



CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

# Measurements of tropospheric HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> by oxygen dilution modulation and chemical ionization mass spectrometry

R. S. Hornbrook<sup>1</sup>, J. H. Crawford<sup>2</sup>, G. D. Edwards<sup>1,\*</sup>, O. Goyea<sup>1,\*\*</sup>,  
R. L. Mauldin III<sup>1,3</sup>, J. S. Olson<sup>2</sup>, and C. A. Cantrell<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research, 1850 Table Mesa Drive, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>2</sup>Atmospheric Sciences Division, Langley Research Center, NASA, Hampton, VA, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

\* now at: Department of Chemistry, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI, USA

\*\* now at: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Department of Commerce, Alexandria, VA, USA

Received: 28 December 2010 – Accepted: 3 January 2011 – Published: 18 January 2011

Correspondence to: R. S. Hornbrook (rsh@ucar.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



## Abstract

An improved method for the measurement of hydroperoxy radicals ( $\text{HO}_2$ ) and organic peroxy radicals ( $\text{RO}_2$ , where R is any organic group) has been developed that combines two previous chemical conversion/chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) peroxy radical measurement techniques. Applicable to both ground-based and aircraft platforms, the method provides good separation between  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$  and frequent measurement capability with observations of both  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2$  amounts each minute. This allows for analyses of measured  $[\text{HO}_2]/[\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2]$  ratios on timescales relevant to tropospheric photochemistry. By varying both  $[\text{NO}]$  and  $[\text{O}_2]$  simultaneously in the chemical conversion region of the PeRCIMS (Peroxy Radical CIMS) inlet, the method exploits the changing conversion efficiency of  $\text{RO}_2$  to  $\text{HO}_2$  under different inlet  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  to selectively observe either primarily  $\text{HO}_2$  or the sum of  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$ . Two modes of operation have been established for ambient measurements: in the first half of the minute,  $\text{RO}_2$  radicals are measured at close to 100% efficiency along with  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals (low  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2] = 2.53 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and in the second half of the minute,  $\text{HO}_2$  is detected while the majority of ambient  $\text{RO}_2$  radicals are measured with low efficiency, approximately 15% (high  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2] = 6.80 \times 10^{-4}$ ). The method has been tested extensively in the laboratory under various conditions and for a variety of organic peroxy radicals relevant to the atmosphere and the results of these tests are presented. The modified PeRCIMS instrument has been deployed successfully using the new measurement technique on a number of aircraft campaigns, including on the NSF/NCAR C-130 during the MIRAGE-Mex and NASA INTEX-B field campaigns in the spring of 2006. A brief comparison of the peroxy radical measurements during these campaigns to a photochemical box model indicates good agreement under tropospheric conditions where  $\text{NO}_x$  ( $\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$ ) concentrations are lower than 0.5 ppbV (parts per billion by volume).

## AMTD

4, 385–442, 2011

### CIMS Measurements of $\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{RO}_2$

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 1 Introduction

Peroxy radicals, including hydroperoxy radicals ( $\text{HO}_2$ ) and organic peroxy radicals ( $\text{RO}_2$ , where R is any organic group) are important tropospheric photochemical species having a critical role in tropospheric ozone formation, as a reservoir for hydroxyl radicals (OH), and the primary source of gas-phase peroxides. In air masses with low  $\text{NO}_x$  ( $\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$ ), the dominant sinks for peroxy radicals are via self- and cross reactions, forming peroxides and other species (Tyndall et al., 2001; A. Hasson et al., 2003; Calvert et al., 2008). Where  $\text{NO}_x$  concentrations are higher, peroxy radicals efficiently convert NO into  $\text{NO}_2$ , regenerating OH. The resulting  $\text{NO}_2$  can be photolyzed to generate  $\text{O}_3$ . At very high  $\text{NO}_x$ , production of  $\text{HNO}_3$  from the reaction of OH with  $\text{NO}_2$  dominates (Brasseur et al., 1999).

Presently-available techniques for observing  $\text{RO}_2$  include chemical amplification (Green et al., 2006), chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) (Hanke et al., 2002, Cantrell et al., 2003a,b,c; Ren et al., 2005), and laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) (Fuchs et al., 2008). Each of these techniques, including the method we present here, use similar chemical conversion schemes which provide a measurement of the sum of  $\text{RO}_2$  rather than speciated  $\text{RO}_2$ . For a detailed comparison of all peroxy radical measurement techniques, the reader is directed to a review of OH and  $\text{HO}_2$  measurements by Heard and Pilling (2003) and to Fuchs et al. (2008) for an updated overview. Although  $\text{RO}_2$  speciation has not been achieved, observations of organic peroxy and hydroperoxy radicals are valuable components for understanding and modeling tropospheric photochemistry. Separate observations of  $[\text{HO}_2]$  and  $[\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2]$  under photochemical timescales can improve our understanding of atmospheric processes involving VOCs and  $\text{NO}_x$  and the partitioning that occurs between OH and  $\text{HO}_2$  and between the hydro- and organic peroxy radical forms.

The method presented here builds on the previous work of this research group using the Peroxy Radical Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry (PeRCIMS) inlet (Edwards et al., 2003) by adding a dilution step similar to that described by Hanke et al. (2002). In

AMTD

4, 385–442, 2011

### CIMS Measurements of $\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{RO}_2$

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



all three techniques,  $[\text{HO}_2]$  and  $[\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2]$  are measured independently by exploiting the competing chemistry that converts alkoxy (RO) radicals, formed in reactions of  $\text{RO}_2$  with added NO in the inlet, into either  $\text{HO}_2$  or alkyl nitrites via the following:



Here, Reaction (R3) requires that the RO radical has available  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms that can be abstracted. For inlet conditions  $k_3[\text{O}_2] > k_2[\text{NO}][\text{M}]$ , there is increased likelihood for alkoxy radicals to react with  $\text{O}_2$  to generate  $\text{HO}_2$ . Similarly, where  $k_3[\text{O}_2] < k_2[\text{NO}][\text{M}]$ , RO is more likely to form an alkyl nitrite via Reaction (R2). There can also be substantial formation of the alkyl nitrate via Reaction (R4) in competition with Reaction (R1), generally increasing in importance as the size of the R group increases. This  $\text{RO}_2$  separation chemistry is shown in Scheme 1, along with additional reaction pathways that will be discussed in a later section.

Both ambient  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals and  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals formed in Reaction (R3) are converted into  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the inlet through reactions with added NO and  $\text{SO}_2$  via:

**CIMS Measurements  
of  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$** 

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The formation of HSO<sub>3</sub> in Reaction (R6) leads to HO<sub>2</sub> being recycled in Reaction (R7), but this cycle is terminated by Reaction (R9). Therefore, the residence time in the neutral chemistry region and the ratio of [SO<sub>2</sub>]/[NO] determines the competing chemistry of OH reactions with SO<sub>2</sub> and NO Reactions (R6 and R9), and thus the sulfuric acid yield, Δ[H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>]/[HO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub>, from Reactions (R5–R8). A summary of the HO<sub>2</sub> conversion chemistry is shown in Scheme 2.

The method described by Edwards et al. (2003) involves varying [NO] in the chemical conversion region of the PerCIMS inlet to either enhance or reduce the rate of Reaction (R2) and likewise the measurement sensitivity to organic peroxy radicals. The concentration of NO in the inlet is changed by adding either pure NO or a 3000 ppmV (parts per million by volume) mixture of NO in N<sub>2</sub> to the front injector of the inlet. To maintain a constant chain length of Δ[H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>]/[HO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub>, inlet [SO<sub>2</sub>] is simultaneously adjusted by adding either pure SO<sub>2</sub> gas or a 1% mixture of SO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> to the front injector gas mixture. A serious drawback to this method is the time required to switch from HO<sub>2</sub> measurement to HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> measurement: on the order of 30 min to allow adequate time for the pure reagent gases to be flushed from the inlet. Using this method, the conversion efficiency of RO<sub>2</sub> into HO<sub>2</sub> (α<sub>RO<sub>2</sub></sub>) is reported to be 0.10–0.15 using pure reagent gases and 0.80–0.90 using dilute reagent gas mixtures, giving an approximate sixfold separation between HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>, but under timescales that are long in comparison to photochemistry and not ideal for aircraft measurements.

Using an inlet similar to the PerCIMS inlet with the same conversion chemistry, Hanke et al. (2002) describe a CIMS method in which RO<sub>2</sub> is selectively measured by adjusting the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chemical conversion region to either enhance or reduce the rate of Reaction (R3). This is done by diluting the sample flow by 75% or more with either O<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>. A constant sample flow is maintained while the [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratio in the inlet is increased or decreased. The authors reported α<sub>RO<sub>2</sub></sub> values of 0.25–0.30 with N<sub>2</sub> dilution (HO<sub>2</sub> mode), and 0.90 with O<sub>2</sub> dilution (HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode), with measurements of both modes made approximately once per minute. While the reported one-minute measurement cycle is more appropriate for timescales

relevant to photochemistry and airborne measurements, the separation between HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> is weaker in comparison to the method described by Edwards and colleagues. Additionally, there is an inherent loss in sensitivity caused by diluting the ambient sample to one quarter or less of the sample flow.

The method we present here is a combination of the two methods described above in which both [NO] and [O<sub>2</sub>] are modulated in the inlet to generate a low [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] measurement mode and a high [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] measurement mode. By varying the inlet [O<sub>2</sub>], the requirement for pure NO and SO<sub>2</sub> reagent gases is eliminated. Likewise, by adjusting the NO mixture flow rate, good separation can be achieved with only 50% dilution of the sample air. This enables independent [HO<sub>2</sub>] and [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] observations to be made each minute and provides better measurement separation than with dilution alone by allowing for [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios in the two modes that differ by a greater amount. Although two inlet conditions have been established for observations of ambient peroxy radicals via the new method, the modified PeRCIMS inlet has been tested extensively in the laboratory under a wide range of [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] conditions to characterize the oxygen dilution modulation method under a variety of conditions and for a large selection of common organic peroxy radicals.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Principles of operation

Shown in Fig. 1, the modified PeRCIMS instrument utilizes a technique in which ambient HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> are chemically converted to HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> ions that are then detected quantitatively by mass spectrometry. With the exception of the diluent region, the basic components of the instrument are similar to that described by Edwards et al. (2003), and thus only a summary is presented here.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 2.2 Dilution

The primary modification to the instrumentation is the addition of a dilution region to the intake of the inlet (Fig. 1, inset). Sample air containing peroxy radicals is drawn through a 2.7-mm diameter orifice into the dilution region of the PeRCIMS inlet where either N<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub> (UHP, United States Welding) is added. For ambient peroxy radical observations, two measurement modes have been established and the details for these are listed in Table 1. In the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode, sampled air is diluted by half with O<sub>2</sub>, and in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode, sampled air is diluted by half with N<sub>2</sub>. With ambient air at standard pressure and the internal inlet pressure controlled at 2.00×10<sup>4</sup> Pa, the flow of gas from the dilution region of the inlet into the neutral chemistry region is 2.32 standard liters per minute (SLPM). Thus, to generate a 1:1 ratio of diluent to sample air, diluent O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> flow rates are computer-controlled using mass flow controllers (MKS) at 1.16 SLPM at sea level and adjusted to maintain a 1:1 ratio of diluent to sampled air for measurements at lower ambient pressures. Diluent gas switching is computer controlled using two low-volume three-way valves (Clippard) to maintain a constant flow of gas into the dilution region and to minimize pressure pulses in the diluent delivery tubing.

## 2.3 Inlet conversion chemistry

The mixture of sampled air and diluent is drawn from the dilution region through a 0.50-mm diameter orifice into the neutral chemistry region of the inlet, controlled at a constant pressure of 2.00×10<sup>4</sup> Pa by a scroll pump (Air Squared) and a pressure controller (MKS 640). Two reagent mixtures, 1.5% NO in N<sub>2</sub> and 4.0% SO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> (made in house using pure NO and SO<sub>2</sub> gases and UHP N<sub>2</sub>) are added via the front injector at computer-controlled flow rates to generate NO mixing ratios of 15.0 and 67.5 ppmV and SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios of 400 and 1800 ppmV for the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> modes, respectively.

In both modes, HO<sub>2</sub> radicals are chemically converted via reactions (R5–R8) into gas phase H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with a Δ[H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>]/[HO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub> yield of 5.5. As described by

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Edwards et al. (2003), ambient OH radicals are measured alongside peroxy radicals in the PeRCIMS inlet. However, because ambient  $[\text{HO}_2]/[\text{OH}]$  ratios in the troposphere are typically 50–100, the impact of OH on peroxy radical signals is insignificant given that peroxy radical measurement uncertainties are generally  $\pm 35\%$ .

The conversion chemistry involved in the measurement of organic peroxy radicals by CIMS was described by Edwards and colleagues as being only slightly more complex than the measurement of  $\text{HO}_2$ , involving an initial conversion of  $\text{RO}_2$  radicals with available  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms to  $\text{HO}_2$  via Reactions (R1) and (R3). With inlet conditions at a reduced pressure and relatively low  $[\text{NO}]$  such that  $k_3[\text{O}_2] > k_2[\text{NO}][\text{M}]$ , alkoxy radicals formed in Reaction (R1) will form  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals which are then converted to  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the same manner as ambient  $\text{HO}_2$ . From extensive characterization experiments on the modified PeRCIMS inlet using a number of different  $\text{RO}_2$  precursors at  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2] < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ , we have determined that there is an additional reaction pathway by which organic peroxy radicals are converted into  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the PeRCIMS inlet. We will explore the inlet chemistry further in the discussion section.

## 2.4 Background measurement

Ambient  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and other chemical artifacts that react with  $\text{SO}_2$  in the inlet to form  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  add to the peroxy radical signal, and thus each peroxy radical measurement in each mode is accompanied by a background measurement. To quantify the background, the  $\text{SO}_2$  mixture that is added to the front injector during the signal measurement is redirected to the rear injector of the neutral chemistry region (see Fig. 1). The inlet chemistry proceeds such that OH radicals formed in Reaction (R5) react with NO to form HONO via Reaction (R9) prior to encountering  $\text{SO}_2$ , preventing the conversion of ambient peroxy radicals into  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The  $\text{SO}_2$  mixture is directed to either the front or rear injector by a low-volume computer-controlled 3-way valve (Clippard). To maintain constant and balanced flows through the injectors for the entire measurement cycle for both modes, additional quantities of  $\text{N}_2$  gas are added to the front and rear injectors. For typical operation, the duration of the background measurement is equal to the

## CIMS Measurements of $\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{RO}_2$

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





duration of the signal measurement. The actual peroxy radical concentration for each measurement mode is determined using the difference in the signal and background measurements.

## 2.5 Ion chemistry

5 Immediately following the neutral chemistry region of the inlet is the ion chemistry region where a fraction of the gas-phase  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  molecules is converted into  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  ions via a proton-transfer reaction with  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ions and its clusters, similar to the reaction scheme described by Tanner and Eisele (1995):



10 A mixture of air, nitric acid vapor and  $\text{NO}$  is added to the inlet at the end of the neutral chemistry region to provide a sheath flow within the ion chemistry region. This sheath mixture includes 2.32 SLPM of ambient air that has passed through a chemical scrubber to remove trace  $\text{SO}_2$ , as well as  $\sim 1.5$  sccm of  $\text{N}_2$  that has passed through the headspace of a vial containing liquid concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\sim 5$  sccm of the 1.5%  $\text{NO}$  in  $\text{N}_2$  mixture.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ions are produced as the  $\text{HNO}_3$  vapor in the sheath flow is ionized  
15 by  $\text{O}_2^-$  and other more primary products generated by the radioactive source ( $^{241}\text{Am}$ ). The  $\text{NO}$  added to the sheath air helps to lower the background by reacting with  $\text{OH}$  and other radicals produced by the source emission.

## 2.6 Ion detection

20 At the rear of the ion region,  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  ions are directed through a 0.2-mm diameter pinhole by a series of ion lenses located throughout the inlet and inside the vacuum, along with other negatively-charged ions (i.e.,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and clusters of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  or  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  with neutral species). An  $\text{N}_2$  buffer flow (200 sccm), immediately before the pinhole and greater than the flow into the pinhole, serves to prevent oxygen and other neutral gases from

### CIMS Measurements of $\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{RO}_2$

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



entering the vacuum system, while allowing ions, with the help of an electric field, to pass through while also reducing H<sub>2</sub>O clustered with the ions.

For different applications or platforms, the PeRCIMS inlet has been affixed to a number of different vacuum systems including two single-channel systems each housing a single quadrupole and detector, and a 4-channel CIMS system with four inlet mounting locations and four quadrupoles and detectors. The primary components in these vacuum systems are similar. Depending on the diameter and volume of the vacuum system, two or three turbomolecular pumps (Varian V550, Varian V301, Balzer-Pfeiffer TCP-380), mounted orthogonally to the ion stream and backed by a scroll pump (Air Squared, Synergy or Varian) are used to differentially pump the vacuum system to pressures of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa or less. Immediately behind the pinhole is a collision dissociation chamber (CDC) which accelerates and aids in the dissociation of ion clusters, leaving primarily NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> ions. The CDC region is kept at a constant a pressure, ideally ~10 Pa, determined by the diameter of the orifice at the rear of the CDC, which is typically between 6 and 10 mm. After passing through the CDC, ions are guided further into the vacuum system either by a series of ion lenses or by an octopole ion guide assembly mounted immediately after the CDC, and are then mass-selected by a quadrupole mass filter with additional ion lenses before and after the quadrupoles. At the rear of the vacuum system, the ions selected by the mass filter are detected by a channel electron multiplier (CEM) (Ceramax, K&M Electronics) biased in the negative ion pulse counting mode.

The ratio of the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> counting frequencies (counts/s) is proportional to the peroxy radical signal *S* according to:

$$S = \frac{f_{\text{HSO}_4^-, \text{signal}} - f_{\text{HSO}_4^-, \text{background}}}{(f_{\text{NO}_3^-}) - (f_{\text{electronic noise}})} \quad (1)$$

where  $f_{\text{HSO}_4^-, \text{signal}}$  and  $f_{\text{HSO}_4^-, \text{background}}$  are the HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> counting frequencies when the SO<sub>2</sub> mixture is directed through the front and rear injectors, respectively, and  $f_{\text{electronic noise}}$  is the counting frequency of a region of the mass spectrum where there are not typically

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ions present, typically  $m/z$  20 or 70. Generally,  $f_{\text{electronic noise}} \ll f_{\text{NO}_3^-}$ , and thus this correction is only minor and the electronic noise measurement serves to confirm that there is not significant electronic noise present in the system.

## 2.7 Calibration

Calibration of the sensitivity of the instrument to peroxy radicals is accomplished by a quartz calibration cell positioned in front of the inlet (Fig. 2),  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules are photolyzed by a low pressure Hg lamp (UVP, Jelight or Hamamatsu) with a fused quartz envelope emitting in the UV range (primarily at 184.9 and 253.7 nm) via:



Air at a desired absolute humidity is generated by combining flows of dry synthetic air (United States Welding, Hydrocarbon Free, total hydrocarbons  $\leq 0.1$  ppmV) with synthetic air that has been saturated by passing through a 303-K temperature-controlled vessel containing PTFE spheres (Hoover Precision Products, Inc.; 1/4-in diameter) wetted with deionized water. The humidity of the air mixture is monitored continually by directing 100 sccm of the total air mixture flow (5–20 SLPM) through a hygrometer (DewPrime III, Edgetech).

Radical production is controlled by varying the amount of radiation passing through the cell and the water vapor mixing ratio of the synthetic air. The amount of radiation in the calibration cell can be controlled by adjusting the distance between the lamp and the cell or by inserting slits of differing widths in the path of the radiation. Both of these properties could be adjusted on the previous calibration cell assembly (shown by Edwards et al. (2003)), but only the slit width can be adjusted on the current calibration assembly (Fig. 2). Radical concentrations similar to those found in the atmosphere and greater are generated using this method. From Cantrell et al. (1997a,b), the yield of  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals from the photolysis of water can be determined using

$$[\text{HO}_2] = Y(I t) \sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} [\text{H}_2\text{O}], \quad (2)$$

## CIMS Measurements of $\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{RO}_2$

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where  $\sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  is the absorption cross section of water vapor (i.e.,  $7.22 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ ) and  $\phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  is the photolysis quantum yield (assumed to be unity) at 184.9 nm.  $Y$  is a yield factor dependent on the added OH-reactant, equal to 1 for CH<sub>4</sub> and other hydrocarbons, and 2 for H<sub>2</sub>. The quantity  $It$  is the product of the lamp flux and the photolysis time, and is determined for each calibration cell assembly in separate N<sub>2</sub>O actinometry experiments for specific slits and flow rates through the calibration cell.

To generate a fixed hydroperoxy radical concentration, H<sub>2</sub> (Matheson or Airgas, >99.99% purity) is added to the humidified air flow, converting all OH formed in Reaction (R11) to HO<sub>2</sub> according to:



Thus, the total [HO<sub>2</sub>] produced is  $2(It)\sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$ . In the absence of added H<sub>2</sub>, the OH will react with trace gases in the synthetic air and will create an unknown mixture of hydroperoxy and organic peroxy radicals.

### 3 Instrument characterization

A number of tests have been performed on the PeRCIMS instrument to characterize the inlet chemistry and to establish the ambient measurement modes for optimum sensitivity and separation of HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>. These characterizations were made using both the previous and current calibration cell assemblies described above for absolute calibrations. In general, H<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> (or another RO<sub>2</sub> precursor) is added to the humidified air that passes through the calibration cell, with the output of the cell directly in front of the PeRCIMS inlet. Generating organic peroxy radicals using H<sub>2</sub>O photolysis is preferential to using Cl atom+precursor reactions (as in Edwards et al., 2003) as OH chemistry produces RO<sub>2</sub> species more commonly encountered in the

atmosphere. As with the absolute calibration, OH radicals formed via Reaction (R11) react with H<sub>2</sub> to generate a second HO<sub>2</sub> for each water molecule photolyzed, according to Reactions (R13) and (R14). Adding CH<sub>4</sub> in place of H<sub>2</sub> generates a methyl peroxy radical according to:



which results in equal parts HO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> radicals. The background-corrected signals (as in Eq. 1) of the peroxy radicals generated from the addition of the two precursors, S<sub>CH<sub>4</sub></sub> and S<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>, under otherwise identical inlet conditions, are used to determine α<sub>CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub> (the conversion efficiency or measurement sensitivity to CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in comparison to HO<sub>2</sub> which is a function of [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>]) or, in general, for any organic peroxy radical precursor RH, according to:

$$10 \alpha_{\text{RO}_2} = 2 \times \left( \frac{S_{\text{RH}}}{S_{\text{H}_2}} \right) - 1. \quad (3)$$

Determinations of α<sub>RO<sub>2</sub></sub> have been made for a number of RO<sub>2</sub> precursors over a wide range of [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] values between 10<sup>-2</sup> and 10<sup>-6</sup>. Each α<sub>RO<sub>2</sub></sub> determination requires a peroxy radical measurement with H<sub>2</sub> added to the humidified synthetic air, and a peroxy radical measurement with CH<sub>4</sub> or another RO<sub>2</sub> precursor added. Reported α<sub>RO<sub>2</sub></sub> values were determined using the means of approximately four consecutive individual S<sub>RH</sub> and S<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub> measurements, such that all measurements are made within ~10 min.

To characterize the inlet chemistry and establish the two ambient observation modes, a broad set of operating conditions have been tested with a variety of peroxy radical precursors and concentrations and are described in the following sections. To ensure ideal conditions for each α<sub>RO<sub>2</sub></sub> determination, individual calibration parameters were investigated to determine ideal operating ranges for the total flow rate through the calibration cell and the concentrations of the radical precursors. The results of these tests

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



are discussed in the following section. Using conditions that fell within these ideal operating parameters,  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  has been measured more than 1000 times over a broad range of inlet [NO] and [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>]. The results of these measurements are shown in Fig. 3. With inlet [NO]<2 ppmV, there is  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  less dependence on inlet [O<sub>2</sub>], but for inlet [NO]>2 ppmV, the concentration of O<sub>2</sub> in the inlet plays a more important role in determining  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$ , and the ratio of [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] is key. The  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  data were binned by [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] value, and the mean  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values for each bin are shown in Fig. 3a and listed in Table 2 along with the mean  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values for the two ambient measurement modes.

### 3.1 Calibration parameter experiments

#### 3.1.1 Total flow rate through the calibration cell

The concentration of radicals formed in the calibration cell depends on both the total flow rate of the humidified air mixture through the cell and the amount of irradiation with which the water vapor in the air is exposed, *It* (Eq. 3). The ideal total flow rate through the calibration cell was determined for three lamp intensities (generated with the lamp at three different distances from the cell using the old calibration cell apparatus) at five different total calibration cell flow rates. For each flow rate, the relative flow rates of saturated and dry air were held constant at 1:10, or ~9.1% relative humidity at room temperature. For all measurements reported for this test the inlet [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] was  $1.29 \times 10^{-5}$  with 1.0 SLPM of O<sub>2</sub> added to the dilution region, and 10 sccm each CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. A minimum of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  two measurements (8 individual measurements with each precursor) were made for each lamp distance at each total air flow rate. A summary of the results of the test is shown in Table 3.

The measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values indicate that with a specified concentration of water, a minimum flow rate through the calibration cell is necessary, as it is otherwise possible to generate too many peroxy radicals to be quantitatively converted to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> without requiring a correction for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> depletion in the inlet. Also, wall loss of radicals becomes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



is sufficiently sensitive (i.e., the calibration factors are stable with regards to the measurement of peroxy radicals) under the majority of tropospheric conditions. However, because the sampled air is drawn from ambient pressure into a low pressure region and being diluted 1:1 with dry N<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub>, there are possible ambient conditions in which the measurement sensitivity could be lowered. This typically involves air masses that are very dry and cold, i.e. measurements at low altitudes in dry arctic regions. Figure 5 shows the calculated dependence on ambient pressure and temperature for a series of minimum relative humidities at which peroxy radicals can be measured without any modifications to the sampling procedure. For field studies in which conditions are below these minimum relative humidity curves, we have developed a method in which a fraction of the diluents (N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>) are humidified to add sufficient water vapor to the inlet.

### 3.1.3 Peroxy radical precursor concentration

The calibration and  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  characterizations of the peroxy radical measurement rely on the OH-chemistry of CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in the calibration cell to generate reproducible mixing ratios of HO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. When insufficient amounts of CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub> are added to the humidified air, trace contaminants in the air or other reagent gases can react with OH in place of CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>, generating unknown [CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>], [HO<sub>2</sub>] or other radicals. With excessively high CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios, the conversion of CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the inlet will not reach completion due to competition with SO<sub>2</sub> for OH. To determine the ideal concentration range of peroxy radical precursors in the calibration cell flow, two tests were performed using a range of CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> flow rates added to the total calibration flow.

In the first test,  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  was determined at three [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios ( $9.30 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $1.86 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $2.80 \times 10^{-5}$ ) for a series of CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios (0.04, 0.10, 0.18, 0.40, 0.99, and 1.96, all % by volume) in 5.0 SLPM of 10% humidified air. For the first six tests [H<sub>2</sub>] was kept equal to [CH<sub>4</sub>] for each  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determination, and for the last two tests,



**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



[H<sub>2</sub>] was approximately twice and half the CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios of 0.10% and 0.18%, respectively. For each CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratio,  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  is reported as the average of at least four determinations made at each inlet [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>], generated by diluting the air from the calibration assembly by one quarter with O<sub>2</sub> and adding NO to the front injector to generate inlet NO mixing ratios of 3.75, 7.50 and 11.25 ppmV, respectively. The results of this experiment are shown in Fig. 6.

From the first precursor test, the resultant hydroperoxy radical signal from the addition of H<sub>2</sub> did not vary outside the measurement uncertainty with H<sub>2</sub> concentrations ranging from 0.010% to 0.99%. Thus, the measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  is significantly less sensitive to the absolute H<sub>2</sub> concentration in the calibration mixture than it is to the concentration of the RO<sub>2</sub> precursor. For this reason, [H<sub>2</sub>] was held constant during the second precursor concentration test at a concentration large enough to ensure that the HO<sub>2</sub> radicals measured were formed from the photolysis of water and the reaction of OH with H<sub>2</sub>.

The second test involved a series of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determinations in the two ambient modes, with [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios of  $2.80 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $6.80 \times 10^{-4}$ . For this test, a wider range of [CH<sub>4</sub>] in the air mixture was generated using single and double dilution techniques to add CH<sub>4</sub> to a 5.0 SLPM flow of humidified air. For the single-dilution technique, between 2 and 100 sccm of CH<sub>4</sub> was added directly to the humidified air via a 100 sccm mass flow controller (MFC). The double dilution required an initial step in which a small flow of CH<sub>4</sub> was added to a secondary flow of synthetic air using a 10 sccm MFC and the resulting diluted mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> in air was added to the humidified air via the 100 sccm MFC. During the double dilution, the initial CH<sub>4</sub> in air mixture was vented to maintain a constant pressure approximately  $2.5 \times 10^3$  Pa above ambient pressure, allowing a constant flow through the 100 sccm MFC. Using the two dilution techniques, calibration-cell methane concentrations ranging from 20 ppmV to 2.0% were generated for subsequent measurements. For this experiment, [H<sub>2</sub>] in the calibration flow was kept constant at 0.18% for the HO<sub>2</sub> mode of the  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determinations. The results of this experiment are shown in Fig. 7.

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



From the second precursor test, it is evident that there are both upper and lower limits for the required concentration of RO<sub>2</sub> precursors in the calibration cell. With decreasing CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations of 0.010% or less, the measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2, \text{low}}$  values for the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode decrease significantly, most likely due to trace species in the synthetic air reacting with OH in place of CH<sub>4</sub>. With increasing calibration-cell methane concentrations of 1.0% or greater, the measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values for both measurement modes decrease significantly. In this case, the decrease in  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  is likely due to the incomplete chemical conversion of peroxy radicals into H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Thus, for ongoing calibration purposes, the concentration of RO<sub>2</sub> precursors in the calibration cell should fall between 0.010% and 1.0% equivalent methane reactivity, ideally in the range of 0.05% to 0.2%, corresponding to an OH reactivity of  $\sim 60$  to  $250 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

Using the optimized conditions established for the above calibration cell parameters, i.e. total flow rate through the calibration cell, water vapor concentration in the calibration cell air mixture, and RO<sub>2</sub> precursor concentration, the parameters described in the following sections were explored to better characterize the PeRCIMS instrument.

## 3.2 PeRCIMS characterization experiments

### 3.2.1 Ambient pressure dependence

A modified calibration cell that can be sealed onto the sample intake end of the PeRCIMS inlet was used to determine the dependence of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  on ambient pressure. For this test, absolute calibrations and  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determinations were made in the HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> measurement modes at a range of calibration cell pressures. To achieve a range of pressures at the PeRCIMS intake, a pressure controller and pump were attached to a side port on the calibration cell, downstream of the Hg lamp, to control the pressure in the calibration cell to a desired pressure between  $4.00 \times 10^4$  and  $9.33 \times 10^4$  Pa. For these measurements, the air mixture in the cell consisted of 5 SLPM of 10% saturated synthetic air with alternating CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> at 0.18%, and the flow rates of the reagent gases and diluents were adjusted according to the sample flow rate into



**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



[NO]: essentially an HO<sub>2</sub> mode and an HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode with inlet [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios of  $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , respectively. In ambient air, however, the majority of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals are formed via OH-oxidation of the parent hydrocarbon. Hence,  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  the values that we report here are based on measurements of RO<sub>2</sub> generated from reactions with OH radicals. This is of particular importance for peroxy radicals from OH-reactions with unsaturated non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC), which typically differ from those generated via unsaturated NMHC-Cl reactions (Orlando et al., 2003; Suh et al., 2003; Taatjes, 1999; Atkinson, 2000). As well, we present measurements of  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  for a number of RO<sub>2</sub> precursors at not just two ambient measurement modes, but over a range of inlet [NO] and [O<sub>2</sub>].

The same double dilution apparatus discussed in the previous section for adding small flows of methane to the calibration flow was employed here. Gas-phase RO<sub>2</sub> precursors were added via the 10-sccm mass flow controller such that the combined flow rates from the two dilutions resulted in OH reactivities within the optimized range for methane reactivity (60 to 250 s<sup>-1</sup>). For RO<sub>2</sub> precursors in the liquid phase at room temperature, dry synthetic air was passed through the 10-sccm mass flow controller, then through the headspace of a vial containing a small volume of the precursor. The pressure in the vial and manifold was monitored and vented through a needle valve to maintain a constant pressure approximately  $2.5 \times 10^4$  Pa above ambient. Thus, the concentration of the precursor in the synthetic air flow could be calculated from its vapor pressure, and an appropriate amount was added to the calibration gas flow to generate OH reactivities in the ideal range.

A total of 17 different NMHC RO<sub>2</sub> precursors were used to create RO<sub>2</sub> radicals that were subsequently measured using the PeRCIMS instrument across a range of [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>]. The results of the  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  determinations for each of these precursors were grouped into three different subgroups, C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkanes (i.e., NMHC with between two and five carbon atoms), C<sub>6</sub>–C<sub>7</sub> alkanes, and unsaturated hydrocarbons. These are plotted along with the measurements of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  for comparison in Fig. 8. For some RO<sub>2</sub> precursors, a mixture of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals are generated during reaction with OH and

the reported  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values are a weighted mean of the individual values. For each precursor, the mean of the  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  determinations made within  $\pm 15\%$  of the two ambient measurement modes are listed in Table 5 with literature OH rate coefficients.

In Fig. 8a, it is clear that the majority of  $\text{C}_2\text{--C}_5$  NMHC precursors generate  $\text{RO}_2$  radicals with similar  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  behavior to methylperoxy radicals, but with slightly higher  $\text{RO}_2$  sensitivity over the entire  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  range measured. Overall, the separation between the  $\text{HO}_2$ -mode and  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$ -mode ( $\Delta\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$ ) is similar to methane for each of these  $\text{RO}_2$  precursors. The primary exceptions to this are methylpropane with significantly lower  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values over the entire range of  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  measured, and *n*-pentane with  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values that deviate from those of the other precursors such that it is much higher than  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  in the  $\text{HO}_2$  mode region. Possible reasons for these differences are discussed below.

The majority (>70% at or below 298 K) of methylpropane-OH reactions occur via abstraction of the tertiary hydrogen, resulting in a *tert*-butoxy radical after subsequent reaction with NO (Eq. 1) in the PeRCIMS inlet (Atkinson, 1997; Tully et al., 1986). With no  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms, the *tert*-butoxy radical cannot react with  $\text{O}_2$  to generate  $\text{HO}_2$  via Reaction (R3). Rather, it either decomposes unimolecularly to generate a methyl fragment which must undergo further reaction in the inlet to generate  $\text{HO}_2$  (decomposition lifetime is  $\sim 1.3$  ms at 298 K and  $2.00 \times 10^4$  Pa and is in the fall-off region) (Blitz et al., 1999; Atkinson, 2007) or react with NO via reaction (2) to form *tert*-butylnitrite ( $k_{\text{NO},295\text{K}} = (2.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $9.3 \times 10^3$  to  $8.0 \times 10^4$  Pa (Lotz and Zellner, 2000; Atkinson, 2007)). With 15 ppmV [NO] in the  $2.00 \times 10^4$  Pa inlet, the rate of *tert*-butoxy+NO is  $\sim 0.5$  ms, and  $\sim 0.1$  ms with 67.5 ppmV [NO], hence the sensitivity of the PeRCIMS instrument to *tert*-butylperoxy radicals is low. The signal that is seen from the methylpropane reaction is due to the OH-abstraction of a primary hydrogen atom, resulting in 2-methylpropoxy radicals. The results for 3-methylpentane in Fig. 8b indicate similar behavior, with  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values that tend to be lower than other  $\text{C}_6$  alkanes. This is consistent with the formation of alkoxy radicals with no  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms. However, this behavior is not evident in the methylbutane and 2-methylpentane results

CIMS Measurements  
of  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$ 

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(Fig. 8a and b), both having  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values closer to the *n*-alkane with the same number of straight-chain carbon atoms.

From the *n*-pentane precursor reactions, the measured  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values are increasingly larger than  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values with increasing  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$ , as are the  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values from the C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>7</sub> alkane precursors in Fig. 8b. This is most likely due to *n*-pentane and larger alkanes having sufficient numbers of carbon atoms to generate alkoxy radicals that are subject to isomerization. These isomerizations often result in reactions that generate HO<sub>2</sub> radicals, lowering the separation dependence on the  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  ratio. Figure 8a and b shows that as the numbers of carbon atoms in alkanes increase, the ability to deselect the resultant RO<sub>2</sub> radical in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode decreases, and thus the separation between HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> becomes smaller.

In Fig. 8c, the  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values from the unsaturated NMHC precursors have a similar dependence on  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  as larger alkane RO<sub>2</sub> precursors. In general, alkene-OH reactions occur as addition reactions, forming hydroxyalkyl radicals that react with O<sub>2</sub> under atmospheric conditions to form  $\beta$ -hydroxyalkyl peroxy radicals (and  $\delta$ -hydroxyalkyl peroxy radicals where conjugated double bonds are present). In high  $[\text{NO}]$  environments (i.e., the PeRCIMS inlet), these peroxy radicals react with NO forming primarily hydroxyalkoxy radicals that can decompose unimolecularly (typically generating HO<sub>2</sub>), isomerize or react with O<sub>2</sub> to carbonyl compounds and HO<sub>2</sub> (Atkinson, 1997). Thus, in the PeRCIMS inlet, Reaction (R2) is unsuitable for suppression of the conversion of the hydroxyalkoxy radical to HO<sub>2</sub> for alkene-precursor RO<sub>2</sub> and therefore the separation between HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> is lessened. Aromatic hydrocarbons undergo a combination of addition and abstraction reactions with OH radicals (Atkinson, 2000), so with benzene and toluene as RO<sub>2</sub> precursors, the impact of rearrangement and dissociation leading to HO<sub>2</sub> is seen in the observed  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$ , but to a lesser degree. Overall, due to the differences in sensitivity of the PeRCIMS to different RO<sub>2</sub> radicals, the uncertainties of reported measurements of ambient HO<sub>2</sub> account for the relative reactivity of RO<sub>2</sub> precursors in the air masses being studied, e.g.: in urban regions with elevated relative reactivity of unsaturated NMHC RO<sub>2</sub> precursors, reported HO<sub>2</sub> uncertainties must

CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



account for RO<sub>2</sub> that may be observed in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode. This is addressed in Sect. 4.

### 3.2.3 Standard model vs. analytical model

Applying standard tropospheric chemistry to the inlet yields the expected behavior of equal yields of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from HO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> radicals at small [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ( $\alpha=1$ ), and small yields of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for RO<sub>2</sub> relative to HO<sub>2</sub> at large [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ( $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ). This standard model was presented in Edwards et al. (2003), and is shown in Fig. 3a. It can be seen that the observations of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  differ significantly from the standard model for the very lowest [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] and, unexpectedly, values greater than unity were measured. This implies that processes dependent on both the inlet [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratio (Fig. 3a) and the absolute [NO] in the inlet (Fig. 3b) are operative which produce more than one HO<sub>2</sub> per RO<sub>2</sub> for these conditions, or that H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is produced in addition to the conversion to HO<sub>2</sub>. On the other hand, agreement between the observations and the standard model at large [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] values is reasonable, in contrast to the results of Edwards et al. (2003) (Fig. 3a). We believe that the larger than expected  $\alpha$  values observed by Edwards et al. were due to contaminants in the zero air used in their experiments. We found it necessary to use the cleanest synthetic air available (so-called Hydrocarbon Free, with VOC content less than 0.1 ppmV) to avoid this artifact. Edwards and colleagues did not observe the larger than unity  $\alpha$  values at low [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>], likely because their measurements were not made at sufficiently low [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios (minimum ratio of  $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ).

What reactants could convert SO<sub>2</sub> into H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and/or RO<sub>2</sub> into HO<sub>2</sub> with yields leading to apparent  $\alpha$  values greater than unity at low [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>], while maintaining the inefficient conversion at high [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>]? Possible candidates are RO<sub>2</sub> and RO, or unknown chemistry involving OH and/or HO<sub>2</sub>. RO would seem reasonable by analogy with OH. RO<sub>2</sub> is unlikely, although several papers in the literature discuss the oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> by CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (e.g., Kan et al., 1981 and references therein). Current thinking is that the direct oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> is quite slow ( $<10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  Sander and

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Watson, 1981). Kan et al. (1981), on the other hand, argue for a multiple-step mechanism involving two equilibria, which results in the oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> while preserving the CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> radicals. While reactions such as these might not be important in the troposphere, they could be operative in the high SO<sub>2</sub> and NO concentrations within the instrument inlet.

Yields of HO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from the reactions of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals within the instrument inlet were calculated in the following way, and compared with the laboratory measured values. Each RO<sub>2</sub> precursor reacts with OH to produce one or more isomers. The yields of these isomers have been reported in the literature for some reactants, and structure activity relationships have been developed to predict the isomer yields (Calvert et al., 2008; Kwok and Atkinson, 1995; Neeb, 2000). We used the method of Calvert et al. for the alkane precursors, and that of Neeb for the others. Several reactions between the radicals and the reagent gases within the inlet are part of standard tropospheric chemistry, including Reactions (R1) through (R4), and the following:



Following isomerization Reaction (R18) or decomposition Reaction (R17), the alkyl radical adds to O<sub>2</sub> to form a new organic peroxy radical, which cascades through the sequence. When produced, HO<sub>2</sub> reacts as described earlier. Using the above chemistry, we found it not possible to explain our laboratory observations of the sensitivity of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals in our instrument for the lowest [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios. Missing from this chemistry are peroxy and alkoxy radicals reactions with SO<sub>2</sub>. Although not recognized as relevant to tropospheric chemistry, based on analogy with OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, one might speculate that RO would react with SO<sub>2</sub> leading to SO<sub>3</sub> and other products. Edwards et al. (2003) proposed a reaction between CH<sub>3</sub>O and SO<sub>2</sub> to explain their observation of higher than expected H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> yields at high [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios. No detailed mechanism was proposed, other than to indicate that H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was eventually produced along with other non-radical or slowly-reacting products.



## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Kan et al. (1981) reported results of detailed laboratory chamber studies of the kinetics and mechanism of reactions between CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>O and CH<sub>3</sub> with SO<sub>2</sub> in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> and NO. They argue that these reactions involve multiple equilibria between the radicals, SO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. They also explain that conventional flow tube kinetics studies do not observe a reaction between CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> due to the absence of O<sub>2</sub>, resulting in the recommended (Sander et al., 2006) rate coefficient for CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+SO<sub>2</sub> at an upper limit of  $5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . This is discussed in a footnote in Sander et al. (2006), with the conclusion that the decomposition of the CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> adduct back to CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> likely dominates under atmospheric conditions. While this may be true, we seek a mechanism for the production of additional HO<sub>2</sub> and/or H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in our instrument inlet. We hypothesize that there are three potential mechanisms that produce H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> without consumption of radicals. Mechanism (a) involves the reaction between RO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> along the lines discussed by Kan et al. (1981)




The RO<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> behaves like any other peroxy radical, primarily reacting with NO in our inlet.




The alkoxy radical produced could potentially undergo a number of reactions, but decomposition is most likely.




This mechanism produces SO<sub>3</sub> and eventually H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> without consumption of radicals, and has the potential to explain our laboratory measurements. Overall, the reaction involves the catalytic oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> to SO<sub>3</sub>.



Mechanism (b), also discussed by Kan et al. (1981), involves the reaction between RO and SO<sub>2</sub> resulting in production of RO and SO<sub>3</sub>. The chemistry is similar to that shown for RO<sub>2</sub>+SO<sub>2</sub>, with the final reaction involving the decomposition of ROSO<sub>2</sub>O into RO and SO<sub>3</sub> and an overall reaction:



Mechanism (c) involves reaction between RO and SO<sub>2</sub> leading to RO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub>. This is only slightly different than mechanism (b), but will lead to lower yields when the nitrate yield in the reaction of RO<sub>2</sub> with NO is significant.



Overall, this mechanism converts RO and SO<sub>2</sub> into RO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub>.



15 The rate laws for each of these mechanisms are similar, if we assume that the reaction of the sulfur containing peroxy radical with NO is not rate limiting. In that case, the production rate of SO<sub>3</sub> is given by the following.

$$\left( \frac{d[\text{SO}_3]}{dt} \right)_a = k_a [\text{O}_2] [\text{SO}_2] [\text{RO}_2] \quad (4)$$

where  $k_a$  is the effective rate coefficient for the overall process.

20 Similar equations apply to mechanisms (b) and (c). Equations for the production of HO<sub>2</sub> from Reactions (R1–R7), and mechanisms (a–c) were derived and used to predict the yield of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from RO<sub>2</sub> relative to HO<sub>2</sub> for each of the isomers produced in the

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



reactions of OH with the various precursors studied. The rate coefficients,  $k_a$ ,  $k_b$ , and  $k_c$  were used as fit parameters. In addition, the rate coefficients for RO+NO reactions for alkanes larger than C<sub>3</sub> and the other species were allowed to vary within a range ( $5 \times 10^{-12}$  to  $4 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) as part of the fitting procedure. The rate coefficients for RO+O<sub>2</sub> were set to their recommended values ( $1 \times 10^{-14}$  for primary RO and  $8 \times 10^{-15}$  for secondary RO), and to zero for tertiary RO (Atkinson, 1994, 1997; Calvert et al., 2008). In a few cases, no satisfactory fit could be found varying the four rate coefficients, and in those cases the rate coefficients for RO+O<sub>2</sub> were also fit. RO<sub>2</sub>+NO rate coefficients were set to recommended values for smaller RO<sub>2</sub> (C<sub>3</sub> and smaller alkanes), and to  $8 \times 10^{-12}$  for larger alkanes and the other species. This approach was able to reproduce the laboratory observations with remarkable accuracy.

The rate coefficients yielding the best fits for the RO+NO reactions were in the  $3$ – $4 \times 10^{-11}$  range for C<sub>6</sub> and smaller alkanes. The C<sub>7</sub> alkane was  $1 \times 10^{-11}$ , while for the alkenes the best fit was  $5 \times 10^{-12}$ . For the aromatics, the values needed to be set at the kinetic limit of about  $4 \times 10^{-10}$ . The effective overall rate coefficient for mechanism (a) averaged approximately  $1 \times 10^{-31}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in a value of  $k_a$ [O<sub>2</sub>] at low [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios of about  $3 \times 10^{-13}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and about  $5 \times 10^{-14}$  at high [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ratios. These values are larger than the value recommended by Kan et al. (1981) of  $1.4 \times 10^{-14}$ . There is variability in the best values for  $k_a$ . For example, it is about  $1 \times 10^{-36}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for *tert*-butoxy radicals. Values are also similar for 2- and 3-heptylperoxy radicals. Some best fit values are zero (e.g., for 2- and 3-hexylperoxy, and many of the tertiary peroxy radicals) while the value for 1-hexylperoxy is unrealistically large ( $2 \times 10^{-26}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). This is likely an artifact of the fitting procedure. Rate coefficients for mechanism (b) range from  $10^{-31}$  to  $10^{-27}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with the straight-chained peroxy radicals tending to have the higher values. Tertiary peroxy radicals often have zero values. The radicals derived from alkenes are generally at the low end, mostly between  $2 \times 10^{-31}$  and  $10^{-29}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Finally, the rate coefficients for mechanism (c) range from  $10^{-32}$  to  $10^{-29}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Values are zero for isoprene and the aromatics,



The terms  $F_{\text{low}}$  and  $F_{\text{high}}$  are the calibration factors for the  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$  and  $\text{HO}_2$  modes, respectively, and are defined as:

$$F_{\text{low}} = [\text{HO}_2]_{\text{H}_2} / S_{\text{H}_2, \text{low}} \quad (7)$$

$$F_{\text{high}} = [\text{HO}_2]_{\text{H}_2} / S_{\text{H}_2, \text{high}} \quad (8)$$

5 From Eq. (2), the absolute concentration of  $\text{HO}_2$ ,  $[\text{HO}_2]_{\text{H}_2}$ , in both modes is  $2(t/t)\alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$ . Finally,  $\alpha_{\text{high}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{low}}$  are the measurement sensitivities to  $\text{RO}_2$  (relative to  $\text{HO}_2$ ) at the two ambient measurement modes with high  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  and low  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  and are defined as:

$$\alpha_{\text{high}} = \frac{S_{\text{RO}_2, \text{high}}}{S_{\text{HO}_2, \text{high}}} \quad (9)$$

$$10 \quad \alpha_{\text{low}} = \frac{S_{\text{RO}_2, \text{low}}}{S_{\text{HO}_2, \text{low}}} \quad (10)$$

The values of  $F_{\text{low}}$ ,  $F_{\text{high}}$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{high}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{low}}$  are determined during laboratory instrument characterizations and absolute calibration measurements.

Because the ambient concentration of  $\text{RO}_2$  is not measured independently from  $\text{HO}_2$ , it is subject to greater uncertainty as it relies on the difference of two measured signals. Thus, we recommend that ambient data are reported as  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$  concentrations, such that reported  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$  concentrations are the combination of both  $[\text{HO}_2]$  and  $[\text{RO}_2]$ , and are essentially the  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$ -mode signal with a small correction:

$$[\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2]_{\text{amb}} = S_{\text{low}} F_{\text{low}} + \frac{(1 - \alpha_{\text{low}})(S_{\text{low}} F_{\text{low}} - S_{\text{high}} F_{\text{high}})}{\alpha_{\text{low}} - \alpha_{\text{high}}} \quad (11)$$

20 which can be simplified to:

$$[\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2]_{\text{amb}} = \frac{S_{\text{low}} F_{\text{low}} (1 - \alpha_{\text{high}}) - S_{\text{high}} F_{\text{high}} (1 - \alpha_{\text{low}})}{\alpha_{\text{low}} - \alpha_{\text{high}}} \quad (12)$$

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Thus, both  $[\text{HO}_2]_{\text{amb}}$  and  $[\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2]_{\text{amb}}$  are determined using the signals measured during both the  $\text{HO}_2$  and the  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$  modes, and the values for each mode. For this reason, for each  $\text{HO}_2$  mode measurement,  $[\text{HO}_2]_{\text{amb}}$  is calculated using the average of the previous and following signal measurements in the  $\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2$  mode,  $S_{\text{low,ave}}$ , and likewise,  $[\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2]_{\text{amb}}$  is calculated using the average of the previous and following signal measurements in the  $\text{HO}_2$  mode,  $S_{\text{high,ave}}$ .

Uncertainties of ambient measurements arise from a combination of uncertainties in a number of factors including calibration, counting statistics, and flow controller and pressure transducer accuracy, as discussed by Edwards et al. (2003). Present PeRCIMS uncertainties associated with actinometry and calibrations are identical to those shown by Edwards et al., while estimated uncertainties of the  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  counting statistics in ambient measurements are now both 10% for signals well above the detection limit. This leads to a 95% confidence interval of typically  $\pm 35\%$  for ambient measurements of both  $[\text{HO}_2]$  and  $[\text{HO}_2+\text{RO}_2]$ .

#### 4.1 Ambient data from the MIRAGE and INTEX-B campaigns

In Spring 2006, the PeRCIMS instrument was deployed on the NSF/NCAR C-130 during the MIRAGE-Mex and INTEX-B field campaigns, described in detail in an overview paper (Singh et al., 2009). The MIRAGE-Mex (Megacities Impact on Regional and Global Environment-Mexico City) campaign, which took place in March 2006, was designed to investigate the chemical and physical transformation of gases and aerosol in the polluted outflow from Mexico City. INTEX-B, the second phase of NASA's Intercontinental Transport Experiment which took place during April-May 2006, was designed to quantify the transpacific transport and evolution of Asian pollution to North America. Concentrations of NO were also measured on the C-130 by chemiluminescence (Ridley et al., 2004). Following the field studies, the NASA Langley time-dependent photochemical box model (Crawford et al., 1999; Olson et al., 2001, 2006; Fried et al., 2008a,b) was used to estimate radical concentrations along the flight tracks of a number of the C-130 flights, constrained by observations of other chemical species made

onboard the aircraft.

Shown in Fig. 9 is a time-series plot of a segment of the C-130 flight on 10 March 2006. Observations of HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios are shown on the lower plot, along with modeled HO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub>, while the upper plot shows the corresponding GPS altitude, 1-Hz [NO] observations and 1-min averaged [NO]. Both plots are highlighted in green where the 1-min average [NO] is above 0.5 ppbV. The observations and model output are generally in good agreement, but under certain conditions the measured and modeled peroxy radical concentrations deviate significantly and systematically. For example, when [NO]>0.5 ppbV, (both 1-Hz and 1-min averaged [NO]) the model-predicted HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios are much lower than the actual observed radical concentrations, and to a lesser extent, the model-predicted HO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios are lower than the observed data. This tendency for the measurement/model ratio to increase with increasing NO<sub>x</sub> has been discussed previously for measurements of hydroperoxy radicals and hydroxyl radicals (Faloona et al., 2000; Ren et al., 2005, 2006; Olson et al., 2006), and for this reason we consider times when [NO]<0.5 ppbV in the following analysis. The discrepancy between measurements and model with high NO<sub>x</sub> for the peroxy radical data from MIRAGE-Mex, INTEX-B and other more recent campaigns will be explored further in a separate paper. Other times the observations and model differed significantly during the 2006 campaigns occurred both when the C-130 was in air masses heavily influenced by biomass burning, and in the marine boundary layer.

Overall, there were six C-130 MIRAGE-Mex flights and eight INTEX-B flights with both observations and box model peroxy radical data available for comparison. In total, there were approximately 2700 HO<sub>2</sub> and 3000 HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> data points with both ambient and model values. Excluding data points with measured or modeled peroxy mixing ratios <2 pptV (parts per trillion by volume) to eliminate extreme concentration ratios, as well as those with corresponding 1-min average [NO]>0.5 ppbV, there are approximately 2500 HO<sub>2</sub> and 2800 HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> data points remaining for comparison. From these, the mean measurement/model ratios for the MIRAGE-Mex flights were

## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



1.25 ± 0.74 (HO<sub>2</sub>) and 1.54 ± 0.73 (HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>), and for the INTEX flights, 1.15 ± 0.58 (HO<sub>2</sub>) and 1.36 ± 0.77 (HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>), all 1σ standard deviation.

Both of these data sets will be thoroughly explored in separate papers. In general, however, the observations tend to be larger than the box model predicts, with more deviation between measurement and model during the MIRAGE-Mex campaign. The observations of [HO<sub>2</sub>] and [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] during these campaigns demonstrate that we are able to measure separated hydroperoxy and organic peroxy radical concentrations on timescales relevant for fast photochemistry and useful for comparison to photochemical box models of aircraft observations.

## 4.2 Impact of alpha value uncertainties

The reported  $\alpha_{\text{high,CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{low,CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values for the HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> measurement modes are 0.17 ± 0.04 and 1.22 ± 0.08. To determine the impact of the alpha value uncertainties, we used the data set from the MIRAGE-Mex flight on 10 March. By changing the  $\alpha_{\text{high}}$  value by ± 25% (the estimated uncertainty), the individual calculated [HO<sub>2</sub>] changed by less than 3% on average. The calculated [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] changed by less than 1%. Similarly, by changing the  $\alpha_{\text{low}}$  value by ± 7%, the calculated [HO<sub>2</sub>] and [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] change by less than 1% and 2%, on average, respectively. These changes are all well within the reported peroxy radical measurement uncertainties of ~35%.

However, what the reported  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  uncertainties do not directly account for is the impact on  $\alpha_{\text{high}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{low}}$  due to organic peroxy radicals other than CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. As shown in Fig. 8a–c, the [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] dependence of  $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$  values for some RO<sub>2</sub> precursors can vary significantly from that of methane. For this reason, it is important to consider the impact that RO<sub>2</sub> precursor speciation can have on the uncertainty of both calculated HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

To estimate the impact of non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub> on the uncertainties of the reported [HO<sub>2</sub>] and [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] in the MIRAGE-Mex and INTEX-B data sets, we assessed the RO<sub>2</sub> precursor loading for different types of air masses measured during a MIRAGE-Mex

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





flight, and looked at the measurement/model ratios with respect to the box modeled fraction of total RO<sub>2</sub> that is CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for both campaigns full data sets.

During the 10 March flight, the C-130 sampled air masses with a variety of RO<sub>2</sub> precursors at a wide range of relative concentrations. The NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) box model output for the non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub> along the flight track is the sum of 25 parameterized RO<sub>2</sub> groups based on precursor type and oxidant. The chemical scheme for NMHC in the box model derives from that in the lumped scheme of Lurmann et al. (1986), with updates as described in Crawford et al. (1999) and Olson et al. (2006). The 25 functional peroxy groups are described in Table 6. Figure 10 is a plot of the 25 RO<sub>2</sub> groups and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a fraction of the total RO<sub>2</sub> according to the model for the same time period shown in Fig. 9. During the flight, the CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> fraction is predicted to range from 16% to 92% of the total RO<sub>2</sub>. Based on the experiments with NMHC RO<sub>2</sub> precursors, the PeRCIMS inlet is not sensitive to all RO<sub>2</sub> species equally. As well, there are a number of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals that are converted into HO<sub>2</sub> in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode, and are thus included in the observed [HO<sub>2</sub>]. The 25 RO<sub>2</sub> groups in Fig. 10 have been colored according to the sensitivity of the PeRCIMS measurement: blue-toned groups are those that are primarily observed in the RO<sub>2</sub> mode, red-toned groups are those that primarily generate a signal in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode (e.g., from alkene precursors), and the green-toned groups contain a mixture of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals that may be observed as RO<sub>2</sub> or HO<sub>2</sub>.

From Fig. 10, at some points during the flight, as much as 50% of the ambient RO<sub>2</sub> could be measured in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode. Thus, although observed [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] should not be impacted, this implies that observed [HO<sub>2</sub>] would be greater than the modeled [HO<sub>2</sub>] in regions where the non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub> fraction is high. From Fig. 9, although there are a few occasions when the observed [HO<sub>2</sub>] is significantly greater than the modeled [HO<sub>2</sub>], the majority of these times coincide with the observed [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] significantly exceeding that of the model. This suggests there are RO<sub>2</sub> and/or RO<sub>2</sub> precursors that are not being accounted for in the model or by the VOC measurements, either in the gas or the aerosol phase. Because of the possibility of some non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub>

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



being observed in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode, the reported uncertainties of measured [HO<sub>2</sub>] are larger in regions where non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is large (>0.5), as observed HO<sub>2</sub> is systematically high.

It is possible that some of the observed RO<sub>2</sub> that is not accounted for by the box model is a product of the OH-oxidation of acetaldehyde, CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. According to Apel et al. (2010), the two VOCs with the greatest influence on OH reactivity in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) region are acetaldehyde and formaldehyde. The NASA LaRC box model is not constrained by acetaldehyde observations, and the model-predicted acetaldehyde concentrations are on average half the observed [acetaldehyde] measured onboard the C-130 by the NCAR Trace Organic Gas Analyzer (TOGA) instrument while it was in the region of the MCMA during the 10 March flight (Fig. 9, top panel). During this time, approximately 20:30–23:20 UTC, the observed [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] was higher than the modeled [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>]. The PerCIMS is sensitive to CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as RO<sub>2</sub> (MCO3 in Table 6), so it is possible that lower predicted acetaldehyde concentrations contribute to the modeled [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>] being lower than the observed [HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>]. However, this is likely only a part of the overall RO<sub>2</sub> signal missing from the model, as doubling the MCO3 contribution in Fig. 10 would not account for a significant increase in modeled RO<sub>2</sub>.

## 5 Conclusions

An improved method for separating and measuring hydroperoxy and organic peroxy radicals has been developed and characterized extensively for both ground-based and airborne measurements. The method builds upon previously described CIMS techniques for measuring peroxy radicals in that both HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> modes can be observed each minute, with improved separation between modes.

Operationally, the use of NO and SO<sub>2</sub> mixtures that can be used in both measurement modes by simply increasing or decreasing the flow rates by a factor of 4.5 has allowed for significantly faster switching between measurement modes than previously

## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

possible with pure reagent gases. By diluting the sample 1:1 with diluent gases, the overall measurement sensitivity has been effectively halved. However, compared to the fourfold dilution used by Hanke et al. (2002), this method allows for a higher degree of sensitivity by only diluting the sample flow by half and combining the dilution with two inlet NO mixing ratios. Most importantly, because in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode is only ~0.2 for CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and other simple organic peroxy radicals, we are able to successfully separate HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> for the majority of atmospheric conditions over a wide range of RO<sub>2</sub> precursor concentrations and mixtures. Undermeasurement of [RO<sub>2</sub>] and overmeasurement of [HO<sub>2</sub>] due to conversion in the inlet in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode may occur in regions where unsaturated hydrocarbons are present in high concentrations. For this reason, the reported [HO<sub>2</sub>] uncertainty will be larger in these regions. However, the observed [RO<sub>2</sub>] during a MIRAGE-Mex flight are on average higher than the model predicts in these circumstances, indicating that there are likely additional sources of RO<sub>2</sub> that are not being accounted for in the model.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors thank Fred Eisele and Edward Kosciuch for their instrument expertise and advice, Andrew Weinheimer for providing the NO<sub>x</sub> data from the MIRAGE field campaign, and the crew and support team for the NSF/NCAR C-130 aircraft. The authors also thank Geoff Tyndall and Wengang Zheng for helpful discussion. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of NASA (Grant No. NNG06GB67G). The National Center for Atmospheric Research is operated by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research under the sponsorship of the National Science Foundation.

**References**

Apel, E. C., Emmons, L. K., Karl, T., Flocke, F., Hills, A. J., Madronich, S., Lee-Taylor, J., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J., Richter, D., Tie, X., Mauldin, L., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D., Sive, B., Kleinman, L., Springston, S., Zaveri, R., Ortega, J., Voss, P., Blake, D., Baker, A., Warneke, C., Welsh-Bon, D., de Gouw, J., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Rudolph, J., Junkermann, W., and Riemer, D. D.: Chemical evolution of volatile organic compounds

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



in the outflow of the Mexico City Metropolitan area, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2353–2375, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2353-2010, 2010.

Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 2, 1–216, 1994.

5 Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of volatile organic compounds. 1. Alkanes and alkenes, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 26, 215–290, 1997.

Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub>, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2063–2101, 2000.

10 Atkinson, R.: Rate constants for the atmospheric reactions of alkoxy radicals: An updated estimation method, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 8468–8485, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.002, 2007.

Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, *Chem. Rev.*, 103, 4605–4638, doi:10.1021/cr0206420, 2003.

15 Brasseur, G. P., Orlando, J. J., and Tyndall, G. S., Eds., *Nitrogen Compounds*, in: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Global Change*, Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 235–289, 1999.

Blitz, M., Pilling, M. J., Robertson, S. H., and Seakins, P. W.: Direct studies on the decomposition of the *tert*-butoxy radical and its reaction with NO, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 1, 73–80, 1999.

20 Calvert, J. G., Derwent, R. G., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G. S., and Wallington, T. J.: *Mechanisms of the Atmospheric Oxidation of the Alkanes*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1008 pp., 2008.

Cantrell, C. A., Zimmer, A., and Tyndall, G. S.: Absorption cross sections for water vapor from 183 to 193 nm, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2195–2198, doi:10.1029/97GL02100, 1997a.

25 Cantrell, C. A., Zimmer, A. and Tyndall, G. S.: Correction to "Absorption cross sections for water vapor from 183 to 193 nm", *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2687, doi:10.1029/97GL02803, 1997b.

Cantrell, C. A., Mauldin, L., Zondlo, M., Eisele, F., Kosciuch, E., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Hall, S., Campos, T., Ridley, B., Walega, J., Fried, A., Wert, B., Flocke, F., Weinheimer, A., Hannigan, J., Coffey, M., Atlas, E., Stephens, S., Heikes, B., Snow, J., Blake, D., Blake, N., Katzenstein, A., Lopez, J., Browell, E. V., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Seid, G., and Talbot, R.: Steady state free radical budgets and ozone photochemistry during TOPSE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8361, doi:10.1029/2002JD002198, 2003a.

30 Cantrell, C. A., Edwards, G. D., Stephens, S., Mauldin, L., Kosciuch, E., Zondlo, M., and Eisele, F.: Peroxy radical observations using chemical ionization mass spectrometry during

## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



TOPSE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8371, doi:10.1029/2002JD002715, 2003b.

Cantrell, C. A., Edwards, G. D., Stephens, S., Mauldin, R. L., Zondlo, M. A., Kosciuch, E., Eisele, F. L., Shetter, R. E., Lefer, B. L., Hall, S., Flocke, F., Weinheimer, A., Fried, A., Apel, E., Kondo, Y., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Simpson, I. J., Bandy, A. R., Thornton, D. C., Heikes, B. G., Singh, H. B., Brune, W. H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Jacob, D. J., Avery, M. A., Barrick, J. D., Sachse, G. W., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., and Clarke, A. D.: Peroxy radical behavior during the Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) campaign as measured aboard the NASA P-3B aircraft, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8797, doi:10.1029/2003JD003674, 2003c.

Crawford, J., Davis, D., Olson, J., Chen, G., Liu, S., Gregory, G., Barrick, J., Sachse, G., Sandholm, S., Heikes, B., Singh, H., and Blake, D.: Assessment of upper tropospheric HO<sub>x</sub> sources over the tropical Pacific based on NASA GTE/PEM data: Net effect on HO<sub>x</sub> and other photochemical parameters, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 16255–16273, 1999.

Edwards, G. D., Cantrell, C. A., Stephens, S., Hill, B., Goyea, O., Shetter, R. E., Mauldin, R. L., Kosciuch, E., Tanner, D. J., and Eisele, F. L.: Chemical ionization mass spectrometer instrument for the measurement of tropospheric HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>, *Anal. Chem.*, 75, 5317–5327, 2003.

Faloona, I., Tan, D., Brune, W. H., Jaegle, L., Jacob, D. J., Kondo, Y., Koike, M., Chatfield, R., Pueschel, R., Ferry, G., Sachse, G., Vay, S., Anderson, B., Hannon, J., and Fuelberg, H.: Observations of HO<sub>x</sub> and its relationship with NO<sub>x</sub> in the upper troposphere during SONEX, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 3771–3783, 2000.

Fried, A., Walega, J. G., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Chen, G., Weibring, P., Richter, D., Roller, C., Tittel, F. K., Heikes, B. G., Snow, J. A., Shen, H., O'Sullivan, D. W., Porter, M., Fuelberg, H., Halland, J., and Millet, D. B.: Formaldehyde over North America and the North Atlantic during the summer 2004 INTEX campaign: Methods, observed distributions, and measurement-model comparisons, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D10302, doi:10.1029/2007JD009760, 2008a.

Fried, A., Olson, J. R., Walega, J. G., Crawford, J. H., Chen, G., Weibring, P., Richter, D., Roller, C., Tittel, F., Porter, M., Fuelberg, H., Halland, J., Bertram, T. H., Cohen, R. C., Pickering, K., Heikes, B. G., Snow, J. A., Shen, H., O'Sullivan, D. W., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Blake, D. R., Blake, N., Sachse, G., Diskin, G. S., Podolske, J., Vay, S. A., Shetter, R. E., Hall, S. R., Anderson, B. E., Thornhill, L., Clarke, A. D., McNaughton, C. S., Singh, H. B., Avery, M. A., Huey, G., Kim, S., and Millet, D. B.: Role of convection in redistributing formalde-

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

hyde to the upper troposphere over North America and the North Atlantic during the summer 2004 INTEX campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D17306, doi:10.1029/2007JD009760, 2008b.

Fuchs, H., Holland, F., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Measurement of tropospheric RO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals by a laser-induced fluorescence instrument, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 79, 084104, doi:10.1063/1.29687121, 2008.

Green, D. W. and Perry, R. H.: *Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook*, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, United States, 2400 pp., 2007.

Green, T. J., Reeves, C. E., Fleming, Z. L., Brough, N., Richard, A. R., Bandy, B. J., Monks, P. S., Penkett, S. A.: An improved dual channel PERCA instrument for atmospheric measurements of peroxy radicals, *J. Environ. Monitor.*, 8, 530–536, doi:10.1039/b514630e, 2006.

Hanke, M., Uecker, J., Reiner, T., and Arnold, F.: Atmospheric peroxy radicals: ROXMAS, a new mass-spectrometric methodology for speciated measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and ΣRO<sub>2</sub> and first results, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 213, 91–99, 2002.

Hasson, A. S., Chung, M. Y., Kuwata, K. T., Converse, A. D., Krohn, D., and Paulson, S. E.: Reaction of Criegee intermediates with water vapor - An additional source of OH radicals in alkene ozonolysis, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 107, 32, 6176–6182, doi:10.1021/jp0346007, 2003.

Heard, D. E. and Pilling, M. J., Measurement of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the troposphere, *Chem. Rev.*, 103, 5163–5198, doi:10.1021/cr020522s, 2003.

Kan, C. S., Calvert, J. G., and Shaw, J. H.: Oxidation of sulfur-dioxide by methylperoxy radicals, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 85, 1126–1132, 1981.

Kwok, E. S. C. and Atkinson, R.: Estimation of hydroxyl radical reaction rate constants for gas-phase organic compounds using a structure-reactivity relationship: an update, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 1685–1695, 1995.

Lotz, C. and Zellner, R.: Fluorescence excitation spectrum of the *tert*-butoxy radical and kinetics of its reactions with NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2, 2353–2360, 2000.

Lurmann, F. W., Lloyd, A. C., and Atkinson, R.: A chemical mechanism for use in long-range transport/acid deposition computer modeling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91(D10), 10905–10936, 1986.

Neeb, P.: Structure-reactivity based estimation of the rate constants for hydroxyl radical reactions with hydrocarbons, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 35, 295–315, 2000.

Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Davis, D. D., Chen, G., Avery, M. A., Barrick, J. D. W., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., Sandholm, S. T., Tan, D., Brune, W. H., Faloon, I. C.,

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Heikes, B. G., Shetter, R. E., Lefer, B. L., Singh, H. B., Talbot, R. W., and Blake, D. R.: Seasonal differences in the photochemistry of the South Pacific: a comparison of observations and model results from PEM-Tropics A and B, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 32749–32766, 2001.
- 5 Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Chen, G., Brune, W. H., Faloona, I. C., Tan, D., Harder, H., and Martinez, M.: A reevaluation of airborne HO<sub>x</sub> observations from NASA field campaigns, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D10301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006617, 2006.
- Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G. S., Apel, E. C., Riemer, D. D., and Paulson, S. E.: Rate coefficients and mechanisms of the reaction of Cl-atoms with a series of unsaturated hydrocarbons under  
10 atmospheric conditions, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 35, 334–353, 2003.
- Ren, X. R., Brune, W. H., Cantrell, C. A., Edwards, G. D., Shirley, T., Metcalf, A. R., and Leshner, R. L.: Hydroxyl and peroxy radical chemistry in a rural area of Central Pennsylvania: observations and model comparisons, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 52, 231–257, doi:10.1007/s10874–005-3651–7, 2005.
- 15 Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Mitchell, M. J., Leshner, R. L., Simpas, J. B., Metcalf, A. R., Schwab, J. J., Cai, C., Li, Y., Demerjian, K. L., Felton, H. D., Boynton, G., Adams, A., Perry, J., He, Y., Zhou, X., and Hou, J.: Behavior of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the winter atmosphere in New York City, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, S252–S263, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.073, 2006.
- Ridley, B., Ott, L., Pickering, K., Emmons, L., Montzka, D., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D., Grahek, F., Li, L., Heymsfield, G., McGill, M., Kucera, P., Mahoney, M. J., Baumgardner, D.,  
20 Schultz, M., and Brasseur, G.: Florida thunderstorms: a faucet of reactive nitrogen to the upper troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D17305, doi:10.1029/2004JD004769, 2004.
- Sander, S. P. and Watson, R. T.: A kinetics study of the reaction of SO<sub>2</sub> with CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 77, 473–475, 1981.
- 25 Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G., K., Wine, P. H., Ravishankara, A. R., Kolb, C. E., Molina, M. J., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Huie, R. E., and Orkin, V. L.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies evaluation number 15, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 2006.
- Singh, H. B., Brune, W. H., Crawford, J. H., Flocke, F., and Jacob, D. J.: Chemistry and transport  
30 of pollution over the Gulf of Mexico and the Pacific: spring 2006 INTEX-B campaign overview and first results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 2301–2318, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2301-2009, 2009.
- Suh, I., Zhang, R. Y., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Oxidation mechanism of aromatic peroxy and bicyclic radicals from OH-toluene reactions, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 125, 12655–12665,

2003.

Taatjes, C. A.: Time-resolved infrared absorption measurements of product formation in Cl atom reactions with alkenes and alkynes, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 18, 419–458, 1999.

Tanner, D. J. and Eisele, F. L.: Present OH measurement limits and associated uncertainties, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 2883–2892, 1995.

Tully, F. P., Goldsmith, J. E. M., and Droege, A. T.: Hydrogen-atom abstraction from alkanes by OH. 4. Isobutane, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 90, 5932–5937, 1986.

Tyndall, G. S., Cox, R. A., Granier, C., Lesclaux, R., Moortgat, G. K., Pilling, M. J., Ravishankara, A. R., and Wallington, T. J.: Atmospheric chemistry of small organic peroxy radicals, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 12157–12182, 2001.

**AMTD**

4, 385–442, 2011

## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 1.** Standard Ambient PerCIMS Operating Conditions.

Mode	HO <sub>2</sub> +RO <sub>2</sub>	HO <sub>2</sub>
Diluent	O <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>
Sampled air:diluent	1:1	1:1
Inlet NO mixing ratio	15.0 ppmV	67.5 ppmV
Inlet SO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratio	400 ppmV	1800 ppmV
O <sub>2</sub> mixing ratio	58.0%	10.1%
Inlet [NO]/[O <sub>2</sub> ]	$2.53 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.80 \times 10^{-4}$
$\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$	$1.22 \pm 0.08$	$0.17 \pm 0.04$
$\Delta[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]/[\text{HO}_2]_0$ yield	5.5	5.5
Reaction time (neutral region)	0.124 s ( $P_{\text{amb}}=1.0 \times 10^5$ Pa) 0.276 s ( $P_{\text{amb}}=5.0 \times 10^4$ Pa)	
Reaction time (ion region)	0.276 s ( $P_{\text{amb}}=1.0 \times 10^5$ Pa) 0.552 s ( $P_{\text{amb}}=5.0 \times 10^4$ Pa)	
Inlet pressure	Variable, but typically held at $2 \times 10^4$ Pa	
Chamber pressure	Differentially pumped, from 1.0 to $<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa	
Detection method	Quadrupole mass spectrometry of HSO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	
Detection limit	2 pptV	
Electronic noise	<1% of radical signal	
Signal/background ratio	>2:1 for most ambient conditions	

CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 2.** Summary of the mean  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values from methane experiments according to inlet [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>].

Inlet [NO]/[O <sub>2</sub> ] range	Mean $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$ <sup>a</sup>	Number of Measurements <sup>b</sup>
$(2.21\text{--}4.42)\times 10^{-3}$	0.09	1
$(1.10\text{--}2.21)\times 10^{-3}$	$0.09\pm 0.03$	22
$(0.55\text{--}1.10)\times 10^{-3}$	$0.16\pm 0.04$	230
$(2.76\text{--}5.52)\times 10^{-4}$	$0.22\pm 0.04$	70
$(1.38\text{--}2.76)\times 10^{-4}$	$0.36\pm 0.06$	51
$(0.69\text{--}1.38)\times 10^{-4}$	$0.62\pm 0.07$	31
$(3.45\text{--}6.91)\times 10^{-5}$	$0.88\pm 0.11$	64
$(1.73\text{--}3.45)\times 10^{-5}$	$1.19\pm 0.11$	370
$(0.86\text{--}1.73)\times 10^{-5}$	$1.50\pm 0.10$	157
$(4.32\text{--}8.63)\times 10^{-6}$	$1.56\pm 0.11$	40
$(2.16\text{--}4.32)\times 10^{-6}$	$1.70\pm 0.12$	44
$(1.08\text{--}2.16)\times 10^{-6}$	$1.55\pm 0.24$	40
Ambient Mode	[NO]/[O <sub>2</sub> ]	
HO <sub>2</sub>	$6.80\times 10^{-4}$	$0.17\pm 0.04$ 159
HO <sub>2</sub> +RO <sub>2</sub>	$2.53\times 10^{-5}$	$1.22\pm 0.08$ 159

<sup>a</sup> For [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] ranges in which more than one  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  measurement was made, the uncertainty is the standard error of the mean.

<sup>b</sup> Each  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  measurement is determined from the mean of four individual peroxy radical measurements with CH<sub>4</sub> added to the humidified air and the mean of four measurements with H<sub>2</sub> added to the humidified air.

## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

**Table 3.** Summary of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  dependence on the total flow of humidified air through the calibration cell.

Dry air, SLPM	Humidified air, SLPM	Hg lamp distance, inches	Slit width, inches	HO <sub>2</sub> radicals, pptV	Mean $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$ <sup>a</sup>
1.25	0.125	1.0	0.5	210	2.06±0.02
1.25	0.125	2.0	0.5	139	1.81±0.04
1.25	0.125	4.0	0.5	53	1.69±0.02
1.75	0.175	1.0	0.5	234	1.65±0.02
1.75	0.175	2.0	0.5	111	1.39±0.05
1.75	0.175	4.0	0.5	49	1.36±0.03
2.50	0.250	1.0	0.5	221	1.30±0.02
2.50	0.250	2.0	0.5	123	1.22±0.03
2.50	0.250	4.0	0.5	40	1.21±0.02
3.75	0.375	1.0	0.5	172	1.19±0.02
3.75	0.375	2.0	0.5	90	1.12±0.02
3.75	0.375	4.0	0.5	29	1.10±0.03
5.00	0.500	1.0	0.5	137	1.10±0.03
5.00	0.500	2.0	0.5	68	1.08±0.03
5.00	0.500	4.0	0.5	23	1.14±0.02

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties are the standard errors of the mean  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion







**Table 6.** Parameterized box model RO<sub>2</sub> groups comprising the non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> RO<sub>2</sub>.


RO <sub>2</sub> group	Product of reaction	RO <sub>2</sub> structure	PeRCIMS sensitive to RO <sub>2</sub> group <sup>a</sup>
ETO2	Ethane+OH	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Yes
<i>n</i> -R3O2	Propane+OH	<i>n</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Yes
<i>i</i> -R3O2	Propane+OH	<i>i</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Yes
RAO2	Lumped C <sub>4+</sub> alkanes+OH	Various C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n+1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Partial
EO2	Ethene+OH	HOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	As HO <sub>2</sub>
PO2	Propene+OH	HOC <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	As HO <sub>2</sub>
CHO2	Lumped alkenes+O <sub>3</sub>	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
CRO2	Lumped alkenes+O <sub>3</sub>	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
PRN1	Lumped alkenes+NO <sub>3</sub>	Various	Partial
ADDB	Benzene+OH	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> (OH)O <sub>2</sub>	As HO <sub>2</sub>
TO2	C <sub>7+</sub> aromatics+OH	Various	Partial
RIO2	Isoprene+OH	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
VRO2	MVK+OH	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
MRO2	Methacrolein+OH	Methacrolein RO <sub>2</sub>	As HO <sub>2</sub>
INO2	Isoprene+NO <sub>3</sub>	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
ISOPO2	Lumped isoprene products+OH	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
ATO2	Acetone+OH	CH <sub>3</sub> COCH <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Yes
KO2	MEK (methyl ethyl ketone)+OH	MEK RO <sub>2</sub>	Very likely
MCO3	Various	CH <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	Yes
RCO3	Associated with PPN (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> )	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
TCO3	Decomposition of CHOCH=CHCO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	CHOCH=CH <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Partial
ZO2	Lumped aromatic RO <sub>2</sub>	Various	Partial
MAO3	Decomposition of MPAN (from methacrolein)	CH <sub>2</sub> =C(CH <sub>3</sub> )CO <sub>3</sub>	As HO <sub>2</sub>
RAN1	Lumped C <sub>4+</sub> alkyl nitrates+OH	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>
RANO2	Lumped RAN1+NO	Various	As HO <sub>2</sub>

<sup>a</sup> Sensitivity of PeRCIMS instrument to RO<sub>2</sub> group: “yes” implies RO<sub>2</sub> in group will be observed in the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode, “partial” implies some RO<sub>2</sub> in group will be observed in the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode, “as HO<sub>2</sub>” implies RO<sub>2</sub> are most likely observed in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode, and “very likely” implies that although these RO<sub>2</sub> have not been studied, it is expected that the RO<sub>2</sub> will be observed in the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 1.** Schematic of PerCIMS instrument, with inset of modified inlet and dilution region.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 2.** Schematic of new calibration cell.


Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	





CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 3.** Plot of measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  against **(a)** the ratio of  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$  in the inlet and **(b)** inlet  $[\text{NO}]$ . Individual measurements are shown as small triangles, colored according to inlet  $\text{O}_2$  concentration. In **(a)** the open black circles are the average measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values binned by  $[\text{NO}]/[\text{O}_2]$ , with error bars showing the standard deviation for each bin. Squares are the mean  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values for the two ambient measurement modes. Large grey triangles are the literature  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values reported by Edwards et al. (2003). Also shown are the standard model (dashed curve) and the analytical model (solid blue curve) fit to the results.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.




**Fig. 4.** Dependence of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  on the fraction of calibrator air that has been saturated for the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> modes. Smaller points show individual  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  measurements, and larger points are the error-weighted means of the  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determinations at each humidity. Error bars show the error-weighted standard errors.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 5.** The basic operating region for the PeRCIMS inlet: the curves show the calculated dependence on ambient pressure/altitude and temperature for a series of minimum ambient relative humidities. Below the curves, modifications to increase water vapor in the inlet region are required to maintain measurement sensitivity.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 6.** Dependence of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  on the H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios in the calibration cell air mixture at three inlet [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] conditions. Error bars show the standard error of each  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determination.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.




**Fig. 7.** Dependence of  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  on CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratio in the calibration cell. Closed and open symbols are the measured  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  values at the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode and HO<sub>2</sub> mode, respectively, and triangles and diamonds are the results from the single-dilution and double-dilution measurements, respectively. Error bars show the standard errors of each  $\alpha_{\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2}$  determination.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 8.** Plots of  $\alpha_{RO_2}$  for RO<sub>2</sub> formed from **(a)** C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> (i.e., having between two and five carbon atoms) alkane precursors **(b)** C<sub>6</sub>–C<sub>7</sub> alkane precursors and **(c)** unsaturated hydrocarbon precursors against the ratio of [NO]/[O<sub>2</sub>] in the inlet. For comparison, measured  $\alpha_{CH_3O_2}$  values are included on each plot.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 9.** Time-series plot of the observed and modeled acetaldehyde (top panel) and peroxy radicals (bottom panel) during a section of the flight on 10 March 2006. Local time in the flight region is UTC-6h. Grey areas defined in the legend on the lower plot are stacked modeled [HO<sub>2</sub>], [CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] and non-CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [RO<sub>2</sub>]. The 1-s [NO] and 1-min [NO] are both included on the top panel, along with altitude. Time periods when the 1-min average [NO] is greater than 0.5 ppbV are highlighted in green on both plots.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Fig. 10.** Time-series plot of modeled RO<sub>2</sub> groups as a fraction of the total RO<sub>2</sub> for the flight on 10 March 2006. Blue-toned groups are those that are primarily measured in the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode and not in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode, red-toned groups are those that are likely measured in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode, and green-toned groups are those that contain a mixture of RO<sub>2</sub> that generate signals in the HO<sub>2</sub> and the HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> modes. A full description of the RO<sub>2</sub> groups is in Table 6.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version


Interactive Discussion






## CIMS Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>

R. S. Hornbrook et al.



**Scheme 1.** PerCIMS inlet RO<sub>2</sub> chemistry in the HO<sub>2</sub> mode and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> mode. Reactions in black are known reactions (see text). Reactions in grey are deemed either unimportant or suppressed by competing reactions in a given mode. Reactions in red show proposed chemistry based on inlet chemistry modeling (Sect. 3.2.3).



**Scheme 2.** PerCIMS inlet HO<sub>2</sub> chemistry. Reactions in black are known reactions (see text). Reactions in red show proposed chemistry based on inlet chemistry modeling (Sect. 3.2.3).

**CIMS Measurements  
of HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>**

R. S. Hornbrook et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

