

***Interactive comment on “Rain research with
disdrometers:
a bibliometric review” by M. Fernandez-Raga et al.***

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 4 October 2011

This paper is about what the title suggests: it is meant to be a "bibliometric review". Obviously it has little scientific value, regarding the way it is written. Therefore, I see no value in publishing it in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. I would rather prefer to see a real review on distrometers in which various techniques are contrasted and compared. Such an approach would add value to a review paper. Nevertheless, a search on the authors and journal frequencies cannot be considered as a scientific paper; it merely presents how many papers each author has produced and where they were presented. This is fine but it does little addition to scientific knowledge even to a newcomer in the field. I have to recommend rejection for this paper to be published in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques.

C1706

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 6041, 2011.