Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 3499–3544, 2011 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/4/3499/2011/ doi:10.5194/amtd-4-3499-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

## An aircraft based three channel broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer for simultaneous measurements of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>

O. J. Kennedy<sup>1</sup>, B. Ouyang<sup>1</sup>, J. M. Langridge<sup>1,\*</sup>, M. J. S. Daniels<sup>3</sup>, S. Bauguitte<sup>2</sup>, R. Freshwater<sup>1</sup>, M. W. McLeod<sup>1</sup>, C. Ironmonger<sup>1</sup>, J. Sendall<sup>1</sup>, O. Norris<sup>1</sup>, R. Nightingale<sup>1</sup>, S. M. Ball<sup>3</sup>, and R. L. Jones<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, UK <sup>2</sup>Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements, Bedfordshire, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicestershire, UK <sup>\*</sup>now at: Cooperative institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado, Boulder and NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL), Chemical Sciences Division, Boulder, CO, USA







## Abstract

A three channel broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (BBCEAS) instrument has been developed for airborne measurements of atmospheric trace gases involved in night-time oxidation chemistry and air quality. The instrument was deployed on board the Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements BAe 146-301 atmospheric research aircraft during the Role of Nighttime Chemistry in Controlling the Oxidising Capacity of the Atmosphere (RONOCO) measurement campaigns between December 2009 and January 2011. In its present configuration (i.e. specifications of the cavity optics and spectrometers) the instrument is designed to measure NO<sub>3</sub>,

- $^{10}$  N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (by detection of NO<sub>3</sub> after thermal dissociation of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>2</sub> by characterising the wavelength dependent optical attenuation within ambient samples by molecular absorption around 662 nm (NO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O) and 445 nm (NO<sub>2</sub>). This paper reports novel advancements in BBCEAS instrumentation including a refined method for performing BBCEAS mirror reflectivity calibrations using measurements of the phase
- <sup>15</sup> delay introduced by the optical cavities to amplitude modulated radiation. Furthermore, a new methodology is introduced for fitting the strong but unresolved transitions of water vapour, which is required for accurate retrieval of water absorption features from the 662 nm absorption band used to measure NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations. The paper also details the first example of airborne measurements of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> over Europe from
- a flight over the North Sea and Thames Estuary on the night of the 20 July 2010, one of the most polluted days of the RONOCO summertime flying period. As part of this analysis, the performance of the BBCEAS instrument is assessed by comparing airborne NO<sub>2</sub> measurements to those reported concurrently by a photolytic chemiluminescence based detector.





## 1 Introduction

First reported in the literature by O'Keefe and Deacon (1988), cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) has become a well-established technique for ultra-sensitive detection of species in the gas and liquid phases (Brown, 2003; Mazurenka et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2002; Wada et al., 2007; Hallock et al., 2002; Scherer et al., 1997; Wang and Zhang, 2000). In particular, it has found frequent application as a detection method for atmospherically important gases, which are often inherently weak absorbers or present in trace quantities (Brown et al., 2002a, b; Simpson, 2003; Wang and Zhang, 2000). More recently, cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (CEAS), a related technique first proposed by Engeln et al. (1998), has been demonstrated as a viable alternative to CRDS. CEAS employs continuous wave (CW) light sources instead of the pulsed lasers traditionally used for CRDS. The two techniques achieve similar detection performance, although CEAS is often implemented using simpler experimental schemes that do not require the fast response detectors needed for CRDS. A popular variant

- of CEAS, and that used in the present work, is broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (BBCEAS) which was first reported in the literature by Fiedler et al. (2003). BBCEAS differs from single wavelength CEAS in that it captures wavelength resolved absorption spectra, which can be used to simultaneously and unambiguously quantify multiple absorbing species in a sample through the application of
- spectral fitting methods commonly used for differential optical absorption spectroscopy (Platt and Stutz, 2008; Ball and Jones, 2009). To date BBCEAS has been used in a diverse range of laboratory investigations. Examples include: Langridge et al. (2009) who simultaneously monitored HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations while studying the photocatalytic properties of a TiO<sub>2</sub> doped glass surface; Chen and Venables (2011) who
- determined the absorption cross sections of O<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and various hydrocarbons in the near-ultraviolet wavelength region; and Ball et al. (2010) who simultaneously monitored I<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations during an investigation of biogenic emissions by a range of seaweeds. BBCEAS has also been utilised, albeit to a lesser extent, for





in situ atmospheric field measurements. These studies have most commonly involved observations of NO<sub>3</sub> via its strong  $B^2E' - X_2A'_2$  electronic transition centred around 662 nm, and its reservoir species N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, which is measured indirectly following thermal dissociation to NO<sub>3</sub>. For example, BBCEAS was used by Langridge et al. (2008) and later by Benton et al. (2010), respectively, to measure the sum of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in the marine boundary layer at Roscoff, France and in and above the urban boundary layer in London by deploying a BBCEAS instruments at the top of the BT communications

- tower. The purpose of this paper is to present what is to the authors' knowledge the first aircraft-based BBCEAS instrument for in situ atmospheric measurements. It has
- <sup>10</sup> three channels and is capable of simultaneously measuring concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>2</sub>. These gases are of interest due to their participation in a range of atmospheric processes: oxidation by NO<sub>3</sub> controls the lifetimes of some species while deposition of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> onto certain aerosol surfaces represents a potentially important but presently unquantified sink of diurnally aggregated NO<sub>x</sub> (Chang et al., 2011).
- <sup>15</sup> The BBCEAS instrument is one of a suite of instruments on board the United Kingdom's BAe 146-301 Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM) research aircraft, which collectively provide comprehensive characterisation of a range of important trace gases and aerosol species (Pfister et al., 2006; Capes et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2009; Lewis et al., 2007; Andrés-Hernández et al., 2010).

#### 20 1.1 BBCEAS experimental technique

5

The BBCEAS technique and spectral analysis procedure has been widely reported in the literature and is only briefly described here. For further details the reader is directed to recent publications by Ball and Jones (2009) and Langridge et al. (2008). A BBCEAS experiment involves irradiation of a high finesse optical cavity, formed using two highly <sup>25</sup> reflective mirrors, by an incoherent broadband CW light source. Under irradiation, photons resonate between the cavity mirrors increasing their average lifetime within the cavity by a factor of  $1/[1 - R(\lambda)]$ , where  $R(\lambda)$  is the wavelength dependent reflectivity of the cavity's mirrors. For a typical BBCEAS cavity of 1 m length constructed from





 $R(\lambda) = 0.9999$  mirrors, the average 1/e lifetime of intracavity photons is 30 µs. In this time, photons traverse an effective path length of 10 kilometres inside the cavity, making possible observations of optical extinctions of the order of  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. The intensity transmitted by an optical cavity under CW irradiation rapidly reaches steady state. The

steady state intensity is determined by the balance between the rate at which light couples into the cavity and the rate at which it exits it due to transmission through the cavity mirrors and extinction (equal to the sum of absorption and scattering) by the intracavity medium. Engeln et al. (1998) demonstrated that with accurate knowledge of cavity mirror reflectivities, the steady state intensities measured in the presence
 and absence of an intracavity optical attenuator can be used to infer the magnitude of intracavity photon extinction using:

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \left(\frac{I_0(\lambda)}{I(\lambda)} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - R(\lambda)}{d}\right)$$

where: *d* is the distance separating the cavity mirrors and, at wavelength  $\lambda$ ,  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is the optical extinction coefficient of the sample within the cavity and  $I(\lambda)$  and  $I_0(\lambda)$  are the transmitted intensities in the presence and absence of the absorber, respectively.

#### 2 Instrument description

## 2.1 Optical layout

15

20

The optical layout of the three channel instrument is detailed schematically in Fig. 1. The instrument comprises three 94 cm long optical cavities each constructed from pairs of high reflectivity mirrors. Each mirror is isolated from the sample flow by a purge volume that is continuously flushed with 100 standard cubic centimetres per minute (SCCM) of dry nitrogen to prevent deposition of aerosols and precipitation to the mirror surfaces. Two of the optical cavities (those for NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> detection), herein referred to as channels 1 and 2, are identical from an optical standpoint and employ



(1)



mirrors with maximum reflectivity of 0.9999 centred at 650 nm and have radii of curvature of 6 m (Layertec GmbH, Germany). Channels 1 and 2 are excited by red light emitting diodes (LedEngin LZ1-10R205) that consume 4.7 W of electrical power. At full luminosity each LED outputs 685 mW of optical power and has an approximately

- Gaussian shaped emission of approximately 25 nm full width at half maximum (FWHM) centred at 660 nm. The third cavity (for detection of NO<sub>2</sub>), herein referred to as channel 3, uses mirrors with peak reflectivities of 0.99985 at 445 nm and radii of curvature of 6 m (Layertec GmbH, Germany). The corresponding light source is a dental blue LED (LedEngin LZ1-10DB05) which consumes of 5.7 W of electrical power and outputs 1175 mW of optical power with a near-Gaussian emission profile of 25 nm FWHM
- centred around 460 nm.

15

Light emerging from each of the LEDs is spatially incoherent, and collimation is therefore required for effective coupling into the corresponding optical channel. This is achieved by first coupling the LED outputs into multi-mode optical fibres with 550  $\mu$ m diameter cores and 0.22 numerical apertures. The output of each fibre is then recollimated using an achromatic lens with a 5 cm focal length.

Light transmitted through each optical channel is coupled into a bifurcated fibre optic bundle using a 30 mm focal length achromatic lens. The common end of each bifurcated fibre bundle houses seven  $100 \,\mu$ m diameter, 0.22 numerical aperture multi-

- <sup>20</sup> mode fibres. Six of these fibres are directed to a miniature Ocean Optics QE65000 spectrometer, which measures the wavelength dependent cavity output intensity. The remaining fibre is directed to a photomultiplier tube (PMT) that is used for the phase sensitive measurements needed to quantify the cavity mirror reflectivity (see Sect. 2.3). In total three spectrometers are used, each comprising a spectrograph interfaced to a
- charged couple device (CCD) that is thermally stabilised at -15° C to minimise dark current. The diffraction gratings and entrance slits used for each spectrometer were chosen to achieve the desired spectral coverage and resolution for the three channels, as detailed in Table 1.





To overcome the effects of vibrations, especially those associated with take-off and landing, the optical components are decoupled from the instrument frame and aircraft chassis. Each of the three cavities is mounted on a 6 mm thick aluminium plate (see Fig. 2) which is attached to the top of the instrument frame using anti-vibration (AV) mounts. In a similar fashion, the three spectrometers are attached to another AV mounted aluminium plate which is positioned inside a darkened enclosure (also visible in Fig. 2).

## 2.2 Ambient air sampling and inlet design

5

A schematic illustrating the flow of ambient air through the instrument is shown in blue in Fig. 3. The same figure also shows the flows of nitrogen flush gas (red) used periodically when acquiring the background spectrum of light transmitted through the cavity in the absence of the ambient absorbers – see Sect. 2.3. The instrument has two inlets situated on the aircraft fuselage at approximately 4 m from the aircraft nose and 10 cm from the aircraft body (i.e. to sample air from beyond the aircraft's boundary

- <sup>15</sup> layer), as shown in Fig. 4. Both inlets are rear facing to prevent entry of precipitation. The first inlet, herein referred to as inlet 1, is used for sampling ambient air while the second inlet, herein referred to as inlet 2, is used to draw ambient air through a sheath encompassing channel 2 (see Table 1), which measures ambient NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations. The purpose of this sheath flow is to maintain the temperature of channel 2 at the same
- <sup>20</sup> temperature as air outside of the aircraft. This minimises the potential for perturbation of the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub> equilibrium due to heating of the sample as it enters the aircraft cabin, which during the flights associated with the RONOCO campaign was typically of the order of 0 °C to 30 °C warmer than the ambient air.

During sampling ambient air is drawn through inlet 1, which consists of a thermally insulated 60 cm long 5/32 inch internal diameter (ID) perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) tube, at a rate of 501 per minute (LPM). A PFA tee-piece is then used to divide the flow into two conduits, herein referred to as conduits 1 and 2, which pull 30 LPM and 20 LPM, respectively. In conduit 1 the sample is first directed through a preheater consisting





of a 68 cm long 1/2 inch outside diameter (OD) PFA tube which is heated to 120°C to facilitate the complete thermal dissociation of  $N_2O_5$  in the sample into  $NO_3$  and  $NO_2$ . The dissociation efficiency of  $N_2O_5$  inside the preheater is 100 %. This was inferred from a modelling study which showed the complete heating of the sample to 120°C inside the preheater for starting temperatures ranging from -20 to 20°C under the instrument's flow regime (dissociation at 120 °C takes less that 0.1 s at typical atmospheric concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>). The heated sample then enters into channel 1, comprised of a 1/2 inch ID PFA tube maintained at 80°C, where the sum of the concentrations of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> formed from thermally dissociated  $N_2O_5$  is measured. In conduit 2, the sample passes via an 8 cm long 5/32 inch ID PFA tube 10 into channel 2, comprised of a 1/2 inch ID PFA tube, where detection of ambient NO<sub>2</sub> occurs. The flow exiting channel 2 is immediately directed into channel 3, comprised of a 7/8 inch ID PFA tube, where NO<sub>2</sub> detection occurs. The gas outflows from conduits 1 and 2 are recombined and pass through a flow controller and pump before entering the aircraft exhaust line. 15

#### 2.3 Acquisition of $I_0(\lambda)$ and determination of cavity mirror reflectivities

During flight operations, the background spectrum  $I_0(\lambda)$  (i.e. that of light transmitted through the cavity when purged with nitrogen), is measured on the ground before sampling begins and half-hourly in-flight thereafter. Measurements are performed by stop-<sup>20</sup> ping the flow of ambient air through the instrument and instead back-flowing nitrogen from a cylinder at 5 standard I per minute (SLPM) through conduits 1 and 2 and out of the sampling inlet (flows shown in red in Fig. 3). Before measurements of  $I_0(\lambda)$  are taken, a period of 20 s is allowed to elapse to ensure complete purging of the cavities. Knowledge of the wavelength dependent mirror reflectivity is an additional quantity <sup>25</sup> required for quantitative absorption measurements using BBCEAS, in accordance with Eq. (1). In the present instrument, this quantity is determined by measuring the phaseshift introduced to amplitude modulated radiation by the optical cavities, a method that has been used previously with some success (Langridge et al., 2008). The technique





relies on the proportionality between the phase delay introduced by the cavity and the lifetime of photons within it, given by:

 $\tan \phi(\lambda) = -\Omega \tau(\lambda)$ 

where:  $\phi(\lambda)$  is the phase delay,  $\Omega$  is the angular frequency of the phase modulation and  $\tau(\lambda)$  is the ringdown time which is equivalent to the 1/e intracavity photon lifetime. Given knowledge of the cavity ringdown time, the mirror reflectivity is calculated using:

$$R(\lambda) = 1 - d\left(\frac{1}{c\tau(\lambda)} - \alpha(\lambda)\right)$$

where: *d* is the cavity length, *c* is the speed of light and, in the present case,  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is the wavelength dependent extinction coefficient of Rayleigh scattering in nitrogen.

- The experimental complexity and time required for phase-shift measurements has been greatly reduced in the current instrument with respect to previous implementations (Benton et al., 2010; Langridge et al., 2008). The principal change is to replace the monochromator previously used to scan through the range of measurement wavelengths with an interference filter of 5 nm FWHM bandwidth. The centre wavelength of
- <sup>15</sup> the filter is chosen for each cavity so that the peak mirror reflectivity is measured. The mirror reflectivity across the full measurement bandwidth is then determined by linearly scaling the reference mirror reflectivity profiles, measured previously in the laboratory using a calibration gas (Langridge et al., 2006), to the value of *R* measured in the interference filter's bandpass. During flights, reflectivity measurements are conducted
- <sup>20</sup> immediately after determination of  $I_0$  (see earlier in this section) when the cavity is purged with nitrogen. The modulation required for the measurements is introduced into the light sources only temporarily while determination of the phase delay takes place. When used during the RONOCO campaign, this method gave excellent agreement between NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations observed in channel 3 and values reported by a chemiluminescence detector. Further details of an example in situ comparison of these two
- NO<sub>2</sub> measurement techniques are presented in the results section (Sect. 4.3).



(2)

(3)



## 3 NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> measurement accuracy

Earlier work by Dubé et al. (2006) and Fuchs et al. (2008) highlighted the difficulties in measuring the concentrations of atmospheric  $NO_3$  and  $N_2O_5$  (after thermal dissociation) using cavity-based absorption methods. In the current BBCEAS instrument,

- therefore, certain correction factors are applied to measurements in channel 1 (sum of ambient and dissociated NO<sub>3</sub>) and channel 2 (ambient NO<sub>3</sub>) to account for wall losses of ambient NO<sub>3</sub>, inaccuracies in the temperature and pressure dependent absorption cross sections which are used to infer NO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, and uncertainties in the length of the cavity occupied by the sample (due to gas exchange between the
- <sup>10</sup> main part of the cavity and the nitrogen purge regions immediately in front of the mirrors). There is also an additional correction factor applied specifically to measurements channel 1 to account for wall losses of  $N_2O_5$  in the inlet and wall losses of thermally dissociated  $NO_3$  in the preheater and detection cell. Each of these correction factors, along with the associated uncertainties, are considered in detail in the following sec-<sup>15</sup> tions.

#### 3.1 Determination of wall losses

## 3.1.1 The equilibrated source of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>

For determination of the wall losses of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, a calibration procedure was developed in which the BBCEAS instrument was supplied with an equilibrated mixture
of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from a calibration source. This source contained a sample of crystalline N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, stabilised at set points between -80 and -77 °C with a thermo-electric cooler (TEC) linked to a dry ice/methanol bath (based on a design used by Fuchs et al., 2008). A flow of nitrogen (10 to 400 SCCM) passed over the crystalline N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and was further diluted by a second nitrogen flow (0 to 5 SLPM). A combination of the temperature set-point and the ratio of the nitrogen flows was used to supply the aircraft BBCEAS instrument with calibration samples containing atmospherically relevant N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>





concentrations between 100 ppt and 2 ppb. The calibration source additionally has its own heated LED-BBCEAS cavity to monitor the source's stability and hence the total  $[N_2O_5 + NO_3]$  supplied to the aircraft instrument. Prior to sampling into the BBCEAS instrument, the diluted mixture passed through a 101 glass vessel downstream of the source (mean residence time = 20 s) in order to ensure that  $N_2O_5$  entrained into the gas flow had reached chemical equilibrium with NO<sub>3</sub>. The requirement for the equilibration of the sample mixture is detailed in Sect. 3.2.3, in which direct wall losses of  $N_2O_5$  are determined.

## 3.1.2 Determination of wall losses of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> in channels 1 and 2

<sup>10</sup> This section details the determination of the transmission efficiencies of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> (i.e. the proportion of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> that reaches the middle of the detection cells) into channels 1 and 2 (see Table 1), herein referred to as  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively.  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were calculated by experimentally deriving the pseudo first order rate constant for the reaction between NO<sub>3</sub> and the instrument's internal surface,  $k_{NO3 \ loss}$ , as described by <sup>15</sup> Reaction (R1).

 $NO_3 \rightarrow walls k_{NO3 \ loss}$ 

Two materials were initially considered for use in constructing the cavity tubes, which are in contact with the ambient samples: titanium coated with PTFE, chosen for its rigidity and thermal properties, and PFA, well known for its chemical inertness. The first order loss coefficient of NO<sub>3</sub> to each of these materials was determined in laboratory experiments during the construction phase of the instrument. These experiments involved sampling the equilibrated NO<sub>3</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> mixture from the calibration source into channel 1 (sampling conditions described in Sect. 2.2). When the observed intracavity concentration of NO<sub>3</sub> reached a steady state, the flow of the calibration sample was rapidly stopped by closing the valve on the exhaust line to the BBCEAS instrument's pump. The rate of loss of NO<sub>3</sub> to the walls of channel 1 was characterised by fitting an



(R1)



is shown in Fig. 5 for the PFA cell). These experiments were performed using channel 1 in order to eliminate the effects of repartitioning of the equilibrium between NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as NO<sub>3</sub> is lost to the internal surfaces of cavity. As mentioned in Sect. 2.2, channel 1 is heated to prevent reformation of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, after complete thermal dissociation in the preheater, through the reaction between NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore the  $k_{NO3 loss}$ 

<sup>5</sup> the preheater, through the reaction between NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore the  $k_{NO3 loss}$  measured at high temperature represents an upper limit for the loss of NO<sub>3</sub> to the instrument walls in both channels 1 and 2.

The first order uptake coefficient of NO<sub>3</sub> to PFA, shown in Fig. 5, was found to be  $0.27 \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is in good agreement with that of a previous study (Crowley et al., 2010). The uptake coefficient of NO<sub>3</sub> to PTFE coated titanium was found to be  $0.8 \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , indicating much faster diffusion controlled wall losses. Given these results, final construction of the instrument utilised PFA for all wetted parts in order to minimise NO<sub>3</sub> losses. Based on the calculated residence times for channels 1 (380 ms) and 2 (170 ms),  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are 90 % and 96 %, respectively. However, due to the accuracy of  $k_{\text{NO3 loss}}$ , the uncertainties associated with  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ,  $\sigma(T_1)$  and  $\sigma(T_2)$  are 1.5 % and 0.7 %, respectively.

#### 3.1.3 Determination of the wall losses of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in channel 1

This section details the determination of the transmission efficiency of  $N_2O_5$  into channel 1, referred to herein as  $T_0$ .  $T_0$  depends on several factors; direct wall losses of  $N_2O_5$ in the inlet tubing, the dissociation efficiency of  $N_2O_5$  in the preheater (see Sect. 2.2) and wall losses of the NO<sub>3</sub> formed from the thermal dissociation of  $N_2O_5$ . Direct losses of  $N_2O_5$  to the inlet surface were quantified by experimentally determining the rate constant for the reaction between  $N_2O_5$  and PFA (R2),  $k_{N2O5 loss}$ . While it was not possible to measure  $k_{N2O5 loss}$  directly, it could be inferred from the decay of NO<sub>3</sub> when an equi-

 $_{\rm 25}$  librated mixture of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from the calibration source was allowed to decay under zero flow conditions (this experiment was conducted using channel 2 which is unheated). The results are illustrated in Fig. 6.





The observed first order decay of intracavity NO<sub>3</sub> measured in channel 2 resulted from complex interplay between direct losses of NO<sub>3</sub> to the walls together with repartitioning of the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub> equilibrium due to losses of both NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The uptake of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to the PFA surface could be related to the measured NO<sub>3</sub> decay using the reaction scheme R2-R4 which controls the rates of change of intracavity NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> concentrations. Since  $k_{NO3 loss}$  (Sect. 3.1), and temperature were known, and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations could be monitored using channel 3, this system of coupled differential equations describing the rates of Reactions (R2)–(R4) could be solved allowing retrieval of the uptake coefficient of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to PFA (R2).

10 
$$N_2O_5 \rightarrow \text{ walls}$$
 (R2)

 $N_2O_5 \rightarrow NO_3 + NO_2 \qquad k_{diss}$  (R3)

 $NO_3 \rightarrow walls$ 

k<sub>NO3 loss</sub>

Note that the effect of Reaction (R3) was to buffer the NO<sub>3</sub> lost to the walls, so the NO<sub>3</sub> signal in channel 2 decayed rather slowly once the flow was stopped <sup>15</sup> compared to that observed in channel 1 (cf. gradients of 0.27 and 0.052 in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively). The derived N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to PFA wall loss coefficient was  $k_{N2O5 loss} = 0.042 s^{-1} \pm 0.004 s^{-1}$ . Thus, given the short residence inside the PFA inlet before the sample enters the preheater where N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is dissociated, the direct losses of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to the instrument walls are within the error of the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> measurement at typical <sup>20</sup> atmospheric concentrations, and could be neglected.

In summary we find that the rate of loss of  $N_2O_5$  to the instruments' PFA walls is considerably slower than the rate of loss of  $NO_3$ . For the flow conditions used, direct loss of  $N_2O_5$  is negligible and the dominant factor determining  $T_0$  is actually loss of  $NO_3$  following the dissociation of  $N_2O_5$  in the instrument's preheater. As reported in Sect. 3.1,

the first order coefficient for the loss of NO<sub>3</sub> to PFA was found to be  $0.27 \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Thus, based on a residence time between the preheater and detection cell of 250 ms,

AMTD 4, 3499-3544, 2011 An aircraft based three channel broadband cavity enhanced O. J. Kennedy et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Discussion Paper

**Discussion Pape** 

(R4)



the transmission efficiency of  $N_2O_5$  into channel 1,  $T_0$ , is calculated to be 93%. However, we associate an error to  $T_0$ ,  $\sigma(T_0)$ , of 6% in order to account for the possibility that the precise location of  $N_2O_5$  dissociation within the preheater may vary with ambient temperature and  $NO_2$  concentrations (i.e. the 6% accounts for all possible locations).

#### **5 3.2 Other measurement uncertainties**

10

In addition to uncertainties associated with wall losses (Sects. 3.1.2 and 3.1.3), the total inaccuracy in measurements in both channels 1 and 2 depends on other factors. These are errors in the temperature and pressure corrected absorption cross sections used to fit the BBCEAS spectra, and the uncertainty in the length of the cell occupied by the sample relative to that occupied by the 100 SCCM flows of nitrogen used to flush each mirror surface. Each of these sources of error is considered below.

## 3.2.1 Uncertainties in the NO<sub>3</sub> absorption cross section

The NO<sub>3</sub> absorption cross sections are temperature dependent (Wangberg et al., 1997; Sander, 1986; Ravishankara and Mauldin, 1986; Yokelson et al., 1994) and therefore <sup>15</sup> the cross sections used to fit NO<sub>3</sub>'s absorption features in the BBCEAS spectra need to be appropriate for the conditions inside channels 1 and 2. At the temperatures encountered in channel 2 (i.e. typically below 298 K) the NO<sub>3</sub> cross section is calculated using the values determined by Yokelson et al. (1994). The resulting error associated with the NO<sub>3</sub> cross section at these temperatures is 10%. At the higher temperature in channel 1 (353 K), there are no wavelength resolved cross section measurements covering the  $B^2E' - X_2A'_2$  band of NO<sub>3</sub> available in the literature. However, two recent studies (Orphal et al., 2003; Osthoff et al., 2007) have indicated that the normalised band profile of this transition does not change its shape with temperature. Therefore, wavelength resolved cross sections for fitting spectra obtained in channel 1 were calculated by

<sup>25</sup> scaling the NO<sub>3</sub> band profile determined by Yokelson et al. (1994) to the band's peak intensity at 662 nm measured at 353 K by Osthoff et al. (2007). The resulting accuracy





of the high temperature  $NO_3$  cross sections used for determination of intracavity  $NO_3$  concentrations in channel 1 is 13%.

## 3.2.2 Uncertainties in the $H_2O$ cross section

This section describes the spectral fitting procedure developed to treat strong absorption by water vapour that spectrally overlaps with NO<sub>3</sub> absorption in the 662 nm region and therefore must be accurately simulated in order to prevent errors from propagating to retrieved NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Calculating water vapour absorption cross sections for this purpose is complicated by well-documented problems associated with strongly absorbing  $4\nu + \delta$  polyad water lines that lead to near-complete attenuation of intracavity photons at line-centre, but remain unresolved due to the limited spectral resolution of the BBCEAS instrument. (Ball and Jones, 2003; Langridge et al., 2008).

In previous field work (Langridge et al., 2008; Benton et al., 2010), the problem was overcome by simulating a range of "effective" water vapour cross-sections for the temperature, pressure and humidity conditions inside the detection cell. The simulated

- <sup>15</sup> cross sections were then used to compile a lookup table from which the absorption cross sections appropriate for a given set of conditions could be recalled. However, while this method was accurate it was also computationally expensive and therefore slow. For the present work, a new iterative methodology was developed which gives the same results as the previous method but which is more efficient and can be imple-<sup>20</sup> mented in almost real time. The steps involved in the new method are outlined below
- and the flow diagram in Fig. 7.

Firstly, a high resolution water vapour absorption cross section is calculated for a representative absolute humidity, accounting for self-broadening effects, and the pressure and temperature measured inside the cell using the line-by-line parameters in the

<sup>25</sup> HITRAN database (Rothman et al., 2009) (step 1.1 in Fig. 7). Secondly, a theoretical cavity transmission spectrum,  $I(\lambda)$ , is determined from the measured values of  $R(\lambda)$  and  $I_0(\lambda)$  together with the calculated high resolution cross section using Eq. (1) (step 1.2). The theoretical  $I(\lambda)$  is then convolved with the instrument function of the spectrometer





(step 1.3), which is determined using essentially monochromatic emission lines from a neon lamp. The convolved  $I(\lambda)$  is subsequently inputted into Eq. (1) allowing an effective cross section to be calculated, again using measured values of  $R(\lambda)$  and  $I_0(\lambda)$ (step 1.4), and used for retrieval of a first-estimate water concentration from the measured absorption coefficient using the aforementioned DOAS fitting algorithm (step 2).

- If the statistical uncertainty of the fit is not within the desired range then the retrieved water amount is used to calculate a new high resolution cross section and, following the steps outlined above, a second estimate water vapour concentration is determined. The cycle is repeated until the statistical uncertainty in the fitted water vapour absorp-
- tion reaches a desired precision (i.e. better than 0.005 % of absolute humidity). Usually this is achieved in less than five iterations. Using this method to remove the absorption of water vapour absorbance from each measured absorption spectrum effectively eliminates any effect of water vapour interference on NO<sub>3</sub> absorption retrievals.

## 3.2.3 Uncertainty in the length of the cell occupied by the sample

In both channels 1 and 2, the length of the detection cell occupied by the sample is 85 % of the distance separating the cavity mirrors, which was determined by comparison of measurements of water vapour in both cavities to those reported by a commercial hygrometer. Since the distance separating the inlet and outlet is 80 % of the distance separating the cavity mirrors, this indicates that there is diffusion of sample gas into the purge volumes. However, given the possibility of this diffusion being slow relative to the rate at which NO<sub>3</sub> is lost due to reaction with the instrument walls, we associate an

#### 3.3 Summary of NO<sub>3</sub> measurement accuracy

The uncertainties in the measurements of ambient NO<sub>3</sub>, which is performed using channel 2, have been outlined above. These are the errors in;  $T_2$  (0.7%), the temperature corrected cross section of NO<sub>3</sub> (10%) and the assumed length of the cell occupied

error of 5 % with the effective cavity length used to infer intracavity NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations.



by the sample (5 %). Propagating these sources of errors brings the total error in the measurement of ambient  $NO_3$  to 11 %.

## 3.4 Summary of $N_2O_5$ measurement accuracy

The concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is determined by subtracting the ambient NO<sub>3</sub> measured in channel 2 from the concentration of the sum of ambient and dissociated NO<sub>3</sub> measured in channel 1. The uncertainty in the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> measurement,  $\sigma$ (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), is therefore dependent on the NO<sub>3</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ratio and is calculated for each individual measurement using Eq. (4), first proposed by Dube et al. (2006).

$$\sigma(N_2O_5) = \sqrt{\frac{[\sigma(NO_{3(sum)})NO_{3(sum)}]^2 + [\sigma(T_1)T_1NO_3]^2}{(NO_{3(sum)} - T_1NO_{3(sum)})^2} + \sigma(T_0)^2}$$
(4)

- <sup>10</sup> where: NO<sub>3</sub> is the ambient NO<sub>3</sub> concentration derived using channel 2 and NO<sub>3(sum)</sub> is the concentration of NO<sub>3</sub> in channel 1 which includes that from dissociation of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The terms in Eq. (4) are summarised in Table 2. The error in NO<sub>3(sum)</sub>,  $\sigma$ (NO<sub>3(sum)</sub>) is due to uncertainties in the length of the cell occupied by the sample (5%) and in the high temperature NO<sub>3</sub> absorption cross sections (13%). These two errors (detailed in Sects 3.2.1 and 3.2.3) propagate to give an overall value for  $\sigma$ (NO<sub>3</sub>).
- <sup>15</sup> Sects. 3.2.1 and 3.2.3) propagate to give an overall value for  $\sigma(NO_{3(sum)})$  of 14%. The errors in  $T_1$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $\sigma(T_1)$  and  $\sigma(T_0)$ , which are due to the accuracy of the determination of  $k_{NO3 \ loss}$  (detailed in Sect. 3.1.2) and the uncertainty in the location of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> dissociation within the preheater (detailed in Sect. 3.1.3) are 1.5% and 6%, respectively. Therefore, in accordance with Eq. (4), for ambient conditions where concentrations of
- $^{20}$  NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> are of comparable magnitude, the subtraction of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> is the dominant source of error in the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> measurement. Conversely, when NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations are much lower than N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, as is more usually the case in the atmosphere, the uncertainties associated with N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> sampling efficiency (i.e. transmission efficiency and other aforementioned uncertainties in channel 1) are dominant (Dube et al., 2006).



#### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Determination of detection sensitivities

The sensitivity of a BBCEAS measurement is determined by the smallest change in cavity throughput,  $I_{\Delta min}$ , that can be detected resulting from molecular absorption inside the cavity. The corresponding minimum detectable absorption,  $\alpha_{min}$ , is given as:

$$\alpha_{\min} = \left(\frac{I_0}{I_{\Delta\min}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1-R}{d}\right)$$

In order to achieve the required levels of sensitivity for in situ observations of weakly absorbing atmospheric species present at trace concentrations, the sensitivity of BBCEAS can be enhanced in various ways. Firstly, more reflective mirrors can be used to increase the cavity enhancement factor given by  $1/[1-R(\lambda)]$ , as inferred by 10 Eq. (1). However, resultant improvements in detection limits are offset by an increase in noise associated with fewer photons arriving at the detector per unit time due to the accompanying reduction in light intensity transmitted through the cavity which is roughly proportional to  $1 - R(\lambda)$ . Sensitivity can also be improved by using a more luminous light source. This is because signal increases proportionally to the number of photons 15 transmitted by the cavity, N, compared to the noise, which increases proportionally to  $\sqrt{N}$  (when intracavity extinction is unchanged). For similar reasons, further sensitivity can also be attained by averaging successive measurements or by integrating the signal for longer periods on the spectrometers' CCD detector chip. Theoretically, averaging or integrating for longer improves the signal/noise ratio by a factor of  $\sqrt{t}$  where 20

*t* is the averaging or integration time (*t* is proportional to *N*). In practice, however, enhancements in sensitivity gained by signal averaging or increased signal integration are often smaller than the expected factor of  $\sqrt{t}$ , owing to systematic, time dependent drifts in the instrument (Werle et al., 1993). Following Langridge et al. (2008), a laboratory experiment involving analysis of the Allan variance was conducted to determine



(5)



the absolute detection limits of the present instrument Firstly, for each channel, a time series of absorption spectra was created using a long sequence of 45700 measurements of  $I(\lambda)$  (2 s each) when the cavity was purged with nitrogen (total acquisition time = 12.7 h per cavity). A 120 s subset of the aforementioned data were then avs eraged to yield an  $I_0(\lambda)$  spectrum, which was used with Eq. (1) to calculate a time series of absorption spectra spanning the 12.7 h measurement period. Each spectrum was analysed by least squares fitting the relevant absorption cross sections (NO<sub>3</sub> for channels 1 and 2 and NO<sub>2</sub> for channel 3) together with a second order polynomial to account for any remaining unstructured absorption signal. This yielded a concentration time series for each channel. The wavelength bandwidths used for fitting the BBCEAS 10 spectra were 433.4 nm-479.7 nm, 657.45 nm-668.8 and 657.1 nm-668.1 nm for channels 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The three time series were then used to generate three sets of time series of different averaging times,  $t_{av}$ , and number of elements, M, by averaging successive measurements (e.g. a time series of M = 45700 measurements for  $t_{av} = 2$  s; a time series of M = 22850 for  $t_{av} = 4$  s etc). A maximum value of 3000 s 15 was used for  $t_{av}$  so as to ensure a minimum of at least fifteen measurements in each time series. The Allen variance,  $\sigma_4^2(t_{av})$ , of each time series was then calculated using:

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{2}(t_{\rm av}) = \frac{1}{2(M-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \{x_{i+1}(t_{\rm av}) - x_{i}(t_{\rm av})\}^{2}$$

where:  $x_i(t_{av})$  for i = 1 to i = M were the concentrations in the time series of averaging time,  $t_{av}$ . The square root of the Allan variance, termed the Allan deviation, provides an indication of the instrument stability (Langridge et al., 2008; Werle et al., 1993). The Allan deviation plots for each of the BBCEAS instrument's measurement channels are shown in Fig. 8.

The Allan plots show that white noise dominates concentration measurements by the BBCEAS instrument for averaging times shorter than 100 s. In this regime, the magnitude of the drift across the whole time series is smaller than the difference between successive averaged concentration measurements, and accordingly the Allan deviations for all three channels decrease almost proportionally to the square root of



(6)



the averaging time (gradients of 0.45, 0.43 and 0.45 for NO<sub>3</sub> in channels 1, NO<sub>3</sub> in channel 2 and NO<sub>2</sub> in channel 3, respectively). At longer averaging times, the difference between successive measurements is comparable to the drift across the entire time series, and thus increased averaging yields no benefit and is accompanied by

- <sup>5</sup> increased Allan deviation. The detection limit in each channel is inferred from the  $1\sigma$  standard deviation of the samples of optimum averaging time, indicated by the minimum in the corresponding Allan plot (Acker et al., 2006; Simpson, 2003; Schlosser et al., 2007). The  $1\sigma$  standard deviation, also plotted in Fig. 8, gives a more conservative estimate of measurement sensitivity than that suggested by the Allan deviation itself.
- <sup>10</sup> The inferred 1 $\sigma$  detection limits are 0.20 ppt NO<sub>3</sub> in 850 s in channel 1, 0.17 ppt of NO<sub>3</sub> in 830 s in channel 2 and 5 ppt of NO<sub>2</sub> in 1748 s in channel 3.

It is likely that for in situ measurements, sensitivity in each channel is less than that quoted above. This is partly because of the shorter averaging times necessary to capture the small scale variability of the distributions of  $N_2O_5$ ,  $NO_3$  and  $NO_2$  in the

- <sup>15</sup> atmosphere while travelling at the aircraft's cruising speed of ~100 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore the presence of aerosol particles and other absorbing gases can complicate the spectral fitting procedure, especially if the absorption cross sections cannot accurately be corrected for temperature, pressure or non-Beer-Lambert behaviour (Langridge et al., 2008). To better understand the in situ performance of channels 1 and 2 a further
- <sup>20</sup> study was conducted. A time series of intracavity NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations were calculated for both channels from sets of 2000 absorption spectra recorded at 1 s each during a daytime test flight. The typical photolysis lifetime of NO<sub>3</sub> during the day is  $J(NO_3) \approx 5$  s, and thus daytime NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> concentrations are reasonably expected to be below the BBCEAS instrument's detection limits (a similar analysis could not be performed
- <sup>25</sup> using measurements from channel 3, as NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the daytime were frequently above detection limits). However, both aerosols and water vapour were present and acted to attenuate light within the cavity during the measurements. The retrieved NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in channels 1 and 2, which are plotted as histograms in Fig. 9, were distributed about mean values of -0.25 ppt and 0.29 ppt, respectively.





The 1 $\sigma$  sample standard deviations of these distributions indicated detection limits for intracavity NO<sub>3</sub> of 2.37 ppt in channel 1 and 1.05 ppt in channel 2.

The detection limits determined from the in situ data are higher (i.e. less sensitive) than the optimum detection limits indicated by analysis of the Allan deviation in the

<sup>5</sup> laboratory. This is, in part, expected due to the much shorter integration times used in flight (cf. 1 s and ~800 s). However, extrapolating the Allan plots back to 1 s indicates a 1 s laboratory detection limits (using the  $1\sigma$  standard deviation) for channels 1 and 2 of 0.9 ppt and 0.7 ppt, respectively, which compares reasonably well with the in situ detection limit indicating only a small reduction in sensitivity when the instrument is used on board the aircraft.

## 4.2 Simultaneous airborne measurements of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>

To date the instrument has acquired over 120 h of flight-time making airborne measurements of  $NO_3$ ,  $N_2O_5$  and  $NO_2$  concentrations. This includes 2 test flights in December 2009, eleven flights in July 2010, nine flights between August 2010 and September 2010 and eight flights in January 2011. The majority of the RONOCO flights (December 2009, July 2010 and January 2011) were during the night, although some flights also included dawn or dusk in order to study the transitions between daytime and nighttime chemistry. The flights during the SeptEx (August 2010 and September 2010) included seven daytime flights and a dawn and a dusk flight. All flights were based at

East Midlands or Cranfield airports in the United Kingdom and sampled air over the UK, North Sea, English Channel and Irish Sea impacted by pollution from the UK and, occasionally, from near-Europe.

Figure 10 shows example BBCEAS spectra of our target species recorded on one night during the RONOCO campaign. The top panel in the figure shows a 1 s absorption measurement in channel 1. Clearly visible are the overlapping absorptions of water vapour and NO<sub>3</sub> (including, in this case, from of the thermally dissociated N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>). The second and third panels in Fig. 10 show the same spectrum plotted in the top panel but decomposed into the individual absorption contributions from water





vapour (fitted mixing ratio = 0.94 %) and NO<sub>3</sub> (547.66  $\pm$  2.94 ppt), respectively. The forth panel shows a 1.2 s absorption measurement of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> (79.88  $\pm$  0.98 ppt) in channel 2, with the fitted water vapour absorption having been previously subtracted. The second from bottom and bottom panels, respectively show measurements of NO<sub>2</sub>

<sup>5</sup> (3950 ± 10 ppt) and O<sub>4</sub> (inferred  $[O_2] = 21.04 \pm 2.64$ %) retrieved from an 8 s absorption spectrum in channel 3. Monitoring the absorption of O<sub>4</sub> carries useful information about the pressure inside channel 3 during flight and, at ground level, provides an independent verification of mirror reflectivity determination (Langridge et al., 2006). More information on airborne observations of O<sub>4</sub> will be presented in a subsequent publica-<sup>10</sup> tion.

As a more detailed example of airborne BBCEAS measurements, we now show concentration time series from the night-time flight on 20/21 July 2010 (mission B537). The flight track is shown in Fig. 11 with the relative concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> overlaid. The aircraft took-off from East Midlands Airport, Leicestershire, UK (Interna-<sup>15</sup> tional Air Transport Association (IATA) airport code: EMA, coordinates: 52°49′52″ N 001°19′41″ W) at 20:50 UTC before heading eastward toward the North Sea where several legs were completed at altitudes between 3400 m (aircraft transit) and 490 m. The aircraft then flew along the Thames Estuary at an altitude of 640 m before making a missed approach into London Southend Airport, Essex, UK (IATA airport code: SEN, coordinates: 51°34′17″ N 000°41′44″ E) at 22:10 UTC. Several further legs above the

- North Sea between 500 m and 2500 m were then completed (i.e. in and out of the boundary layer) before returning back to East Midlands Airport at approximately 01:10 UTC. Figure 12 shows the time series of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> concentrations for the majority of the flight, averaged over 1 s, 1.2 s and 8 s, respectively (altitude is also shown). During the flight, concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> varied from below the
- <sup>25</sup> shown). During the flight, concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> varied from below the instrument detection limit (~2 ppt) up to around 200 ppt and 600 ppt, respectively. Concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> ranged from below 50 ppt up to around 12 ppb in the most polluted regions. The time series (Fig. 12) illustrate that elevated concentrations of all three species were observed when the aircraft was flying at lower altitudes, most notably at





21:30 UTC, 22:10 UTC, 23:00 UTC and 00:15 UTC. The peak NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was observed during the missed approach into Southend airport at 21:10 UTC. However, while simultaneous peaks were also observed in the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> measurements they were not as pronounced as the large NO<sub>2</sub> maximum, most likely due to titration of NO<sub>3</sub> (and thus N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> too) by surface emissions of NO by the reaction:

 $NO + NO_3 \longrightarrow 2NO_2$ 

5

10

15

20

25

The maxima observed in the NO<sub>3</sub> and  $N_2O_5$  time series, which are clearly visible in the flight track shown in Fig. 10, occurred at around 00:15 when the aircraft was undertaking a southward run at 500 m, parallel to the coast of East Anglia, England, UK. Here the aircraft was flying through a fairly stagnant air mass containing pollution from several plumes, including the London plume, which was slowly drifting northward over the English Channel and North Sea. Earlier in the flight, first at 21:30 UTC over the Thames Estuary at 490 m and then at 23:00 UTC over the coat of East Anglia at 1020 m, the same air mass had been sampled and had contained similarly elevated concentrations of the measured species, as visible in the time series. More in-depth analysis of the data from this and other flights, including modelling calculations of the nighttime chemistry and analysis of ancillary measurements of aerosol mass loading

and speciation, will be presented in subsequent publications.

# 4.3 Comparison of in-flight measurements of NO<sub>2</sub> by the BBCEAS instrument and a chemiluminescence detector

Included in the suite of instruments on board the FAAM BAe-146 aircraft is a chemiluminescence (CL) detector which, during the RONOCO campaigns, provided a second measurement of  $NO_2$  concentrations to compare with the BBCEAS data. The PFA sample inlets of both these instruments (wall losses of  $NO_2$  to PFA were measured in the laboratory to be negligible) are located on the port side of the aircraft and are less than 10 m apart. The CL detector utilises a photolytic converter (blue-light LED,



(R5)



techniques using molybdenum converters (Ryerson et al., 2000; Pollack et al., 2011), and undergoes frequent in-flight calibrations. A recent intercomparison study showed a similar CL detector to deliver highly reliable NO<sub>2</sub> measurements (Fuchs et al., 2010) that agreed well with those using other techniques (CRDS and laser induced fluores<sup>5</sup> cence). The left panel in Fig. 13 shows the time series of NO<sub>2</sub> measured by the CL detector and the BBCEAS instrument for the flight of 20/21 July 2010. The CL and BBCEAS data were obtained with 1s and 0.4s integration times, respectively, but in constructing Fig. 13 both datasets have been averaged over 30 s intervals. Figure 13 shows that the two instruments report very similar NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and the variabil<sup>10</sup> ity in the NO<sub>2</sub> time series is extremely well matched. The right panel of Fig. 13 shows a correlation plot of the CL and BBCEAS data from this flight; there is a strong correlation plot of the CL and BBCEAS data from this flight; there is a strong correlation.

- a correlation plot of the CL and BBCEAS data from this flight: there is a strong correlation between the data ( $R^2 = 0.996$ ) and a linear best fit yields a gradient of nearly unity (1.02) and small intercept (-10 ppt). The excellent agreement with the established CL method demonstrates the high reliability of the BBCEAS performance in airborne
- deployments, and the validity of the phase-shift methodology used to infer the mirror reflectivity before/during/after each flight. At the end of the RONOCO January 2011 flying period, the BBCEAS and CL instruments were dismounted from the aircraft and, together with a laser-induced fluorescence instrument (also flown during RONOCO), took part in a ground intercomparison of NO<sub>2</sub> instruments. Again, good comparison between these instruments was found and an in depth analysis of the results from the comparison exercise will be presented in a future publication.

## 5 Conclusions

A new broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer has been constructed and flown on the UK's FAAM atmospheric research aircraft during four deployments between December 2009 and January 2011. It is (to our knowledge) the first BBCEAS instrument designed for airborne use and the first to have three separate optical channels. The instrument was designed to enable in situ measurements of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O





and NO<sub>2</sub> during the Role of Nighttime Chemistry in Controlling the Oxidising Capacity of the Atmosphere "RONOCO" campaign.

This paper describes novel developments in BBCEAS instrumentation and analysis techniques. Firstly, a refined method for determination of the cavity mirror reflectiv-

- ity using a phase-shift technique was presented, which greatly simplified experimental setup and measurement time compared to a previously reported implementation. Secondly, a computationally efficient method for calculating water vapour absorption cross sections at the resolution of the BBCEAS instrument was presented. This approach prevented errors associated with poorly fitted water absorption structure from interfer-
- <sup>10</sup> ing with NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations measured in the 662 nm region. The paper also presented the first example of simultaneous airborne measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> outside of North America. Example measurements were shown from a flight on the night of 20/21 July 2010, which was the most polluted day encountered during the RONOCO flights. These data illustrate the ability of the BBCEAS instrument to make rapid mea-
- <sup>15</sup> surements of atmospheric trace gases and thereby capture their spatial and temporal variability, which is essential for understanding the small-scale variability of reactive trace species in the atmosphere, particularly in the present context the NO<sub>3</sub> chemistry (night-time processing of volatile organic compounds and night-time deposition of NO<sub>x</sub>) occurring preferentially at the interfaces between pollution plumes and the back-
- 20 ground atmosphere (Jones et al., 2005). The reliability of the BBCEAS instrument and methodology were demonstrated by a comparison of in-flight NO<sub>2</sub> measurements to those reported by a CL detector (agreement between the two instruments within 2.3 % for NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations covering the dynamic range 0–16 ppbv).

Acknowledgements. This work was performed within the RONOCO consortium supported by
 the Natural Environmental Research Council (University of Cambridge grant award reference
 RG50086 MAAG/606, University of Leicester grant award reference NE/F006761/1). It was completed in collaboration with the Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements, Avalon Aero and DirectFlight. MD acknowledges NERC for a PhD studentship. We acknowledge Bill Dubé and Steve Brown for their advice on the design of the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> source.





#### References

- Acker, K., Moller, D., Wieprecht, W., Meixner, F. X., Bohn, B., Gilge, S., Plass-Dulmer, C., and Berresheim, H.: Strong daytime production of OH from HNO<sub>2</sub> at a rural mountain site, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L02809, doi:10.1029/2005gl024643, 2006.
- 5 Andrés-Hernández, M. D., Stone, D., Brookes, D. M., Commane, R., Reeves, C. E., Huntrieser, H., Heard, D. E., Monks, P. S., Burrows, J. P., Schlager, H., Kartal, D., Evans, M. J., Floguet, C. F. A., Ingham, T., Methven, J., and Parker, A. E.: Peroxy radical partitioning during the AMMA radical intercomparison exercise, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10621-10638, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10621-2010, 2010.
- Ball, S. M. and Jones, R. L.: Broad-band cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Chem. Rev., 103, 10 5239-5262, doi:10.1021/cr020523k, 2003.
  - Ball, S. M, and Jones, R. L.: Broadband Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy, in: Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy: Techniques and Applications, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, UK, 57-88, 2009 Ball, S. M., Hollingsworth, A. M., Humbles, J., Leblanc, C., Potin, P., and McFiggans, G.: Spec-
- troscopic studies of molecular iodine emitted into the gas phase by seaweed, Atmos. Chem. 15 Phys., 10, 6237-6254, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6237-2010, 2010,
  - Benton, A. K., Langridge, J. M., Ball, S. M., Bloss, W. J., Dall'Osto, M., Nemitz, E., Harrison, R. M., and Jones, R. L.: Night-time chemistry above London: measurements of NO3 and N2O5 from the BT Tower, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9781–9795, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9781-2010, 2010.
- 20
  - Brown, S. S., Stark, H., Ciciora, S. J., McLaughlin, R. J., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Simultaneous in situ detection of atmospheric NO<sub>3</sub> and  $N_2O_5$  via cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Review of Scientific Instruments, 73, 3291–3301, doi:10.1063/1.1499214, 2002a.

Brown, S. S., Stark, H., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Cavity ring-down spectroscopy for atmo-

- spheric trace gas detection: application to the nitrate radical ( $NO_2$ ), Applied Physics B-Lasers 25 and Optics, 75, 173-182, doi:10.1007/s00340-002-0980-v, 2002b.
  - Brown, S. S.: Absorption Spectroscopy in High-Finesse Cavities for Atmospheric Studies, Chem. Rev., 103, 5219-5238, doi:10.1021/cr020645c, 2003.

Capes, G., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C. E., McQuaid, J. B., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J. R., Crosier,

J., Williams, P. I., and Coe, H.: Secondary organic aerosol from biogenic VOCs over West 30 Africa during AMMA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3841-3850, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3841-2009, 2009.



Discussion

Chang, W. L., Bhave, P. V., Brown, S. S., Riemer, N., Stutz, J., and Dabdub, D.: Heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry, ambient measurements, and model calculations of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: A review, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 655–685, 2011.

Chen, J. and Venables, D. S.: A broadband optical cavity spectrometer for measuring
 weak near-ultraviolet absorption spectra of gases, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 425–436, doi:10.5194/amt-4-425-2011, 2011.

Crowley, J. N., Schuster, G., Pouvesle, N., Parchatka, U., Fischer, H., Bonn, B., Bingemer, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Nocturnal nitrogen oxides at a rural mountain-site in south-western Germany, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2795–2812, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2795-2010, 2010.

<sup>10</sup> Dube, W. P., Brown, S. S., Osthoff, H. D., Nunley, M. R., Ciciora, S. J., Paris, M. W., McLaughlin, R. J., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Aircraft instrument for simultaneous, in situ measurement of NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> via pulsed cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Review of Scientific Instruments, 77, 034101, doi:10.1063/1.2176058, 2006.

Engeln, R., Berden, G., Peeters, R., and Meijer, G.: Cavity enhanced absorption and cavity enhanced magnetic rotation spectroscopy, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 69, 3763–3769, doi:10.1063/1.1149176, 1998.

Fiedler, S. E., Hese, A., and Ruth, A. A.: Incoherent broad-band cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy, Chem. Phys. Lett., 371, 284–294, doi:10.1016/s0009-2614(03)00263-x, 2003.

Fuchs, H., Dube, W. P., Ciciora, S. J., and Brown, S. S.: Determination of Inlet Transmission and Conversion Efficiencies for in Situ Measurements of the Nocturnal Nitrogen Oxides, NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>, via Pulsed Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy, Anal. Chem., 80, 6010–6017, doi:10.1021/ac8007253, 2008.

Fuchs, H., Ball, S. M., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Cohen, R. C., Dorn, H. P., Dubé, W. P., Fry, J. L.,

- Häseler, R., Heitmann, U., Jones, R. L., Kleffmann, J., Mentel, T. F., Müsgen, P., Rohrer, F., Rollins, A. W., Ruth, A. A., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Schlosser, E., Shillings, A. J. L., Tillmann, R., Varma, R. M., Venables, D. S., Villena Tapia, G., Wahner, A., Wegener, R., Wooldridge, P. J., and Brown, S. S.: Intercomparison of measurements of NO2 concentrations in the atmosphere simulation chamber SAPHIR during the NO3Comp campaign, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 21–37, doi:10.5194/amt-3-21-2010, 2010.
- Hallock, A. J., Berman, E. S. F., and Zare, R. N.: Direct Monitoring of Absorption in Solution by Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy, Anal. Chem., 74, 1741–1743, doi:10.1021/ac011103i, 2002.





- Johnson, B. T., Christopher, S., Haywood, J. M., Osborne, S. R., McFarlane, S., Hsu, C., Salustro, C., and Kahn, R.: Measurements of aerosol properties from aircraft, satellite and ground-based remote sensing: a case-study from the Dust and Biomass-burning Experiment (DABEX), Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 922–934, doi:10.1002/qj.420, 2009.
- Jones, R. L., Ball, S. M., and Shallcross, D. E.: Small scale structure in the atmosphere: implications for chemical composition and observational methods, Faraday Discuss., 130, 165–179, 2005.
  - Langridge, J. M., Ball, S. M., and Jones, R. L.: A compact broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer for detection of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> using light emitting diodes, Analyst, 131, 916–922. doi:10.1039/b605636a. 2006.
- Langridge, J. M., Ball, S. M., Shillings, A. J. L., and Jones, R. L.: A broadband absorption spectrometer using light emitting diodes for ultrasensitive, in situ trace gas detection, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 79, 123110, doi:10.1063/1.3046282, 2008.

10

Langridge, J. M., Gustafsson, R. J., Griffiths, P. T., Cox, R. A., Lambert, R. M., and Jones,

R. L.: Solar driven nitrous acid formation on building material surfaces containing titanium dioxide: A concern for air quality in urban areas?, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5128–5131, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.046, 2009.

Lewis, A. C., Evans, M. J., Methven, J., Watson, N., Lee, J. D., Hopkins, J. R., Purvis, R. M., Arnold, S. R., McQuaid, J. B., Whalley, L. K., Pilling, M. J., Heard, D. E., Monks, P.

S., Parker, A. E., Reeves, C. E., Oram, D. E., Mills, G., Bandy, B. J., Stewart, D., Coe, H., Williams, P., and Crosier, J.: Chemical composition observed over the mid-Atlantic and the detection of pollution signatures far from source regions, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S39, doi:10.1029/2006jd007584, 2007.

Mazurenka, M., Orr-Ewing, A. J., Peverall, R., and Ritchie, G. A. D.: Cavity ring-down and cav-

- ity enhanced spectroscopy using diode lasers, Annual Reports Section "C" (Physical Chemistry), 101, 100–142, 2005.
  - O'Keefe, A. and Deacon, D. A. G.: Cavity ring-down optical spectrometer for absorption measurements using pulsed laser sources, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 59, 2544–2551, doi:10.1063/1.1139895, 1988.
- Orphal, J., Fellows, C. E., and Flaud, P. M.: The visible absorption spectrum of NO<sub>3</sub> measured by high-resolution Fourier transform spectroscopy, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4077, doi:10.1029/2002jd002489, 2003.

Osthoff, H. D., Pilling, M. J., Ravishankara, A. R., and Brown, S. S.: Temperature dependence of





the NO<sub>3</sub> absorption cross-section above 298 K and determination of the equilibrium constant for NO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>  $\leftrightarrow$  N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at atmospherically relevant conditions, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 9, 5785–5793, 2007.

Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Honrath, R., Lamarque, J. F., Val Martin, M., Owen,

- R. C., Avery, M. A., Browell, E. V., Holloway, J. S., Nedelec, P., Purvis, R., Ryerson, T. B., Sachse, G. W., and Schlager, H.: Ozone production from the 2004 North American boreal fires, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D24S07, doi:10.1029/2006jd007695, 2006.
  - Platt, U. and Stutz, J.: Differential Absorption Spectroscopy, in: Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy, Physics of Earth and Space Environments, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 135– 174, 2008.
- Pollack, I., Lerner, B., and Ryerson, T.: Evaluation of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes for detection of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> by photolysis chemiluminescence, J. Atmos. Chem., 1–15, doi:10.1007/s10874-011-9184-3, 2011.

10

15

Ravishankara, A. R. and Mauldin, R. L.: Temperature-Dependence of the NO<sub>3</sub> Cross-Section in the 662-nm Region, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 91, 8709–8712, 1986.

- Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M., Boudon, V., Brown, L. R., Campargue, A., Champion, J. P., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Fally, S., Flaud, J. M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Jacquemart, D., Kleiner, I., Lacome, N., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, J. Y., Massie, S. T., Mikhailenko, S. N., Miller, C. E.,
- Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Naumenko, O. V., Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V. I., Perrin, A., Predoi-Cross, A., Rinsland, C. P., Rotger, M., Šimeèková, M., Smith, M. A. H., Sung, K., Tashkun, S. A., Tennyson, J., Toth, R. A., Vandaele, A. C., and Vander Auwera, J.: The HITRAN 2008 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 533–572, 2009.
- Ryerson, T. B., Williams, E. J., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: An efficient photolysis system for fastresponse NO<sub>2</sub> measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 26447–26461, 2000.
  - Sander, S. P.: Temperature dependence of the nitrogen trioxide absorption spectrum, J. Phys. Chem.-US, 90, 4135–4142, doi:10.1021/j100408a060, 1986.

Scherer, J. J., Paul, J. B., O'Keefe, A., and Saykally, R. J.: Cavity Ringdown Laser Absorption

- <sup>30</sup> Spectroscopy:?, History, Development, and Application to Pulsed Molecular Beams, Chem. Rev., 97, 25–52, doi:10.1021/cr930048d, 1997.
  - Schlosser, E., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Dorn, H.-P., Fuchs, H., Häseler, R., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Rupp, L., Siese, M., Tillmann, R., and Wahner, A.: Intercomparison





of Two Hydroxyl Radical Measurement Techniques at the Atmosphere Simulation Chamber SAPHIR, J. Atmos. Chem., 56, 187–205, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9049-3, 2007.

Simpson, W. R.: Continuous wave cavity ring-down spectroscopy applied to in situ detection of dinitrogen pentoxide (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), Rev. Sci. Instrum., 74, 3442–3452, doi:10.1063/1.1578705, 2003.

5

10

Wada, R., Beames, J., and Orr-Ewing, A.: Measurement of IO radical concentrations in the marine boundary layer using a cavity ring-down spectrometer, J. Atmos. Chem., 58, 69–87, doi:10.1007/s10874-007-9080-z, 2007.

Wang, L. M. and Zhang, J. S.: Detection of nitrous acid by cavity ring down spectroscopy, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 4221–4227, 2000.

Wangberg, I., Etzkorn, T., Barnes, I., Platt, U., and Becker, K. H.: Absolute determination of the temperature behavior of the NO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>3</sub> + (M) ↔ N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + (M) equilibrium, J. Phys. Chemi. A, 101, 9694–9698, 1997.

Werle, P., Mücke, R., and Slemr, F.: The limits of signal averaging in atmospheric trace-gas

- <sup>15</sup> monitoring by tunable diode-laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS), Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics, 57, 131–139, doi:10.1007/bf00425997, 1993.
  - Xu, S., Sha, G., and Xie, J.: Cavity ring-down spectroscopy in the liquid phase, Review of Scientific Instruments, 73, 255–258, doi:10.1063/1.1430729, 2002.

Yokelson, R. J., Burkholder, J. B., Fox, R. W., Talukdar, R. K., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Tem-

20 perature Dependence of the NO<sub>3</sub> Absorption Spectrum, J. Phys. Chem., 98, 13144–13150, doi:10.1021/j100101a009, 1994.





<b>Discussion</b> Pa	<b>AM</b> 4, 3499–33	<b>AMTD</b> 4, 3499–3544, 2011				
per   Discussion	An aircra three c broadbar enha O. J. Kenr	An aircraft based three channel broadband cavity enhanced O. J. Kennedy et al.				
Paper	Title F	Title Page				
—	Abstract	Introduction				
Disc	Conclusions	References				
ussion	Tables	Figures				
Pap	I	۶I				
θr	•	Þ				
	Back	Close				
iscuss	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc				
ion P	Printer-frien	Printer-friendly Version				
aper	Interactive I	Interactive Discussion				

**Table 1.** Optical setup and spectral performance of each spectrometer used in the BBCEAS instrument.

	Species measured	Entrance slit width (µm)	Groove density of diffraction grating (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	Wavelength coverage (nm)	Spectral resolution FWHM (nm)
Channel 1	$N_2O_5 + NO_3$	100	1200	615–706	0.9
Channel 2	NO <sub>3</sub>	200	2400	639–680	0.75
Channel 3	NO <sub>2</sub>	100	2400	410–482	0.4

**AMTD** 4, 3499-3544, 2011 An aircraft based three channel broadband cavity **Discussion Paper** enhanced O. J. Kennedy et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Tables Figures < Close Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

**Discussion** Paper

Table 2. The parameter required to solve Eq. (4), which is used to calculate the absolute error in the  $N_2O_5$  measurement.

Parameter	Description	Associated uncertainty
NO <sub>3(sum)</sub>	Concentration of $NO_3$ measured in channel 1 (including dissociated $NO_3$ )	$\sigma(\text{NO}_{3(\text{sum})}) = 14\%$
<i>T</i> <sub>1</sub>	Transmission efficiency of ambient	$\sigma(T_1) = 1.5 \%$
T <sub>0</sub>	Transmission efficiency of ambient $N_2O_5$ into channel 1	$\sigma(T_0) = 6\%$



Fig. 1. The optical layout of the three channel broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer.



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper



Discussion Paper An aircraft based three channel broadband cavity **Discussion** Paper enhanced O. J. Kennedy et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

AMTD

4, 3499-3544, 2011



Fig. 2. A photograph of the three channel LED based BBCEAS instrument for use on board the UK BAe 146-301 research aircraft.



**Fig. 3.** A schematic showing air flow through the instrument: ambient air (blue) and nitrogen (red) used for background acquisition and flushing of the mirror purge volumes.



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper





Fig. 4. Position of the BBCEAS instrument's inlets on the fuselage of the FAAM BAe 146-301 aircraft.







**Fig. 5.** Observed (red) and fitted (black) decay of NO<sub>3</sub> inside the BBCEAS instrument's heated PFA detection cell (channel 1). The measured decay time constant for NO<sub>3</sub> wall loss is 0.27 s- $1 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which for the flow conditions of the BBCAES instrument corresponds to a transmission efficiency for ambient NO<sub>3</sub> into channels 1 and 2 of 90% and 96%, respectively.



**Discussion** Paper









Fig. 7. Steps showing the algorithm for calculating intracavity water vapour concentrations in channels 1 and 2.

Interactive Discussion

3538



**Fig. 8.** Allen deviation plots (standard deviation also shown) for measurements of NO<sub>3</sub> in the heated channel 1 (top panel), NO<sub>3</sub> in channel 2 (middle panel) and NO<sub>2</sub> in channel 3 (bottom panel). For averaging times of less than 100s the Allan deviation decreases approximately as  $\sqrt{t}$  (gradients of gradients of 0.45, 0.43 and 0.45 for top, middle and bottom plots, respectively). The minima in the Allen plots indicate the optimum averaging times for maximum measurement sensitivity. The absolute measurement sensitivity using each channel is inferred from the standard deviation at the optimum averaging time, which offers a more conservative estimate than that suggested by the Allan deviation.







**Fig. 9.** Histograms showing the distribution of NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in channels 1 (left) and 2 (right) retrieved from 2000 absorption spectra recorded with 1 s integration times during a daytime flight when the NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> concentrations were below the detection limits of the instrument. The 1 $\sigma$  standard deviation of the distributions, which are used to infer the absolute sensitivity of the measurements, are 2.37 ppt and 1.05 ppt for channels 1 and 2, respectively.



Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper





**Fig. 10.** Examples of retrieved and fitted absorption spectra of several different species measured during the flight on 20/21 July 2010. See text for details.







**Fig. 11.** Flight track of the FAAM BAe 146-301 during the night of 20/21 July 2010. The relative concentrations (see Fig. 12 for time series) of NO<sub>3</sub> (orange) and  $N_2O_5$  (purple) are shown along the flight track.

AMTD 4, 3499-3544, 2011 An aircraft based three channel broadband cavity enhanced O. J. Kennedy et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables Figures Close Back Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper











