

Interactive
Comment

***Interactive comment on “Measurements of
tropospheric HO₂ and
RO₂ by oxygen dilution modulation
and chemical ionization mass spectrometry” by
R. S. Hornbrook et al.***

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 14 March 2011

This paper presents a detailed description of the improved PerCIMS instrument for the measurements of HO₂ and HO₂ + RO₂ concentrations in the troposphere. This is an important contribution, as there are still significant discrepancies between measured and modeled concentrations of HO₂ and RO₂ radicals in the atmosphere. Improving the measurement of these radicals is an important area of research in order to help identify the cause of the model-measurement discrepancies. This paper was originally submitted to ACPD, and it was recommended that it was better suited for publication in AMT. For this submission, the authors appear to have adequately addressed most of

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

the points raised in the previous ACPD reviews.

As suggested by one of the previous reviewers, the paper would benefit from a discussion of the intercomparison to the HO_x measurement in the DC-8 from INTEX-B (Kleb et al., AMT, 4, 9–27, 2011). Although the authors state in their response that they plan to include this in a future paper, a recent blind intercomparison of LIF HO_2 instruments (Fuchs et al., ACP, 10, 12233–12250, 2010) revealed significant measurement differences between the instruments under some conditions, bringing into question the accuracy and reliability of peroxy radical measurements in the atmosphere. As discussed in the present paper, the PerCIMS method for HO_2 detection appears to be influenced by a number of RO_2 species, and in particular RO_2 radicals from alkene and aromatic precursors (Fig 8). A similar interference may also affect LIF HO_2 measurements (Fuchs et al., AMTD, 4, 1255–1302, 2011). Although a detailed analysis of the intercomparison is beyond the scope of the present paper, a brief discussion/statement about whether these or other potential interferences could have impacted the results would be useful. Were the concentrations of alkenes and aromatics high during the intercomparison?

Overall this is a well written paper suitable for publication in AMT.

Minor comment:

Page 419, line 5: It appears that α_{RO_2} is missing from the sentence “Most importantly, because in the HO_2 mode is only $\sim 0.2\dots$ ”

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 385, 2011.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)