Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, C2319-C2321, 2011

www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/4/C2319/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



AMTD

4, C2319-C2321, 2011

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "A method to resolve the phase state of aerosol particles" by E. Saukko et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 8 December 2011

Review of "A method to resolve the phase state of aerosol particles" by Saukko et al.

Overall

This manuscript presents a new method to determine the phase state of airborne submicron particles using a one step impactor and a condensation particle counter. The idea is to make use of the fact that the bouncing behavior of particles depends on their phase. I enjoyed reading this manuscript: the experiments are well designed and the text is well written. I have a few comments outlined below.

Specific comments

Abstract: line 6: "related to deliquescence and efflorescence" could be deleted, has

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



C2319

been said in the text just above.

Introduction:

Other/earlier references could also be given in addition to Roberts and Nenes 2005, e.g. Hudson 1993.

"these methods rely on detecting the size change of particles as they are activated according to Kohler theory": in an HTDMA (subsaturation of water vapour) the particles do not usually "activate" this normally requires supersaturated conditions and happens in CCN counters.

"the water activation of particles can also be strongly affected by its phase" – some references could be given here, e.g. Hori et al 2003, Bilde and Svenningsson 2004.

The Electrodynamic balance technique should also be mentioned as a mean to study aerosol-water interactions and phase change and some references given, e.g. Marcolli et al. 2006, Pope et al. 2010.

Page 6233: the collection efficiency curve, figure 2 is measured for DOS (liquid particles, what RH?) – could it be different for crystalline particles or for a different relative humidity?

Figures 4 and 5: I suggest the x-axis crosses the y-axis at y=0, that would make it easier to see that the points at high RH are at or below zero before correction.

References

Hudson, J. G.: Cloud Condensation Nuclei, J. Appl. Meteorol., 32, 596-607, 1993.

Activation capability of water soluble organic substances as CCN, Masahiro Hori, Sachio Ohta, Naoto Murao, Sadamu Yamagata, Aerosol Science 34, 419–448, 2003.

CCN activation of slightly soluble organics: the importance of small amounts of inorganic salt and particle phase, Merete Bilde, Birgitta Svenningsson, Tellus B, 56, 2,

AMTD

4, C2319-C2321, 2011

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



128-134, 2004.

Phase Changes during Hygroscopic Cycles of Mixed Organic/Inorganic Model Systems of Tropospheric Aerosols, Claudia Marcolli and Ulrich K. Krieger, Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 110, 1881-1893, 2006.

Studies of Single Aerosol Particles Containing Malonic Acid, Glutaric Acid, and Their Mixtures with Sodium Chloride. I. Hygroscopic Growth, Francis D. Pope, Ben J. Dennis-Smither, Paul T. Griffiths, Simon L. Clegg, and R. Anthony Cox, J. Phys. Chem. A 114, 5335–5341. 2010.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 6229, 2011.

AMTD

4, C2319-C2321, 2011

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

