

## On-line Supplement

This supplement provides a detailed description of the different terms that are necessary to estimate both absolute and time-dependent uncertainties for the V8.6 ozone product. The discussion focuses on radiometric uncertainties for both radiance and irradiance data, based on prelaunch and on-orbit measurements. Further details about many parameters and measurements related to the prelaunch tests for SBUV/2 instruments can be found in the calibration data books prepared by the manufacturer and delivered with each instrument (e.g. Ball Aerospace, 1998). While we address the same terms and quantities for all SBUV instruments because of the similarities in instrument design, some quantities have different values from one instrument to another, and other quantities vary significantly with signal level. As a result, we have created one set of tables to describe absolute uncertainty levels (Tables 2-9), and a second set to describe the time-dependent uncertainty estimates for each instrument (Tables 10-17). The absolute uncertainty tables incorporate all items needed to consider any individual instrument on its own. The determination of uncertainty values for a merged long-term data set is discussed in Section 5 of the main paper. In all of these tables, the uncertainty values are given in percent for radiance/albedo data, and the column “RSS” represents the root-sum-square of the individual terms.

### 1. Wavelength Scales and Radiance Interpolation

#### 1.1. Wavelength Scales

The nominal wavelengths for SBUV/2 instrument operations were established based on Nimbus-7 SBUV wavelengths. The only significant change made to this wavelength set was the shift of Channel 1 from 255.7 nm to 252.0 nm to avoid potential contamination from nitric oxide emissions (Fleig et al., 1990). Since the SBUV/2 wavelength selection uses a grating drive with an optical encoder, the exact wavelengths available for each instrument will depend on its wavelength calibration. Table 1 lists the wavelengths used for normal operations of all SBUV instruments.

#### 1.2. Radiance Interpolation to Common Location

An SBUV/2 instrument scans consecutively through 12 discrete wavelengths in each scan, taking two seconds to integrate each sample and move to the next position. Radiance measurements at 273.5 nm and 331.2 nm, representing the maximum wavelength range used in the ozone profile retrieval, are thus separated by 18 seconds in time, corresponding to ~125 km in geolocation along the orbit track. For V8.6 processing, all radiance measurements are interpolated to the position of channel 8 (305.8 nm) to provide uniform input for the retrieval algorithm and to address the effects of latitudinal gradients in total ozone. This process makes use of the Cloud Cover Radiometer (CCR) photometer data at 378.6 nm, which are collected in parallel with every monochromator sample, to help address surface reflectivity variations during the 32 seconds between consecutive monochromator samples at each wavelength.

An initial processing of the measurements with the standard V8 algorithm is performed first to establish the surface reflectivity. The reflectivity at each sample location is estimated using the observed reflectivity at 331 nm and the CCR variations within the scan. The radiance sensitivity to reflectivity changes (dN/dR) is then calculated and used to remove the reflectivity contribution to the measured radiance for each channel. This adjustment is negligible for  $\lambda < 290$  nm because radiances at these wavelengths are not affected by surface reflectivity variations.

1 The adjusted radiance values at each wavelength now have a smooth variation along the  
2 orbit, so that a spline function can be used to interpolate these values to the location of channel 8  
3 within each scan. The dN/dR sensitivity values are also interpolated to the same location.  
4 Finally, the appropriate surface reflectivity contribution at this location for each wavelength is  
5 calculated, and added to the interpolated radiance to create the values used for the V8.6 profile  
6 retrieval.

## 7 8 **2. Absolute Uncertainty**

### 9 **2.1. Radiometric Calibration (radiance, irradiance)**

10 SBUV instrument prelaunch radiometric tests are designed to characterize both the  
11 radiance calibration of the instrument (using a flat plate diffuser to direct radiation into the  
12 aperture) and the irradiance calibration (using the flight diffuser) (Fegley and Fowler, 1991).  
13 Sources of uncertainty for the derived calibration constants include the NIST lamps used to  
14 illuminate each diffuser (2-3%), the transfer of the laboratory standard calibration to the  
15 instrument (1-2%), and the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) of the diffuser  
16 used in the radiance procedure. The spectral dependence of the derived calibration typically  
17 shows broad structure over scales of 20 nm to 50 nm.

18 Measurements of diffuser reflectivity taken on-orbit with the onboard mercury lamp  
19 calibration system prior to viewing the Sun typically show changes of 1% or less compared to  
20 prelaunch data. The irradiance calibration can then be validated inflight by comparing initial  
21 “Day 1” solar measurements, representing the first exposure of the diffuser plate to sunlight, with  
22 other solar irradiance reference data sets (e.g. SSBUV (Cebula et al., 1998)). The observed  
23 agreement with reference irradiance data set ranges from  $\pm 2\%$  (NOAA-16) to larger differences  
24 with 5-10% spectral dependence. Since the solar diffuser is the only unique element in the  
25 optical path for irradiance measurements, our initial assumption is that these differences also  
26 correspond to radiance calibration errors.

27 Validation of the prelaunch albedo calibration is harder for an SBUV instrument because  
28 of the difficulty in identifying a stable reference. Ozone absorption effects produce albedo  
29 variations at wavelengths less than 300 nm, while clouds and surface composition changes lead  
30 to significant variations for wavelengths longer than 300 nm. We use maximum albedo values at  
31 340 nm from measurements over Antarctic ice, which are assumed to be cloud-free, for  
32 comparison with previous TOMS measurements. It is also possible to examine low reflectivity  
33 data taken over open areas of the Pacific Ocean as a basic calibration check, although the  
34 potential accuracy of this approach is not as good for SBUV instruments due to the difficulty of  
35 avoiding cloud contamination in the large field of view.

### 36 37 **2.2. Albedo Calibration (ground)**

38 SBUV V8.6 ozone processing uses directional albedo in the retrieval algorithm, which  
39 simplifies some aspects of the uncertainty analysis. For example, the laboratory radiance and  
40 irradiance calibrations typically use the same lamps. If the lamp is stable during these tests, the  
41 source intensity then cancels out in the albedo calibration. The lamp intensity typically drifts  
42 less than 1% during a calibration sequence. Any drift-related effects are further minimized by  
43 alternating radiance and irradiance measurements, and by using the same signal levels for both  
44 measurements at a given wavelength. These steps reduce other measurement correction  
45 uncertainties (electronic offset, PMT gain, non-linearity) to negligible levels. The largest  
46 remaining issue is then the BRDF of the flat plate diffuser used in the radiance procedure, as

1 compared to the flight diffuser used for the irradiance procedure. Laboratory BRDF  
2 measurements for the flat plate diffusers are performed on a specific grid of wavelengths and  
3 viewing angles. A weighted average of these measurements is then used to address vignetting  
4 issues, and a polynomial fit determines appropriate BRDF values for inflight wavelengths.  
5 Extensive analysis of SSBUV calibration measurements before and after multiple flights  
6 suggests that 1% is a reasonable estimated uncertainty for the SBUV/2 albedo calibration. For  
7 the older BUV and SBUV instruments, the available information only addresses absolute  
8 uncertainty. The Nimbus-7 SBUV prelaunch radiance and irradiance calibration uncertainty is  
9 quoted as  $\pm 3\%$  (Fleig et al., 1990), but the ratio of these calibrations is known to better accuracy.  
10 We adopt  $\pm 1.5\%$  as an estimated uncertainty for this analysis. For Nimbus-4 BUV, prelaunch  
11 radiometric tests with same lamp and set-up taken 6 days apart showed  $\sim 2\%$  changes (Beckman  
12 Instruments, 1970), so we have adopted that number for our uncertainty estimate.

13 For the SBUV/2 instruments, we have additional information from the prelaunch data  
14 books to examine spectrally-dependent uncertainty values. The NOAA-9 SBUV/2 prelaunch  
15 calibration used a BaSO<sub>4</sub> diffuser with observed time-dependent changes in hemispheric  
16 reflectance [ $-2.0\%$  at 252 nm, decreasing to  $-0.4\%$  at 400 nm] over dates that closely bracket the  
17 prelaunch calibration (Ball Aerospace, 1993). We assume one-half of these values as an  
18 additional uncertainty term. For NOAA-11 SBUV/2, multiple tests over a period of a few years  
19 showed spectrally dependent BRDF variations of 0.4-0.5%. For more recent SBUV/2  
20 instruments (beginning with NOAA-14), the use of a Spectralon laboratory diffuser has greatly  
21 reduced BRDF drift concerns. Small-scale structure in the so-called “instrument BRDF” (ratio  
22 of radiance/irradiance calibration constants) for these instruments is approximately 0.2%. We  
23 include this spectral uncertainty in the “Albedo (ground)” column for Tables 4-9.

### 24 **2.3. Albedo Calibration (inflight)**

25 Multiple techniques based on inflight measurements are used for “soft” calibration of the  
26 SBUV V8.6 data sets, so we need to estimate uncertainty values for each one and also identify  
27 which instruments use a given technique.

28 **2.3.1 Ice radiance at 340 nm.** The consistency of Antarctic December-January average  
29 ice radiance values from multiple SBUV instruments suggests that 0.5% is a reasonable  
30 uncertainty estimate. These radiance values were checked for all instruments, and wavelength-  
31 independent calibration adjustments have been applied for NOAA-16 and NOAA-18.

32 **2.3.2. Coincidence Analysis.** Hilsenrath et al. (1995) described the derivation of albedo  
33 normalization factors (ANFs) for NOAA-11 data based on SSBUV coincidence analysis. We  
34 use the  $\pm 2 \sigma$  values for SSBUV-2 as shown in this paper to assign uncertainty values of 0.8-  
35 2.1%, with larger values at longer wavelengths. These ANF adjustments have been applied  
36 directly to NOAA-11 data. The NOAA-9 and Nimbus-7 data sets were adjusted to NOAA-11  
37 using coincidence comparisons at the Equator, which have an uncertainty of approximately 0.5%  
38 when derived for instruments with similar local times.

39 **2.3.3. Channel-to-channel adjustments.** Since the objective of this technique is to get  
40 residuals for each wavelength below  $\pm 0.1$  N-value, we adopt 0.3% as a residual albedo  
41 uncertainty. This uncertainty applies directly to the NOAA-17 calibration.

42 **2.3.4. No local time difference comparisons.** The wavelength-dependent calibration  
43 adjustments derived using this technique for multiple years typically fluctuate within an envelope  
44 for each instrument, as shown in Figure 8 of the main paper. We use 0.5% ( $\sim 0.2$  N-value) as an  
45

1 uncertainty for this technique, which has been applied to NOAA-18, NOAA-16, and NOAA-14  
2 data.

#### 3 4 **2.4. Signal-to-Noise**

5 Raw radiance signals observed at a single wavelength by an SBUV instrument may vary  
6 by an order of magnitude or more during a single orbit, including changing from one electronic  
7 gain range to another. We have used on-orbit data from SBUV/2 instruments to determine  
8 “typical” moderate raw signal levels at each wavelength, as well as reasonable variations above  
9 and below these levels. Using  $SZA = 60^\circ$  as a reference case, we find that high signal levels  
10 observed at  $SZA = 25^\circ$ - $30^\circ$  are typically 2-3 times larger than the reference signals. Low signal  
11 levels observed at  $SZA = 85^\circ$  are 2-3 times smaller than the reference levels for  $\lambda < 300$  nm, but  
12 can be a factor of 8-10 smaller than reference levels at 306-331 nm where the effects of ozone  
13 absorption combine with increased path length.

14 SBUV/2 instruments have a small signal injected into system to limit occurrences of  
15 counter underflow for conditions with no illumination. Monitoring the variability of this  
16 “electronic offset” value in night side samples thus provides an estimate of the effective noise for  
17 measurements in each gain range. For the lowest signals, measured from the PMT anode in  
18 Range 1, noise values vary between 18-33 counts. Range 2 noise values, also measured from the  
19 PMT anode, are considerably smaller at  $\sim 0.8$  counts. For NOAA-9 through NOAA-16, Range 3  
20 samples were measured from the PMT cathode with noise values of approximately 4 counts.  
21 NOAA-17 and NOAA-18 SBUV/2 measure Range 3 signals from the PMT anode, so those noise  
22 levels are also less than 1 count.

23 The column “Signal-to-Noise” in Tables 4-9 lists the low and high signal uncertainty  
24 values for each wavelength determined by dividing the observed noise level by the nominal  
25 signal level. Note that different gain ranges may be used for these signal levels. The larger of  
26 these two values (when present) is used to calculate the final RSS uncertainty.

27 The Nimbus-7 SBUV measurement precision is stated to be  $< 0.5\%$  (Fleig et al., 1990),  
28 which is consistent with measuring all gain ranges from the PMT anode. We assume the same  
29 performance for Nimbus-4 BUV, since it used the same electronic design.

#### 30 31 **2.5. Non-Linearity**

32 Prelaunch testing for SBUV/2 instruments evaluates the linearity of the instrument within  
33 each gain range, including overlap regions with any higher/lower range. The observed departure  
34 from linearity is normally less than  $\pm 1\%$ , and characterization of this behavior with a polynomial  
35 fit typically has an uncertainty of less than 0.2%. NOAA-9 SBUV/2 prelaunch data showed  
36 larger linearity variations for low Range 3 signals, with limited sampling available to constrain  
37 fit. On-orbit analyses with selected Earth view and solar view observations could not produce a  
38 non-linearity correction with better than 1% accuracy for this region. This increased uncertainty  
39 affects NOAA-9 ozone processing accuracy when Channels 9 or 10 are used in the retrieval.

#### 40 41 **2.6. Interrange Ratio**

42 Since SBUV instrument measurements can be collected in three different gain ranges,  
43 they must be converted to a common gain range (normally Range 2) for ozone processing.  
44 Prelaunch testing establishes these conversion values, which we call interranging ratios. SBUV/2  
45 instruments can validate these ratios on-orbit because neighboring gain ranges overlap and all  
46 three ranges are read out simultaneously, so there are specific signal levels where both gain

1 ranges are valid. For conversion between two anode signals (Range 1 → Range 2 =  $IRR_{12}$  on all  
2 instruments; Range 3 → Range 2 =  $IRR_{32}$  on Nimbus-4, Nimbus-7, NOAA-17, NOAA-18), the  
3 interrange ratio is an electronic conversion only. No wavelength dependence is expected,  
4 although on-orbit values of  $IRR_{32}$  do show 0.5% features for NOAA-17 and NOAA-18. The  
5 characterization of this ratio is accurate to ~0.1%.

6 For instruments with Range 3 cathode data (NOAA-9, NOAA-11, NOAA-14, NOAA-  
7 16),  $IRR_{32}$  is wavelength-dependent over the ozone wavelengths with >5% amplitude (Frederick  
8 et al., 1986). Characterization of the spectral dependence of the prelaunch data with a  
9 polynomial function is accurate to ~0.5%.

## 10 **2.7. PMT Temperature**

11 Prelaunch testing of SBUV/2 instruments shows a radiometric sensitivity change in PMT  
12 anode data of ~0.2% for each 1°C change in PMT temperature relative to 20°C. On-orbit values  
13 of  $T_{PMT}$  generally vary between 15°C-25°C, so the overall magnitude of this correction is usually  
14 less than 1%. Since the characterization of the temperature dependence is accurate to ~10% of  
15 the correction value, we assume a 0.1% radiance uncertainty for this term.

## 16 **2.8. Out-of-Band (OOB) Response**

17 We observe consistent correlations between fluctuations in SBUV/2 short wavelength ( $\lambda$   
18 < 300 nm) radiance measurements and long wavelength (331, 340 nm) measurements during the  
19 same scan. Since Chan. 11-12 measured signals are primarily responding to variations in surface  
20 brightness and clouds, this implies that the short wavelength measurements are being  
21 contaminated by these out-of-band signals. Averaging data into zonal means shows that the  
22 monochromator radiance deviations have a linear response to the observed CCR albedo over a  
23 broad range of CCR brightness. We adopt a relative uncertainty of 3% for this linear regression  
24 sensitivity factor to represent both statistical fit error and differences from a fitted slit function  
25 curve for specific conditions. The calculated OOB correction in absolute radiance has a complex  
26 dependence on solar zenith angle, total ozone amount, and surface reflectivity. The NOAA-17  
27 SBUV/2 instrument has the largest OOB correction, and thus uncertainty. We calculated the  
28 NOAA-17 correction for an extreme situation with large OOB error (SZA = 30°, reflectivity =  
29 80%, total ozone = 275 DU), and use one-half of the magnitude of this correction to define  
30 typical uncertainty values at each wavelength. OOB corrections and uncertainty values for other  
31 SBUV/2 instruments are defined as a fraction of the NOAA-17 OOB correction values. Nimbus-  
32 4 BUV and Nimbus-7 SBUV measurements do not show any evidence of OOB contamination.

## 33 **3. Time-Dependent Uncertainty**

### 34 **3.1. Diffuser Reflectivity**

35 The solar diffuser is the only component of the BUV optical system that is not common  
36 to both radiance and irradiance measurements. Thus, characterizing changes in diffuser  
37 reflectivity is a key element in producing accurate albedo values. The Nimbus-4 BUV diffuser  
38 was exposed to sunlight on every orbit, with the result that measured solar signals decreased by  
39 more than 30% during the first two months of operation (Heath and Healy, 1974). This rapid  
40 change prevented the use of Nimbus-4 solar data for any time-dependent calibration analysis.

41 Nimbus-7 SBUV reduced the amount of on-orbit diffuser degradation by deploying the  
42 diffuser only for solar measurements (typically once per day), but had no direct method for  
43 tracking reflectivity changes. By increasing the diffuser exposure rate to every orbit for multiple  
44  
45  
46

1 months at a time, multiple regression analysis could in principle separate exposure-dependent  
2 components and time-dependent components in the overall diffuser degradation changes. The  
3 initial analysis of these results was presented by Cebula et al. (1988), and a revised analysis was  
4 presented by Herman et al. (1990). However, the current long-term characterization for Nimbus-  
5 7 SBUV does not use diffuser degradation information, as described further in Section 3.3.

6 The SBUV/2 instruments carry an on-board calibration system to observe relative  
7 changes in diffuser reflectivity, using strong emission lines from a mercury lamp (Weiss et al.,  
8 1991). If the diffuser exposure schedule is consistent during the lifetime of an instrument, then  
9 exposure-dependent changes will map directly into time-dependent changes. We fit the observed  
10 diffuser degradation data at each Hg emission line with a simple function (linear or exponential)  
11 to determine the time dependence, then fit these slopes with a wavelength-dependent function to  
12 get the diffuser degradation correction at each ozone wavelength. Hilsenrath et al. (1995)  
13 presents examples of these results for NOAA-11 SBUV/2.

14 The SBUV/2 onboard calibration measurement sequence determines diffuser reflectivity  
15 changes using alternating views of the mercury lamp and the diffuser within a 30 minute  
16 sequence. Long-term lamp output changes are not a concern at the strong emission lines used for  
17 data analysis. The scatter between successive weekly calibration measurements is influenced by  
18 short-term lamp stability during the 30 minute sequence, repeatability of the Hg lamp arc  
19 position, the accuracy of the diffuser position, and lamp polarity switching effects (NOAA-14  
20 and later instruments). The magnitude of the short-term scatter does not change with time, so the  
21 statistical uncertainty of the individual time-dependent fits improves as the data set gets longer.  
22 Derived  $\pm 1 \sigma$  values for NOAA-11 SBUV/2 fits are 0.15-0.2%/year in normalized diffuser  
23 reflectivity over 5.5 years, but later instruments all give uncertainty values of 0.05%/year or less.  
24 The uncertainty in this correction corresponds directly to albedo uncertainty. We must also  
25 consider the wavelength-dependent component of this uncertainty that arises from fitting the  
26 observed diffuser degradation rates for interpolation to ozone measurement wavelengths. We  
27 adopt 0.1%/year as a spectral component for NOAA-11, and 0.05%/year for later SBUV/2  
28 instruments. The overall uncertainty associated with the diffuser degradation correction is then  
29 estimated by multiplying the regression slope uncertainty over the length of the data set.

30 The NOAA-9 SBUV/2 instrument had an on-board calibration system, but poor Hg lamp  
31 stability made the measurements useless for calibration analysis, so the Nimbus-7 “accelerated  
32 deployment” procedure was used to characterize diffuser degradation. This procedure was only  
33 run once for a relatively short interval (69 days), so the statistical errors on the derived exposure-  
34 dependent degradation rates are very large (0.8%/year). Reprocessing the NOAA-9 solar data  
35 with a correction based on these derived rates leaves residual steps in the irradiance time series  
36 data that are smaller than the uncorrected changes, but not negligible, implying that the statistical  
37 errors overestimate the actual uncertainty. However, we have no information about possible  
38 changes in the diffuser degradation rates later in the data record, as was observed for Nimbus-7  
39 SBUV (Schlesinger and Cebula, 1990). Coincidence comparisons with other instruments also  
40 suggest that the NOAA-9 V8.6 data set contains uncorrected drifts. We therefore adopt  
41 0.3%/year as a time-dependent uncertainty for the NOAA-9 diffuser degradation correction.

### 42 **3.2. Snow/Ice Radiance**

44 In order to simplify the calculation of long-term instrument sensitivity changes from  
45 snow/ice radiance data, we first define a nominal seasonal variation using data from a single  
46 reference year. Time-dependent changes during that reference year are approximated by

1 interpolating the average radiance between the preceding and following years. The seasonal  
2 variation is then removed from all observations by matching the appropriate SZA values. The  
3 remaining scatter in the data for a single season has an amplitude of ~0.5-1.0%. As with the  
4 diffuser degradation analysis, the statistical uncertainty in the slope of the linear time dependence  
5 fit improves as the data set lengthens. We adopt 0.5% as an overall uncertainty for the snow/ice  
6 radiance correction used for NOAA-11, NOAA-17, and NOAA-18.

### 7 8 **3.3. Sensitivity Change**

9 The long-term instrument sensitivity change correction for SBUV/2 instruments is  
10 determined by applying a diffuser degradation correction to the observed solar irradiance  
11 measurements, temporarily removing solar activity variations, and then calculating a smooth fit  
12 to the weekly measurements to create daily values for use in ozone processing. We use a long  
13 smoothing window (e.g. 120-180 days) relative to the solar measurement sampling frequency  
14 because experience and consistent instrument operations have shown that the observed changes  
15 are typically gradual. The uncertainty in this time-dependent fit is approximately 0.2% for  
16 NOAA-17 and NOAA-18 with Range 3 anode data, and 0.3% for earlier instruments. The  
17 wavelength-dependent uncertainty is considered to be similar, since each wavelength is fit  
18 independently. It should be noted that this analysis does not address the possible increase of  
19 sensitivity change rates due to the increased flux of short wavelength photons on optics during  
20 solar measurements.

21 The current long-term characterization of the Nimbus-4 BUV and Nimbus-7 SBUV  
22 instruments treats all observed changes as instrument sensitivity changes. For Nimbus-4 BUV,  
23 the time-dependent albedo calibration was adjusted to give reasonable agreement with profile  
24 ozone data from Umkehr stations (Bhartia, private communication). The uncorrected Nimbus-4  
25 radiance data are not available, so we cannot derive a revised long-term calibration using the  
26 tools described elsewhere in this paper. For Nimbus-7 SBUV, two separate techniques were  
27 used to evaluate changes at short wavelengths and long wavelengths, as described by Taylor et  
28 al. (1994).

29 During summer solstice periods, SBUV ozone measurements can be made at the same  
30 latitude (above ~65°) for both ascending node (lower SZA) and descending node (higher SZA)  
31 conditions on a single orbit. For short wavelength measurements, it is then possible to identify  
32 measurement pairs where the single scattering contribution function for channel N on the  
33 ascending node peaks at the same altitude as the contribution function for channel N+1 on the  
34 descending node. Thus, if the ozone amount is assumed to be constant within a narrow latitude  
35 band, the relative calibration error between channels N and N+1 can be evaluated. This  
36 technique was used to derive time-dependent corrections for Nimbus-7 SBUV data at  
37 wavelengths between 273 nm and 306 nm. The estimated uncertainty in Nimbus-7 SBUV mid-  
38 latitude ozone quoted by Taylor et al. (1994) is ±6.4% (2  $\sigma$ ) at 273 nm, decreasing to ±5.0% (2  
39  $\sigma$ ) at 306 nm. This corresponds to a 1  $\sigma$  albedo uncertainty of 1.6% at 273 nm, decreasing to  
40 1.1% at 306 nm.

41 The time-dependent characterization for Nimbus-7 SBUV data at long wavelengths used  
42 the pair justification technique described by Herman et al. (1991). SBUV measurements can be  
43 used to derive D-pair (305.8 nm, 312.5 nm) total ozone at equatorial latitude with low SZA and  
44 low total column ozone amounts. Since D-pair results have low sensitivity to wavelength-  
45 dependent calibration drift and high sensitivity to ozone, these results can serve as a reference for  
46 results derived using A-pair (312.5 nm, 331.2 nm), B-pair (317.5 nm, 331.2 nm), and C-pair

1 (331.2 nm, 339.8 nm) wavelengths. We assign the albedo uncertainty for 305.8 nm equally to  
2 the five longest wavelengths for Nimbus-7 SBUV.  
3

#### 4 **3.4. Interrange Ratio**

5 Time-dependent changes in the instrument gain can be characterized using the interranging  
6 ratio  $IRR_{32}$  with Range 3 cathode data, because the anode gain decreases with time relative to the  
7 PMT cathode. On-orbit data allow long-term changes in  $IRR_{32}$ , including occasional small  
8 jumps, to be tracked to approximately 0.1% accuracy. These time-dependent changes have no  
9 wavelength dependence.  
10

#### 11 **3.5. Goniometry**

12 For the SBUV instruments discussed in this paper, the intensity of the measured solar  
13 signal varies by a factor of two during the measurement sequence because of the high incidence  
14 angle used for the solar diffuser ( $\theta = 60^\circ$ - $80^\circ$  for many SBUV/2 instruments). The goniometric  
15 response of the diffuser is parameterized for data analysis using the spacecraft-centered elevation  
16 angle along orbit plane, which represents most of the incidence angle change, and the spacecraft-  
17 centered azimuth angle normal to orbit plane, which incorporates both seasonal variations and  
18 any long-term orbit drift.

19 Prelaunch tests at selected angles provide the data needed to characterize the functional  
20 dependence of the goniometric correction to better than 0.5% accuracy. However, we have  
21 observed that the orbit precession of SBUV/2 instruments eventually requires further inflight  
22 analysis to treat changes in the azimuth dependence. As the instrument approaches the  
23 terminator, measurement conditions may not be repeated from the previous year to allow  
24 validation of an inflight goniometric correction, which could introduce an error with seasonal  
25 extremes. The broad smoothing window in the instrument sensitivity correction fit will  
26 minimize the impact of any such seasonal features, so we assign a long-term uncertainty of 0.3%  
27 to this term. The goniometry uncertainty term is not needed for Nimbus-4 and Nimbus-7  
28 because their orbits had very little drift, and because solar measurements are not directly  
29 evaluated in the long-term characterization for those instruments.  
30  
31



1  
2

**Table 1: BUUV Wavelength Chart**

<i>Channel</i>	<i>Nominal [nm]</i>	<i>Nimbus- 4</i>	<i>Nimbus-7</i>	<i>NOAA-9</i>	<i>NOAA- 11</i>	<i>NOAA- 14</i>	<i>NOAA- 16</i>	<i>NOAA- 17</i>	<i>NOAA- 18</i>
1	252.00	255.5	255.65	251.83	251.97	251.99	251.99	251.91	252.04
2	273.61	273.5	273.61	273.48	273.55	273.51	273.64	273.51	273.70
3	283.10	283.0	283.10	282.94	283.08	287.62	283.05	283.05	283.16
4	287.70	287.6	287.70	287.58	287.64	292.26	287.74	287.62	287.73
5	292.29	292.2	292.29	292.14	292.27	297.54	292.29	292.18	292.36
6	297.59	297.5	297.59	297.41	297.55	301.93	297.57	297.53	297.64
7	301.97	301.9	301.97	301.80	301.93	339.84	301.96	301.93	302.03
8	305.87	305.8	305.87	305.74	305.80	305.80	305.90	305.80	305.90
9	312.57	312.5	312.56	312.43	312.49	312.50	312.59	312.49	312.67
10	317.56	317.5	317.56	317.44	317.50	317.51	317.59	317.50	317.60
11	331.26	331.2	331.26	331.14	331.20	331.23	331.23	331.22	331.32
12	339.89	339.8	339.89	339.75	339.80	339.84	339.90	339.83	339.92
Photometer (CCR)	379.0	380.0	343.0	378.62	378.62	378.62	378.62	378.62	378.62

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

**NOTES:**

1. Nimbus-7 measurements were made in long → short sequence.
2. Nominal wavelengths established for SBUV/2 instruments based on Nimbus-7 SBUV values, with ±0.05 nm desired accuracy in discrete mode. Location of Channel 1 shifted from 255.7 nm to 252.0 nm for NOAA-9 in 1985.
3. First year of NOAA-14 data (through 01 January 1996) collected with baseline wavelengths, which were consistent with nominal sequence at all channels but ~0.2 nm longer. NOAA-14 wavelengths shown are for “zig-zag” sequence implemented 02 January 1996 to partially compensate for degraded CCR sensitivity (283 nm measurement removed, 287.6-301.9 nm measurements shifted up one position, second 339.8 nm measurement added at Channel 7 to provide limited scene change tracking capability).
4. For NOAA-14, Channel 4 wavelength was changed from 292.26 nm to 294.97 nm in June 1998 due to grating drive problems.

1  
2

**Table 2.** Nimbus-4 BUUV Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal-to- Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out-of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
2	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
3	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
4	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
5	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
6	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
7	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
8	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
9	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
10	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
11	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74
12	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	2.74

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 3.** Nimbus-7 SBUV Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
2	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
3	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
4	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
5	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
6	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
7	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
8	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
9	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
10	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
11	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60
12	1.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.60

7  
8

1  
2

**Table 4.** NOAA-9 SBUV/2 Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	2.2	0.5	2.00,0.70	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04	3.03
2	1.9	0.5	0.63,0.14	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	2.08
3	1.9	0.5	0.27,0.07	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	2.00
4	1.8	0.5	0.24,0.04	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	1.90
5	1.8	0.5	0.09,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.03	1.89
6	1.8	0.5	0.06,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	1.89
7	1.7	0.5	0.05,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.00	1.79
8	1.7	0.5	0.07,0.01	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.00	1.79
9	1.6	0.5	0.01,0.36	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.05
10	1.6	0.5	0.01,0.17	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.02
11	1.5	0.5	0.01,0.07	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.67
12	1.5	0.5	0.01,0.08	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.67

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 5.** NOAA-11 SBUV/2 Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	1.4	0.8	1.50,0.53	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.09	2.22
2	1.4	0.8	0.47,0.11	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.10	1.70
3	1.4	0.8	0.21,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.10	1.65
4	1.4	0.8	0.18,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.10	1.64
5	1.4	0.8	0.07,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	1.63
6	1.4	0.8	0.04,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04	1.63
7	1.4	1.6	0.03,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	2.14
8	1.4	1.6	0.07,0.01	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.00	2.14
9	1.4	1.6	0.01,0.36	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.22
10	1.4	1.6	0.01,0.17	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.20
11	1.4	2.1	0.01,0.07	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.58
12	1.4	2.1	0.01,0.08	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.58

7  
8

1  
2

**Table 6.** NOAA-14 SBUV/2 Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	1.2	0.5	1.50,0.53	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.10	2.00
2	1.2	0.5	0.47,0.11	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.13	1.41
3	1.2	0.5	0.21,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.12	1.34
4	1.2	0.5	0.18,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.12	1.34
5	1.2	0.5	0.07,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.07	1.33
6	1.2	0.5	0.04,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04	1.32
7	1.2	0.5	0.03,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	1.32
8	1.2	0.5	0.07,0.01	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	1.32
9	1.2	0.5	0.01,0.36	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.45
10	1.2	0.5	0.01,0.17	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.42
11	1.2	0.5	0.01,0.07	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.41
12	1.2	0.5	0.01,0.08	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.41

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 7.** NOAA-16 SBUV/2 Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	1.2	1.0	1.50,0.53	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04	2.18
2	1.2	1.0	0.47,0.11	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	1.65
3	1.2	1.0	0.21,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	1.60
4	1.2	1.0	0.18,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05	1.59
5	1.2	1.0	0.07,0.05	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.03	1.58
6	1.2	1.0	0.04,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	1.58
7	1.2	1.0	0.03,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.00	1.58
8	1.2	1.0	0.07,0.01	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.00	1.58
9	1.2	1.0	0.01,0.36	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.69
10	1.2	1.0	0.01,0.17	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.66
11	1.2	1.0	0.01,0.07	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.65
12	1.2	1.0	0.01,0.08	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.65

7  
8

1  
2

**Table 8.** NOAA-17 SBUV/2 Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	1.2	0.3	1.48,0.37	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.17	1.95
2	1.2	0.3	0.42,0.08	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.21	1.25
3	1.2	0.3	0.19,0.11	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.20	1.29
4	1.2	0.3	0.19,0.09	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.20	1.29
5	1.2	0.3	0.08,0.04	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.11	1.27
6	1.2	0.3	0.13,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.07	1.27
7	1.2	0.3	0.08,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	1.26
8	1.2	0.3	0.04,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	1.26
9	1.2	0.3	0.01,0.02	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.26
10	1.2	0.3	0.01,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.26
11	1.2	0.3	0.09,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.26
12	1.2	0.3	0.07,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.26

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 9.** NOAA-18 SBUV/2 Absolute Uncertainty

<i>Chan.</i>	<b>Albedo Calib. (ground)</b>	<b>Albedo Calib. (inflight)</b>	<b>Signal- to-Noise</b>	<b>Non- Lin</b>	<b>Intrng. Ratio</b>	<b>PMT Temp.</b>	<b>Out- of- Band</b>	<b>RSS (max)</b>
1	1.2	1.0	1.48,0.37	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.07	2.17
2	1.2	1.0	0.42,0.08	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.08	1.64
3	1.2	1.0	0.19,0.11	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.08	1.59
4	1.2	1.0	0.19,0.09	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.08	1.59
5	1.2	1.0	0.08,0.04	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04	1.58
6	1.2	1.0	0.13,0.03	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.03	1.59
7	1.2	1.0	0.08,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	1.58
8	1.2	1.0	0.04,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.00	1.58
9	1.2	1.0	0.01,0.02	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.58
10	1.2	1.0	0.01,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.58
11	1.2	1.0	0.09,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.58
12	1.2	1.0	0.07,0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.58

7  
8

1  
2

**Table 10.** Nimbus-4 BUUV Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
10	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
11	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02
12	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	2.02

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 11.** Nimbus-7 SBUV Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change (asc/desc, pair just.)</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.63
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	1.14
9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	1.14
10	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	1.14
11	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	1.14
12	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	1.14

7  
8

1  
2

**Table 12.** NOAA-9 SBUV/2 Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (accel. deploy.)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
2	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
3	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
4	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
5	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
6	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
7	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
8	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
9	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
10	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
11	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63
12	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	3.63

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 13.** NOAA-11 SBUV/2 Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
2	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
3	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
4	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
5	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
6	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
7	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
8	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
9	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
10	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
11	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19
12	0.83	0.55	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.19

7  
8

1  
2

**Table 14.** NOAA-14 SBUV/2 Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
2	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
3	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
4	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
5	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
6	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
7	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
8	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
9	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
10	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
11	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13
12	0.30	1.00	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.13

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 15.** NOAA-16 SBUV/2 Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
2	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
4	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
6	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
7	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
8	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
9	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
10	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
11	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83
12	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.83

7  
8



1  
2

**Table 16.** NOAA-17 SBUV/2 Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
7	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
8	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
9	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
10	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
11	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77
12	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.77

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 17.** NOAA-18 SBUV/2 Time-Dependent Uncertainty (end of record)

<i>Channel</i>	<b>Diffuser Refl (time)</b>	<b>Diffuser Refl (spectral)</b>	<b>Snow/Ice Radiance</b>	<b>Sensitivity Change</b>	<b>Interrange Ratio</b>	<b>Goniometry</b>	<b>RSS</b>
1	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
2	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
3	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
4	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
5	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
6	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
7	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
8	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
9	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
10	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
11	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81
12	0.12	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.81

7  
8  
9  
10