

Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 527–562, 2011  
www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/4/527/2011/  
doi:10.5194/armtd-4-527-2011  
© Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

# Towards the improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals using simultaneous Doppler and polarimetric radar measurements

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

International Research Centre for Telecommunication and Radar (IRCTR), Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

Received: 30 September 2010 – Accepted: 13 December 2010 – Published: 21 January 2011

Correspondence to: Y. Dufournet (y.dufournet@tudelft.nl)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**AMTD**

4, 527–562, 2011

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	Tables	References
Figures	◀	▶
◀	▶	Close
Back	Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

## Abstract

Radar-based retrievals are often employed to characterize the microphysical properties of cloud hydrometeors, i.e., their phases, habits, densities as well as their respective size and orientation distributions. These techniques are based on a synergetic use of different cloud observation sensor(s) and microphysical algorithm(s) where the information extracted from both sensors and models are combined and converted into microphysical cloud properties. However, the amount of available information is often limited, which forces current microphysical retrieval techniques to base their algorithms on several microphysical assumptions which affect the retrieval accuracy.

By simultaneously combining Doppler and polarimetric measurements obtained from fully Doppler polarimetric radar, it is possible to create spectral polarimetric parameters. Although these parameters are easily contaminated with unwanted echoes, this work shows that, from a correct radar signal processing based on filtering and averaging techniques, spectral polarimetric parameters can be correlated to microphysical cloud properties. In particular, preliminary results suggest that particle orientations and habits can be easily determined from the solely use of such spectral polarimetric parameters. Therefore, such additional microphysical information offers a great opportunity to improve current microphysical models by reducing their amount of microphysical assumptions.

## 1 Introduction

There is already a body of literature in atmospheric science considering cloud microphysical parameterizations as one of the main sources of error in operational climate and weather forecast models (e.g., Cantrell and Heymsfield, 2005; Kärcher and Koop, 2005). Microphysical processes deal with small spatial and time scales (smaller than a few centimeters and up to an hour) which are not directly resolved in global circulation models (GCM). Therefore, the parameterization of microphysical processes at GCM's resolution scale (up to 100 km and up to few hours) is based on

AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

No need for this word here. All it does is invite trouble.

528



many assumptions which are currently not optimum at providing accurate description of the cloud microphysical state. Parameters governing the microphysical processes are cloud particle phases, their main orientations, their habits as well as their size distributions. A better understanding of such small scale processes and their characterization at large scales are of great importance in order to improve microphysical parameterizations. This can be achieved from the knowledge we gain from a proper use of cloud observation sensors (Shupe et al., 2008).

Radar sensors are commonly used to observe and retrieve microphysical properties within all types of cloud. A radiowave signal, transmitted from the radar through the atmosphere, is reflected by hydrometeors in a way that depends on the microphysical properties of the cloud. Thus, the backscattered signal (or better, the backscatter cross-section) contains microphysical information from the hydrometeors being probed at different ranges along the radar line of sight. Most microphysical retrievals are based on the computation of the Doppler spectrum of the radar signal, which is defined as the distribution of hydrometeor radial velocities weighted by the backscatter cross-section.

Typical radar-based retrievals only use the zero, first and second moments of the Doppler reflectivity spectrum, i.e., the reflectivity, the mean Doppler velocity and the Doppler width, respectively. However, these radar quantities contain information coming from a mixture of several microphysical parameters (as mentioned above) which are difficult to differentiate without the synergetic use of other sensors and microphysical model information. There are as many types of synergy as existing retrieval techniques. For example, the ice crystals size distribution and ice water content within ice clouds can be retrieved from dual-frequency techniques (Hogan et al., 1999) or from radar-lidar observation algorithms (Donovan et al., 2001). Several output uncertainties arise from such types of retrieval due to sensor calibration issues, assumptions in non-determined microphysical properties (such as particle habit and main orientation), simulation errors, and also microphysical inhomogeneity due to the large radar resolution volume being probed.

However, assuming a small vertical wind speed, it is possible to associate

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Conclusions	Tables	◀	▶	Full Screen / Esc
Introduction	References	Figures	◀	▶	Close	Printer-friendly Version
			◀	▶		Interactive Discussion





vertically pointing rock only?

particle fall velocities obtained by the radial velocity measurements with particle size distribution(s) of the cloud particle habit(s). Then, adding spectral information improves the identification and partitioning of cloud particles present within the same radar resolution volume (Shupe et al., 2003; Delanoë et al., 2006). Additionally, particle shape/orientation can be linked to the polarimetric information coming from the medium being probed (Russchenberg, 1992) when radars are equipped with polarimetric capability, which also enhances the microphysical characterization of cloud particles. In Matrosov et al. (2000), for example, polarimetric information is employed to infer the shape of cloud particles assuming spheroid shapes and microphysical homogeneity within the radar resolution volume. Notwithstanding their limitations, these findings suggest that Doppler and polarimetric information significantly improve cloud retrieval algorithms by reducing the number of microphysical assumptions.

In this paper, it is proposed to go a step further in cloud observation by building parameters which simultaneously combine both polarimetric and Doppler information contained in fully polarimetric radar signals, i.e., the spectral polarimetric parameters. It is believed that, based on these parameters, more cloud microphysical information can be obtained, reducing the number of assumptions employed in the previously mentioned cloud retrievals. The potential use of spectral polarimetric parameters within microphysical retrievals is therefore investigated in this paper. In the following section, spectral polarimetric parameters are defined and associated to microphysical cloud properties. Because the spectral polarimetric parameters are extremely noisy, the second half of this section describes how to process them so that they become statistically coherent in space and time for their use in microphysical retrieval techniques. An example of microphysical information retrievals using spectral polarimetry is tested in Sect. 3 on a retrieval algorithm focusing on ice/mixed-phase cloud microphysical properties (habits and orientations). In the same section, an illustration based on a case study is finally provided in order to demonstrate the potentiality of such a technique under mixed-phase cloud conditions. Finally, in Sect. 4, a summary of the retrieval technique and discussions are presented.

noise.

Weak theme  
E-More than  
parameters help  
or they do not.  
~~both~~

Spectral I is cloudy  
w.r. then.

# AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
	Conclusions	References
	Tables	Figures
◀	▶	▶
◀	▶	▶
Back	Close	Close
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		





## 2 Spectral polarimetric parameters

This section describes how spectral polarimetric parameters are defined and processed in this work. Some illustrations are provided using spectral polarimetric measurements obtained with the S-Band TARA radar (Transportable Atmospheric Radar – Heijnen et al., 2000). Unlike most other atmospheric radars, TARA is based on the FM-CW principle. This technology provides better flexibility in terms of frequency modulation and sweep time (period of the frequency modulation), making the radar tunable for different spatial and time resolutions. TARA is composed of two large antennas, one continuously transmitting while the other continuously receives the backscattered signal. Both the transmitter and the receiver are equipped with polarizers that can change the polarization angle at either 0° or 90° (where 0° corresponds to an horizontal polarization state), as can be seen in Fig. 1. TARA is therefore classified as a Doppler fully polarimetric atmospheric radar profiler and can measure the different spectral polarimetric parameters mentioned in Sect. 2.1.

### 2.1 Definition and microphysical interpretation

The primary description of how the radar target backscatters the transmitted radar signal is given by the so-called scattering matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  (Bringi and Chandrasekar, 2001). For radars equipped with linear polarization,  $\mathbf{S}$  is defined such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_h^r \\ E_v^r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{r} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{hh} & \mathbf{S}_{hv} \\ \mathbf{S}_{vh} & \mathbf{S}_{vv} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_h^i \\ E_v^i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{r} \mathbf{S} \begin{bmatrix} E_h^i \\ E_v^i \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

with  $\vec{E}^s = E_h^i \hat{h}_r + E_v^i \hat{v}_r$  the scattered field in the linear basis  $(\hat{h}_r, \hat{v}_r)$ . For a reciprocal medium,  $\mathbf{S}_{vh}$  equals  $\mathbf{S}_{hv}$ , which reduces the scattering matrix to three elements. Reciprocity property holds for meteorological targets and monostatic radars like TARA. The matrix elements can be obtained from any Doppler fully polarimetric atmospheric radar. From the Doppler information, the spectral scattering matrix elements, i.e.,

# AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

---

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

---

Title Page

Introduction

Abstract

References

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

|◀

▶|

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper
Discussion Paper
Discussion Paper
Discussion Paper

scattering matrix per Doppler bin, are also computed, Each spectral polarimetric parameter is derived from the spectral scattering elements and related to specific cloud properties.

The spectral horizontal/vertical reflectivity parameter  $sZ_{XX}$  (XX referring to either vertical or horizontal polarization state) is expressed as  $sZ_{XX}(v) = \langle S_{XX}(v)S_{XX}^*(v) \rangle$  (2)

where  $\langle \rangle$  denotes the time average of the Doppler spectra. In particular, this parameter is related to the particle size distribution of the medium being probed.

The spectral differential reflectivity ratio  $sZ_{DR}(v)$  is defined as the ratio between the two copolar signals,  $sZ_{HH}(v)$  and  $sZ_{VV}(v)$ , such that  $sZ_{DR}(v) = \frac{\langle S_{HH}(v)S_{HH}^*(v) \rangle}{\langle S_{VV}(v)S_{VV}^*(v) \rangle}$  (3)

This parameter has as its main advantage that it is independent from any absolute radar calibration. It is, above all, linked to the shape and orientation of the hydrometeors, i.e., their axis ratio assuming spheroidal shapes. Optimum  $sZ_{DR}$  measurements are obtained at a 45° elevation angle in order to benefit from both the polarization diversity measurements from non-spherical hydrometeors and the falling particle velocity information obtained from the Doppler measurements. However,  $sZ_{DR}(v)$  can have very low values when used in radar profiling techniques and is still strongly affected by unwanted echoes.

The ratio between the cross-polar and the co-polar spectral reflectivity is called the linear depolarization ratio  $sL_{DR,x}(v)$ ,  $x$  being either the vertical or horizontal polarization state of the copolar reflectivity signal.

$$sL_{DR,x}(v) = \frac{sZ_{HV}(v)}{sZ_{xx}(v)} = \frac{\langle S_{HV}(v)S_{HV}^*(v) \rangle}{\langle S_{xx}(v)S_{xx}^*(v) \rangle}$$
 (4)

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
◀	Conclusions	References
▶	Tables	Figures
◀	Back	Close
▶	Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version
◀	Interactive Discussion	



*The main advantage is that it is independent from any absolute radar calibration.*

*I hope this helps you address the errors in the discussion by providing a list of hydro-meteors.*

*Reported to be consistent with Eq. (2).*



$s_{DR,x}(V)$  is mainly related to the tumbling effect of the falling cloud particles. **However**, note that this parameter has also been used to characterize particle habits within ice clouds (Matrosov et al., 2000).

The last spectral parameter, the spectral complex copolar cross-correlation coefficient  $s_{\rho_{co}}(V)$ , is defined as:

$$s_{\rho_{co}}(V) = \frac{\langle S_{HH}(V)S_{VV}^*(V) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle |S_{HH}|^2 \rangle \langle |S_{VV}|^2 \rangle}} \quad (5)$$

As shown in Eq. (2.5), the spectral complex copolar cross-correlation coefficient is associated to the correlation between HH and VV spectra. Battaglia et al. (2001) demonstrated that  $\rho_{co}(V)$  (and therefore  $s_{\rho_{co}}(V)$ ) is sensitive to the particle habit as for  $s_{LDR,x}(V)$ .

## 2.2 Spectral polarimetric processing within ice/mixed-phase clouds

As displayed in Fig. 2, spectral polarimetric parameters are, by definition, represented as a vector instead of a single scalar as with any other standard radar parameters. They are, therefore, statistically much more unstable and require specific processing in order to use them within cloud microphysical retrieval techniques. The radar signal coming from the TARA receiver can be depicted as a mixture of wanted received echoes (from meteorological targets), unwanted echoes (clutter), and noise. Direct filtering steps are first applied on the spectral polarimetric signal in order to remove noise and unwanted echoes, as listed below.

### 2.2.1 Smoothing

The Doppler spectra computed from the raw signal are very noisy (see Fig. 3a), which make them difficult to study. The signal is first smoothed in order to remove most of the statistical variations while keeping the main slope of each spectrum (see Fig. 3a).

533

# AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

---

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

---

Title Page	Introduction
Abstract	References
Conclusions	Figures
Tables	
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

section 2.1 is clear.  
 I need a lot more information about Fig. 2 in order to understand what is meant by Fig. 2. see my comment on Fig. 2.



## 2.2.2 Correction for spectral aliasing and non-simultaneous polarimetric measurements

For the TARA radar, a sequence of measurements at different polarization settings is used in order to obtain all the spectral scattering matrix elements. Increasing ~~this~~ sequence consequently reduces the maximum unambiguous velocity of the radar. For some atmospheric situations with strong convection, this can lead to Doppler spectrum aliasing. Moreover, the target's dynamical properties also affect the polarimetric accuracy of the radar data because of the non-simultaneity of the measurements carried out at different polarization settings. The data are, therefore, corrected for spectrum aliasing and non-simultaneous polarimetric measurement using Unal and Moisseev (2004). A consequence of this correction is also the reduction of noise and clutter. Such unwanted echoes are indeed reallocated to a different Doppler velocity window than the one where meteorological echoes are present (as can be seen on Fig. 3b for the signal present below  $-8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  or above  $+8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ).

### 2.2.3 Double $sL_{DR}$ clipping

The clipping is based on the work by Unal (2009). A double filtering technique is applied to the spectral linear depolarization ratios,  $sL_{DR,H}$  and  $sL_{DR,V}$ . For both, a clipping above  $-5 \text{ dB}$  is performed and values above this threshold are considered as non-meteorological echoes. From this clipping, only the Doppler-velocity-window containing meteorological echoes is kept. Figure 3c shows an example of the  $sZ_{VV}$  spectra obtained after the implementation of the double  $sL_{DR}$  clipping. In that specific case, the velocity window has been constrained between about  $-4$  and  $+1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .

### 2.2.4 Additional processing

Among all the spectral polarimetric parameters,  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  is the most affected by unwanted echoes. This is mainly due to its low values (in the order of tenths of a dB),

Title Page	Introduction
Abstract	References
Conclusions	Figures
Tables	▶◀
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



After reading this section I do not know what to look for in Fig. 3b. Show me the values in Fig. 3c when it goes in Fig. 3b and then I think I will understand what you mean. The word "window" is used a lot on this page without ever defining what it represents.

Why double? Why not single? Applied to two  $sL_{DR}$  parameters. What clipping? Explain. A double filtering technique is applied to the spectral linear depolarization ratios,  $sL_{DR,H}$  and  $sL_{DR,V}$ . For both, a clipping above  $-5 \text{ dB}$  is performed and values above this threshold are considered as non-meteorological echoes. From this clipping, only the Doppler-velocity-window containing meteorological echoes is kept. Figure 3c shows an example of the  $sZ_{VV}$  spectra obtained after the implementation of the double  $sL_{DR}$  clipping. In that specific case, the velocity window has been constrained between about  $-4$  and  $+1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . -10 m/s to 5 m/s.



which are within the same order of magnitude as the radar accuracy. After applying all the processing steps mentioned in Sects. 2.2.1–2.2.3,  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  still remains affected by strong signal fluctuations. In order to improve microphysical retrieval techniques based on spectral polarimetric parameters, some further filtering can be applied using additional clipping and statistical estimators computed from  $sZ_{DR}(V)$ . It has to be pointed out that these additional steps are only performed in case of high reflectivity values, above 0 dB, in order to ensure high signal-to-noise ratio.

*You point it out!*

### 2.2.5 Additional clipping

The threshold values obtained for this additional clipping are obtained from a sensitivity analysis performed on the spectral polarimetric parameters. This analysis was carried out over a set of different ice/mixed-phase clouds probed with the TARA radar. It was found that, within ice cloud systems (precipitation and ice/mixed-phase clouds), the clipping on  $sL_{DR,H}$  and  $sL_{DR,V}$  could be leveled up to  $-15$  dB without affecting the meteorological echoes.

*Why does it work? (e.g. by 30 dB)*

Furthermore, using  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  within microphysical retrievals implies a very high correlation between the Doppler spectra obtained in HH and VV polarization states. It was demonstrated that the remaining noise and clutter still present in the signal could be removed by an additional clipping based on spectral polarimetric correlation, using the spectral copolar cross-correlation coefficient  $s\rho_{co}(V)$ . Within ice clouds, Battaglia et al. (2001) simulated different polarimetric parameters for different ice particle habits and radar elevations. At  $45^\circ$  elevation angle (standard TARA working elevation when working with spectral polarimetry – see Sect. 2.1), typical  $\rho_{co}$  values above 0.9 could be simulated. In this work, the clipping is performed on the spectral mode at  $s\rho_{co}(V)=0.94$  in order to discard the remaining noise echoes.

*By whom? →*

Nevertheless, the dealiasing technique can produce an overestimation of  $s\rho_{co}(V)$  in the remaining noise data region inherent of the cross-correlation computation (Eq. 2.5). This is not the case when looking at the variation of  $s\rho_{co}(V)$  on consecutive Doppler bins, i.e.,  $\Delta s\rho_{co}(V)$ . Thus, a third filtering is applied in parallel on  $\Delta s\rho_{co}(V)$ , values

*Which does this mean? 535  
 bins at one time or successively including of  $s\rho_{co}(V)$  for a spectral bin at the next following time?*

# AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References	Figures
Tables	Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion





above 0.01 being removed from the spectra. In conclusion, only the Doppler part of the spectrum satisfying the three thresholds on  $sL_{DR,X}(v)$ ,  $s\rho_{co}(v)$  and  $\Delta s\rho_{co}(v)$  is kept. An example of such additional clipping is provided in Fig. 4. For this specific example, the Doppler velocity window was ~~further constrained from~~ <sup>of  $v_{min}$  &  $v_{max}$</sup>   $-3.8$  to  $-2.7$   $m\ s^{-1}$ .

## 2.2.6 Additional averaging

<sup>This</sup> ~~The single~~ use of ~~an~~ additional Doppler velocity clipping does not remove the statistical variations present in the  $sZ_{DR}$  Doppler region where meteorological ~~are present~~ <sup>with  $v_{min}$  &  $v_{max}$</sup> . These fluctuations arise from the dynamical and microphysical inhomogeneities of the atmosphere. Such variations can produce incoherent behavior of consecutive spectra in time if the Doppler spectra are not averaged. By averaging ~~over~~ <sup>over time</sup> a number  $M_{av}$  of initial non-averaged  $sZ_{DR}$  spectra, it is possible to remove statistical variations. At each range and time bins, the number of initial spectra to average (corresponding to a specific ~~the~~ averaging time) is determined. ~~It is based on a statistical analysis of the  $sZ_{DR}$  slope, i.e., the main trend of the  $sZ_{DR}$  spectrum,~~ <sup>the  $sZ_{DR}$  slope</sup> performed on a set of  $sZ_{DR}$  spectra ~~averaged over an increased time amount.~~ <sup>averaged over time</sup> The main trend of each spectrum is obtained from a second order polynomial fit in order to ~~reduce~~ <sup>reduce the</sup> second order fluctuation of the signal. For the analysis, a set of ~~15~~ <sup>consecutive</sup> spectra is created at each ~~time and~~ range bins of the reflectivity profile. Each spectrum is averaged with an increasing number of initial spectra obtained at 1.5 s intervals, i.e., with an increasing averaging time. For the sake of clarity, the spectrum 1 ( $M_{av}=1$ ) is ~~not averaged~~ <sup>not averaged</sup> (initial 1.5 s resolution) and the spectrum 15 ( $M_{av}=15$ ) is averaged with ~~the following~~ <sup>the following</sup> 15 initial spectra ~~corresponding~~ <sup>corresponding</sup> to a maximum time amount of 23 s. An example is given in Fig. 5 for spectra ~~obtained at different consecutive time bins,~~ <sup>obtained at different consecutive time bins</sup> before (middle row) and after (bottom row) averaging on a specific time amount (specific  $M_{av}$  value) determined from the statistical analysis. Each spectrum from left to right is obtained within an ice cloud event ~~and~~ at 1.5 s interval. The main slope of each spectrum is determined from its parabolic fit. The ~~noticeable~~ <sup>noticeable</sup> difference in the parabolic fit of ~~both~~ <sup>both</sup> Doppler spectra, before and after averaging, ~~is analyzed from~~ <sup>is analyzed from</sup> two different statistical parameters, the ~~536~~ <sup>ave</sup>

What do you mean by "Time Bin" here? Can it be removed?

Why is your bottom row at Fig. 5 band on 21 spectra when you start w. the 15 here?

What is the "main slope" of a second order parabolic fit to a single spectrum?

Title Page

Abstract

Conclusions

Tables

◀

▶

Back

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





standard deviation "std" and the correlation factor " $R_d$ ", described below.

- The standard deviation std: the parabolic fit is first used to compute the standard deviation at each averaging step,

$$\text{std} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{v_{\min}}^{v_{\max}} |sZ_{VV}(v) - sZ_{VV}^{\text{fit}}(v)|^2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{v_{\min}}^{v_{\max}} \left| \frac{sZ_{VV}(v) + sZ_{VV}^{\text{fit}}(v)}{2} \right|^2}} \quad (6)$$

where  $M$  corresponds to the total number of Doppler velocity bins and  $sZ_{VV}^{\text{fit}}$  is the parabolic fit of the  $sZ_{VV}$  spectra. "Std" is related to the amplitude of the  $sZ_{DR}$  fluctuations. An example of the "std" evolution for an increasing averaging time is provided in Fig. 6b together with the gradient of "std". Within clouds, the variation of "std" over an increasing averaging time can be linked to the particle shift to neighboring Doppler bins due to the presence of micro-turbulence within the same resolution volume. Because of the randomness of the Doppler shifts, the standard deviation of the signal usually decreases as the time averaging increases, as shown in Fig. 6b. As previously mentioned, the slope of "std" (i.e., its gradient) is also monitored. low fluctuations of the slope ensuring a minimum homogeneity of the signal over time. In this work a minimum number of averaging spectra  $N_{\text{av,min}}$  (corresponding to a minimum averaging time) is determined when both the std coefficient and its gradient become lower than 0.15 and 0.015, respectively, corresponding to the polarimetric accuracy of the TARA radar.  $N_{\text{av,min}}$  is equal to 5 in the example displayed in Fig. 6.

- Correlation factor  $R_d$ : when averaging over a time period longer than  $N_{\text{av,min}}$  (i.e., over a high number of spectra  $N_{\text{av}}$ ), some multi-modal signals with coherent maxima at specific Doppler bins can occur. Besides, Doppler shift and spectral

Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page

Abstract

Conclusions

Tables

◀ ◁

▶ ▷

Back

Full Screen / Esc

Introduction

References

Figures

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



*The slope/gradient gives positive for 12 to 13, so why doesn't it have gradient sign? I am confused about what the gradient represents.*



broadening, of the full Doppler spectra can also appear when averaging over a time period longer than 23 s (>15 spectra averaged), as shown in Fig. 7. These stationary spectral processes over time are related to real microphysical or dynamical variations within the cloud being probed <sup>and</sup> which can occur in less than a few seconds. They are not strongly affecting the “std” analysis but they can drastically change the  $sZ_{DR}$  slope, and therefore, the coherency of two consecutive Doppler spectra. The correlation factor  $R_d$  is computed in order to monitor the spectral coherency of the parabolic fit over time:

$$R_d = \frac{\sum_V \left( sZ_{DR, N_{av, min}}^{fit}(V) - \langle sZ_{DR, N_{av, min}}^{fit} \rangle \right) \left( sZ_{DR, N_{av}}^{fit}(V) - \langle sZ_{DR, N_{av}}^{fit}(V) \rangle \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_V \left( sZ_{DR, N_{av, min}}^{fit}(V) - \langle sZ_{DR, N_{av, min}}^{fit} \rangle \right)^2 \left( sZ_{DR, N_{av}}^{fit}(V) - \langle sZ_{DR, N_{av}}^{fit}(V) \rangle \right)^2}} \quad (7)$$

*In Eq. (7)  $N_{av, min}$  is fixed and  $N_{av}$  varied from 1 to 15.*

As can be seen in Eq. (2.7), the spectra obtained from the average of  $N_{av, min}$  **initial** spectra is taken as reference.  $R_d$  is expressed in percent, and is equal to 100% for two identical spectra, i.e., 100% correlation. Figure 6c displays the **correlation** evolution of  $R_d$  as well as its gradient. For a reliable microphysical retrieval, high coherency within nearby averaged spectra should be observed. This guarantees that measurements are performed within a homogeneous resolution volume in terms of microphysical and meso-scale dynamical cloud properties. **Some  $I_{DR}$**  previous work (Dufournet, 2010) showed that a 10% decorrelation of the  $sZ_{DR}$  signal could strongly affect the output of the microphysical technique, and mainly the concentration of oriented ice particles. A 10% threshold is also adopted within this paper. **no** averaged spectra having showing more than 10% decorrelation **will be discarded**. In the example taken in Fig. 6c, all spectra averaged with  $N_{av}$  greater than 12 (averaging time above 18 s) are not considered coherent and cannot be averaged together with the previous **initial** spectra.

*Problems otherwise.*

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Introduction
Abstract	References
Conclusions	Figures
Tables	◀
◀	▶
▶	Close
Back	Full Screen / Esc
Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version
Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion



At this stage of the processing, a final averaging time (or number  $N_{av}$  of initial spectra to average) can be obtained. It corresponds to the minimum averaging time where all the three thresholds mentioned above (i.e., on "std" and its gradient, and  $R_d$ ) are satisfied. In Fig. 6, for example, an averaging of at least 5 initial Doppler spectra, corresponding to an averaging time of 7.6 s, is chosen in order to remove any microphysical and dynamical fluctuation in the Doppler signal.

Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3 Application for ice cloud microphysical retrievals

To further support the potential of using spectral polarimetric parameters, a method is presented in this section where particle habit(s) and orientation(s) are retrieved from the TARA radar within ice/mixed-phase clouds. It is important to note that this method is still in a preliminary stage and is therefore only described in order to illustrate the potential of using the spectral polarimetric parameters in the microphysical retrievals. At the TARA working frequency of 3.3 GHz, only cloud ice crystals and falling drops (drizzle and rainfall) are detected within the measurements, cloud water and supercooled water droplets being too small (mean size of about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) to be discerned by the radar. If we assume that most ice crystals grow rapidly to a recognizable non-spherical shape larger than 25  $\mu\text{m}$  due to high supersaturation with respect to ice (Lawson et al., 2001), TARA can be used to provide direct ice crystal observations without being affected by the supercooled water phase.

The microphysical algorithm is based on different spectral and integrated polarimetric parameters, that is,  $sZ_{DR}(V)$ ,  $s\rho_{co}(V)$  and  $sZ_{DR}$ . The retrieval follows the below-mentioned steps below.

3.1 Partitioning of cloud particle type

As previously mentioned, most radar resolution volumes can contain different hydrometeor types which evolve within the same cloud bulk. The probability of particle

for which a

averaged spectrum

Acceptable to the journal?

Why is this state not about growth mechanism?

Volume?



*within the radar results his volume*

mixing is even more important at a far distance from the radar as the radar volume expands when this distance increases. Most current retrieval outputs assume the microphysical homogeneity of the medium being retrieved. Thus, cloud particle mixing can lead to wrong characterization of parameters, such as the particle density, shape and phase which, in turn, affect the microphysical retrieval outputs.

Hydrometeors detected in each radar cell are spectrally classified into different groups based on the similarity of their size, shape and main orientation. Because  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  is related to such microphysical parameters (see Sect. 2.1), the algorithm uses the variations detected in the slope of  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  over the whole spectrum in order to infer the changes within the particles properties. The particle classification is facilitated by applying a parabolic fit on  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  beforehand so that the second order fluctuations of the original spectrum are discarded. Within a set of cloud observations performed with TARA, 16 main particle arrangements, each comprising 1 to 3 groups of particles, have been defined from the main slope of the  $sZ_{DR}$  spectrum, as displayed in Fig. 7.8. A categorization number  $N_{cat}$  is attributed to each radar cell retrieved. For the sake of clarity, a schematic representation of the microphysical ice crystals characteristics is added on the left hand panel of Fig. 7.8.

*I do not consider it as the signature of this scattering in the context of Sec. 2.2.6. For example, "bubble hand" is not vague.*

### 3.2 Characterization of ice crystal orientation

Ice crystal orientation is caused by the airflow passing around the particle. This airflow creates low pressure at the crystal edges resulting in a torque which tends to align the axis of symmetry of the particle along the airflow's direction (Brussaard, 1976). However, different dynamical mechanisms, such as windshear or strong updraft, can act upon the airflow's orientation, changing the particle's orientation. Crystal orientations play a role in the particle fall velocity computation (Mitchell et al., 1996), which is often used for the determination of the particle size distribution. A good estimation of the ice crystal's orientation is therefore required.

At the TARA wavelength ( $\approx 10$  cm), the ice particle's orientation can be directly obtained from the mean  $sZ_{DR}$  value(s) measured for each group of particles detected

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
Abstract	Conclusions	References
Conclusions	Tables	Figures
Tables	◀	▶
◀	◀	▶
▶	Back	Close
Back	Full Screen / Esc	
Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version	
Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion	
Interactive Discussion		





in the same resolution volume. A fairly simple orientation algorithm is currently implemented in the microphysical retrieval. Each ice crystal species is assumed to be composed of either horizontally, vertically or randomly oriented particles. For a Doppler spectrum region (related to a specific group of ice crystals) with positive  $sZ_{DR}(v)$ , the predominant ice particles are assumed to be horizontally aligned. This is the case for ~~some~~ ice crystal groups detected in radar volumes with  $N_{cat}$  above 10. Conversely, negative  $sZ_{DR}(v)$  are related to predominant vertically oriented ice crystals ( $0 < N_{cat} < 9$ ). Ice crystals present in Doppler regions where  $sZ_{DR}(v)$  is close to zero show properties similar to spherical particles. In terms of orientation,  $sZ_{DR}(v)=0$  can be interpreted as particles having random orientations. Such random orientations can be caused by the different aerodynamic effects affecting the different particle shape. Besides, turbulence effects are also responsible for random particle orientation when large  $sZ_{DR}$  fluctuations around zero are observed ~~at zero~~ the spectrum (for  $N_{cat}=0$ ).

### 3.3 Characterization of ice crystal habit

More than 60 ice crystal species have been observed within natural clouds (Mogano and Lee, 1966). However, this classification can be simplified by merging particle habits into four categories while still keeping a fairly good approximation of the particle shape: pristine ice crystals (comprising plate, dendrite, and column and needle-like ice crystals), aggregate, graupel and hail. As for the orientation, particle habits also affect most of the microphysical retrievals, a wrong shape assumption leading to wrong particle density, wrong axis ratio (if ice crystal shapes is assumed spheroidal), and wrong fall velocity ~~computations~~.

The presented particle habit retrieval technique is meant to work within mixed-phase clouds having low riming processes. Under such a condition, a simple categorization scheme can be employed, partitioning the particle into the three following groups: aggregates, plate-like and column-like ice crystals. Again, different spectral polarimetric tools are used for the shape/habit categorization. The spectral cross-correlation parameter is first investigated in order to distinguish the aggregates

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Introduction
Abstract	References
Conclusions	Figures
Tables	
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



where was this giving the made? Just before Sec. 3.3  $N_{cat} = 0$  was stated. No more than to occur when the volume is large. No more than to occur when the volume is large. No more than to occur when the volume is large.

from the pristine ice crystals. High  $sp_{co}$  values, above 0.995, are observed when aggregates predominate the full radar resolution volume (i.e., as assumed in this paper for  $N_{cat} = 0$ ). Conversely, smaller  $sp_{co}$  values can be simulated from different pristine ice crystals when the radar antennas are tilted at 45° elevation angle. Because mixing different particle types affect the  $sp_{co}$  distribution, a weighting factor  $R_w$  is created for the particle habit categorization and defined so that:

$$R_w = \frac{\sum_{i=0.995}^{i=1} N_i}{\sum_{i=0.970}^{i=0.995} N_i} \quad (8)$$

because

where  $N_i$  is the total number of spectral samples per bin  $i$ . This  $R_w$  ratio is preferred, rather than using the mean or the standard deviation of the distribution,  $sp_{co}$  values being too small to use standard statistical tools. When  $R_w < 2$  (threshold determined empirically), it is assumed that pristine ice crystals dominate the radar resolution volume. Aggregates dominate in the other cases.

Secondly, the type of pristine ice crystals (plate- or column-like ice crystals) was investigated from the combination of  $R_w$  with the linear depolarization ratio  $Z_{DR}$ . As mentioned in Sect. 2,  $Z_{DR}$  strongly depends on the axis ratio and canting angle of the particles.  $Z_{DR}$  increases when increasing the standard deviation of the canting angle from all hydrometeors, i.e., passing from perfectly to randomly oriented ice crystals. As a result, significant differences in  $Z_{DR}$  between plate- and column-like crystals are expected when a non-random orientation is considered, according to Battaglia et al. (2001). For the categorization algorithm, a first threshold guess was employed to distinguish plate- from column-like within pristine ice regions. At 45° elevation angle, simulated  $Z_{DR}$  distribution centered around -15 dB suggested the presence of columns whereas  $Z_{DR}$  was mostly below -20 dB for plate-like ice crystals. A summary of the crystal habit categorization is provided in Table 1.

I see what you are doing. You have some set of set of spectra for which you compute  $sp_{co}$ . You then spec. the frequency of occurrence of occurrence of histograms of  $sp_{co}$  in terms of bins in order to see the relative frequency.  $N_i$  by the number of  $sp_{co}$  values.  $i = 0.950, 0.951, \dots$  equal this values should be made clear in the reader to help out.

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

I do not understand this statement. Is the sample size too small? How can it be possible? No values to do (maybe to do) be the same? - Name no. 2

Title Page	Introduction
Abstract	References
Conclusions	Figures
Tables	
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	





### 3.4 Case study of 21 July 2007

During summer 2007, the TARA radar took part in the Convective and Orographically-induced Precipitation Study (COPS) with the aim of studying heavy precipitation events driven by orographic conditions at high resolution scales. Precipitation processes were observed by means of a synergetic collection of research remote-sensing and/or in-situ systems operated at the ground, on-board aircrafts and satellites. Six main ground-based sites (site P, R, H, M, S and V) were deployed across the COPS domain (see Fig. 9). More information on the campaign can be found in Wulfmeyer et al. (2008). TARA was located on the H site (Hornisgrinde) on top of a plateau, close to other ground-based sensors. In this paper, Saturday, 21 July 2007, is taken as a case study, in order to illustrate the microphysical approach described in the previous section.

During that day, a frontal zone was affecting the COPS area with cold air in the north and warm air in the south. A wave with the presence of high pressure ridge) was developing and stretching southwest to northeast up to the COPS domain, leading to the formation of a low pressure area over Bavaria. This system was responsible for upward vertical motion and the formation of a Mesoscale Convective System (MSC) moving northeastwards over the eastern half of the COPS area in the course of the afternoon. In terms of cloud coverage, widespread clouds, turning to convective (enhanced by the orography) and rainy cells in the afternoon were observed. TARA measured in the afternoon to sample the MCS over the COPS domain. The TARA measurements were combined with synchronous aircraft in-situ measurements performed with the ATR42 aircraft from Safire, in the frame of the OSMOC project (Observation Strategy for Mixed-phase Orographic Clouds) under the umbrella of EUFAR activities (European Fleet for Airborne Research).

#### 3.4.1 TARA measurements and interpretation

TARA started to measure from 13:05 UTC at 45° elevation angle. Figure 10a shows the reflectivity profiles obtained from 15:45 UTC onwards during that day. The MSC

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Not "MSC"?

65 & MCS from



captured from 16:20 to 16:45 UTC was taken as case study for the microphysical retrieval. During this time slot, a cloud with great vertical extension from 3200 m to 6400 m (nimbostratus type) was observed. Because of the high reflectivity encountered in this mid-level cloud region (above 10 dBZ), ice crystals were very likely present. Just below 3200 m, a bright band was detected indicating the melting of the precipitating ice crystals. Quite heavy precipitation resulted from such a melting process, with reflectivity values reaching 40 dBZ. From a dynamical point of view, analyses of the mean Doppler velocity and Doppler width profiles revealed the presence of a strong updraft (Dufournet, 2010) probably enhanced by an orographic effect acting on a westerly wind flow, which could play a role on particle orientation.

### 3.4.2 Microphysical retrieval results

The microphysical retrieval technique was tested on the ice/mixed-phase cloud passing over the H site from 16:20 to 16:45 UTC, where high signal to noise ratio was detected.

The black box in Fig. 10a bounds the retrieval area. The results of the retrievals are then displayed in Fig. 10b-e. The mapping of the partitioning and orientation categorization procedures, explained in Sects. 3.1 and 3.2, is represented in Fig. 10b using a simplified color scale. Some of the categorization numbers  $N_{cat}$  defined in Fig. 8 are merged with respect to the particle orientation(s) and number of species present within each radar resolution volume. On the one hand, light yellow pixels, grouping  $N_{cat}=12, 14$  or  $16$  (radar volume containing up to 2-cloud particle types) and  $N_{cat}=11, 13$  or  $15$  (radar volume containing at least 2 cloud particle types), represent cloud bulks where at least one particle type is horizontally aligned. On the other hand,  $N_{cat}=3, 5$  or  $7$  and  $N_{cat}=4, 5$  or  $8$  represent vertically aligned cloud particles. Aggregates are considered to be present within each radar cell. Still, a specific color scale for  $N_{cat}=0$  is defined when it is assumed that only randomly oriented aggregates fill the resolution volume. Oriented particles were often detected in this cloud. A change of orientation with time was also observed around 16:30 UTC. This discrepancy was closely linked to a change in the Doppler width coefficient, which could arise from

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References	Figures
Tables	Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion



UTC

applied to

categories

A simpler discrete color scale would be better.

More distinctive colors would also be helpful. Yellow and light orange are hard to separate.



a strong variation of the amplitude of the horizontal wind, leading to production of turbulence and changes in the ice crystal orientation.

Looking at the relative proportion between oriented and randomly oriented particles, it was noticed that oriented particles (mainly horizontal alignment) were much more represented at cloud top. This trend was reversed as reaching cloud bottom. Figure 10c maps the weighting coefficient defined in Sect. 3.3. Because  $R_w$  was low at cloud top, oriented particles found in this region were certainly pristine ice particles. Combining this information with the mean of the  $L_{DR}$  distribution found for the same cloud region ( $L_{DR} \approx -21$  dB as seen in Fig. 10d), horizontally-plate-like particles were most probably produced at cloud top. As we go further down,  $R_w$  increased suggesting the occurrence of ice crystal aggregation processes. Even some strong aggregation areas at cloud bottom, with  $R_w > 4$ , were observed. This result is shown in the simplified categorization of Fig. 10e. From this figure, good agreement was found between the cloud processes and the precipitation regime observed below the melting layer, e.g., strong aggregation areas connected to heavy rainfall regimes as explained in Dufournet (2010).

### 3.4.3 Ice crystal habit assessment using the COPS facilities

An ATR42 flight was coordinated the very same day with the TARA measurements in the frame of the OSMOC project, taking advantage of the COPS facilities, i.e., a specific daily weather forecast dedicated to the COPS area coupled with a dense measurement network providing a detailed nowcasting of the cloud overcast. This aircraft is an ATR42-320 aircraft modified for scientific use, and has flying performances suitable for the study of ice/mixed-phase clouds in complex terrain. In order to satisfy the needs of the OSMOC experiment, an aircraft configuration focused on microphysical measurements was adopted. For the particle habit assessment, the 2D-C probe images obtained during in-cloud penetrations were analyzed at different flight levels (Fig. 11a). Plate and dendrites, mixed with small aggregate particles, were mainly found at cloud top (about 5000 m altitude). For flight legs performed at lower

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



*first mean this of  
HW, 20-10-11*

*I have a hard  
time seeing  
this in  
Fig. 10e.*

*10-21 Jul 2011*

*Quantify what is meant  
by "good agreement".  
How about "physical"?*



altitude, only aggregates at different riming stages were observed. This result is in ~~in~~ <sup>good agreement</sup> with the retrieved ice particles habits obtained from TARA spectral polarimetric parameters. ~~It can be noticed that graupels were also observed within a high riming region, but their retrievals were not implemented in the algorithm.~~ <sup>with the</sup> Finally, ~~the plate-like type of pristine ice was also confirmed when looking at the radiosonde launch of the M site.~~ <sup>with the</sup> From Fig. 11b, cloud temperatures below  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$  were found at cloud top, which is favorable for plate-like crystal formation according to Lamb and Scott (1972).

#### 4 Conclusions

Most cloud microphysical retrieval techniques suffer from a lack of microphysical information provided by current observations, such as radar sensors, for the microphysical characterization of ice/mixed-phase clouds. Several assumptions are required to fill this lack, which strongly affects the retrieval accuracy needed for a correct and complete cloud microphysical description, such as assumption in particle density, orientation<sup>5</sup> and particle types within the same cloud bulk (Donovan et al., 2005; Matrosov et al., 2000). In this paper, we have investigated the use of simultaneous Doppler and polarimetric radar measurements ~~within~~ <sup>with</sup> ice/mixed-phase clouds for microphysical retrievals. ~~It has been~~ <sup>we have</sup> shown that a tight relation exists between spectral polarimetric parameters and ~~the~~ cloud microphysical properties, providing a wealth of additional microphysical information from a single radar signal, which ~~reduces~~ <sup>has the potential to reduce</sup> the cloud microphysical retrieval uncertainties. <sup>10 notes</sup>

Several spectral polarimetric ~~data~~ <sup>data</sup> obtained from ~~the~~ TARA radar have been analyzed. Because spectral polarimetric parameters are by nature extremely noisy, this analysis has mainly concentrated on how to process them. This work ~~strongly~~ <sup>argues that the correct choice of a specific signal filtering method combined with an</sup> adequate time averaging ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> of great importance to ensure the spatial and temporal coherency of the spectral polarimetric parameters, and thereby, consistent spatial and temporal microphysical retrieval outputs.



*New study is at hand, probably  
as you put out on Page 537.  
It is why the early to clear such a conclusion.*



In the second half of the paper, simple retrieval microphysical algorithms (number of ice crystal species, main particle orientations and habits) were defined and applied to TARA measurements carried out during the COPS campaign on 21 July 2007. The retrieval outputs were found to be consistent with collocated measurements performed on site or on-board the ATR42 aircraft on the very same day, which is encouraging for further investigations. Despite its preliminary character, the research reported here would seem to indicate that, already with simple retrieval techniques, spectral polarimetry can provide additional microphysical information not often taken into account in current retrievals, i.e., the number of ice crystal species present in each cloud resolution volume and their main orientation.

The use of retrievals based on spectral polarimetric parameters is likely to be a fruitful area of further work. On the one hand, the simple retrievals defined in Sect. 3 can be improved for operational use. Also, new retrieval algorithms based on spectral polarimetric parameters are currently investigated for the characterization of the particle size distribution within ice/mixed-phase clouds. On the other hand, the achieved results could already be implemented within current retrieval algorithms in order to reduce the number of assumptions used. For example, the ice crystal density, considered to be critical in radar- lidar methods (Delanoe et al., 2006), could better be characterized from the particle habits retrieved from spectral polarimetric measurements.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors wish to thank the crew of the ATR42 aircraft, as well as the EUFAR organization, as well as the Lamp Institute (Laboratoire de Meteorologie Physique – Clermont-Ferrand, France) which provided the necessary processed in-situ data. The authors also wish to thank the COPS organization committee for the help in achieving the collocated measurements during the campaign.

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Introduction
Abstract	References
Conclusions	Figures
Tables	
I ◀	▶ I
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	





## References

- Battaglia, A., Sturniolo, O., and Prodi, F.: Analysis of polarization radar returns from ice clouds, *Atmos. Res.*, 59–60, 231–250, 2001.
- Bringi, V. and Chandrasekar, V.: *Polarimetric Doppler Weather Radar – Principle and applications*, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2001.
- Brussaard, G.: A meteorological model for rain induced crosspolarization, *IEEE Trans. AP, USA*, 24, 5–11, 1976.
- Cantrell, W. and Heymsfield, A.: Production of ice in tropospheric clouds – a review, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 86(6), 795–807, 2005.
- Delanoe, J., Protat, A., Bouniol, D., Heymsfield, A., Bansemer, A., and Brown, P.: The characterization of ice cloud properties from Doppler radar measurements, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, 46, 1682–1698, 2006.
- Donovan, D. and Lammeren, A.: Cloud effective particle size and water content profile retrievals using combined lidar and radar observations 1. theory and examples, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 27425–27448, 2001.
- Dufournet, Y.: Ice crystal properties retrieval using radar spectral polarimetric measurements within ice/mixed-phase clouds, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universiteit Delft, 2010.
- Heijnen, S., Ligthart, L., and Russchenberg, H.: First measurements with TARA; an S-band transportable atmospheric radar, *Phys. Chem. Earth B*, 25, 995–998, 2000.
- Hogan, R. J., Illingworth, J., and Sauvageot, H.: Measuring crystal size using 35- and 94-GHz radars, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 17, 27–37, 1999.
- Kärcher, B. and Koop, T.: The role of organic aerosols in homogeneous ice formation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 703–714, doi:10.5194/acp-5-703-2005, 2005.
- Lamb, D. and Scott, W.: Linear growth rates of ice crystals grown from the vapor phase, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 12, 21–31, 1972.
- Lawson, R., Baker, B., Schnitt, C., and Jensen, T.: An overview of microphysical properties of arctic clouds observed in May and July 1998 during fire ace, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 14989–15014, 2001.
- Matrosov, S. Y., Reinking, R. F., Kropfli, R. A., Martner, B. E., and Bartram, B. W.: On the use of radar depolarization ratios for estimating shapes of ice hydrometeors in winter clouds, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 40, 479–490, 2000.

## AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



- Mitchell, D.: Use of mass- and area-dimensional power laws for determining precipitation particle terminal velocities, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 53, 1710–1723, 1996.
- Mogano, C. and Lee, C.: Meteorological classification of natural snow crystals, *J. Fac. Sci.*, 2, 321–335, 1966.
- <sup>5</sup> Russchenberg, H.: Ground-based remote-sensing of precipitation using a multi-polarized FM-CW Doppler radar, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universiteit Delft, 1992.
- Shupe, M. D., Kollias, P., Matrosov, S. Y., and Schneider, T. L.: Deriving mixed-phase cloud properties from Doppler radar spectra, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 21, 660–670, 2003.
- Shupe, M. D., Daniel, J., de Boer, G., Eloranta, E., Kollias, P., Long, C., Luke, E., Turner, D., and Verlinde, J.: A focus on mixed-phase clouds: the status of ground-based observation methods, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 89, 1549–1562, 2008.
- <sup>10</sup> Unal, C. M. H.: Spectral polarimetric radar clutter suppression to enhance atmospheric echoes, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 26, 1781–1797, 2009.
- Unal, C. M. H. and Moisseev, D.: Combined Doppler and polarimetric radar measurements: correction for spectrum aliasing and nonsimultaneous polarimetric measurements, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 21, 443–455, 2004.
- <sup>15</sup> Wulfmeyer, V., Behrendt, A., Bauer, H.-S., Kottmeier, C., Corsmeier, U., Blyth, A., Craig, G., Schumann, U., Hagen, M., Crewell, S., Di Girolamo, P., Flamant, C., Miller, M., Montani, A., Mobbs, S., Richard, E., Rotach, M. W., Arpagaus, M., and Russchenberg, H.: The convective and orographically-induced precipitation study: a research and development project of the World Weather Research Program for improving quantitative precipitation forecasting in low-mountain regions, *B. Am. Meteor. Soc.*, 89(10), 1477–1486, 2008.
- <sup>20</sup>

# AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011


---

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

---

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
	Conclusions	References
	Tables	Figures
◀	▶	▶▶
◀	▶	▶
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		



Discussion Paper
Discussion Paper
Discussion Paper
Discussion Paper



Improvement of  
cloud microphysical  
retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



*N<sub>cat</sub> = 0 so it's not right fact  
to group. The presence  
of dry particles according  
to Page 541.*

Table 1. Crystal habit categorization.

Ice particle type present in the resolution volume	Categorization parameters
Aggregates dominating the Doppler spectra	$N_{cat} = 0$ and/or $R_w \gg 2$
Column-like crystals dominating the Doppler spectra	$R_w < 2$ and $\Delta_{DR} \approx -15$ dB
Plate-like crystals dominating the Doppler spectra	$R_w < 2$ and $\Delta_{DR} \approx -20$ dB



**AMTD**  
4, 527–562, 2011

---


**Improvement of  
cloud microphysical  
retrievals**

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

---

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References	Figures
Tables	◀	▶
◀	◀	▶
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |



**Fig. 1.** The S-Band TARA radar. The transmitted and received signals are sequentially switched from vertical to horizontal polarization states in order to measure the full scattering matrix.

Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg


Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References	Figures
Tables	◀	▶
◀	◀	▶
Back	Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion



*Bit name. One of the three parameters?*

Spectral reflectivity



*What information do these spheroidal shapes convey? Not clear to me.*

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of  $sZ_{VV}(V)$ ,  $sZ_{HH}(V)$  and  $sZ_{DR}(V)$  and their relation to particle size and axis ratio.

*This figure is a bit mysterious to me. How do particle number concentration change w.r.t. site? Hence velocity, in the production of this figure? Is particle aspect ratio changing w.r.t. velocity?*



Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

- Title Page
- Abstract
- Conclusions
- Tables
- I ◀
- ◀
- Back
- Full Screen / Esc
- Introduction
- References
- Figures
- ▶ I
- ▶
- Close
- Printer-friendly Version
- Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |




Fig. 3. TARA processing steps performed on  $sZ_{VV}(v)$ : (a) smoothing, (b) correction for spectra <sup>9</sup> aliasing and non-simultaneous polarimetric measurements and (c) double  $sL_{DR,x}$  clipping.

Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Conclusions	Tables	▶◀	▶◀	Back	Full Screen / Esc
Introduction	References	Figures	▶◀	▶◀	Close	Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |




Fig. 4. Additional clipping performed on (a)  $s_{L,DR,V}(V)$ , (b)  $s_{\rho_{co},V}(V)$  and (c)  $\Delta s_{\rho_{co},V}(V)$ . This additional clipping gives the opportunity to constrain the Doppler velocity window (from  $-3.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  to  $-2.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in this example). (further)

Showing the three the three and the data that survive and sum lead to the idea of "winners": ~~cut~~ Those part of the final window window that only



Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page  
 Abstract Introduction  
 Conclusions References  
 Tables Figures  
 Back Close  
 Full Screen / Esc  
 Printer-friendly Version  
 Interactive Discussion





Fig. 5. Consecutive  $sZ_{DR}$  spectra extracted from an ice cloud region (see reflectivity profile). Each spectrum is measured at 1.5 s interval. The set of spectra are represented without (middle row) and with (bottom row) the additional averaging step. As displayed, the spectra become more coherent when the averaging is performed. *while without averaging is applied to them in the bottom row.*

*Fast to small.*



*Exp. to like fit because it fits*

*These should be changed in sign of the gradient.*

*To 15 correlation spectra*

**Fig. 6.** Example of statistical analysis performed on  $sZ_{DR}$  parabolic fit for a specific time and range bin of a TARA measurement. (a) Representation of the  $sZ_{DR}$  spectra obtained with their parabolic fit obtained for different number of spectra averaged (i.e. different averaging time). (b) Evolution of the standard deviation coefficient and its gradient obtained for each averaged spectra over an increasing spectral averaging time. (c) Evolution of the correlation coefficient  $R_d$  related to the averaged spectra number 5, where std is found below 0.15 dB.

*for Noy, min set to 556 or.*

# AMTD

4, 527–562, 2011

## Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction	Conclusions	Tables	Figures	Back	Full Screen / Esc	Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion
------------	----------	--------------	-------------	--------	---------	------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------------




Fig. 7. Broadening effect observed on  $sZ_{wv}(v)$  when an increasing number of initial spectra is averaged.

What is the mapping between these shapes?

Axes of symmetry  
as oblate spheroids  
prolate spheroids  
seems amb. growth  
the paper is specific  
since alignment is  
stated to be amb.  
the spheroids by  
a x d.

Axes labels somewhere  
is always a good idea.

and all of these different spectra?




Fig. 8.  $sZ_{DR}$  classification based on the slope and values of the spectra. 16 different  $sZ_{DR}$  configurations have been sorted out from cloud observations. On the left hand side, a spheroidal representation of the assumed ice crystals shape(s) and orientation(s) is displayed depending on the  $sZ_{DR}$  configuration.

Why assumed?  
Eiter there is a one-to-one correspondence between shapes and slope values of the spectra or there is not. As such, "assumed" here is not very weak. As such, how confident are you of a one-to-one correspondence?

AMTD  
4, 527–562, 2011


Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals  
Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page  
Abstract Introduction  
Conclusions References  
Tables Figures  
◀ ▶  
◀ ▶  
Back Close  
Full Screen / Esc  
Printer-friendly Version  
Interactive Discussion

CC BY





**Fig. 9.** Overview of the COPS domain. Each ground-based deployed during summer 2007 is represented in the picture (V = Vosges, P = Poldirad, H = Hornisgrinde, M = Murg valley – ARM site, S = Stuttgart) – 2009 Google.

**AMTD**  
4, 527–562, 2011

---

**Improvement of  
cloud microphysical  
retrievals**

Y. Dufournet and  
H. W. J. Russchenberg

---

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 10a. Microphysical results obtained from the TARA measurements on 21 July 2007. (a) Reflectivity profile from TARA. The black box delimits the boundaries of the area where the retrieval technique is applied. The white box represent the altitude of the flight legs performed by the ATR42 aircraft the same day. (b) Mapping of the categorization results. (c) Mapping of the weighting ratio  $R_w$ . (d) Histogram of  $L_{DR}$  values when  $R_w < 2$ . (e) Simplified particle categorization obtained from (b–d).

*Why these numbers? Not only 3000, 3500, 4000, 4500, 5000, 5500, 6000, 6500, 7000, 7500, 8000, 8500, 9000, 9500, 10000, 10500, 11000, 11500, 12000, 12500, 13000, 13500, 14000, 14500, 15000, 15500, 16000, 16500, 17000, 17500, 18000, 18500, 19000, 19500, 20000, 20500, 21000, 21500, 22000, 22500, 23000, 23500, 24000, 24500, 25000, 25500, 26000, 26500, 27000, 27500, 28000, 28500, 29000, 29500, 30000, 30500, 31000, 31500, 32000, 32500, 33000, 33500, 34000, 34500, 35000, 35500, 36000, 36500, 37000, 37500, 38000, 38500, 39000, 39500, 40000, 40500, 41000, 41500, 42000, 42500, 43000, 43500, 44000, 44500, 45000, 45500, 46000, 46500, 47000, 47500, 48000, 48500, 49000, 49500, 50000, 50500, 51000, 51500, 52000, 52500, 53000, 53500, 54000, 54500, 55000, 55500, 56000, 56500, 57000, 57500, 58000, 58500, 59000, 59500, 60000, 60500, 61000, 61500, 62000, 62500, 63000, 6337*

*Observation of particle*



### Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals


Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg

Title Page	Abstract	Introduction	References	Figures
Conclusions	Tables	Back	Close	Full Screen / Esc
Printer-friendly Version	Interactive Discussion			




Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |


*discrete colors, the discrete categories would be better.*



(c)




(b)



(d)

*Mean LDR at 500m*



(e)

*Again discrete colors would be better.*

*Keep the same axis as for (b) & (c)*

Fig. 10b. Continued.


Improvement of cloud microphysical retrievals

Y. Dufournet and H. W. J. Russchenberg


Title Page  
 Abstract Introduction  
 Conclusions References  
 Tables Figures  
 ◀ ▶  
 ▶ ◀  
 Back Close  
 Full Screen / Esc  
 Printer-friendly Version  
 Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |



(a)



(b)

Fig. 11. ~~Microphysical comparison with other sensors~~ – (a) 2D-C probe images obtained from the ATR42 aircraft flying through the MCS event on 21 July 2007 at two different flight levels. (b) Temperature profile obtained from a radiosonde launch on the M site ~~also on 21 July 2007~~. *on the same day.*