

Droplet activation, separation, and compositional analysis: Laboratory studies and atmospheric measurements

Naruki Hiranuma¹, Monika Kohn², Mikhail S. Pekour¹, Danny A. Nelson¹, John E. Shilling¹,
Daniel J. Cziczo^{1,†*}

¹Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902
Battelle Blvd., Richland, Washington, USA.

²Institute for Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main,
Frankfurt, Germany.

[†]Now at: Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77
Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: djcziczo@mit.edu

Abstract

Droplets produced in a cloud condensation ~~nuclei~~ ~~nucleus~~ chamber (CCNC) as a function of supersaturation have been separated from unactivated aerosol particles using counterflow virtual impaction. Residual material after droplets were evaporated was chemically analyzed with an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) and the Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometry (PALMS) instrument. Experiments were initially conducted to verify activation conditions for monodisperse ammonium sulfate particles and to determine the resulting droplet size distribution as a function of supersaturation. Based on the observed droplet size, the counterflow virtual impactor cut-size was set to differentiate droplets from unactivated interstitial particles. Validation experiments were then performed to verify that only droplets with sufficient size passed through the counterflow virtual impactor for subsequent analysis. A two-component external mixture of monodisperse particles was also exposed to a supersaturation which would activate one of the types (~~ammonium sulfate~~ hygroscopic salts) but not the other (polystyrene latex spheres or adipic acid). The mass spectrum observed after separation indicated only the former, validating separation of droplets from unactivated particles. Results from ambient measurements using this technique and AMS analysis were inconclusive, showing little chemical differentiation between ambient aerosol and activated droplet residuals, largely due to low signal levels. When employing a single particle mass spectrometer for compositional analysis, however, we observed enhancement of sulfate in droplet residuals. Results from atmospheric measurements using this technique indicate that aerosol particles often activate predominantly as a function of particle size. Chemical composition is not irrelevant, and we observed enhancement of sulfate in droplet residuals using single particle analysis.

1. Introduction

Among the most uncertain processes in our current understanding of the climate system is the interaction of aerosol particles with water vapor to form clouds (IPCC, 2007). Changes in particle properties can affect clouds in several ways. For example, an increase in the number of particles in the presence of a constant amount of water vapor can result in more but consequently smaller droplets. Smaller and more numerous droplets resulting in more reflective clouds is known as the 1st indirect or Twomey effect (Twomey, 1974). Smaller droplets also initiate precipitation less effectively and this is called the 2nd indirect or Albrecht effect (Squires, 1958; Albrecht, 1989). More recently, Stevens and Feingold (2009) suggested that aerosol / cloud interactions are more complicated with both micro- and macrophysical buffers existing in the system. In all cases a more complete understanding of how particles form droplets is required to make headway in our understanding of climate.

The formation of droplets by particles when the vapor pressure of water is raised above saturation is theoretically well described. The vapor pressure of a solution droplet is enhanced by its curvature~~over a small droplet is always larger than that over a planar water surface due to its curvature~~; this is known as the Kelvin effect (~~Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006~~Thomson, 1871). Atmospherically occurring~~Nascent~~ droplets are not pure water, however, and ~~normally~~ contain soluble material, which ~~from the aerosol from which they originated. These solutes~~ reduces the vapor pressure over the droplet according to Raoult's law (Raoult, 1887). The combination of these two effects leads to Köhler theory which predicts the critical supersaturation which must be overcome in order for a particle to activate as a droplet. This critical supersaturation is therefore a function both of the particle size and composition (~~Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006~~Köhler, 1936).

1 While Köhler theory is successful in predicting the supersaturation required to activate pure
2 particles of some species (e.g. inorganic salts), atmospheric particles are most often complex
3 mixtures of components with a wide range of solubility of soluble and insoluble components
4 (Murphy et al., 1998). For this reason, techniques to measure the abundance of cloud
5 condensation nuclei (CCN) have been developed. These so-called CCN chambers (CCNCs) pass
6 aerosol particles through a region where they are exposed to a controllable supersaturation
7 (Roberts and Nenes, 2005). Such chambers therefore do not explicitly rely on theory but instead
8 measure the abundance of CCN, often as a function of supersaturation, within an airmass. ~~In the~~
9 ~~case of laboratory studies, a CCNC can be utilized with other instruments to determine activation~~
10 ~~conditions for a given particle type as a function of e.g. size (e.g., Moore et al., 2010).~~
11 Alternatively, activation conditions for a given particle type can be determined as a function of
12 size (Petters et al., 2009a; Moore et al., 2010).
13 One use of CCN abundance data is the formation of simple parameterizations of cloud drop
14 number, which can be used at minimal computational expense in models (Lohmann and Feichter,
15 2005). Dusek et al. (2006) produced a simple parameterization by noting that in some cases size
16 was a more important determinate of CCN formation than chemistry. Dusek et al. considered
17 airmasses with predominantly aged continental aerosol particles and, despite the compositional
18 similarity, at times size only captured ~84% of the CCN variability. More recent research,
19 especially that on more diverse particulate sources, has indicated chemistry does play a large
20 role in CCN variability (Nenes et al., 2002; Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007; Rose et al., 2010).
21 Nenes et al. (2002) showed in a model study that chemical effects can rival the 1st indirect effect
22 in terms of radiative forcing. Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) suggested a single parameter, κ , to
23 represent compositional effects on both hygroscopic growth below saturation and CCN activity.

Values of κ indicate a large difference between hygroscopic inorganic species (e.g., NaCl) which largely follows Köhler theory (0.5 – 1.4) and less active organics (0.01 – 0.5). Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) determined κ values for atmospheric particles in a range between 0.1 and 0.9. Rose et al. (2010) found somewhat lower values in the highly polluted conditions of Guangzhou, China, 0.1 – 0.5. The field study κ average was observed to drop from 0.3 to 0.2 in the presence of significant organic-rich biomass burning.

~~These and other field studies have been dependant on parallel measurements of chemical composition (i.e., the number of CCN and particulate chemical composition were determined separately). Alternately, s~~Studies where the effect of composition on CCN formation was directly measured relied on particle generation from a known material in a laboratory setting (King et al., 2009; Shilling et al., 2007; Asa-Awuku et al., 2009). The problem with this strategy is that laboratory-generated particles do not undergo the complex processes associated with atmospheric aging that may change composition and surface properties (e.g., Gard et al., 1998; Laskin et al., 2005). Moreover, only a limited amount of particle types can be investigated in a laboratory. Several field studies have been conducted with simultaneous, parallel measurements of chemical composition and CCN activity (Shantz et al., 2008 and 2010; Chang et al., 2010); however, in these studies the chemical identity of the CCN active components is inferred based on the size and chemical composition of the entire aerosol population. Thus, there is a surprisingly lack in direct measurement of CCNC formed droplet composition to date (Osborn et al., 2008; Slowik et al., 2011).

We have coupled a commercially-available CCNC to a counterflow virtual impactor (CVI) in order to form droplets as a function of supersaturation and separate them for subsequent direct

analysis. We then evaporate the condensed-phase water in order to determine the size and composition of ~~the CCNC droplet residuals the aerosol particles which formed the droplets~~ using an Aerodyne Research (Billerica, MA) High Resolution Time of Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (~~hereafter referred to as~~ AMS ~~for brevity~~) and the Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometry (PALMS) instrument. Laboratory studies were conducted on particle types with known critical supersaturations in order to validate this technique. Direct measurements of the effect of composition on CCN formation were then conducted with atmospheric aerosol particles. To the best of our knowledge this is the first time a commercial CCNC has been coupled to a CVI and mass spectrometer to study CCN-active aerosol chemistry, and we are aware of only one other such instrumental combination using a custom built CCNC (Slowik et al., 2011).

2. Methodology

The goal of the instrumental technique described in the following section was to determine the chemical composition and size of those aerosols which formed droplets as a function of supersaturation. A second goal was that droplet formation, inertial separation, and size and composition determination were accomplished with commercially available instruments operating within their typical experimental parameters. The second goal was accomplished with the exception of one experiment utilizing a custom single particle PALMS instrument.

2.1. Instruments

~~A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1.~~ A CCNC, commercially available from Droplet Measurement Technologies (Model 200-013, Boulder, CO), was used to activate

droplets from incoming aerosol particles as a function of supersaturation from 0.07 – ~~10.5~~% . A full description of the instrument is available in Roberts and Nenes (2005). For these studies a dual-column CCNC employed although only one column was connected to the CVI for droplet separation and compositional analysis. The total CCNC inlet flow is internally split into a 0.045lpm sample flow and a 0.45 lpm sheath flow that is filtered to remove particles; thus the concentration of particles entering the column is reduced by a dilution factor of 0.09 ($\cong \frac{0.045 \text{ lpm}}{0.45 \text{ lpm} + 0.045 \text{ lpm}}$) relative to initial particle concentration. The particles are passed through a temperature-controlled vertical column with water-coated walls. Supersaturated conditions are produced in the column due to a temperature gradient produced by three differentially heated zones and the fact that water molecules diffuse more quickly than heat. The flow regime in the column is assumed to be laminar with a residence time of ~~~2510~~ seconds. ~~Validation of instrument performance is given in subsequent paragraphs.~~ Once activated, the number and size distribution of droplets (from 0.75 to 10 μm diameter) is measured using an integrated optical particle counter (OPC) in the CCNC. We note that the particle count of the integrated OPC is automatically corrected for sheath flow dilution factor of ~0.09 given above. For example, the OPC readout of 5000 cm^{-3} corresponds to an estimate of ~455 cm^{-3} particles passing through the CCNC.

Droplets exiting the CCNC were separated from unactivated particles using a pumped CVI (PCVI) previously described in the literature (Boulter et al., 2006; Kulkarni et al., 2011) and commercially available from Brechtel Manufacturing, Inc. (Model 8100). A PCVI functions by drawing particles into the instrument with a vacuum pump. The use of a pump differentiates a PCVI from traditional CVIs which use the motion of an aircraft or a wind tunnel to cause

1 particles to enter the impactor. The PCVI is therefore a self-contained inertial separation unit that
2 can be used in a laboratory setting (Boulter et al., 2006). A flow of particle-free gas termed the
3 counterflow is directed in a motion opposite the particle flow. In this way the counterflow creates
4 an inertial barrier which stops particles below a specific cut-size so that they are removed by the
5 flow to the pump. The rate of counterflow sets the inertial barrier and thus the cut-size. The
6 counterflow can be varied to set the cut-size although the system pressure and other flows are
7 secondary factors in determining the inertial barrier (Boulter et al., 2006). The counterflow is a
8 portion of the total flow added to the PCVI; the remainder forms an output or “sample flow”
9 into which the particles above the cut-size become entrained (i.e., particles above the cut-size
10 exit the PCVI within a different gas-phase environment than they entered; Boulter et al., 2006).
11 In the case of these studies, the ~~add-flow~~counterflow was particle-free dry research-grade
12 nitrogen (99.99% purity). The cut-size was set to allow passage of droplets but not unactivated
13 particles. The dry nitrogen carrier gas ~~thus~~-caused evaporation of the condensed-phase water on
14 the droplets so that only residual aerosol particles which initially formed the droplets in the
15 CCNC remained in the sample flow.

16 ~~Described more fully in the following paragraphs, the PCVI used in these studies effectively~~
17 ~~separates ~2.5 µm and larger diameter droplets for input flow rates of ~5–10 lpm (Boulter et al.,~~
18 ~~2006; Kulkarni et al., 2011). A particle-free humidified “supplemental flow” was added to the~~
19 ~~~0.5 lpm CCNC flow in order to produce the required PCVI input flow. This was done by~~
20 ~~directing the CCNC flow through a 4 mm id tube in the centerline of a 15 mm tube containing~~
21 ~~the supplemental flow. The supplemental flow was humidified by passing it through nafion~~
22 ~~tubing (Perma Pure, Toms River, NJ, PD Series) immersed in ultrapure 18.2 MΩ cm water so~~
23 ~~that droplets were not evaporated prior to the PCVI. The PCVI sample flow was 1.0 lpm for all~~

experiments conducted here. The number density of particles in this was counted by directing 0.3 lpm to a condensation particle counter (CPC; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, model 3775). For experiments where particle size and composition were also measured, a HR-ToF-AMS (Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA) or PALMS was attached in parallel. Both instruments utilize an aerodynamic inlet to focus particles into a narrow beam (Liu et al., 1995). Excess flow was removed with a vacuum pump.

The ~~HR-ToF-AMS (Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA)~~AMS has been described in the literature previously (Jayne, 2000; Jimenez et al., 2003; DeCarlo et al., ~~2006~~2008). Briefly, particles entrained in 0.1 lpm of flow are impacted onto a resistively heated (~~600–850 °C~~) ceramic oven. The oven was held at a temperature of 850 °C when studying PSL particles and at 600 °C in all other experiments. The AMS lens transmits particles with vacuum aerodynamic diameters of 70 – 500 nm with nearly 100% efficiency and diameters of 500 – 2000 nm with lower, but non negligible efficiency (Jayne, 2000). Bouncing of solid particles from the heater before volatilization may reduce the AMS sampling efficiency further. Non-refractory components (operationally defined as components that vaporize in ~2 s) are volatilized on impact and the resultant vapor plume is ionized by electron impact at 70 eV. Ions are then orthogonally extracted at 20 kHz into a time of flight mass analyzer and detected after separation on a microchannel plate. For this study, only the higher sensitivity “V” mode of the mass analyzer was employed. Mass spectra are analyzed with standard software as described in the literature to chemically resolve particle components (Allan et al., 2004). For the ambient studies, detection limits for the instrument as operated (1 min averaging) are approximately 0.1, 0.03, 0.02, 0.15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for organics, nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium, respectively. A lower effective detection limit ~~was achieved for PSLs~~can be achieved for certain species, such as PSL particles

1 (0.01 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ nitrate equivalent mass at m/z 104) -by monitoring a single intense peak that has a
2 low background and little interference from other species ~~and a low background~~. In this way, the
3 presence or absence smaller mass concentrations of an individual component can be determined,
4 though quantitatively relating the measured signal of one m/z fragment to the concentration of
5 that component in the airstream requires additional calibration steps (see for example Katrib et
6 al., 2004).

7 PALMS has also been described in the literature previously (Cziczo et al., 2006). Particles in 0.3
8 lpm of flow are detected as they scatter light from two visible 532 nm YAG lasers set a known
9 distance apart and this allows for a calculation of aerodynamic diameter. A 193 nm excimer laser
10 is subsequently triggered to ablate and ionize the components. Positive or negative ions are sent
11 through a reflectron mass spectrometer flight tube and detected as a function of mass. Particle
12 size and chemical composition are thus recorded on the single particle level in situ and in real
13 time. Optical detection and aerodynamic focusing restrict the detectable particle size ~~range~~
14 bounds to ~150 – 3000 nm, respectively. Within this range all atmospheric aerosol components,
15 from refractory (e.g., black carbon and mineral dust) ~~and to~~ semi-volatile (e.g., sulfates and
16 organics), can be resolved. Single particle mass spectrometers such as PALMS are not inherently
17 quantitative due to the different ionization efficiencies of common atmospheric aerosol
18 components. The physical state of the aerosol components also affects the mass spectrum. One
19 example is that different processes are involved in ionizing the same weight percent of an
20 inorganic salt in solution in an aqueous aerosol versus in a crystalline form bound within a
21 mineral dust particle. Collectively, these different ionization thresholds and processes are termed
22 “matrix effects” and limit the use of single particle instruments for quantitation. Trends in ion

1 current (i.e., detector signal) can be used as an indication of relative abundance and particle
2 fractions on the order of 1% are detectable (Cziczo, 2010 and references therein).

3 2.2. Experimental Setup

4 A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1. Connection from the CCNC to the
5 PCVI is made directly after the CCNC-OPC. The internal chiller and pump of the CCNC are
6 bypassed to direct droplets to the PCVI. A 9.5 lpm particle-free humidified “supplemental flow”
7 was added to the ~0.5 lpm CCNC flow in order to produce the required PCVI input flow, while
8 preventing droplet ~~evaporation~~evaporation. The supplemental flow was humidified by passing it
9 through Nafion tubing (Perma Pure, Toms River, NJ, PD Series) immersed in ultrapure water
10 (18.2 MΩ cm). Droplets formed in the CCNC were passed through a 0.34 m length of stainless
11 tubing with a 4 mm inner diameter tube in the centerline of a 15 mm tube containing the
12 supplemental flow. The tubing diameters were chosen to achieve isokinetic mixing of the CCNC
13 output flow and the supplemental flow (i.e., the activated droplets are focused to the centerline of
14 the tubing under laminar flow conditions). The PCVI sample (outlet) flow was 1.0 lpm for all
15 experiments conducted here. The number density of particles in this flow was counted by
16 directing 0.3 lpm to a condensation particle counter (CPC; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, model
17 3775). For experiments where particle size and composition were also measured, either an AMS
18 or PALMS was attached in parallel. The portion of the 1 lpm PCIV outlet flow not consumed by
19 the instruments (the “excess flow”) was removed with a vacuum pump.

21 2.3. Validation of Instrument Performance

Initial tests and calibration of the instrument supersaturation were conducted to validate the performance of the CCNC following the empirical methods described in Rose et al. (2008) and Shilling et al. (2007). Specifically, ~~a~~Ammonium sulfate (~~Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, ReagentPlus Grade,~~>99% purity, Sigma) was dissolved in 18.2 MΩ cm ultrapure water, the 0.1 weight percent solution was atomized (Atomizer model 3076; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN), and passed through a differential mobility analyzer (DMA; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, Model 3080) to produce a monodisperse particle stream. ~~Aerosol particles were produced by atomizing a 0.1 weight percent (wt%) solution (Atomizer; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, Model 3076). In all cases, dry research-grade nitrogen was used as the carrier gas. Monodisperse sizes (i.e., mobility diameters) were produced using a differential mobility analyzer (DMA; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, Model 3080).~~ Calibrations were performed with monodisperse particle sizes ranging from 50 – 100nm. Activation curves were generated by scanning the CCNC supersaturation (0.07 to 0.75%) while comparing the number of activated droplets counted by the CCNC OPC to the total number of particles counted by a CPC (TSI 3775). By following Rose et al. (2008), the calibrated supersaturation was then calculated from the measured critical supersaturation (S_{crit} ; where 50% of the single charged particles are CCN active), the known particle diameter (D), and the literature value of the ammonium sulfate hycroscopicity parameter (κ of 0.61; Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007). Specifically, to determine the calibrated saturation, the portion of the activation spectrum corresponding to the singly charged particles was fit with the formula:

$$\left(\frac{S_{crit}}{100} + 1\right) = \exp\left(\frac{4A^3}{27 \cdot \kappa \cdot D^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad [1]$$

with

$$A = \frac{4\sigma_w M_w}{RT \rho_w} \quad [2]$$

where σ_w is the surface tension of water (0.072 J m^{-2}), M_w and ρ_w the water molecular weight ($0.018 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$) and density of water (997 kg m^{-3}), R the universal gas constant ($8.3 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$), and T the air temperature ($25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for laboratory measurements), κ the hygroscopicity of the aerosol particles (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007), and S the measured supersaturation. Calibrations before, during, and after the experiments agreed in saturation to within $\pm 0.04\%$ indicating that the CCNC was stable during the entire project and validating the performance of the CCNC.

Figure 2 shows the measured activation curves for the test particle species employed in CCNC/CVI validation experiments. ~~the fraction of particles active as CCN as a function of supersaturation.~~ Modeled CCN activation curves derived from the κ -Köhler model for ammonium sulfate (assuming κ of 0.61 at $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) and ammonium nitrate (minimum 99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich; κ of 0.67 at $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) are also plotted (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007). Polystyrene latex (PSL) spheres (Duke Scientific, Palo Alto, CA) and adipic acid (99.6-101.0%, Riedel-de Haën) were specifically chosen for a surrogate of hydrophobic organics and secondary organic aerosol (κ of 0.096; Kreidenweis and Petters, 2007), respectively. We note that previous observations suggest that κ -Köhler theory is applicable to atmospheric aerosol that is characterized by $0.1 < \kappa < 0.9$ (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007). Since hygroscopicity parameters of adipic acid and PSL are < 0.1 due to their solubility-limitation ($V_{\text{solute}}/V_{\text{water}} < 0.1$), κ -Köhler model for these compounds are not shown in Figure 2 (Petters et al., 2009b). The activated fraction appearing as a plateau at lower supersaturations corresponded to larger, doubly charged

particles with an equivalent mobility diameter as the single charged particles ~~doubly charged~~
particles (Rose et al., 2008) (e.g., selecting a mobility diameter of 80 nm in the DMA results in
transmission of particles with nominal geometric diameters of 80 nm and 117 nm~~117 nm mode~~
~~of doubly charged component on an electric mobility equivalent to 80 nm particles of +1 charge~~
~~selected by DMA in Figure 2~~). The size distributions of singly and doubly charged particles were
measured by ~~a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS; TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, Model 3969)~~
the SMPS. ~~The difference in critical supersaturation (where 50% of the particles are CCN active)~~
~~between modeled and observed data was never as high as 12%, validating performance of the~~
~~CCNC~~. It should be noted that ~~any~~ higher orders of multiply charged particles ~~other~~ than +2
charges were neglected due to their small population in this study.

The droplet size distribution produced as a function of supersaturation for ammonium sulfate
particles was also determined using the CCNC OPC (Figure 3). ~~Polystyrene latex (PSL)~~ spheres
~~(Duke Scientific, Palo Alto, CA)~~ were produced in the same manner after dispersion in 18.2 MΩ
MilliQ water. Note that, as shown in Figure 2, PSLs ~~exhibit significantly less activation than~~ are
significantly less CCN active than ammonium sulfate at a given size throughout the range of
supersaturations considered here.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Laboratory Studies

Two validation experiments were ~~then~~ conducted. First, monodisperse ammonium sulfate
particles were produced and exposed to a variety of supersaturations in the CCNC and directed
to the PCVI. A PCVI cut-size of ~2.5 μm was set by using a supplemental flow of 9.5 lpm and a

counterflow of 3.0 lpm. As shown in Figure 3, droplets of greater than 3 μm are produced for supersaturations of $>0.5\%$. Figure 4 shows the output particle number density in the PCVI output flow; no particles were transmitted for a supersaturation of 0.25 % whereas at 0.5 % droplets were detected.

Assuming all the particles are fully activated into droplets larger than the PCVI cut-size the number density downstream of PCVI, CCN_{CPC} (cm^{-3}) can be estimated as:

$$CCN_{CPC} = CCN_{OPC} \cdot \delta_{CCNC} \cdot \delta_{PCVI} \cdot \varepsilon_{PCVI} \cdot \tau \quad [3]$$

where CCN_{OPC} is the CCN concentration measured by the CCNC OPC (cm^{-3}), δ_{CCNC} is the sample flow dilution factor inside the CCNC ($0.09 \cong \frac{0.045 \text{ lpm}}{0.45 \text{ lpm} + 0.045 \text{ lpm}}$) which accounts for the ratio of the sample to sheath flow, δ_{PCVI} is the sample flow dilution factor prior to entering PCVI ($0.05 = \frac{0.5 \text{ lpm}}{9.5 \text{ lpm} + 0.5 \text{ lpm}}$) which accounts for the ratio of the sample to supplemental flow, ε_{PCVI} is the PCVI enhancement factor ($10 = \frac{10 \text{ lpm}}{1 \text{ lpm}}$) which accounts for the ratio of sample to input flow, and τ is the PCVI efficiency (0.75) which accounts for a 25% particle loss in the PCVI (Kulkarni et al., 2011). Equation 3 implies that the total transmittance factor ($0.034 \cong \frac{CCN_{CPC}}{CCN_{OPC}}$) for this DMT CCNC-PCVI experiment results from a combination of the dilution factor ($0.045 = \delta_{CCNC} \cdot \delta_{PCVI} \cdot \varepsilon_{PCVI}$), which is the ratio of CCN incoming flow of 0.045 lpm to the PCVI output flow of 1 lpm, and τ . Particle numbers are explicitly reduced by the flow dilution inside the CCNC while the perceived dilution by the PCVI makeup flow is largely offset because the PCVI acts as a particle concentrator (Kulkarni et al., 2011).

The number density of these particles can be used to estimate a transmission factor for this experiment:

$$T = \text{CCN}/\text{cm}^3 * .045 \text{ lpm} / .495 \text{ lpm} * .495 \text{ lpm} / 9.945 \text{ lpm} * 0.75 * 10 \text{ lpm} / 1 \text{ lpm} = 0.034 * \text{CCN}/\text{cm}^3$$

The transmission T, in CCN residuals per cm^3 in the sample flow, is estimated starting with the concentration in the CCNC sample flow (0.045 lpm). This flow is combined with the CCNC sheath flow (.45 lpm) and this is combined with the supplemental flow (9.5 lpm). The PCVI enhances particles by the ratio of the sample to input flow ratios (~10:1) although in practice only ~75% transmission is realized for these flow conditions due to impaction losses (Kulkarni et al., 2011). In total this results in a reduction of residual particle to initial droplet concentration by a factor of ~1:29 (i.e., 0.034). During the period of time given in Figure 4b the average CCN concentration measured by the CCNC was $9365/\text{cm}^3$. After the PCVI, we measure particle concentrations of $331/\text{cm}^3$, which compares well with expected number of particles ($319/\text{cm}^3$) calculated as described above. Thus, the data indicate that ~100% activation was achieved and that droplets were collected and transferred to the PCVI with no measurable loss or coagulation. We note that the effect of condensational depletion of water vapor due to the high number of CCNC may reduce the effective saturation in the CCNC column; however, it should not affect the compositional analysis of the droplet residual (Lathem and Nenes, 2011). This corresponds to an estimate of ~319 which compares favorably to the CPC average value of $331/\text{cm}^3$.

Two features of the transmission curve are noteworthy: (1) When droplets were produced at 0.75 % supersaturation a lower number density was observed in the output flow. This is due to increased impaction losses for larger particles as described in Kulkarni et al. (2011). (2) Periodic

spikes are apparent in the CPC data. Visual inspection showed that these spikes were due to occasional “sputtering” of water that is collected ~~when droplets impact within the PCVI. when humidified air condenses, collects, and finally drips in a low-flow eddy region in the pump-flow ports of the CVI. These large droplets presumably shatter when they hit the counterflow because they have little initial velocity.~~ These sputtering remnants likely contain a small amount of residual material, ~~but were not detectable by either the AMS or the PALMS, likely due to their small size or not enough soluble mass to be detectable in competing with evaporation. from the impacting droplets although they were not detected by the AMS which has a lower threshold of ~60 nm.~~

In the second validation experiment two particulate flows, one of ammonium sulfate (0.00076 M) and the other of PSLs (100 nm diameter), were produced by two identical atomizers. Two identical DMAs selecting 100 nm diameter particles for ammonium sulfate and PSL were used to produce monodisperse flows of the same rate that were combined. Three periods were investigated (Figure 5). During “Period I” the particle number density and ammonium sulfate and PSL signal exiting the DMAs (i.e., before the CCNC and PCVI) was determined (Panel Ia). The average mass spectrum during this period is given in Panel Ib. During Period II the combined particle flow was passed through the CCNC and PCVI when the saturation, 0.25%, was not sufficient to activate droplets from either particle type (see Figure 2). Panels IIa and IIb are analogous to Ia and Ib but note that no particles are transmitted and only noise is observed in the mass spectrum. During Period III the saturation, 0.75%, was sufficient to activate droplets ~~off from~~ ammonium sulfate to a size large enough to pass the PCVI and particles are detected in the sample flow (Panel IIIa). The measured mass concentrations of the sulfate recovered from the activated CCN droplets (i.e., in Figure 5, panel IIIA) agrees well with the theoretically

1 calculated mass concentration after accounting for the dilution factors and the PCVI transmission
2 factor given above. Conversely, PSL spheres were not expected to activate (see Figures 2 and 3);
3 at 0.75% supersaturation and the AMS signal characteristic of the PSL particles is indeed below
4 the detection limit (Panels IIIa and b). Thus, for this model system, we were able to separate and
5 quantify CCN active and inactive aerosol particles.~~only ammonium sulfate and not PSL signal is~~
6 ~~evident in Panel IIIa and the mass spectrum in IIIb.~~ The average mass spectra of ammonium
7 sulfate and PSL measured by the PALMS are shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

8 To demonstrate our ability to separate more atmospherically relevant aerosol populations using
9 this technique, we conducted a similar calibration experiment utilizing an external mixture of
10 ammonium nitrate (0.0015 M) and adipic acid (0.00082 M). As before, an external mixture of
11 monodisperse ammonium nitrate (100 nm mobility diameter) and adipic acid (100 nm mobility
12 diameter) particles were generated, mixed, and passed into the CCNC/PCVI setup. Results for
13 this experiment are shown in Figure 6. Period I shows AMS analysis of the particle mixture
14 entering the CCNC. During Period II the combined particle flow was passed through the CCNC
15 and PCVI when the saturation, 0.5%, was sufficient to activate droplets from both particle types
16 (see Figure 2). However, as indicated by the AMS signal trace, only one population of droplets
17 (ammonium nitrate) grow to sufficient size to pass through the PCVI. During Period III the
18 saturation, 1%, was sufficient to activate droplets of both particle types to a size large enough to
19 pass the PCVI and particles are detected in the sample flow (Panel III). Thus, we were able to
20 separate more atmospherically relevant organic species from inorganic salts, enhancing the
21 potential of this technique to reveal CCN-active aerosol composition and mixing state.

3. Atmospheric Measurements

3.2. Atmospheric Measurements

After characterizing the CCNC/PCVI apparatus, we sample the ambient air in ~~Coupled with two different mass spectroscopy techniques described above atmospheric measurements were conducted in the semi-urban town of Richland, WA (home to the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory) Richland, WA~~ to gain insight into the effect of composition on CCN formation. For experiments with the AMS, ~~poly-dispersed~~ ambient particles were exposed to supersaturated condition in the CCNC and droplet residual particles were passed to the AMS downstream of the PCVI. Ambient AMS measurements were also conducted immediately before and after the experiment with the CCNC and PCVI. As illustrated in Figure [76](#), the time series of organic and inorganic mass were observed from ~9:30 to 12:00 Pacific Daylight Time (PDT) on October 20, 2010. The ambient temperature was ~13 °C with partly cloudy skies and moderate particle loadings (ambient particle concentration ~10,000 particles/cm³) throughout the experiment. A series of supersaturations (0.25%, 0.4%, and 0.75%) in the CCNC were employed. A supersaturation of 0.4% produced 2255 droplets/cm³ ([>98% activation](#)) and 76 residual particles/cm³ in the flow passed to the AMS.

When observing ambient particles, ~~particle~~ composition derived from the V mode of AMS measurements was dominated by organics and ammonium nitrate with a small ammonium sulfate contribution (Figure [76](#) Panel I and III). When sampling behind the PCVI (Figure [76](#) Panel II), dilution of aerosol particles in the ~~CCNC-PCVI~~ ~~will reduced~~ the ammonium and sulfate signals to below the AMS detection limit regardless of their activation in the CCNC. We see positive evidence that particles containing nitrate activated in the CCNC. Based on the

1 CCNC-PCVI dilution ratio, the organic signal of activated particles is expected to be near the
2 AMS detection limit, assuming all organic containing particles activated. AMS observations
3 show the organic signal hovering around the detection limit (Figure 76 Panel IIb). Therefore, the
4 role of organics in CCN activation is unclear on this particular day and AMS speciation of the
5 CCN active and inactive droplets is inconclusive. In the next section of the manuscript, we
6 present improvements to the current experimental setup that would increase the number of
7 particles transmitted to the AMS, possibly overcoming the signal-to-noise limitations observed in
8 this study.

9 Experiments with the PALMS instrument were conducted in the same manner as for the AMS.
10 An exemplary negative polarity mass spectrum of a residual particle of a droplet formed in the
11 CCNC and separated from unactivated particles in the PCVI is shown in Figure 87a. Peaks
12 attributed to different species (e.g., sulfate, nitrate and organic) are specified. Data were collected
13 as follows: 1000 positive and negative mass spectra of ambient particles were collected over ~10
14 minutes starting at 16:00 ~~Pacific Standard Time~~PDT on November 9, 2010. The ambient
15 temperature was ~5° C with overcast skies and occasional drizzle and these conditions continued
16 throughout the experiment. Ambient sampling was immediately followed by analysis of drop
17 residue by connecting PALMS after the CCNC and PCVI for a period of 30 minutes. This
18 resulted in the acquisition of 225 positive and 350 negative mass spectra. This period was
19 immediately followed by collection of an additional 1000 positive and negative mass spectra.
20 The CCNC was set at 0.5 % saturation with respect to water producing an average of 500
21 droplets / cm³; this resulted in 29 residual particles / cm³ in the flow passed to the PALMS
22 instrument.

1 Average particle aerodynamic diameter and normalized signal attributed to sulfate, nitrate and
2 organic are presented in Figure 87b. The normalized signal presented in Figure 8 is a count of
3 detected ions and this is dependent on the specific particle matrix. As described in the
4 instrumental section, PALMS provides universal detection of atmospheric particle components at
5 a qualitative level. Trends of composition are given for the ambient and CCNC/PCVI periods.
6 Period I is the initial ambient data sample, period II is while PALMS was connected to the
7 CCNC and PCVI, and period III is the final ambient sample. The peak analysis corresponds to
8 the subset of negative polarity mass spectra acquired whereas the size information uses all mass
9 spectra. The droplet residual material is somewhat larger than the ambient aerosol size although
10 these correspond to the subset of CCN residuals within the PALMS size range. The total of all
11 mass spectral peaks is 1 with the fraction attributed to sulfate, nitrate and organic presented in
12 Figure 87b for each time period; note that these species make up the majority, from 73-80%, of
13 the total ion current produced in each period. Sulfate is slightly enhanced in the residual particles
14 whereas nitrate is slightly reduced. Organic components do not display a clear trend.

15 4. Future Studies

16 We have demonstrated that droplets formed within a commercially-available CCNC chamber
17 can be inertially separated using a PCVI. The composition of the droplet residue, after
18 evaporation of condensed phase water, was determined with either an AMS or the PALMS
19 instrument. In a laboratory setting, particle concentrations are often sufficiently high that AMS
20 detection of droplet residuals is possible, even after particle dilution and loss through the
21 apparatus. However, when sampling ambient aerosol, AMS detection of the chemical
22 composition of the droplet residuals was limited by low signal levels and single particle mass

1 spectrometers (e.g. PALMS) were generally better suited to the analysis. In the following
2 section, we present a series of improvements to the current apparatus that would improve particle
3 transmission through the apparatus and thus extend the conditions under which AMS analysis of
4 the droplet residuals would be successful.

5 4.1. Modification to the CCNC

6 First, the output of a single column of the two within the DMT Model 200 CCNC instrument was
7 used as input to the PCVI. This allows for the use of the second column to scan ambient CCN
8 concentration. In cases of low CCN number (i.e., either low aerosol loading or when a low
9 supersaturation is utilized) the output of both columns could be combined as input to the PCVI.
10 It is noteworthy that this would not change the absolute concentration of droplets but would offer
11 a means to double the number for subsequent separation. We attempted this modification but
12 found it actually reduced the number of particles transmitted through the PCIV (i.e., a total
13 transmittance factor from 0.034 to 0.006). We attribute the reduced transmission to increased
14 particle loss prior to the PCVI, likely caused by difficulty in mixing the airstreams from the two
15 CCNC columns.

16 Second, the CCNC sample flow could be increase and/or the sheath flow decreased. This
17 modification would result in a higher concentration of droplets in the CCNC output flow at the
18 expense of uniformity and certainty in the CCNC supersaturation field. We expect that that some
19 of the uncertainty could be mitigated by careful calibrations.

20 4.2. Modification to the PCVI

1 These studies were undertaken without significant changes to the PCVI from the previous
2 literature (Boulter et al., 2006; Kulkarni et al., 2011). No significant changes were made because
3 the literature cut-points were in agreement with those desired for the CCNC studies. We note that
4 the two possible modifications to the PCVI would improve its performance. First, it would be
5 desirable to lower the cut point of the PCVI to near 1µm such that activated droplets would grow
6 to sufficient size during their residence time in the CCNC column to be transmitted through the
7 PCVI. . This would require determination and testing with different PCVI flows and pressures.
8 Second, the PCVI could be designed such that the sample flow exactly matches the flow
9 requirements of the downstream instrumentation. In this way, particle mass is not lost in the
10 excess flow stream. This modification would also require redesign of the PCVI.

11 4.3. Simultaneous Mass Spectrometry

12 The ability of the AMS to quantitatively determine chemical composition to particle sizes near
13 the activation threshold of the CCNC is highly complementary to the ability of PALMS to
14 universally detect atmospheric aerosol components on a particle by particle basis. In the future
15 we suggest these techniques be used simultaneously. As an example, we observed periods where
16 the number of CCN residuals was too low to result in an AMS signal but during these periods
17 PALMS would produce qualitative single particle spectra. The abundance of refractory aerosols
18 acting as CCN (e.g., mineral dust, sea salt and soot) would not be evident to the AMS but could
19 be determined by PALMS. Conversely, AMS is required to probe the composition of CCN
20 residuals below the PALMS size threshold and to provide a quantitative determination of
21 composition. A full description of atmospheric CCN would benefit from simultaneous
22 application of both techniques.

54. Conclusions

We have connected a commercial CCN chamber to a PCVI in order to separate droplets from unactivated interstitial aerosol. ~~The flow added to the PCVI was at low relative humidity so that droplets were evaporated and only residual particles were entrained in the sample flow.~~ The number of residual particles compared favorably with the droplets produced in the CCN chamber, with particle losses on the order of 25%, consistent with what has been observed previously using PCVIs. ~~Two~~ A series of validation experiments were performed. First, various supersaturations were used to produce droplets of different sizes. Only when droplets larger than the cut-size of the PCVI were formed were residual particles observed in the sample flow. This verified that only droplets with sufficient size passed through the counterflow virtual impactor for subsequent analysis. Second, an external mixture of ammonium sulfate and PSL monodisperse particles was also exposed to a supersaturation which would activate only ammonium sulfate but not the PSLs. The mass spectrum observed with an AMS after separation indicated only ammonium sulfate, validating separation of droplets from unactivated particles. Finally, we successfully demonstrated that the CCNC/PCVI apparatus was able to separate an external mixture of ammonium nitrate particles and soluble organic (adipic acid) particles with similar CCN activity as SOA particles. To our knowledge this is the first time a commercial DMT CCN chamber has been coupled to a PCVI and mass spectrometer and we are aware of only one other such instrumental combination using a custom CCN chamber (Slowik et al., 2011).

Two mass spectrometers were then used for ambient sampling. An AMS, which provides a quantitative measurement of non-refractory aerosol components of ~~~70-500~~ 70-1000 nm diameter particles, showed little chemical differentiation between ambient particles and the subset that were activated in the CCNC. However, particle loadings were relatively low and dilution from the ~~PCVI~~ CCNC/PCVI apparatus alone ~~was~~ is expected to reduce the ~~ammonium and sulfate~~ level of ammonium, sulfate, and organics to near or below the AMS detection limit. For this particular day, particles containing ammonium nitrate were clearly observed to activate in the CCNC, however the role of the other species in activation remains. ~~The role of organics in activation remains~~ unclear. One key limitation of this technique was that sufficient particle mass loading had to be present in the sample flow for the AMS to acquire meaningful data. Because separation of droplets by the CCNC-PCVI requires that the ambient aerosol concentrations be diluted by a factor of ~30, ambient particle mass loadings of 1-5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (depending on particle composition) are required to chemically differentiate particle CCN activity by the AMS. The AMS coupled with the PCVI can provide a quantitative measurement of bulk aerosol composition with high time resolution, however, these results suggest that PCVI-AMS combination may not be the optimal technique to observe the influence of composition on cloud droplet formation in the absence of relatively high particle mass loadings. The PALMS instrument, which provides universal component detection on a single particle basis but only on a qualitative level from ~150-3000 nanometers diameter, was used during a different sampling period. PALMS showed a slight increase in the size of particles which were activated as droplets. Slight chemical differences were observed with a small enhancement of sulfate and decrease in nitrate observed in the droplet residuals.

1 These two mass spectrometers proved to be complementary instruments. The AMS was able to
2 probe particle sizes near the activation threshold and produced quantitative data. PALMS was
3 able to universally detect aerosol components, including mineral dust and black carbon, and
4 acquired single particle data even when only a few droplet residuals per cm^3 were present in the
5 sample flow. We believe that for most ambient sampling use of both techniques will be required
6 to fully characterize the effect of aerosol composition on cloud droplet formation.

7 **Acknowledgements**

8 Funding for this work was provided by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Aerosol
9 Climate Initiative and Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main. We acknowledge the assistance of
10 Joachim Curtius in facilitating Monika Kohn's participation on this project. The authors wish to
11 thank Karl Froyd for assistance with the mass spectrometer and the reviewers for their
12 suggestions, in particular those which motivated the expanded description of this technique and
13 the future studies section. We also wish to thank Gourihar Kulkarni, Jay Slowik and Jon Abbatt
14 for useful discussions.

References

Albrecht, B.A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics and fractional cloudiness. *Science*, 245, 1227-1230, 1989.

Allan, J.D., Delia, A.E., Coe, H., Bower, K.N., Alfarra, M.R., Jimenez, J.L., Middlebrook, A.M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T.B., Canagaratna, M.R., Jayne, J.T., and Worsnop, D.R.: A generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer data. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 35, 909-922, 2004.

[Asa-Awuku, A., Engelhart, G. J., Lee, B. H., Pandis, S. N., and Nenes, A.: Relating CCN activity, volatility, and droplet growth kinetics of beta-caryophyllene secondary organic aerosol. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 795–812, 2009.](#)

Boulter, J.E., Cziczo, D.J., Middlebrook, A.M., Thomson, D.S., and Murphy, D.M.: Design and performance of a pumped counterflow virtual impactor. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 40, 969-976, 2006.

[Chang, R.Y.W., Slowik, J.G., Shantz, N.C., Vlasenko, A., Liggio, J., Sjostedt, S.J., Leaitch, W.R., and Abbatt, J.P.D.: The hygroscopicity parameter \(kappa\) of ambient organic aerosol at a field site subject to biogenic and anthropogenic influences: Relationship to degree of aerosol oxidation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10\(11\), 5047-5064, 2010.](#)

Cziczo, D.J., Thomson, D.S., Thompson, T., DeMott, P.J., and Murphy, D.M.: Aerosol mass spectrometry studies of ice nuclei and other low number density particles. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 258, 21-31, 2006.

Cziczo, D.J.: Atmospheric aerosol analysis by laser ionization. in: *The Encyclopedia of Mass Spectrometry Vol. 5*, Gross, M.L., Carioli, R.M., Beauchemin, D., and Matthews, D.E. (Eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2010.

DeCarlo, P.F., Dunlea, E.J., Kimmel, J.R., Aiken, A.C., Sueper, D., Crounse, J., Wennberg, P.O., Emmons, L., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., Zhou, J., Tomlinson, J., Collins, D.R., Knapp, D., Weinheimer, A.J., Montzka, D.D., Campos, T., and Jimenez, J.L.: Fast airborne aerosol size and chemistry measurements above Mexico City and Central Mexico during the MILAGRO campaign. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 8(14), 4027-4048, 2008.

Dusek, U., Frank, G., Hildebrandt, L., Curtius, J., Schneider, J., Walter, S., Chand, D., Drewnick, F., Hings, S., Jung, D., Borrmann, S., and Andreae, M.O.: Size matters more than chemistry for cloud-nucleating ability of aerosol particles. *Science*, 312, 1375-1378, 2006.

Gard, E.E., Kleeman, M.J., Gross, D.S., Hughes, L.S., Allen, J.O., Morrical, B.D., Fergenson, D.P., Dienes, T., Galli, M.E., Johnson, R.J., Cass, G.R., Prather, K.A.: Direct observation of heterogeneous chemistry in the atmosphere. *Science*, 279, 1184-1187, 1998.

Jayne, J. T., Leard, D. C., Zhang, X. F., Davidovits, P., Smith, K. A., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Development of an aerosol mass spectrometer for size and composition analysis of submicron particles. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 33(1-2), 49-70, 2000.

Jimenez, J.L., Jayne, J.T., Shi, Q., Kolb, C.E., Worsnop, D.R., Yourshaw, I., Seinfeld, J.H., Flagan, R.C., Zhang, X., Smith, K.A., Morris, J., and Davidovits, P.: Ambient aerosol sampling with an aerosol mass spectrometer. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(D7), 8425, doi:10.1029/2001JD001213, 2003.

Katrib, Y., Martin, S.T., Hung, H.-M., Rudich, Y., Zhang, H., Slowik, J.G., Davidovits, P., Jayne, J.T., and Worsnop, D.R.: Products and mechanisms of ozone reactions with oleic acid for aerosol particles having core-shell morphologies. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 108, 6686-6695, 2004.

King, S.M., Rosenoern, T., Shilling, J.E., Chen, Q., and Martin, S.T.: Increased cloud activation potential of secondary organic aerosol for atmospheric mass loadings. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 2959-2971, 2009.

Köhler, H.: The nucleus in and the growth of hygroscopic droplets. Transactions of the Faraday Society, 32(2), 1152-1161, doi:10.1039/tf9363201152, 1936.

Kulkarni, G., Pekour, M., Afchine, A., Murphy, D. M., and Cziczo, D. J.: Comparison of experimental and numerical studies of the performance characteristics of a pumped counterflow virtual impactor. Aerosol Science and Technology, 45, 382-392, 2011.

Lance, S., Medina, J., Smith, J.N., and Nenes, A.: Mapping the operation of the DMT continuous flow CCN counter. Aerosol Science and Technology, 40, 242–254, 2006.

Laskin, A., Wietsma, T.W., Krueger, B.J., and Grassian, V.H.: Heterogeneous chemistry of individual mineral dust particles with nitric acid: A combined CCSEM/EDX, ESEM, and ICP-MS study. Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, D10208, doi:10.1029/2004JD005206, 2005.

Lathem, T.L. and Nenes, A.: Water vapor depletion in the DMT continuous-flow CCN chamber: Effects on supersaturation and droplet growth. Aerosol Science and Technology, 45(5), 604-615, 2011.

~~Liu, P., Ziemann, P.J., Kittelson, D.B., and McMurry, P.H.: Generating particle beams of controlled dimensions and divergence -1. Theory of particle motion in aerodynamic lenses and nozzle expansions. Aerosol Science and Technology, 22, 293-313, 1995.~~

Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: A review. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5, 715-737, 2005.

IPCC.: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

Moore, R.H., Nenes, A., and Medina, J.: Scanning mobility CCN analysis - A method for fast measurements of size-resolved CCN distributions and activation kinetics. Aerosol Science and Technology, 44, 861-871, 2010.

Murphy, D.M., Thomson, D.S., and Mahoney, M.J.: In situ measurements of organics, meteoritic material, mercury, and other elements in aerosols at 5 to 19 kilometers. Science, 282, 1664-1669, 1998.

Nenes, A., Charlson, R.J., Facchini, M.C., Kulmala, M. Laaksonen, A., and Seinfeld, J.H.: Can chemical effects on cloud droplet number rival the first indirect effect? Geophysical Research Letter, 29(17), 1848, doi:10.1029/2002GL015295, 2002.

Osborn, R.J., Taylor, N.F., Spencer, C.W., and Collins, D.R.: Isolation of ambient particles of known critical supersaturation: The Differential Activation Separator (DAS). Aerosol Science and technology, 42, 759-772, 2008.

Petters, M.D. and Kreidenweis, S.M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 1961-1971. 2007.

Petters, M.D., Carrico, C.M., Kreidenweis, S.M., Prenni, A.J., DeMott, P.J., Collett, J.L., and Moosmuller, H.: Cloud condensation nucleation activity of biomass burning aerosol. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 114, D22205, 2009a.

Petters, M.D., Kreidenweis, S.M., Prenni, A.J., Sullivan, R.C., Carrico, C.M., Koehler, K.A., and Ziemann, P.J.: Role of molecular size in cloud droplet activation. Geophysical Research Letters, 36, doi: 10.1029/2009GL040131, 2009b.

1 [Raoult, F.M.: Loi générale des tensions de vapeur des dissolvants. Comptes Rendus](#)
2 [Hebdomadaires des Seances de l'Academie des Science, 104, 1430-1433, 1887.](#)

3
4 Roberts, G. and Nenes, A.: Continuous-flow streamwise thermal-gradient CCN chamber for
5 atmospheric measurements. Aerosol Science and Technology, 39(3), 206-221, 2005.

6
7 Rose, D., Gunthe, S.S., Mikhailov, E., Frank, G.P., Dusek, U., Andreae, M.O., and Pöschl, U.:
8 Calibration and measurement uncertainties of a continuous-flow cloud condensation nuclei
9 counter (DMT-CCNC): CCN activation of ammonium sulfate and sodium chloride aerosol
10 particles in theory and experiment. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 1153-1179, 2008.

11
12 Rose, D., Nowak, A., Achtert, P., Wiedensohler, A., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zhang, Y., Andreae,
13 M.O., and Pöschl, U.: Cloud condensation nuclei in polluted air and biomass burning smoke near
14 the mega-city Guangzhou, China – Part 1: Size-resolved measurements and implications for the
15 modeling of aerosol particle hygroscopicity and CCN activity. Atmospheric Chemistry and
16 Physics, 10, 3365-3383, 2010.

17
18 ~~Seinfeld, J.H. and Pandis, S.N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. John Wiley & Sons. New~~
19 ~~York, 2006.~~

1 [Shantz, N.C., Leaitch, W.R., Phinney, L., Mozurkewich, M., and Toom-Sauntry, D.: The effect](#)
2 [of organic compounds on the growth rate of cloud droplets in marine and forest settings.](#)

3 [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8\(19\), 5869-5887, 2008.](#)

6 [Shantz, N.C., Chang, R.Y.W., Slowik, J.G., Vlasenko, A., Abbatt, J.P.D., and Leaitch, W.R.:](#)
7 [Slower CCN growth kinetics of anthropogenic aerosol compared to biogenic aerosol observed at](#)
8 [a rural site. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10\(1\), 299-312, 2010.](#)

10 [Shilling, J.E., King, S.M., Mochida, M., Worsnop, D.R., and Martin, S.T.: Mass spectral](#)
11 [evidence that small changes in composition caused by oxidative aging processes alter aerosol](#)
12 [CCN properties. Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 111, 3358-3368, 2007.](#)

14 Slowik, J. G., Cziczo, D. J., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Analysis of cloud condensation nuclei
15 composition and growth kinetics using a pumped counterflow virtual impactor and aerosol mass
16 spectrometer, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussion, 4, 285–313, 2011.

17 Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a
18 buffered system. Nature, 461, 607-613, 2009.

20 [Thomson, S.W.: On the equilibrium of vapour at a curved surface of liquid. Philosophical](#)
21 [Magazine, 4, 448-452, 1871.](#)

1 | Twomey, S.: Pollution and the planetary albedo. [Atmospheric Environment](#), 8(12), 1251-1256,
2 | 1974.

3 |
4 |
5 |
6 |
7 |
8 |
9 |

Figure Captions

Figure 1 : Schematic of the experimental setup. The diffusion dryer ensured a relative humidity below 5% upon entry to the DMA.

Figure 2 : Fraction of aerosols active as CCN as a function of supersaturation. The size and composition of the aerosol is differentiated by the shapes given in the legend. Dashed lines represent sigmoid fits to the single charged portions of the activation curves following Rose et al. (2008). Solid lines represent activation model of single and doubly charged components. The x-axis error bar represents ΔS of $\pm 0.04\%$.

Figure 3. Droplet size distribution as a function of supersaturation, (a) 0.25%, (b) 0.5%, and (c) 0.75%, for ammonium sulfate. Note that vertical extension of dashed line in each panel represents the D_{50} cut-size of $\sim 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ diameter for the PCVI.

Figure 4: Transmitted particle number density after the PCVI as a function of time for various supersaturations. During “Period (a)” the droplets remained below the cut-size and no particles were transmitted (supersaturation 0.25 %). During Period (b) the ammonium sulfate particles activated into droplets larger than the cut-size (0.5 %). During Period (c) droplets grew to a size where impaction losses increased over those in Period (b)(0.75 %; Kulkarni et al., 2011). See Figure 3 for relevant droplet sizes.

Figure 5: Aerosol concentration and composition for experiments where ammonium sulfate and PSL spheres were externally mixed. Panel Ia is the time series of sulfate and PSL mass spectra signal before the CCNC and PCVI. The AMS average mass spectrum during this period is given in panel Ib. Panel IIa is the same quantities as in Ia but after the CCNC and PCVI at 0.25% saturation when the saturation was not sufficient to activate droplets from either particle type (see Figure 2). The concurrent spectrum is given in Panel IIb. Panel III shows that the saturation (0.75%) was sufficient to activate droplets from ammonium sulfate and the formed droplets were large enough to pass the PCVI whereas PSL spheres did not activate (see Figures 2 and 3). Note that only ammonium sulfate, and not PSL signal, is evident in Panel IIIa and the mass spectrum in IIIb.

Figure 6: Aerosol concentration and composition for experiments where 100 nm ammonium nitrate (0.0015 M) and 100 nm adipic acid (0.00082M) were externally mixed. Panel I is the time series of sulfate and adipic acid mass spectra signal before the CCNC and PCVI. Panel II is the same quantities as in I but after the CCNC and PCVI at 0.5% saturation. Although the saturation was sufficient to activate droplets from ammonium nitrate and adipic acid, the formed droplets of adipic acid were not large enough to pass the PCVI. Panel III shows that the high saturation (1%) was sufficient to activate droplets from ammonium nitrate and adipic acid and the formed droplets of both particle types were large enough to pass the PCVI. Note that only ammonium nitrate, and not adipic acid signal, is evident in Panel IIa and the mass spectrum in IIb.

Figure 76: Time series of organic and inorganic mass determined by AMS measurements. Period I is ambient data. Period II is the mass measurement after the CCNC and PCVI immediately after Period I. Period III is ambient data immediately after Period II. Note that Figure 6IIa has a consistent mass scale with I and III, and Figure 6IIb has a 10x mass scale.

Figure 87: Negative polarity mass spectrum of a CCN residual using the PALMS instrument (panel a). Sulfate, nitrate and organic peaks are identified. Time series averages of aerodynamic diameter and sulfate, nitrate and organic signal (panel b). Period I is ambient data. Period II is from mass spectra after the CCNC and PCVI immediately after Period I. Period III is ambient data immediately after Period II. See text for details.

Supplemental Figure 1: Mass spectrum of an ammonium sulfate particle (a). Individual ion peaks are specified in arbitrary units (au.) of detector signal versus mass to charge ratio (m/z). Mass spectrum of a polystyrene latex sphere (b) using the same convention. Note the different peaks which allows an unambiguous differentiation of these particle types.