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## Interactive comment on "Towards space based verification of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from strong localized sources: fossil fuel power plant emissions as seen by a CarbonSat constellation" by V. A. Velazco et al.
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Review of paper by Velazco et al "Towards space based verification of CO2 emissions ..." for publication in AMT.
The paper by Velazco et al investigates three different satellite configurations of the proposed CarbonSat and their ability to verify annual emissions from large power plants. Hourly emission data from several power plants in the United States are used, along with a transport model, to simulate these hypothetical measurements. The work builds on an earlier paper by Bovensmann et al that quantified the systematic and random

error components for a single overpass. Valazco et al extend this work to annual emissions from a single CarbonSat and a constellation of 5 satellites in 2 different configurations. consistent with what might be expected from sampling only during the day? Or maybe there is no independent way of doing this as presumably this is reflected directly from the emission data at hand. This former bias is around $3 \%$ as well so it is interesting
that this bias is consistent with quite different sampling intervals.
7. Page 11, line 20: " . . coverage is important, such as for . . ." => " . . coverage is important for ..."

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 5147, 2011.
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