Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, 2111–2130, 2012 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/5/2111/2012/ doi:10.5194/amtd-5-2111-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

On-line determination of ammonia at low pptv mixing ratios in the CLOUD chamber

F. Bianchi¹, J. Dommen¹, S. Mathot², and U. Baltensperger¹

¹Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland ²CERN, Genève, Switzerland

Received: 1 February 2012 - Accepted: 23 February 2012 - Published: 6 March 2012

Correspondence to: J. Dommen (josef.dommen@psi.ch)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

A new instrument for the on-line determination of ammonia was developed. Since ammonia is a rather sticky compound sampling losses were minimized with a new sampling device where the ammonia was transferred to the liquid phase only 5 mm after the inlet tip. The liquid phase is then analyzed by long path absorption spec-5 trophotometry using the Berthelot reaction with phenol and hypochlorite as reagents. The measurements were made during the CLOUD3 campaign at CERN where the influence of ammonia on the nucleation rate was studied. At stable conditions the detection limit reached with this instrument was 35 pptv (air flow of 21 min⁻¹, liquid flow of 0.3 ml min⁻¹), although occasionally the instrument was affected by background 10 problems. The range of mixing ratios during this campaign was from the background contamination (<35 pptv) up to around 2 ppbv. The measured ammonia concentration was correlated with the rate of ammonia injected into the chamber, however, with a response time of several hours, due to the high tendency of ammonia to adsorb to or to desorb from surfaces. Since it was found that ammonia strongly increases the 15

5 or to desorb from surfaces. Since it was found that ammonia strongly increases the nucleation rate already at the lowest measured concentration future work will focus on further decreasing the detection limit of the instrument.

1 Introduction

Ammonia is an ubiquitous compound in the atmosphere and it plays an important role
 in atmospheric chemistry due to the fact that it is the most abundant base in the atmosphere's gas phase. It rapidly reacts with acids and in this way contributes to aerosol mass, e.g. by forming ammonium sulfate with sulfuric acid or ammonium nitrate with nitric acid. Moreover, it is well known that ammonia enhances the nucleation of new particles through ternary homogeneous nucleation (THN) of H₂SO₄-NH₃-H₂O (Coffman at al., 1995; Ball et al., 1999; Korhonen et al., 1999; Benson et al., 2011; Kirkby et al., 2011). Therefore, ammonia is expected to enhance the nucleation rate already at





very low concentrations. However, there are conflicting results on the actual magnitude of this enhancement. For example, Korhonen et al. (1999) presented model results predicting an increase of the nucleation rate by several orders of magnitude for mixing ratios exceeding 1 pptv of NH₃. Ball et al. (1999) reported laboratory studies where several tens of pptv of added ammonia increased the nucleation rate by orders of magnitude. In this case the ammonia mixing ratio was not measured but was calculated from the dilution ratio. On the other hand, Benson et al. (2011) found only a small enhancement factor (<10) in the nucleation rate after ammonia addition. Therefore, more studies on the influence of NH₃ on the nucleation rate are needed, especially at low ammonia concentration.

Due to the importance of this compound its concentration was measured at many places in the field, and several instrument intercomparisons have been reported. Fehsenfeld et al. (2002) performed an intercomparison of ammonia measurement techniques between chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS), citric acid denuder technique and a molybdenum-oxide converter-difference (MoOx-CD) technique in the

- technique and a molybdenum-oxide converter-difference (MoOx-CD) technique in the field. Quite good agreement was found, especially between CIMS and the citric acid denuder. Schwab et al. (2007) performed a laboratory intercomparison of seven real-time instruments for gaseous ammonia measurement using 6 different methods. Two out of these used aqueous scrubbing of ammonia which is detected after chemical con-
- version by either long pathlength absorption photometry or fluorescence spectroscopy. The other methods measured ammonia directly by either tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy, laser photoacoustic spectroscopy, ion mobility spectrometry or after catalytic conversion by chemiluminescence. Detection limits from 25–200 pptv were reported in that study for the different instruments and they generally agreed to within
- ²⁵ about 25 % (Schwab et al., 2007). Emmenegger et al. (2004) made an intercomparison in a tunnel with seven different instruments at relatively high concentrations. Von Bobrutzki et al. (2010) compared eleven instruments based on eight different methods in the field. Three instruments were wet-chemistry systems while the other techniques used optical, photo-acoustic and mass spectrometric techniques. A high correlation





was found ($R^2 > 0.84$) for the average of all the instruments at higher concentrations but more variability was found at concentrations below 12 ppbv. Recently, CIMS has increasingly been used for the determination of ammonia in the atmosphere. The advantage of such an instrument is the high time resolution and the fast response but the high background does not allow yet for low detection limits (Normann et al., 2007; Nowak et al., 2007; Benson et al., 2010; Von Bobrutzki et al., 2010; Hanson et al., 2011). Despite all efforts, the determination of ammonia at low concentrations remains difficult.

Besides the low detection limits required, the determination of ammonia is difficult for several additional reasons. First, ammonia partitions between the gas and aerosol phase. Gaseous ammonia must therefore be separated from the particulate ammonium. Second, ammonia adsorbs on any kind of surfaces (Yokelson et al., 2003). This phenomenon is the result of the strong hydrogen bond formed between water and ammonia. For this reason it is catalogued as a "sticky" compound. In addition, ammonia has a relatively high diffusion coefficient, 0.1978 cm² s⁻¹ at 273.15 K (Massman, 1998).

¹⁵ has a relatively high diffusion coefficient, 0.1978 cm² s ⁻¹ at 273.15 K (Massman, 1998). The combination of these two properties can cause huge losses and a slow response time in any inlet line and consequently leads to difficulties in the sampling (Fehsenfeld et al., 2002; Bae et al., 2009; Benson et al., 2010; von Bobrutzki et al., 2010).

In this paper we describe a method for ammonia measurements at mixing ratios in the low pptv range, which was developed in the context of the CLOUD experiments at CERN. Within this project the nucleation of new particles caused by sulfuric acid, ammonia and other vapors is investigated under well controlled conditions (Kirkby et al., 2011). The CLOUD-3 experiments performed in October 2010 aimed at investigating the influence of ammonia at pptv levels on the nucleation rate of sulfuric acid/water

mixtures. In these experiments no preexisting aerosol with a corresponding ammonium concentration was present, such that no separation between gaseous ammonia and particulate ammonium was required. To minimize the sampling losses, a new design of a sampling line was developed, where the ammonia was transferred to an aqueous solution at an early stage of the sampling. In this way we were able to determine gas





phase ammonia mixing ratios at low pptv levels with high time resolution using long pathlength absorption photometry.

2 Experimental

2.1 Measurement principle

The technique used here is based on a colorimetric reaction called Berthelot reaction, where ammonia reacts with sodium hypochlorite and phenol to yield indophenol; in alkaline solution, this compound is blue and absorbs light at 640 nm (Berthelot, 1859; Patton and Crouch, 1977). This highly specific and extremely sensitive reaction occurs in two steps. First, ammonia reacts with hypochlorite to form mono-chloramines. Sec ond, the phenol reacts with chloramines to give indophenol. To increase the reaction rate, a catalyst is added; in our case this catalyst is sodium nitroprusside dehydrate. To increase the sensitivity we used long pathlength absorption photometry based on the liquid waveguide capillary cell (LWCC) technology (Heland et al., 2001; Dallas and Dasgupta 2004; Li et al., 2005; Schwab et al., 2007; Toda and Dasgupta, 2008). This

2.2 Apparatus

A simplified scheme of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. Ultrapure water (MilliQ SU-PER – QTM PLUS, resistivity of 18.3 MΩ cm) is further cleaned with an ion exchange (I.E.) column (IONPAC CTC-1 cation trap column from Dionex) before being fed into
 the sampling line. There ammonia is stripped from the gas phase into the water flow, which then enters the instrument. The reagents (phenol + nitroprusside as well as hypochlorite) are then added at positions R1 and R2, respectively. The reaction between the reagents and ammonia takes place in a reaction coil (Teflon tubing) of 6 m length (residence time of 540 s). This length is set up as a good compromise between

sensitivity, time delay and reproducibility. The blue indophenol solution is then pumped into a liquid waveguide capillary cell (World Precision Instrument) with an optical length



of 5 m. The inner surface is coated with fused silica. The LWCC is coupled with a red light-emitting diode (LED, with the mode at 638 nm) through an optical fiber. The light transmitted through the LWCC is detected by a spectrometer (USB 2000 from Ocean Optics).

- Reagents 1 and 2 were prepared with water from the same MilliQ system. Reagent 1 was prepared dissolving 20 grams of phenol (puriss. 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.9 gram of sodium nitroprusside dehydrate (99%, Sigma Aldrich) in one liter of a 0.5 M sodium hydroxide solution. Reagent 2 was prepared with 30 ml of sodium hypochlorite (Sigma Aldrich) and 30 ml of sodium hydroxide 1 M (Fluka) in a 1-l solution. During first
- tests we noted that particles were formed, presumably from degradation of the catalyst in the basic solution. These particles produced light scattering in the LWCC inducing a baseline drift in the instrument signal. This problem was minimized by introducing an in-line particle filter with a pore size of 2 µm just before the LWCC. The reagents were stored in compressible plastic bags in a dark box to avoid the introduction of ambient air and therefore to minimize contamination and aging of the reagents, since they are
- ¹⁵ air and therefore to minimize contamination and aging of the reagents, since they are sensitive to the air and light. In addition, the solutions were changed daily.

Calibration was performed with different concentrations of NH₄Cl. Standard solutions with concentrations of 0, 50, 100, 200, and 400 nM were produced by diluting a pure NH_4^+ standard solution (Fluka, 0.010 M).

20 2.3 Ammonia sampling

25

Ammonia is a rather sticky compound and is quite difficult to sample as shown above. In addition, the standard sampling lines at the CLOUD chamber are 130 cm long and half-inch diameter stainless steel tubes. At a flow rate of 101 min^{-1} this translates into a penetration efficiency of only 11 %, using the Gormley-Kennedy equation (Willeke and Baron, 1993) and a diffusion coefficient of 0.2234 cm² s⁻¹ at 273 K (Massman, 1998). To minimize these losses and to improve the collection efficiency a special sampling

system was developed (Fig. 2). The design is based on the fact that ammonia is easily taken up by water (Toda and Dasgupta, 2008).





A 34 mm stainless steel tube penetrates 50 cm into the CLOUD chamber and is closed at the front end. From a small opening (2 mm diameter) on top close to the front end air is aspirated to the scrubbing tube (stainless steel, 2 mm inner diameter) inside this large tube. Water is fed through this tube to the sampling port and the air/water mixture is then transported out via 10 coils to enhance the residence time to a debubbler, where the gas and liquid phase are separated. The liquid flow is 0.3 ml min⁻¹ and the gas flow 21 min⁻¹, resulting in an ammonia residence time lower than one second between sampling and debubbler.

Due to the geometry of the sampling line this instrument is measuring both gas and particle phase. However, since we observe only the nucleation period of tiny particles the contribution of ammonia from the particle phase can be considered negligible.

2.4 Measurements

From Lambert-Beer's law we know that the concentration of the indophenol, so of ammonia, is proportional to the absorbance of the solution. Due to the baseline drift prob-

¹⁵ lem we do not report data from continuous measurements of ammonia in the chamber even though we were using the online instrument that provided data every 2 s. Rather, the reported ammonia concentrations are the difference between the measurement of the CLOUD chamber concentration and the instrument background which was taken regularly. Due to the reaction time and the detection time we have to consider a time delay of 18 min for the concentration of ammonia inside the CLOUD chamber.

2.5 CLOUD chamber

As mentioned before this instrument was applied during the CLOUD experiment at CERN (Kirkby et al., 2011; Kupc et al., 2011; Voigtländer et al., 2012). This chamber is a 3-m diameter electropolished stainless-steel cylinder of 26.1 m³. To stimulate pho-

tolytic reactions the contents of the chamber are irradiated by ultraviolet (UV) light in the range 250–400 nm. The chamber temperature is controlled by precisely regulating





the temperature of the air circulating in the space between the chamber and its surrounding thermal housing. In addition, the chamber can be raised to 373 K for cleaning. The temperature stability of the chamber is around ±0.01 K, with no observable change when the UV lights are turned on at full power. The nominal operating pressure is one atmosphere. Pure air, free of condensable vapors, is obtained from the evaporation of cryogenic liquid N₂ and liquid O₂, mixed in the ratio 79:21, respectively. Trace gases such as SO₂ or NH₃ are obtained from gas cylinders containing 100 ppm and 1 % concentrations, respectively, in pressurized N₂. Each trace gas is diluted with air to the required concentration before entering the chamber, and has an individual circuit, with an isolation valve at the chamber, to avoid cross-contamination or reactions with other gases outside the chamber. To avoid contamination from plastic materials, all gas pip-

gases outside the chamber. To avoid contamination from plastic materials, all gas piping is made from stainless steel, and all gas and chamber seals are metal (gold-coated to render them chemically inert).

Two stainless steel fans are mounted inside the chamber, and coupled magnetically to flexible drives connected to motors located outside the thermal housing. The fans produce a counter-flow inside the chamber in order to mix rapidly the fresh gases.

3 Results

20

3.1 Calibration and detection limit

Figure 3 shows a calibration performed with NH_4CI solutions. The instrument's response is linear at least up to 400 nM and yields the following equation:

 $ABS = (0.0013 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5})[NH_{4}^{+}]$

(1)

where ABS stands for absorbance. The detection limit, calculated as 3 times the standard deviation of the noise of the blank signal, is about 10 nM for a liquid solution. With an air sample flow rate of 21 min^{-1} and a liquid flow rate of $0.3 \text{ ml} \text{ min}^{-1}$ this translates



into a mixing ratio of about 35 pptv for the gas phase. The detection limit was sometimes increased due to instabilities in the baseline associated with particle formation from the catalyst degradation.

The stripping efficiency of the sampling line was checked as follows: when the CLOUD chamber reached a constant concentration of ammonia we varied the water flow keeping the airflow constant. The result is shown in Fig. 4. It is seen that at a flow rate greater than 0.3 ml min⁻¹ the ammonia signal did not increase further indicating that the stripping of ammonia is complete at this water flow rate.

3.2 Ammonia mixing ratio in the CLOUD experiments

20

25

- ¹⁰ With optimal cleaning and without intentional ammonia addition, the ammonia mixing ratio in the CLOUD chamber was below the detection limit of 35 pptv. Still, there was evidence that an ammonia contamination was present, as e.g. shown by the fact that the Atmospheric Pressure interface Time-of-Flight (APi-TOF) mass spectrometer showed the presence of ammonia in the nucleating clusters (Kirkby et al., 2011). This clearly
- shows the need for further improvement of the detection limits in measuring ammonia and other potential contaminants.

In the second part of the experiment, ammonia was added intentionally. Figure 5 gives an overview of the concentrations in these experiments. Data are averaged over 30 min. The concentration drop at the end of the first day can be explained with the fact that the cylinder containing ammonia was changed. Thereafter a re-equilibration of the injection line was needed.

The mass flow controller (MFC) setting of the ammonia addition is also shown in Fig. 5. There is a clear relationship between the MFC setting and the ammonia concentration. However, it is also seen that the response of the ammonia concentration to an increase in the MFC setting was quite slow. This was true during the whole campaign, but especially pronounced on the first day of ammonia addition, when no constant concentration was reached even after 24 h of a constant MFC setting. After a decrease the new steady state value was reached a bit faster (within about 3 h) than





after an increase. However, after stopping the ammonia addition completely later in the experiment the initial background level of ammonia was not reached anymore even after 24 h.

This behaviour can be partially explained by the properties of ammonia. This com-⁵ pound is sticky and has a high diffusion coefficient, which leads to fast losses to any walls. At the same time ammonia is also evaporating back from the walls of the chamber and the inlet lines. Therefore, long times are needed to condition the inlet line, and it is difficult to reach the steady state quickly. Furthermore, every time the MFC was changed the whole injection line had to re-equilibrate again; when the MFC was set to ¹⁰ a low level the evaporation from the chamber wall continued for a long time until a new equilibrium was reached. These characteristics of ammonia underline once again the importance of the sampling directly into a liquid, with a minimum transport time in the

3.3 Determination of the nucleation rate at different ammonia concentration

gas phase.

- ¹⁵ The results of this experiment are shown in Kirkby et al. (2011). Here, we just report an example of the dependence of the nucleation rate on the ammonia concentration. Nucleation rates (J, cm⁻³ s⁻¹) were measured under neutral (using an internal electric clearing field), galactic cosmic rays and charged pion beam conditions, corresponding to ion-pair concentrations of about 0, 400 and 3000 cm⁻³, respectively. Figure 6 shows
- the measured nucleation rate for a varying ammonia concentration at a fixed sulphuric acid concentration. The nucleation rates are seen to be highly sensitive to small additions of ammonia. When increasing the ammonia concentration from contamination level (<35 pptv) to about 100 pptv, both the neutral and ion-induced nucleation rates increased by about a factor 100. Above about 200 pptv the nucleation rates reached saturation. These observations provide direct experimental evidence that the nucle-</p>
- ation rates are strongly limited by NH₃ when its mixing ratio is below about 100 pptv.

These findings are quite different from previous studies. Benson et al. (2011) claimed that ammonia increased the nucleation rate by less than a factor of 10. This indicates





that their experiments were in a regime where the effect of NH_3 on the nucleation rate was already partly saturated possibly because of impurities in their system.

Note that the numbers in Fig. 6 relate to a sulphuric acid concentration of $1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Based on the stepwise accretion of NH₃ molecules shown in the charged cluster composition by the APi-TOF (Kirkby et al., 2011) it is to be expected that at a lower sulphuric acid concentration saturation is reached at an even lower NH₃ mixing ratio. These results clearly call for reliable ammonia measurements well below 35 pptv.

4 Conclusions

We presented an on-line method to detect ammonia in the CLOUD chamber experiment at CERN. The method uses the Berthelot reaction to convert ammonia to indophenol which is detected through a spectrophotometric technique. A new sampling system using water was also developed to minimize sampling losses which can be quite high for this compound. Under stable conditions a detection limit of 35 pptv was reached.

In the CLOUD experiment ammonia was injected to investigate the influence of this compound on the nucleation rate, with mixing ratios ranging from background levels up to 2 ppbv. The ammonia measurement and the setting of the mass flow controller were well correlated, but after a change in the settings several hours were needed to reach

a constant value. Due to the "stickiness" of ammonia it is quite difficult to accurately control the concentration of this gas.

Mixing ratios well below 100 pptv showed a strong dependence on the nucleation rate, calling for even more sensitive methods for ammonia measurements in future studies.

Acknowledgements. We thank CERN for supporting CLOUD with important technical and financial resources, and for providing a particle beam from the CERN Proton Synchrotron. This work was supported by EC Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no. 215072





(Marie Curie Initial Training Network, "CLOUD-ITN") as well as the Swiss National Science Foundation.

References

20

Bae, M. S., Demerjian, K. L., Schwab, J. J., and Weimer, S., Hou, J., Zhou, X. L., Rhoads, K.,

- and Orsini, D.: Intercomparison of real time ammonium measurements at urban and rural locations in New York, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 41, 329–341, 2007.
 - Ball, S. M., Hanson, D. R., Eisele, F. L., and McMurry, P. H.: Laboratory studies of particle nucleation: Initial results for H₂SO₄, H₂O and NH₃ vapors, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 23709– 23718, 1999.
- Benson, D. R., Markovich, A., Al-Refai, M., and Lee, S.-H.: A chemical ionization mass spectrometer for ambient measurements of ammonia, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1075–1087, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1075-2010, 2010.
 - Benson, D. R., Yu, J. H., Markovich, A., and Lee, S.-H.: Ternary homogeneous nucleation of H₂SO₄, NH₃, and H₂O under conditions relevant to the lower troposphere, Atmos. Chem.
- Phys., 11, 4755–4766, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4755-2011, 2011.
 Berthelot, M.: Répertoire Chimie Appliquée 1, 284, 1859.

Coffman, D. J. and Hegg, D. A.: A preliminary study of the effect of ammonia on particle nucleation in the marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 7147–7160, 1995.

Dallas, T. and Dasgupta, P. K.: Light at the end of the tunnel: recent analytical applications of liquid-core waveguides, Trac-Trends Anal. Chem., 23, 385–392, 2004.

Emmenegger, L., Mohn, J., Sigrist, M., Marinov, D., Steinemann, U., Zumsteg, F., and Meier,
 M.: Measurement of ammonia emissions using various techniques in a comparative tunnel study, Int. J. Environ. Pollut., 22, 326–341, 2004.

Fehsenfeld, F. C., Huey, L. G., Leibrock, E., Dissly, R., Williams, E., Ryerson, T. B., Norton,

- R., Sueper, D. T., and Hartsell B.: Results from an informal intercomparison of ammonia measurement techniques, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4812, doi:10.1029/2001JD001327, 2002.
 Hanson, D. R., McMurry, P. H., Jiang, J., Tanner, D., and Huey, L. G.: Ambient pressure proton transfer mass spectrometry: Detection of amines and ammonia, Environ. Sci. Tech., 45, 8881–8888, 2011.
- ³⁰ Heland, J., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument to measure





gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 3207–3212, 2001.

Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., Almeida, J., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Franchin, A., Gagne, S., Ickes, L., Kurten, A., Kupc, A., Metzger, A., Riccobono, F., Rondo, L., Schobesberger,

- S., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wimmer, D., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Dommen, J., Downard, A., Ehn, M., Flagan, R. C., Haider, S., Hansel, A., Hauser, D., Jud, W., Junninen, H., Kreissl, F., Kvashin, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Lima, J., Lovejoy, E. R., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Mikkila, J., Minginette, P., Mogo, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Pereira, P., Petaja, T., Schnitzhofer, R., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipila, M., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tama, A. Vanharas, J. Vistala, A., Wathor, F., Mogo, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A.,
- Tome, A., Vanhanen, J., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Walther, H., Weingartner, E., Wex, H., Winkler, P. M., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Kulmala, M.: Role of sulphuric acid, ammonia and galactic cosmic rays in atmospheric aerosol nucleation, Nature, 476, 429–433, 2011.

Korhonen, P., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Viisanen, Y., McGraw, R., and Seinfeld, J. H.:

¹⁵ Ternary nucleation of H_2SO_4 , NH_3 , and H_2O in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 26349–26353, 1999.

Kupc, A., Amorim, A., Curtius, J., Danielczok, A., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Walther, H., Ickes, L., Kirkby, J., Kurten, A., Lima, J. M., Mathot, S., Minginette, P., Onnela, A., Rondo, L., and Wagner, P. E.: A fibre-optic UV system for H₂SO₄ production in aerosol chambers causing minimal thermal effects, J. Aerosol Sci., 42, 532–543, 2011.

20

Li, Q. P., Zhang, J. Z., Millero, F. J., and Hansell, D. A.: Continuous colorimetric determination of trace ammonium in seawater with a long-path liquid waveguide capillary cell, Mar. Chem., 96, 73–85, 2005.

Norman, M., Spirig, C., Wolff, V., Trebs, I., Flechard, C., Wisthaler, A., Schnitzhofer, R., Hansel,

A., and Neftel, A.: Intercomparison of ammonia measurement techniques at an intensively managed grassland site (Oensingen, Switzerland), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2635–2645, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2635-2009, 2009.

Nowak, J. B., Neuman, J. A., Kozai, K., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Holloway, J. S., Ryerson, T. B., Frost, G. J., McKeen, S. A., and Fehsenfeld F. C.: A chemical ionization mass spec-

- trometry technique for airborne measurements of ammonia, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S02, doi:10.1029/2006JD007589, 2007.
 - Massman, W. J.: A review of the molecular diffusivities of H₂O, CO₂, CH₄, CO, O₃, SO₂, NH₃, N₂O, NO, and NO₂ in air, O₂ and N₂ near STP, Atmos. Environ., 32, 1111–1127, 1998.





Abstract Introduction References Conclusions Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

AMTD

5, 2111-2130, 2012

On-line determination

of ammonia at low

pptv mixing ratios in

the CLOUD chamber

F. Bianchi et al.

Title Page

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Patton, C. J. and Crouch, S. R.: Spectrophotometric and kinetics investigation of the Berthelot reaction for determination of ammonia, Anal. Chem., 49, 464–469, 1977.

5

20

Schwab, J. J., Li, Y. Q., Bae, M. S., Demerjian, K. L., Hou, J., Zhou, X. L., Jensen, B., and Pryor,
S. C.: A laboratory intercomparison of real-time gaseous ammonia measurement methods, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 8412–8419, 2007.

Simon, P. K. and Dasgupta, P. K.: Wet effluent denuder coupled liquid ion chromatography systems – annular and parallel-plate denuders, Anal. Chem., 65, 1134–1139, 1993.

Voigtländer, J., Duplissy, J., Rondo, L., Kürten, A., and Stratmann, F.: Numerical simulations of mixing conditions and aerosol dynamics in the CERN CLOUD chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 2205–2214, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2205-2012, 2012.

von Bobrutzki, K., Braban, C. F., Famulari, D., Jones, S. K., Blackall, T., Smith, T. E. L., Blom,

M., Coe, H., Gallagher, M., Ghalaieny, M., McGillen, M. R., Percival, C. J., Whitehead, J. D., Ellis, R., Murphy, J., Mohacsi, A., Pogany, A., Junninen, H., Rantanen, S., Sutton, M. A., and Nemitz, E.: Field inter-comparison of eleven atmospheric ammonia measurement techniques, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 91–112, doi:10.5194/amt-3-91-2010, 2010.

Willeke, K. and Baron, P.A.: Aerosol Measurement: Principles, Techniques and Applications, Van Nostrand Reinhold Publishers, New York, NY, 1993.

Yokelson, R. J., Christian, T. J., Bertschi, I. T., and Hao, W. M.: Evaluation of adsorption effects on measurements of ammonia, acetic acid, and methanol, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4649, doi:10.1029/2003JD003549, 2003.





Full Screen / Esc

Discussion Paper







Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper





Fig. 3. Calibration of the instrument using solutions with different NH_4^+ concentrations. The error bars represent one standard deviation.



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Back

Discussion Paper

Close



Fig. 4. Stripping efficiency versus liquid flow in the sampling line.





Fig. 5. Mass flow controller (MFC) settings of the ammonia inlet and the observed ammonia mixing ratios during the campaign.





Fig. 6. Nucleation rates as a function of ammonia concentration at 292 K and $[H_2SO_4] = 1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [adapted from Kirkby et al., 2011] The curves are drawn to guide the eye. Experiments were performed under neutral, galactic cosmic rays and charged pion beam conditions, corresponding to ion-pair concentrations of about 0, 400 and 3000 cm⁻³, respectively.

