is important for satellite validation and long-term climate studies.

In both mid-infrared regions we can detect δD profiles and distinguish between the lower and middle/upper tropospheric δD variability. There are no reference δD profile

²⁵ measurements made in coincidence with the FTIR measurements and an empirical validation of the FTIR δD profiles is difficult. At least the FTIR data are in good agreement with climatological data obtained from a variety of in-situ measurements. Furthermore,



the δD profiles from both spectral regions are very consistent: we observe a 1 σ scatter between both retrievals of 10 and 25‰ in the lower and middle/upper troposphere, respectively. Most of this scatter can be explained by differences in the vertical resolution of the δD profiles from the two spectral regions. Theoretically, the leading error source

- of ground-based FTIR δD profiles are deficits in the spectroscopic line parameters. Therefore, the good agreement between retrievals performed in two different spectral regions can be interpreted as a first empirical error assessment. Our study suggests that 10 and 20‰ is an upper estimate of the FTIR's lower and middle/upper tropospheric δD precision. This is very satisfactory and underlines the large potential of
 the NDACC FTIR measurements in the field of water cycle research and confirms their
- essential role for validating the different space-based tropospheric δD measurements.

Acknowledgements. M. Schneider has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via the project RISOTO (Geschäftszeichen SCHN 1126/1-1 and 1-2). We are grateful to the Goddard Space Flight Center for providing the temperature and pressure profiles of the National Centers for Environmental Prediction via the automailer system. Part of this work was

performed at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under contract with NASA.

References

20

Ehhalt, D. H.: Vertical profiles of HTO, HDO, and H₂O in the Troposphere, Rep. NCAR-TN/STR-100, Natl. Cent. for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., 1974. 3115, 3130

- Frankenberg, C., Yoshimura, K., Warneke, T., Aben, I., Butz, A., Deutscher, N., Griffith, D., Hase, F., Notholt, J., Schneider, M., Schrejver, H., and Röckmann, T.: Dynamic processes governing lower-tropospheric HDO/H₂O ratios as observed from space and ground, Science, 325, 1374–1377, doi:10.1126/science.1173791, 2009. 3108
- ²⁵ Hase, F., Hannigan, J. W., Coffey, M. T., Goldman, A., Höpfner, M., Jones, N. B., Rinsland, C. P., and Wood, S. W.: Intercomparison of retrieval codes used for the analysis of high-resolution, ground-based FTIR measurements, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 87, 25–52, 2004. 3110
 Herbin, H., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., Clarisse, L., and Coheur, P.-F.: H₂¹⁶O and HDO mea-



surements with IASI/MetOp, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9433–9447, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9433-2009, 2009. 3108

- Kurylo, M. J. and Zander, R.: The NDSC Its status after 10 years of operation, Proceedings of XIX Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, 167–168, 2000. 3107
- Miloshevich, L. M., Paukkunen, H., Vömel, H., and Oltmans, S. J.: Development and validation of a time lag correction for Vaisala radiosonde humidity measurements, J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 21, 1305–1327, 2004. 3112

5

Miloshevich, L. M., Vömel, H., Whiteman, D. W., and Leblanc, T.: Accuracy assessment and

- ¹⁰ correction of Vaisala RS92 radiosonde water vapor measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D11305, doi:10.1029/2008JD011565, 2009. 3112, 3126
 - Nassar, R., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Gettelman, A., McLeod, S. D., and Rinsland, C. P.: Variability in HDO/H₂O abundance ratios in the tropical tropopause layer, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D21305, doi:10.1029/2007JD008417, 2007. 3108
- ¹⁵ Randall, D. A., Wood, R. A., Bony, S., Colman, R., Fichefet, T., Fyfe, J., Kattsov, V., Pitman, A., Shukla, J., Srinivasan, J., Stouffer, R. J., Sumi, A., and Taylor, K. E.: Climate Models and Their Evaluation, In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Timmer, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge, Uking, Combridge, HK, and Naw, York
- ²⁰ Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, 2007. 3106
 - Rodgers, C. D. and Connor, B. J.: Intercomparison of remote sounding instruments, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4116, doi:10.1029/2002JD002299, 2003. 3114

Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barbe, A., Chris Benner, D., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M., Boudon, V.,

- Brown, L. R., Campargue, A., Champion, J.-P., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Fally, S., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Jacquemart, D., Kleiner, I., Lacome, N., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Mikhailenko, S. N., Miller, C. E., Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Naumenko, O. V., Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V. I., Perrin, A., Predoi-Cross, A., Rinsland, C. P., Rotger, M., Simecková, M., Smith, M. A. H., Sung, K.,
- Tashkun, S. A., Tennyson, J., Toth, R. A., Vandaele, A. C., and Vander-Auwera, J.: The HITRAN 2008 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 533–572, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2009.02.013, 2009. 3111

Schneider, M., Hase, F., and Blumenstock, T.: Water vapour profiles by ground-based FTIR



sion of around 1 DU applying mid-infrared solar absorption spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 63–71, doi:10.5194/acp-8-63-2008, 2008. 3110 Schneider, M. and Hase, F.: Improving spectroscopic line parameters by means of atmospheric

Schneider, M. and Hase, F.: Technical Note: Recipe for monitoring of total ozone with a preci-

spectroscopy: study for an optimised retrieval and its validation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6,

profiles: introduction and validation of an innovative retrieval approach, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,

Schneider, M., Hase, F., and Blumenstock, T.: Ground-based remote sensing of HDO/H₂O ratio

6, 4705–4722, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4705-2006, 2006, 3107, 3110, 3115

811-830, doi:10.5194/acp-6-811-2006, 2006. 3107

5

20

- spectra: theory and example for water vapour and solar absorption spectra, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 1825–1839, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2009.04.011, 2009a. 3107, 3111
 - Schneider, M. and Hase, F.: Ground-based FTIR water vapour profile analyses, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 609–619, doi:10.5194/amt-2-609-2009, 2009. 3107, 3110

Schneider, M., Romero, P. M., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., Cuevas, E., and Ramos, R.: Con-

- tinuous quality assessment of atmospheric water vapour measurement techniques: FTIR, Cimel, MFRSR, GPS, and Vaisala RS92, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 323–338, doi:10.5194/amt-3-323-2010, 2010.
 - Schneider, M., Yoshimura, K., Hase, F., and Blumenstock, T.: The ground-based FTIR network's potential for investigating the atmospheric water cycle, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3427–3442, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3427-2010, 2010. 3107
 - Schneider, M., Hase, F., Blavier, J.-F., Toon, G. C., and Leblanc, T.: An empirical study on the importance of a speed-dependent Voigt line-shape model in different water vapor bands, submitted to J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 2010c. 3111, 3115

Toon, G. C.: The JPL MkIV interferometer, Opt. Photonics News, 2, 19–21, 1991. 3110

- ²⁵ Worden, J. R., Bowman, K., Noone, D., Beer, R., Clough, S., Eldering, A., Fisher, B., Goldman, A., Gunson, M., Herman, R., Kulawik, S. S., Lampel, M., Luo, M., Osterman, G., Rinsland, C., Rodgers, C., Sander, S., Shephard, M., and Worden, H.: TES observations of the tropospheric HDO/H₂O ratio: retrieval approach and characterization, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D16), D16309, doi:10.1029/2005JD006606, 2006. 3108
- ³⁰ Zahn, A.: Constraints on 2-way transport across the Arctic Tropopause based on O₃, stratospheric tracer (SF₆) Ages, and water vapor isotope (D, T) tracers, J. Atmos. Chem., 39, 303–325, 2001. 3115, 3130



Discussion Pa	AMTD3, 3105–3132, 2010H2O and δD profiles from different spectral regionsM. Schneider et al.		
iner I Discussion			
Pan	Title Page		
Ð	Abstract	Introduction	
_	Conclusions	References	
iscuss	Tables	Figures	
on P	[◄	۶I	
aner			
_	Back	Close	
Disc	Full Screen / Esc		
Ission	Printer-friendly Version		
Pan	Interactive Discussion		
Ð,	œ	() BY	

Table 1. Typical degree of freedoms (dof) with respect to water vapour for the measurements in the different spectral regions.

Spectral range	Dof	Isotopologues
790–1330 cm ⁻¹	4.8	H ₂ ¹⁶ O, HD ¹⁶ O
2650–3180 cm ⁻¹	5.2	H ₂ ¹⁶ O, H ₂ ¹⁸ O, HD ¹⁶ O
4560–4710 cm ⁻¹	2.6	H ₂ ¹⁶ O



Fig. 1. Spectral microwindows of the $790-1330 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ retrieval taken from a spectra measured on the 18 October 2009, at a solar elevation of 17.8° , and for a total water vapour column amount of 5 mm. Black line: measured spectrum; red line: simulated spectrum; blue line: residuals (difference between measurement and simulation).





Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but for the $2650-3180 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ region.





Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 1 but for the $4560-4710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ region.





Fig. 4. Averaging kernels for $In[H_2O]$ for the three analysed spectral regions. Grey lines: kernels for all atmospheric model grid levels; red, green, and blue lines: kernels for the 3, 5.5, and 8 km grid level (representative for the lower, middle, and upper troposphere), respectively; thick black line: sensitivity (sum along the row of the averaging kernel matrix).





Fig. 5. Averaging kernels for δD (expressed as $\ln \frac{[HDO]}{[H_2O]}$) for the mid-infrared spectral regions (790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹). Grey lines: kernels for all atmospheric model grid levels; red and green lines: kernels for the 3 and 6.5 km grid level (representative for the lower and middle/upper troposphere); thick black line: sensitivity (sum along the row of the averaging kernel matrix).





Fig. 6. Comparison of the seven coincident FTIR and Vaisala RS92 H_2O measurements made during MOHAVE-2009 (18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 28 October 2009). Profiles are presented as percentage difference to a subtropical climatological profile, FTIR profiles are for a retrieval in the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ region. black squares: RS92 data corrected by the Miloshevich et al. (2009) method; black stars: RS92 smoothed with FTIR averaging kernels; Red circles: FTIR.











Fig. 8. Correlation between the 325 H_2O profile retrievals for the different spectral regions. Top panels: 790–1330 cm⁻¹ region (y-axes) versus 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ region (x-axis); bottom panels: 4560–4710 cm⁻¹ region (y-axes) versus 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ region (x-axis). From left to right: total column amounts (in mm), volume mixing ratios (in ppm) for the lower, middle, and upper troposphere. The correlation coefficient ρ and the slope of the linear regression line *m* are written in each panel.





Fig. 9. Difference between the H_2O profiles retrieved in different spectral regions. Left panel: difference between 790–1330 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ region; right panel: difference between 4560–4710 cm⁻¹ and 2650–3180 cm⁻¹ region. Black line and error bars mean: 1 σ standard deviation of the differences between the 325 retrievals; grey area: theoretical differences due to different averaging kernels (see Eq. 2).





Fig. 10. Statistics of subtropical δD profiles: grey area: 1σ range of δD measured typically in the subtropics (Ehhalt, 1974; Zahn, 2001); lines and error bars: mean and 1σ standard deviation of the 325 MOHAVE-2009 FTIR retrievals; red: in the 790–1330 cm⁻¹ region; blue: in the 2650–3050 cm⁻¹ region.











