Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, 7025–7065, 2012 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/5/7025/2012/ doi:10.5194/amtd-5-7025-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

Potential of the future thermal infrared space-borne sensor IASI-NG to monitor lower tropospheric ozone

P. Sellitto¹, G. Dufour¹, M. Eremenko¹, J. Cuesta¹, P. Dauphin¹, G. Forêt¹, B. Gaubert¹, M. Beekmann¹, V.-H. Peuch², and J.-M. Flaud¹

¹Laboratoire Inter-universitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA), UMR7583, CNRS – Universités Paris-Est Créteil et Paris Diderot, CNRS, Rue du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil, France ²European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Research Department, Shinfield Park, Reading, Berkshire, RG2 9AX, UK

Received: 28 July 2012 - Accepted: 11 September 2012 - Published: 21 September 2012

Correspondence to: P. Sellitto (pasquale.sellitto@lisa.u-pec.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

The lower tropospheric (LT) ozone concentration is a key factor for air quality (AQ). Observing efficiently LT ozone from space is crucial to monitor and better understand pollution phenomena occurring from inter-continental to local scales, and that have

- ⁵ a proven noxious effect on the human health and the biosphere. The Infrared Atmospheric Sounder Interferometer (IASI) flies on MetOp-A spacecraft and is planned to be launched in the next future as part of the other MetOp modules, i.e. MetOp-B and C. IASI has demonstrated to have the capability to single out the LT ozone signal only at favourable conditions, i.e. in presence of high thermal contrast scenarios. New gener-
- ation satellite instruments are being designed to address several pressing geophysical issues, including a better observation capability of LT ozone. IASI-NG (New Generation), now having reached the accomplishment of design phase-A for launch in the 2020 timeframe as part of the EPS-SG (EUMETSAT Polar System-Second Generation, formerly post-EPS) mission, may render feasible a better observation of AQ in terms of LT
- ¹⁵ ozone. To evaluate the added-value brought by IASI-NG in this context, we developed a pseudo-observation simulator, including a direct simulator of thermal infrared spectra and a full inversion scheme to retrieve ozone concentration profiles. We produced one month (August 2009) of tropospheric ozone pseudo-observations based on both IASI and IASI-NG instrumental configurations. We compared the pseudo-observations and
- we found a clear improvement of LT ozone (up to 6 km altitude) pseudo-observations quality for IASI-NG. The estimated total error is expected to be more than 35 % smaller at 5 km, and 20 % smaller for the LT ozone column. The total error on the LT ozone column is, on average, lower than 10 % for IASI-NG. IASI-NG is expected to have a significantly better vertical sensitivity (monthly average degrees of freedom surface-6 km of
- 0.70) and to be sensitive at lower altitudes (more than 0.5 km lower than IASI, reaching values of nearly 3.0 km). Vertical ozone layers of 4 to 5 km thickness are expected to be resolved by IASI-NG, while IASI has a vertical resolution of 6–8 km. According to our analyses, IASI-NG is expected to have the possibility of effectively separate lower



from upper tropospheric ozone information even for low sensitivity scenarios. In addition, IASI-NG is expected to be able to better monitor LT ozone patterns at local spatial scale and to monitor abrupt temporal evolutions occurring at time-scales of a few days, thus bringing an expected added-value with respect to IASI for the monitoring of AQ.

5 1 Introduction

Air quality (AQ) pertains to the characterization of the atmospheric composition at the lowest altitude levels, in terms of both trace gases concentrations and aerosol burden and composition. It is increasingly evident how pollution in the lower troposphere (LT) and a poor AQ have an onerous impact on human health and environment, and then on society. An average reduction of up to several months in life expectation in European urban areas has been hypothesized (Amann et al., 2005). One of the most important parameters to characterize AQ is the ozone concentration in the LT (WMO, 2002). Tropospheric ozone is a secondary air pollutant and also acts as a greenhouse gas (third in importance, Shindell et al., 2009). Ozone levels in the troposphere are mainly de-

- 2002). In last decades, this latter source of tropospheric ozone has started to be considered as more important than STE (WMO, 2002), rising the interest to the human impact on AQ (Crutzen and Stoermer, 2000). Some recent studies have shown that
- the ozone levels in troposphere may have been increased of up to the 50 % by human activity from pre-industrial age, and present projections on future emission rates may lead to a further increase of 40 % (for the worst case scenario) by 2100, the largest increase occurring at northern mid- to high-latitudes (Horowitz, 2006). In spite of the clear reduction of ozone precursors concentrations (Monks et al., 2009) over the US
- and Europe, mean ozone concentrations in the troposphere, and even at the surface, do not show a corresponding clear decreasing trend (Wilson et al., 2012). Moreover, in the global warming framework, events of severe photochemical pollution, with possible



significant enhancements of ozone concentrations at surface and in the LT, are likely to become more and more frequent (Schär and Jendritzky, 2004; Vautard et al., 2005; Ran et al., 2009). In addition, the lifetime of ozone precursors and ozone itself can be very variable (from days to months). Ozone concentrations in the LT, correspond-

- ⁵ ingly, can be very variable both in time and in space. In this complex framework it is very important to monitor the levels and evolution of LT ozone at those various spacetime scales. Indeed, both local production of ozone (Ran et al., 2009) and transport at small to continental (and sometimes intercontinental) scales (Lin et al., 2012) concur to determine local LT ozone levels.
- ¹⁰ Monitoring AQ from space is a topical task in modern geosciences, see, e.g. the review done by Martin (2008). In the last few years, the use of satellites for the observation of relevant AQ parameters, like LT ozone concentration or other trace gases associated to air pollution, has undergone significant advances with new and exciting perspectives in atmospheric pollution monitoring. Satellite instruments flying in low
- ¹⁵ Earth orbit (LEO) and observing the Earth with a nadir geometry have proved to be able to retrieve the tropospheric ozone information, both exploiting the ultraviolet (UV) backscattered spectra, (e.g. Ziemke et al., 2003; Schoeberl et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2010), the synergy ultraviolet/visible (UV/VIS) (Sellitto et al., 2012a,b), and the thermal infrared (TIR) emitted spectra (e.g. Eremenko et al., 2008; Worden et al., 2007a).
- Some simulation experiments of combining the TIR and the UV/VIS have also been recently done (Worden et al., 2007b), as well as some first attempts with real measurements (Cuesta et al., 2012). TIR measurements, in particular those obtained by the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI), have been shown to have the capability of further distinguish the LT ozone information (up to 6 km altitude) when in
- ²⁵ presence of higher thermal contrasts, so for high sensitivity scenarios (Dufour et al., 2010). In a comparative study with ozonesondes reference data involving 3 different scientific ozone products derived from IASI observations, Dufour et al. (2012) found that LT ozone columns are derived with a total error ranging from 15 to 20% and with 0.3 to 0.6 degrees of freedom (DOF); these results evidenced how it is in general not



always possible to derive a reliable and independent information on LT ozone from IASI. Notice that one of the three inversion schemes used in the mentioned paper, hereafter mentioned as the *LISA algorithm*, is the inversion scheme used in the present work. New generation observing systems are envisaged to improve the capability to moni-

- tor tropospheric composition in details, including AQ. In this context, one of the existing projects is IASI-NG (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer-New Generation) (Crevoisier et al., 2012; Clerbaux and Crevoisier, 2012). IASI-NG is expected to be launched in the 2020 timeframe as part of the EUMETSAT Polar System-Second Generation (EPS-SG) programme (formerly called post-EPS programme). The instrument
- will be a Fourier transform spectrometer with optical path difference greater than 4 cm; its primary scientific objectives are to assure the continuity of IASI to measure meteorological parameters as well as trace gases in the Earth's atmosphere, to improve the vertical sensitivity of IASI and, secondarily, to contribute to the monitoring of Essential Climate Variables (as in the definition of ESA Climate Change Initiative). IASI-NG has
- ¹⁵ recently accomplished the phase-A stage (Crevoisier et al., 2012) and follows in its heritage of IASI. It will have similar observation geometry than IASI, with a pixel size of 12 km diameter at the nadir. The spectral sampling interval (SSI), spectral resolution and radiometric noise will be improved of a factor 2. The SSI and spectral resolution are then expected to have values of 0.125 cm⁻¹, 0.25 cm⁻¹, and a radiometric noise, in
- terms of the noise equivalent spectral radiance (NESR), of 10 nW cm⁻² sr cm⁻¹, in the spectral band relevant for ozone retrievals (around 10 µm). A factor 2 improvement of the spectral and noise characterization with respect to IASI is expected to bring a clear added-value in the observation capabilities into the LT, both in terms of systematic and random errors, and of vertical resolution.
- In this paper, we present a study aimed at comparing the performances of IASI-NG and IASI for the monitoring of LT ozone. We will quantify the improvement of IASI-NG with respect to IASI for the observation of phenomena developing at time-space scales relevant for AQ. The main question that we want to address is to evaluate if IASI-NG would be a clear step forward toward a better monitoring of AQ, in terms of both local



and short-term LT ozone evolutions. Another option is that its added-value is limited to a better characterization of continental scale phenomena. To this end, spatial and temporal features are both investigated at those different scales. We based our analysis on the so-called *pseudo-observations* generated by a newly developed pseudo-

- ⁵ observation simulator. Using reference trace gases and meteorological fields, the socalled *pseudo-reality*, for a given period over Europe, the simulator calculates the TIR spectra including noise and then inverts them to retrieve ozone concentration profiles, with a full inversion scheme. The selected study period is August 2009. This period is characterized by some interesting pollution events, like a tropospheric ozone plume de-
- veloping in the period 19–21 August, with ozone-rich airmasses extending from Southern France to the Scandinavian Peninsula. The set-up of our pseudo-observations simulator, including the retrieval scheme, is described in Sects. 2.1 and 2.2. The error and vertical resolution characterization of the IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations is given in Sects. 3.1 and 3.2. We carried out a general statistical characterization of the
- pseudo-observations for the two observing systems and this is discussed in Sect. 4.1. We then studied the added-values brought by IASI-NG in monitoring both LT ozone distribution at continental and regional scale (Sect. 4.2), and at local scale (Sect. 4.3). This latter spatial scale is the most important for AQ. Finally, in Sect. 5 we give conclusions.

2 IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations

20 2.1 Pseudo-observations simulator

The pseudo-reality, in terms of both the meteorological variables and the trace gases concentrations, including the target ozone fields, is produced by means of the MOCAGE (MOdèle de Chimie Atmosphérique à Grande Echelle) chemistry and transport model (CTM). MOCAGE simulates the physical and chemical processes affect-

²⁵ ing gases and aerosols in both the troposphere and the stratosphere (e.g. Dufour et al., 2005). MOCAGE uses 47 hybrid vertical levels, from surface to about 35 km.



The fine vertical grid of MOCAGE, from about 200 m into the lower troposphere to about 1 km into the stratosphere, has been reduced to the smaller vertical resolution required by the subsequent radiative transfer calculation, 1 km into the troposphere and lower stratosphere, and 2 to 5 km at higher altitudes. Topography data have been taken

- from GTOPO30 digital elevation model (http://www1.gsi.go.jp/geowww/globalmap-gsi/ gtopo30/gtopo30.html). Trace gas concentrations, pressure, temperature and specific humidity vertical profiles at the mentioned vertical resolutions are finally obtained and used as inputs for the radiative transfer (RT) calculations. For the present work, the presence of clouds has not been considered.
- The Karlsruhe Optimized and Precise Radiative transfer Algorithm (KOPRA) radiative transfer model (RTM) (Stiller et al., 2002) is used to simulate the radiation spectra viewed by the selected instruments. This model, formerly developed to simulate MI-PAS (MIchelson Interferometer Passive Atmospheric Sounding) limb measurements, has been adapted to the nadir geometry (Eremenko et al., 2008). The two instruments,
- IASI and IASI-NG are defined by their technical specifications. The observation geometry has been taken from real IASI measurements, at the corresponding date of each simulation. An instantaneous field-of-view set-up of 2 × 2 horizontal array of pixels of 12 km diameter each and spaced by 25 km at nadir has been, accordingly considered. IASI overpasses Europe twice a day, at about 09:00–10:00 UTC and 21:00–22:00 UTC.
- We simulated both overpasses, hereafter referred to as AM and PM overpasses. The observations, in the region of interest (latitude: 35° N–70° N, longitude: 15° W–35° E), are then about 18 000 for each overpass, so more than 1 million for the whole study period (August 2009). The instrumental spectral sampling and radiometric noise have been modelled by the SSI and the NESR. The SSI of IASI is 0.25 cm⁻¹, corresponding to a 0.50 cm⁻¹ apodized spectral resolution (optical path difference of 2 cm), while the NESR is 20.0 nW cm⁻² sr cm⁻¹ (Clerbaux et al., 2009). IASI-NG is expected to improve of a factor 2 both the spectral resolution and the radiometric noise (Crevoisier et al., 2012). The SSI and NESR for IASI-NG are, accordingly, 0.125 cm⁻¹ (0.25 cm⁻¹)



apodized spectral resolution, 4 cm optical path difference) and 10.0 nW cm⁻² sr cm⁻¹.

2.2 Inversion algorithm

The simulated spectra are inverted by means of the KOPRAfit module embedding a dedicated algorithm based on a constrained least squares fit method, to obtain pseudo-observations of ozone profiles. The pseudo-observations can be, then, finally

- ⁵ compared with the pseudo-reality to evaluate possibilities and limits of the selected observing system. The KOPRAfit also provides the averaging kernels (AvKs), that can be used to derive the information on the vertical sensitivity of the different configurations over several conditions defined, e.g. by the meteorology and surface characteristics.
- The inversion algorithm used to derive the pseudo-observations, hereafter referred to as *LISA algoritm* (Eremenko et al., 2008), is an altitude-dependent Tikhonov-Phillips regularization method, embedded into the KOPRAfit retrieval module using the KOPRA RTM. Altitude dependent Tikhonov-Phillips regularization methods were introduced by Kulawik et al. (2006); the method is here optimized for LT ozone observations using IASI measurements (Eremenko et al., 2008). The constraint matrix and parameters
- of the LISA algorithm are optimized to maximize the degrees of freedom (DOF) and minimize the error in the LT while retaining a sufficient accuracy in the upper troposphere and in the stratosphere. Dufour et al. (2012) evaluated the performances of ozone profiles obtained by means of the LISA algorithm with a validation exercise using ozonesondes measurements and verified the very good performances in the LT,
- if compared to other scientific retrieval schemes. In this validation, the mean DOF of the LISA algorithm is in the range 0.4–0.6 and the estimated error is about 12.5%, at mid-latitudes. The ozone a priori profiles used in the present work are derived from McPeters climatology (McPeters et al., 2007). To avoid numerical instability and aberrant oscillations in the retrieved profiles, we used a different a priori depending on
- tropopause height derived from the pseudo-reality. We consider a tropopause higher than 14 km as a proxy for tropical airmasses and then we used a tropical a priori (yearly climatological profile 20–30° N) in those cases. We used a mid-latitude a priori (summer climatological profile 30–60° N) in the other cases. The use of two different a priori, in



particular a mid-latitude and tropical climatological profiles, has been already successfully exploited for LISA algorithm (Dufour et al., 2010). The LISA algorithm operates at seven spectral microwindows, in the region of 975–1100 cm⁻¹, for avoiding carbon dioxide and water vapour impact on ozone retrievals. For more details, please refer to the comprehensive description of the LISA algorithm in (Eremenko et al., 2008; Dufour et al., 2010).

3 Characterization of the pseudo-observations

3.1 Error estimation for IASI and IASI-NG tropospheric ozone retrievals

In this section, we provide a comparative analysis of the error budget estimated for IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations.

According to Rodgers (1990), if the inversion occurs in an incrementally linear regime, the total error budget of a constrained least squares fit method can be separated into three main components: (a) measurement noise (random) error, (b) smoothing error due to the limited vertical resolution of the observing system, and (c) model parameters (systematic) error. Equation (1) describes analytically these three error components, the smoothing, the measurement noise and the systematic error, respectively. **AvK** is the averaging kernel matrix, that is extensively analyzed and discussed in Sect. 3.2, **G** is the gain matrix, **K**_{syst} is the Jacobian matrix for the systematic errors and II is the identity matrix. The covariance matrices for the total error, the a priori ozone variability, the measurement noise and the systematic error are here indicated as **S**_e,

$$\mathbf{S}_{a}, \mathbf{S}_{m}$$
 and \mathbf{S}_{sys} .

5

$$\mathbf{S}_{e} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{K})\mathbf{S}_{a}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{K})^{T} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{S}_{m}\mathbf{G}^{T} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}_{syst}\mathbf{S}_{syst}\left(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}_{syst}\right)^{T}$$
(1)

This formulation, valid for an optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000), can be applied also to general regularization methods, provided the symmetry of the a priori



covariance and the regularization matrix as the constraints of the least square minimisation (Steck and von Clarmann, 2000).

Figure 1a, b show the mean measurement, smoothing and total error (in absolute, Fig. 1a, and percent units, Fig. 1b), for IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations, averaged over all pixels of the day 20 August 2009, for the AM overpass. The results for the PM overpass are very similar (not shown here). The mid-latitude a priori and pseudo-reality variability are also shown as a reference. The first one is given by the diagonal elements of the mid-latitude a priori covariance. The errors for this day are quite representative of the other days and of individual pixels. The dominant error component is the smoothing error. It has values of about 25% at the surface for the two instruments. 15–20% for IASI-NG and 20–25% for IASI in the interval 2–6 km, and 20–25%

- ments, 15–20% for IASI-NG and 20–25% for IASI in the interval 2–6 km, and 20–25% for IASI-NG and 20–30% for IASI in the interval 7–12 km. For the measurement noise error, we found typical values of 3–4% at the surface for the two instruments, 3–7% for IASI-NG and 6–9% for IASI in the interval 2–6 km, and 3–12% for IASI-NG and 7–11%
- for IASI in the interval 7–12 km. Correspondingly, total error typical values were about 30 % (10.2 ppb) at the surface for the two instruments, 22–30 % (8.3–9.9 ppb) for IASI-NG and 30–35 % (10.3–15.5 ppb) for IASI in the interval 2–6 km, and 24–40 % (10.4– 44.6 ppb) for IASI-NG and 30–40 % (12.2–50.2 ppb) for IASI in the interval 7–12 km. Figure 1c summarizes these results as a percent improvement of IASI-NG error over
- IASI error, defined as the percent difference of the absolute measurement, smoothing and total errors of IASI-NG and IASI. The improvement is particularly significant for the smoothing component in the range 1–5 km and for the measurement noise component in the range 3–7 km. The improvement of the total error reaches values higher than 35 % at 5 km. The errors on the tropospheric ozone column (TOC) for IASI/IASI-
- NG are: 3.81 DU (10.51 %)/3.12 DU (8.41 %), for the TOC surface-12 km, and 2.49 DU (14.01 %)/2.06 DU (10.71 %), for the TOC surface-6 km. The error values for the IASI pseudo-observations are generally consistent with those for IASI real measurements (Eremenko et al., 2008; Dufour et al., 2012). It must be considered that in our estimation and in the paper by Dufour et al. (2012) only measurement noise and smoothing



error are considered, while Eremenko et al. (2008) considered also temperature profile errors and other systematic error sources. These components may explain the small difference between our IASI pseudo-observations and IASI real measurements error analysis described by Eremenko et al. (2008). Indeed, error components other than smoothing and measurement noise usually contribute for a few percent (Boynard et al.,

5 smoothing and measurement noise usually contribute for a few percent (Boynard et al., 2009). From the comparison of the two set of pseudo-observations, we found that errors on the TOC surface-6 km, taken as representative of the LT ozone, are nearly 20 % smaller for IASI-NG.

3.2 Averaging kernels shape and vertical sensitivity to ozone

¹⁰ In the following, we discuss and compare some important quantities to characterize the pseudo-observation of IASI and IASI-NG, with emphasis on the vertical resolution. To do so, we analyze the AvKs shape and some derived quantities.

The **AvK** matrix has already been introduced in Sect. 3.1 for his role in determining the smoothing error component. The **AvK** matrix represents the sensitivity of the retrieved to the true profile. Each **AvK** matrix row shows the fractional heightresolved source of information for the retrieval at the selected altitude. For higher values, a greater fraction of information is taken from the observed spectrum, the remaining fraction coming from the a priori. In Fig. 2, we show the **AvK** rows for nominal altitudes from surface to 12 km, for the two instruments, calculated as means over all

- ²⁰ pixels in August 2009 with thermal contrast lying into a chosen interval. We show the average AvKs for pixels with thermal contrast in the interval [+8.0, +9.0]K, as representative of high sensitivity scenarios, and [-9.0, -8.0]K as representative of low sensitivity scenarios. The greatest added-value of IASI-NG emerges for the small sensitivity scenarios. There, IASI-NG pseudo-observations have a significantly better vertical
- 25 sensitivity, represented by narrow functions peaking at lower altitudes. In general, we found significantly better performances of the IASI-NG in presence of small/negative thermal contrasts. In Fig. 3, we also show the integral *AvK*s calculated for the ozone columns surface-6 km, 7–12 km and surface-12 km, as representative of the lower, the



upper and the whole troposphere. What we found is that the integral *AvK*s of IASI-NG for the lower and upper troposphere are well separated also for small sensitivity scenarios (see Fig. 3b), thus confirming the previous findings. For IASI, on the contrary, the integral *AvK*s surface-6 km and 7–12 km are partially overlapped in low sensitivity scenarios (see Fig. 3a), thus indicating that our IASI pseudo-observations have not the potential to single out the LT information component in those cases. This result is coherent with several studies dealing with tropospheric ozone retrievals from IASI (e.g. Dufour et al., 2010). On the other hand, the separation of lower to upper tropospheric ozone information is better possible with IASI-NG over several scenarios, both with

¹⁰ higher and lower/negative thermal contrast.

15

Other two valuable diagnostic parameters to study the vertical sensitivity of a retrieval are the DOF and the altitude of the maximum of the AvKs. DOF is a quantity that indicates the number of independent pieces of information that can be determined from a measurement. It is linked to the AvK matrix by means of the following relationship (Rodgers, 2000):

DOF = tr(AvK)

DOF for ozone partial columns are obtained from the **AvK** by calculating the trace up to the top height of the column. It is straightforward how the total DOF or the DOF for the partial columns can be seen as one scalar quantity which describes the more complex

- ²⁰ **AvK** matrix quantity in terms of the vertical sensitivity of the retrieval. This parameter is complemented by the altitude of the maximum of the AvK of a selected altitude or column. In the following, we will concentrate on the altitude of the maximum of the integral AvK of the TOC surface-6 km, which represents the altitude of maximum sensitivity of the observations of the TOC surface-6 km. Figure 4 shows the histogram of
- DOF surface-6 km and altitude of maximum sensitivity for all the observations in August 2009. Results for AM and PM overpasses are reported separately. DOFs surface-6 km are more than 30 % higher for IASI-NG reaching a mean value as high as 0.70 for the AM overpass, while IASI has a mean value of 0.53. The altitude of the maximum



(2)

sensitivity of IASI-NG for the TOC surface-6 km is more than 0.5 km lower than for IASI, reaching a mean value of nearly 3.0 km for the AM overpass. The PM overpass is characterized by a significantly lower vertical sensitivity for both instruments. This can be related to the smaller thermal contrasts that characterize, on average, the PM

- overpasses. The mean values of the DOF surface-6 km, at PM, are about 10% smaller than for AM overpasses, in particular 0.63 and 0.48 for IASI-NG and IASI, respectively. The maximum sensitivity is found more than 0.5 km higher than for AM overpasses, on average, and in particular at 3.7 and 4.3 km for the two instruments. Nevertheless, it should be noticed that the mean DOF at PM overpass for IASI-NG is higher than the mean DOF for IASI at AM overpass. Moreover, the mean maximum sensitivity altitude
- ¹⁰ mean DOF for IASI at AM overpass. Moreover, the mean maximum sensitivity altitude is lower for the PM overpass of IASI-NG than for the AM overpass of IASI. The vertical sensitivity in the LT of IASI-NG at PM is then comparable to that of IASI at AM.

The analyses of this and the previous sections clearly show the improved accuracy and vertical sensitivity in the LT of IASI-NG. In the next sections, we analyze how this potential is expected to impact on the observation capabilities in the LT, in particular for

potential is expected to impact on the observation capabilities in the LT, in particulate the tropospheric ozone monitoring at both continental/regional and local scales.

4 LT ozone distributions retrieved by IASI and IASI-NG

4.1 Global analysis

In the present section, we give a global characterization of the pseudo-observations. To this end, we have performed a general statistical analysis of IASI and IASI-NG tropospheric ozone profile and TOC surface-6 km pseudo-observations, by comparing them to the reference MOCAGE pseudo-reality, based on several statistical parameters. Figure 5 shows the monthly average ozone profile in the troposphere from pseudo-

reality, and IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations, for AM and PM overpasses. As

²⁵ a further reference, the two a priori profiles are also shown on the plots. It is clear that both IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations underestimate the pseudo-reality in



the interval 1–4 km and overestimate the pseudo-reality in the range 9–12 km. This effect is more marked for IASI. AM and PM overpasses behave in a quite similar manner. Please note how the low tropopause/mid-latitude and the high tropopause/tropical a priori respectively overestimate and underestimate the mean pseudo-reality ozone profile. This effect has an impact on the pseudo-observations and it will be recalled later during the interpretation of their behaviour. Figure 6 shows the percent bias, the percent RMSE and the linear correlation (Pearson) coefficient profiles for IASI and IASI-NG, with respect to pseudo-reality, for AM and PM overpasses. In Table 1, the same

- parameters are reported as vertical averages in the intervals 0–3 km, 0–6 km, 0–12 km and 7–12 km. For both instruments, the bias is negative from 0 to 4 km, tends to be very small from 4 to 8 km, and it is positive at higher altitudes, reaching values as high as 15 % for IASI-NG and 25 % for IASI. The negative bias of the AM overpass for IASI of about the 3 % in the range 0–3 km, as well as the positive bias of about the 11.5 % in the range 7–12 km are reduced by about 50 % with IASI-NG (see Table 1). Also for
- ¹⁵ the PM overpass, the biases are strongly reduced by IASI-NG. The underestimation at the lowest levels may be due to the small sensitivity and the different impact of the a priori profiles. The high tropopause/tropical a priori is strongly negatively biased in the range surface-3 km (see Fig. 5) and may certainly transmit this negative bias to the pseudo-observations. The *AvK*s, indeed, are generally very small at those altitudes
- and a strong contribution of the a priori is expected on the pseudo-observations. On the contrary, the low tropopause/mid-latitude a priori has similar values with respect to pseudo-reality in that range. The net effect on the overall dataset is likely to be a negative bias. The negative bias is less important for IASI-NG because this latter instrument has a better sensitivity at the lowest altitudes and then a smaller contribution of the
- a priori. As for the overestimations in the range 8–12 km, this is coherent with the validation results of Dufour et al. (2012). A systematic overestimation (10 to 25%) of ozone in the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere by the three products based on real IASI data validated versus ozonesondes data is shown in (Dufour et al., 2012). Overestimations of the same order of magnitude are also found for our IASI pseudo-observations.



As for the RMSE, the lowest values are in the range 2-8 km (where the information provided by IASI and IASI-NG spectra contribute the most), reaching values as small as 10 % for IASI-NG and 20 % for IASI, for the AM overpass, and 12 % and 23 %, for the PM overpass. The Pearson correlation coefficient over the whole dataset has the more

- significant differences between IASI and IASI-NG. The vertical profile has two maxima, one at about 4 km and one at 12 km. At those maxima, typical values for IASI are 0.50–0.75 while IASI-NG can reach values of 0.90–0.95, for the AM overpass. In addition, while IASI-NG performances for the AM and PM overpasses are quite similar, the IASI pseudo-observations performances at PM show a significant degradation, especially
- in the range 0–6 km, where a vertically averaged value of 0.30 is found (see Table 1). This behaviour can be easily associated with the results of Sect. 3.2, and in particular of Fig. 3. In fact, it has been shown that the performances of IASI are particularly weak at unfavourable conditions, i.e. in presence of small/negative thermal contrasts. IASI-NG, on the contrary, performs quite well also over low sensitivity scenarios. Dur-
- ¹⁵ ing the PM overpass, small/negative thermal contrasts are significantly more frequent and then the added-value brought by IASI-NG is more critical. In general, biases and RMSEs of the profiles are systematically smaller and correlations are systematically higher for IASI-NG, reaching an improvement of 26 % (RMSE) and 67 % (correlation) with respect to IASI in the range surface-6 km. There, IASI-NG has nearly no bias, on
- ²⁰ average, at AM overpass. Note that the bias can be significant over specific scenarios or over selected sub-regions (see Sects. 4.2 and 4.3). The significant bias in the upper troposphere for IASI, about 11 % on average in the interval 7–12 km, is reduced to about the 5–6 % by IASI-NG, which brings an improvement of more than 50 %.

We have also compared IASI and IASI-NG TOC surface-6 km pseudo-observations. IASI has RMSE, bias and Pearson coefficient of about 15.5%, -2.5% and 0.65. IASI-NG is able to retrieve the TOC surface-6 km with a 9% RMSE, a -1% bias and a 0.88 correlation coefficient (AM overpass); this is the 41%, 54% and 33% better than for IASI. Results are only slightly worse for the PM overpass.



4.2 Tropospheric ozone distribution at regional scale

Here we present our analyses of the performances of IASI and IASI-NG to describe tropospheric ozone plumes at regional scale over Europe. We studied both spatial distributions and temporal evolutions.

- Figure 7 shows the maps of the average TOC surface-6 km for August 2009, from raw and AvK-smoothed MOCAGE pseudo-reality, and IASI and IASI-NG pseudoobservations. The differences of IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations with respect to raw MOCAGE pseudo-reality are also shown (bottom of the figure). In addition, Table 2 reports on the mean biases and RMSEs of IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations
- in the whole Europe and in four sub-regions: Northern (latitude greater than 52.5° N)-Land, Northern-Sea, Southern (latitude smaller than 52.5° N)-Land and Southern-Sea pixels. These results show that both IASI and IASI-NG underestimate the pseudo-reality at southern sub-region and overestimate it at northern sub-region. This effect is likely due to the small sensitivity of both pseudo-observations at the lowest levels
- (surface to 3 km). There, the a priori information prevails in the retrieval. Indeed, at southern locations high tropopauses are more likely, thus we use quite systematically the tropical a priori which is negatively biased with respect to MOCAGE pseudo-reality (see Fig. 5). The negative bias at southern locations is more marked over sea than over land. It should be mentioned that smaller thermal contrasts are expected over sea than
- over land. At northern locations, in particular at north-west quadrant, we find a small overestimation. Also the overestimation is due to the scarce sensitivity at the lowest levels and to the effect of the low tropopause/mid-latitude a priori, which indeed tends to slightly overestimate the pseudo-reality (see Fig. 5). The areas of under/overestimation are much less extended for IASI-NG, and deviations are smaller. On average, IASI un-
- derestimates of over 10 % at southern sub-region (about 8 % over land and 12.5 % over sea), while IASI-NG underestimation is nearly 4.5 % over this region (about 3 % over land and 5.5 % over sea). IASI-NG may, therefore, reduce the systematic bias of IASI at Southern Europe of more than 50 %. Overestimations at northern sub-regions are



of the same magnitude for the two instruments, and generally small. No clear land/sea difference is found in the performances of IASI and IASI-NG at northern sub-regions. As for the RMSE, the values over the whole Europe are about 13.5% for IASI and about 9.7% for IASI-NG. These values may be considered as a posteriori total errors and then compared to the theoretical errors on the TOC surface-6 km discussed in Sect. 3.1: only a very small overestimation of the a posteriori error is made by the theoretical total error calculation (0.1 and 0.2 DU overestimation for IASI and IASI-NG, respectively), showing the coherence of our error estimations.

After the general characterization of pseudo-observations over Europe, we got some insights into the temporal evolution at continental to regional scale. To this aim, we study a peculiar LT ozone plume evolution occurring in the period 19–21 August 2009 and analyze how the two instruments are able to characterize this kind of phenomenon. These days are characterized by a pollution event. Figure 8 shows the TOC surface-6 km from MOCAGE pseudo-reality, and IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations, for

- the 3 days. High values of the TOC surface-6 km over the south of France on the 19 August were moving toward north-east, reaching north of France to Netherlands on the 20 August, and then Germany, Poland and the Scandinavian Peninsula on the 21 August (see first column of Fig. 8). In addition to this ozone plume evolution, some persistent high ozone levels can be seen over the Po Valley and the Mediterranean
- Basin. IASI-NG is able to better depict the ozone plume and its evolution, both for the AM and the PM overpasses. PM observations carry less information than AM observations, due to the smaller thermal contrasts during the PM overpass. In spite of this, PM observations by IASI-NG still contribute at the description of the ozone plume evolution, owing to the better performances of IASI-NG in presence of small sensitivity scenarios.
- ²⁵ Also the region with very small TOCs at the north-west quadrant and the area with very high values west of Galicia and Portugal on the 21 August are better identified by IASI-NG. In general very high values of the TOC surface-6 km are not completely resolved by both instruments, especially when over sea. In any case, IASI-NG appears able to better resolve the general patterns.



In order to analyse smaller regions showing a high ozone load, we focused our attention on some regional domains. Time series of raw MOCAGE TOC surface-6 km pseudo-reality, and IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations over Po Valley, Eastern and western section of the Mediterranean Basin are shown in Fig. 9. The general fea-5 ture over those regions, where we mainly used the high tropopause/tropical a priori, is a quite systematic underestimation of both pseudo-observations. The bias for IASI at those locations is in the range 10.5-13.5%, while IASI-NG reduces biases of 50 to 60%, reaching values as low as 4.0–6.0%. A further systematic underestimation for PM overpasses is present, creating unrealistic oscillations (alternating day/night) in the time series. This latter effect is likely due to the smaller sensitivity at lower altitudes 10 for PM overpass, which is typically characterized by smaller thermal contrasts. This effect is strongly mitigated by IASI-NG, see, e.g. the very strong oscillations in the IASI dataset from 15 to 20 August over the Po Valley (Fig. 9a) and from 25 to 31 August over the Western Mediterranean region (Fig. 9c). As for the RMSEs and Pearson coefficients, IASI exhibits values in the range 11.0-14.5% and 0.68-0.73, while IASI-NG 15 can reach values as low as 4.5% for the RMSE and 0.93 for the Pearson coefficient, at Western Mediterranean region. Finally, the time series from IASI-NG follow quite well the temporal variability of MOCAGE pseudo-observations at regional scale, thus indicating that IASI-NG is better suited than IASI to monitor LT ozone at this spatial

20 scale.

25

4.3 Local scale and air quality

In the present section, we investigate the behaviour of the two pseudo-observation datasets at a smaller spatial scale. We focus our analysis on some selected locations. The typical dimension of the areas is about $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$. The scope of this analyses is to evaluate the performances of IASI-NG for the monitoring of local evolutions of LT ozone.



Time series of MOCAGE pseudo-reality, and IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations over Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Berlin, Germany; Paris, France; Barcelona, Spain; a marine location west of Norway coasts (58.0° N -5.0° E) are shown in Fig. 10. We have selected those locations to have examples of urban scenarios at the northern part of

- ⁵ Europe, at the southern part of Europe and a remote (marine) location. We aimed at evaluating the potential of IASI-NG over a set of different situations. We first discuss the urban locations in the northern part of Europe, those of Fig. 10a, b, c. For those pseudo-observations, the low tropopause/mid-latitude a priori is used for the majority of the retrievals, so no systematic negative biases are expected. As it is possible to see
- from Fig. 10, IASI-NG, despite showing some slight underestimation, has proved to be significantly more sensitive to ozone peaks developing over short time periods (a few days) over Northern European urban locations. To verify this sensitivity over evolution of a few days, refer to, for example, 24 August at Paris (Fig. 10c), 20–31 August at Amsterdam (Fig. 10a), 25 August at Berlin (Fig. 10b). More marked underestimations
- are observed at Southern European urban locations, see the example of Barcelona in Fig. 10d. A systematic underestimation, due to the a priori, is expected at Southern Europe, following from the results of Sect. 4.2. There, IASI-NG can significantly reduce the characteristic negative bias (about 45% reduction of the negative bias at Barcelona, reaching a value of -7.7%). Finally, as an example of remote locations we
 show a marine location over the North Sea of Fig. 10e. There, both IASI and IASI-
- NG work pretty well, while IASI-NG still captures better the peaks probably related to small-scale transport phenomena, see, e.g. the case of 2 August.

As a complement to Fig. 10, MOCAGE pseudo-reality, IASI and IASI-NG pseudoobservations and a priori tropospheric profiles for the peak of the 24 August, AM over-

pass, in Paris are shown in Fig. 11. The *AvKs* from surface to 12 km, for IASI and IASI-NG, for the same observation scenario, are also shown. In addition, maps of raw and smoothed MOCAGE pseudo-reality and IASI-NG pseudo-observations, for the days 25 and 11 August 2009, AM overpass, and tropospheric ozone concentration profiles from a priori, MOCAGE pseudo-reality, and IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations



over Berlin for the two days are reported in Fig. 12. The added-value of IASI-NG may be related to a better sensitivity in the range 2–6 km, while marked peaks of the pseudo-reality from surface to 2 km are not generally detected. In any case, there still is a better sensitivity for IASI-NG, see, e.g. 25 August at Berlin (Fig. 12). Vertical fea-

- ⁵ tures of less than 2–3 km resolution are not caught by IASI-NG, while features of about 4–5 km are sometimes caught by IASI-NG but not by IASI, whose typical vertical resolution is about 6–8 km (Boynard et al., 2009). The example of the retrieval over Paris of Fig. 11 shows pretty well this latter aspect. The IASI pseudo-observation completely fails in retrieving the vertical behaviour of the pseudo-reality, which is characterized
- ¹⁰ by a 4–5 km resolution oscillation at 9–13 km. While the IASI pseudo-observation is only shifted with respect to the a priori, IASI-NG was able to follow the vertical trend and, correspondingly, to detect also the enhanced values at the lowest layers. IASI-NG *AvK*s show more sensitivity at 9 km, as well as at the mentioned interval 2–6 km (Fig. 11c). The *AvK*s of the ranges 8–10 km are more peaked at the nominal alti-
- ¹⁵ tudes, while for IASI they peak at higher or lower altitudes and values vanish at 7–9 km (Fig. 11b). A similar situation is shown in Fig. 12, for the 25 August over Berlin. The two retrievals are likely associated to the same plume, passing over the two cities in two consecutive days. For these two cases, the 24 August over Paris and the 25 August over Berlin, the TOC surface-6 km is markedly underestimated by IASI, while it is better
- estimated by IASI-NG. On the contrary, the case of the 11 August over Berlin shows a typical situation where vertical features of about 2–3 km are not correctly retrieved by both IASI and IASI-NG. The relatively high values of the TOC surface-6 km at Berlin on the 11 August can be linked to a very localized pollution event, as it is possible to argue by seeing Fig. 12.
- In general, our results suggest that IASI-NG will be able to give, in several different situations, a significant added-value with respect to IASI also for the monitoring of LT ozone at local scale, both at urban and remote locations. Sometimes, on the contrary, IASI-NG is not sufficiently sensitive, see, e.g. the entire time series of Barcelona or the case of Berlin, 11 August 2009.



5 Conclusions

We have presented a study of the performances of IASI and IASI-NG TIR nadir satellite instruments, based on a set of pseudo-observations generated by means of a new modular pseudo-observations simulator. Our simulator is built with the KOPRA RTM

- and an embedded inversion algorithm routinely used to retrieve high quality lower tropospheric ozone information from the IASI real measurements. We have used the MOCAGE CTM to produce the pseudo-reality. We focused our attention on the LT ozone pseudo-observations to investigate how IASI-NG would impact the monitoring of ozone at time-space scales relevant to AQ. We based our analysis on one month,
- ¹⁰ August 2009, AM and PM overpasses, of IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations. We found that IASI-NG would likely bring a specific added-value in the observation of local and short term ozone pollution phenomena and evolution of LT ozone plumes. This may be due to the better vertical resolution in the troposphere. Our results suggest that IASI-NG will be able to catch ozone vertical patterns of 4–5 km resolution while IASI
- has 6–8 km as upper limit for the vertical resolution in the troposphere. This higher vertical resolution would bring to values as high as 0.70 DOFs for the TOC surface-6 km, with a maximum sensitivity at nearly 3.0 km altitude, which is about the 30 % better, for the DOF, and more than 0.5 km lower, for the maximum sensitivity, than IASI. This allows an efficient separation of the lower from upper troposphere information also over
- ²⁰ low sensitivity scenarios, e.g. with a small or negative thermal contrast. In addition, the errors of the TOC surface-6 km, both from a prior theoretical and from a posteriori calculations, are reduced from the values of 2.5–2.4 DU (14.0–13.5%), for IASI, to 2.0–1.8 DU (10.7–9.7%), for IASI-NG. According to our analysis, IASI-NG is expected to be able to very effectively reduce the marked underestimations that our inversion
- scheme shows at Southern European sub-region, especially over sea pixels. The reduction of the bias can be more than 50%. IASI-NG has been found more accurate in resolving and following ozone plumes evolutions at continental scale, like the one observed in our pseudo-reality TOC surface-6 km for the period 19–21 August 2009,



moving northwards and eastwards from south of France to the Scandinavian Peninsula. The most interesting result of our work is the improved capability of IASI-NG in monitoring small scale and short term pollution evolutions, so in a time-space scale relevant for AQ. In particular, IASI-NG is expected to be very effective in depicting LT ⁵ ozone peaks evolutions within time-frames of a few days, over urban locations. Scenarios of this kinds have been selected, e.g. in Paris and Berlin urban areas, where IASI-NG has demonstrated its added-value with respect to IASI. By the way, we found that vertical features with a resolution of 2–3 km are not well discriminated by IASI-NG, nor very local ozone enhancements at the lowest altitude layers. All these results show

- how IASI-NG would likely be a clear step forward towards a more effective monitoring of LT ozone at scales relevant for AQ from a low Earth orbit. Finally, we want to mention that the LT ozone variability at time scales shorter than 1 day gives a further constraint on the revisit time and a properly designed constellation of LEO instruments or, conversely, a geostationary observing system would be necessary for this issue.
- Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to CNRS-INSU for publication support. This work is carried on in the framework of the CNES/TOSCA/GeoQAir (quantification de l'apport d'une plateforme d'observations GÉOstationnaires pour la surveillance de la Qualité de l'AIR) and CNES/TOSCA/IASI-NG projects. We wish to thank the Institut für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung (IMK), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany, for a licence to use KOPRA radiative transfer model. This work makes use of results produced with the EGI-France

Grilles facility. MOCAGE data have been provided by Météo France.





The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

References

5

10

Amann, M., Bertok, I., Cofala, J., Gyarfas, F., Heyes, C., Klimont, Z., Schöpp, W., and Winiwarter, W.: Baseline scenarios for the Clean Air For Europe (CAFE) programme, Tech. rep., International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, for the European Commission Direc-

torate General for Environment, Directorate C: Environment and Health, 2005. 7027 Boynard, A., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Hurtmans, D., Turquety, S., George, M., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Keim, C., and Meyer-Arnek, J.: Measurements of total and tropospheric ozone

from IASI: comparison with correlative satellite, ground-based and ozonesonde observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6255–6271, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6255-2009, 2009. 7035, 7044 Clerbaux, C. and Crevoisier, C.: Infrared remote sensing of the troposphere: less, but better, Atmos. Environ., submitted, 2012. 7029

Clerbaux, C., Boynard, A., Clarisse, L., George, M., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Herbin, H., Hurtmans, D.,

- Pommier, M., Razavi, A., Turquety, S., Wespes, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Monitoring of atmospheric composition using the thermal infrared IASI/MetOp sounder, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6041–6054, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6041-2009, 2009. 7031
 - Crevoisier, C., Armante, R., Capelle, V., Chédin, A., Jacquinet-Husson, N., Scott, N., Stubenrauch, C., Clerbaux, C., George, M., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Payan, S., Guidard, V., Fourrié, N.,
- Barret, B., Le Flochmoën, E., Dufour, G., Beekmann, M., Dauphin, P., Eremenko, M., Forêt, G., Sellitto, P., Herbin, H., Dubuisson, P., Parol, F., and Camy-Peyret, C.: Proposition de recherche scientifique spatiale: IASI-NG: coordination des activités de préparation de la mission IASI-Nouvelle Génération pour l'étude du climat, de la chimie atmosphérique et de



la prévision numérique du temps, Tech. rep., CNRS, Comité Terre solide, océan, surfaces continentales, atmosphére, 2012. 7029, 7031

- Crutzen, P. J. and Stoermer, E. F.: The anthropocene, Global Change Newsletter, 41, 17–18, 2000. 7027
- ⁵ Cuesta, J., Eremenko, M., Liu, X., Dufour, G., Hoepfner, M., Cai, Z., von Clarmann, T., Orphal, J., Chance, K., Spurr, R., and Flaud, J.-M.: Multi-spectral retrieval of lowermost tropospheric ozone combining IASI and GOME-2 satellite observations, in: Proceedings of ESA Advances in Atmospheric Science and Applications Conference, Bruges, Belgium, 2012. 7028
- ¹⁰ Dufour, A., Amodei, M., Ancellet, G., and Peuch, V.-H.: Observed and modelled "chemical weather" during ESCOMPTE, Atmos. Res., 74, 161–89, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.04.013, 2005. 7030
 - Dufour, G., Eremenko, M., Orphal, J., and Flaud, J.-M.: IASI observations of seasonal and dayto-day variations of tropospheric ozone over three highly populated areas of China: Beijing,
- ¹⁵ Shanghai, and Hong Kong, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3787–3801, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3787-2010, 2010. 7028, 7033, 7036
 - Dufour, G., Eremenko, M., Griesfeller, A., Barret, B., LeFlochmoën, E., Clerbaux, C., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Coheur, P.-F., and Hurtmans, D.: Validation of three different scientific ozone products retrieved from IASI spectra using ozonesondes, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 611–630, doi:10.5194/amt-5-611-2012, 2012. 7028, 7032, 7034, 7038

20

30

- Eremenko, M., Dufour, G., Foret, G., Keim, C., Orphal, J., Beekmann, M., Bergametti, G., and Flaud, J.-M.: Tropospheric ozone distributions over Europe during the heat wave in July 2007 observed from infrared nadir spectra recorded by IASI, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L18805, doi:10.1029/2008GL034803, 2008. 7028, 7031, 7032, 7033, 7034, 7035
- Horowitz, L. W.: Past, present, and future concentrations of tropospheric ozone and aerosols: methodology, ozone evaluation, and sensitivity to aerosol wet removal, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D22211, doi:10.1029/2005JD006937, 2006. 7027
 - Kulawik, S. S., Osterman, G., Jones, D. B. A., and Bowman, K. W.: Calculation of altitudedependent Tikhonov constraints for TES nadir retrievals, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 44, 1334– 1342, 2006. 7032
 - Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Cooper, O. R., Naik, V., Holloway, J., Johnson, B. J., Middlebrook, A. M., Oltmans, S. J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Warner, J. X., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilson, J., and Wyman, B.: Transport of Asian ozone pollution into surface air over the west-



ern United States in spring, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00V07, doi:10.1029/2011JD016961, 2012. 7028

Liu, X., Bhartia, P. K., Chance, K., Spurr, R. J. D., and Kurosu, T. P.: Ozone profile retrievals from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2521–2537, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2521-2010, 2010. 7028

5

- Martin, V. R.: Satellite remote sensing of surface air quality, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7823–7843, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.018, 2008. 7028
- McPeters, R. D., Labow, G. J., and Logan, J. A.: Ozone climatological profiles for satellite retrieval algorithms, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D05308 doi:10.1029/2002JD002919, 2007. 7032
- Monks, P., Granier, C., Fuzzi, S., Stohl, A., Williams, M., Akimoto, H., Amann, M., Baklanov, A., Baltensperger, U., Bey, I., Blake, N., Blake, R., Carslaw, K., Cooper, O., Dentener, F., Fowler, D., Fragkou, E., Frost, G., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Grewe, V., Guenther, A., Hansson, H., Henne, S., Hjorth, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Huntrieser, H., Isaksen, I., Jenkin, M., Kaiser, J., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z., Kulmala, M., Laj, P., Lawrence, M., Lee, J., Liousse, C., Maione, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A., Mieville, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Orlando, J., O'Dowd, C., Palmer, P., Parrish, D., Petzold, A., Platt, U., Pöschl, U., Prévôt, A., Reeves, C., Reimann, S., Rudich, Y., Sellegri, K., Steinbrecher, R., Simpson, D., ten Brink, H., Theloke, J., van der Werf, G., Vautard, R., Vestreng, V., Vlachokostas, C., and
- von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric composition change global and regional air quality, Atmos. 20 Environ., 43, 5268–5350, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.021, 2009. 7027
 - Ran, L., Zhao, C. S., Geng, F. H., Tie, X., Tang, X., Peng, L., Zhou, G., Yu, Q., Xu, J., and Guenther, A.: Ozone photochemical production in urban Shanghai, China: analysis based on ground level observations, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D15301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010752, 2009. 7028
- Rodgers, C. D.: Characterization and error analysis of profiles retrieved from remote sounding instruments, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 5587–5595, 1990. 7033
 - Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice, World Scientific Publishing Company, London, UK, 2000. 7033, 7036

Schär, C. and Jendritzky, G.: Climate change: hot news from summer 2003, Nature, 432, 559– 560. doi:10.1038/432559a. 2004. 7028

Schoeberl, M. R., Ziemke, J. R., Bojkov, B., Livesey, N., Duncan, B., Strahan, S., Froidevaux, L., Kulawik, S., Bhartia, P. K., Chandra, S., Levelt, P. F., Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Cuevas, E., Redondas, A., Tarasick, D. W., Davies, J., Bodeker, G., Hansen, G., John-



son, B. J., Oltmans, S. J., Vömel, H., Allaart, M., Kelder, H., Newchurch, M., Godin-Beekmann, S., Ancellet, G., Claude, H., Andersen, S. B., Kyrö, E., Parrondos, M., Yela, M., Zablocki, G., Moore, D., Dier, H., von der Gathen, P., Viatte, P., Stübi, R., Calpini, B., Skrivankova, P., Dorokhov, V., de Backer, H., Schmidlin, F. J., Coetzee, G., Fujiwara, M.,

Thouret, V., Posny, F., Morris, G., Merrill, J., Leong, C. P., Koenig-Langlo, G., and Joseph, E.: A trajectory-based estimate of the tropospheric ozone column using the residual method, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24S49, doi:10.1029/2007JD008773, 2007. 7028

Sellitto, P., Del Frate, F., Solimini, D., and Casadio, S.: Tropospheric ozone column retrieval from ESA-Envisat SCIAMACHY nadir UV/VIS radiance measurements by means of a neural net-

- work algorithm, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 50, 998–1011, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2011.2163198, 2012a. 7028
 - Sellitto, P., Noia, A. D., Frate, F. D., Burini, A., Casadio, S., and Solimini, D.: On the role of visible radiation in ozone profile retrieval from nadir UV/VIS satellite measurements: an experiment with neural network algorithms inverting SCIAMACHY data, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 113, 1429–1436, doi:10.1016/j.jgsrt.2012.04.007, 2012b. 7028
 - Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Koch, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Unger, N., and Bauer, S. E.: Improved attribution of climate forcing to emissions, Science, 326, 716–718, doi:10.1126/science.1174760, 2009. 7027

15

25

Steck, T. and von Clarmann, T.: Constrained profile retrieval applied to the observation mode

- of the Michelson interferometer for passive atmospheric sounding, Appl. Optics, 40, 3559– 3571, 2000. 7034
 - Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Hase, F., Höpfner, M., and Linden, A.: Sensitivity of trace gas abundances retrievals from infrared limb emission spectra to simplifying approximations in radiative transfer modelling, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 72, 249–280, doi:10.1016/S0022-4073(01)00123-6, 2002. 7031
 - Vautard, R., Honore, C., Beekmann, M., and Rouil, L.: Simulation of ozone during the August 2003 heat wave and emission control scenarios, Atmos. Environ., 39, 3291–3303, 2005. 7028

Wilson, R. C., Fleming, Z. L., Monks, P. S., Clain, G., Henne, S., Konovalov, I. B., Szopa, S.,

and Menut, L.: Have primary emission reduction measures reduced ozone across Europe?
 An analysis of European rural background ozone trends 1996–2005, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 437–454, doi:10.5194/acp-12-437-2012, 2012. 7027



- Discussion Paper **AMTD** 5, 7025-7065, 2012 LT ozone from **IASI-NG Discussion** Paper P. Sellitto et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract References Conclusions **Discussion** Paper Figures Tables Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion
- WMO: Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2002, Executive Summary, Tech. Rep. 47, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2002. 7027
- Worden, H. M., Logan, J. A., Worden, J. R., Beer, R., Bowman, K., Clough, S. A., Eldering, A., Fisher, B. M., Gunson, M. R., Herman, R. L., Kulawik, S. S., Lampel, M. C., Luo, M., Magret-
- skaia, I. A., Osterman, G. B., and Shephard, M. W.: Comparisons of Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) ozone profiles to ozonesondes: methods and initial results, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D03309, doi:10.1029/2006JD007258, 2007a. 7028
 - Worden, J., Liu, X., Bowman, K., Chance, K., Beer, R., Eldering, A., Gunson, M., and Worden, H.: Improved tropospheric ozone profile retrievals using OMI and TES radiances, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L01809, doi:10.1029/2006GL027806, 2007b. 7028
 - Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., and Bhartia, P. K.: Upper tropospheric ozone derived from the cloud slicing technique: implications for large-scale convection, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4390, doi:10.1029/2002JD002919, 2003. 7028

10

	iscuss	AN	AMTD						
	sion Pa	5, 7025–7	5, 7025–7065, 2012						
	aper	LT ozo IAS	ne from I-NG						
	Discu	P. Sellit	P. Sellitto et al.						
-	Ission P	Title							
k	aper	Abstract	Introduction						
		Conclusions	References						
	Disc	Tables	Figures						
	ussior	14	►I						
	Pap	•	•						
)er	Back	Close						
	—	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc						
	Discuss	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version						
	ion	Interactive	Interactive Discussion						
	Paper	œ	CC D						

Table 1. Mean biases, RMSE and Pearson coefficients, with respect to MOCAGE pseudoreality, averaged over the altitude intervals 0–3 km, 0–6 km, 0–12 km and 7–12 km, for IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations, for the AM and PM overpass. Percent values of biases and RMSEs are reported in parentheses.

		0–3 km		0–6 km		0–12 km		7–12 km	
		IASI	IASI-NG	IASI	IASI-NG	IASI	IASI-NG	IASI	IASI-NG
AM	Bias (ppb)	-1.48 (-3.18%)	-0.59 (-1.27 %)	-0.84 (-1.66 %)	-0.03 (-0.052 %)	2.96 (4.32%)	1.77 (2.58%)	10.13 (11.29 %)	5.04 (5.65 %)
	RMSE (ppb)	13.31 (28.48%)	10.78 (23.17 %)	12.11 (23.90 %)	8.94 (17.61 %)	18.18 (26.54%)	12.51 (18.29%)	26.39E (29.63 %)	17.02 (19.08 %)
	Correlation	0.36	0.63	0.43	0.72	0.48	0.75	0.53	0.78
PM	Bias (ppb)	-3.50 (-7.31 %)	-2.71 (-5.67%)	-2.22 (-4.33 %)	-1.49 (-2.89 %)	1.82 (2.62 %)	0.78 (1.12%)	9.71 (10.73 %)	5.24 (5.80 %)
	RMSE (ppb)	15.70 (32.87 %)	12.92 (26.90%)	14.58 (28.35 %)	10.76 (20.97 %)	22.91 (33.00 %)	14.88 (21.45%)	34.84 (38.43 %)	19.94 (22.02 %)
	Correlation	0.24	0.56	0.30	0.65	0.35	0.71	0.41	0.78

Table 2. Mean biases and RMSE for IASI and IASI-NG TOC surface-6 km pseudo-observations with respect to raw MOCAGE pseudo-reality, calculated in the whole Europe, North-Land pixels, North-Sea pixels, South-Land pixels and South-Sea pixels. The north/south limit latitude is 52.5° N. Percent values are reported in parentheses.

Bias (D	U (%))	RMSE (DU (%))		
IASI	IASI-NG	IASI	IASI-NG	
-0.99 (-4.66%)	-0.43 (-1.77%)	2.39 (13.51 %)	1.85 (9.67%)	
+0.31 (+2.38 %)	+0.21 (+2.17%)	1.91 (10.89%)	1.71 (8.26%)	
+0.28 (+2.54 %)	+0.15 (+1.60 %)	1.99 (11.43%)	1.77 (8.75%)	
–1.91 (–8.08%)	–0.79 (–3.11%)	2.40 (14.47 %)	1.89 (10.30 %)	
-2.68 (-12.40%)	–1.46 (–5.42%)	2.68 (16.01 %)	1.97 (11.01 %)	
	Bias (D IASI -0.99 (-4.66 %) +0.31 (+2.38 %) +0.28 (+2.54 %) -1.91 (-8.08 %) -2.68 (-12.40 %)	Bias (DU (%)) IASI IASI-NG -0.99 (-4.66 %) -0.43 (-1.77 %) +0.31 (+2.38 %) +0.21 (+2.17 %) +0.28 (+2.54 %) +0.15 (+1.60 %) -1.91 (-8.08 %) -0.79 (-3.11 %) -2.68 (-12.40 %) -1.46 (-5.42 %)	Bias (DU (%)) RMSE (IASI IASI-NG IASI -0.99 (-4.66 %) -0.43 (-1.77 %) 2.39 (13.51 %) +0.31 (+2.38 %) +0.21 (+2.17 %) 1.91 (10.89 %) +0.28 (+2.54 %) +0.15 (+1.60 %) 1.99 (11.43 %) -1.91 (-8.08 %) -0.79 (-3.11 %) 2.40 (14.47 %) -2.68 (-12.40 %) -1.46 (-5.42 %) 2.68 (16.01 %)	





Fig. 1. Mean values for 20 Augsut 2009, AM overpasses, of the estimated measurement (blue), smoothing (green) and total error (red), for IASI (dotted lines) and IASI-NG (solid lines): **(a)** absolute errors, **(b)** percent errors, **(c)** percent improvement of IASI-NG over IASI. A priori (black line) and pseudo-reality variability (gray line) are also shown.





Fig. 2. Averaging kernel functions from surface (sky blue) to 12 km (red) for IASI: **(a)** low sensitivity scenario, and **(c)** high sensitivity scenario, and IASI-NG: **(b)** low sensitivity scenario, and **(d)** high sensitivity scenario. The functions are means over the whole period of study (August 2009).





Fig. 3. Integral averaging kernel functions for the TOCs surface-6 km (blue), 7–12 km (red) and 0–12 km (green) for IASI: (a) low sensitivity scenario, and (c) high sensitivity scenario, and IASI-NG: (b) low sensitivity scenario, and (d) high sensitivity scenario. The functions are means over the whole period of study (August 2009).





Fig. 4. Histogram of the DOF surface-6 km (left) and of the altitudes of the maximum of the integral AvK for the TOC surface-6 km (right), for AM and PM overpasses, for IASI (sky blue and blue) and IASI-NG (orange and red). Mean values and standard deviations are also reported. The data cover the whole period of study (August 2009).





Fig. 5. Mean ozone profiles for August 2009, for MOCAGE pseudo-reality (green line), IASI (black line) and IASI-NG pseudo-observations (red line), low tropopause/mid-latitude (sky blue line) and high tropopause/tropical a priori (blue line); AM (left), PM (right).

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Fig. 6. Mean percent bias (top), percent RMSE (middle), Pearson coefficient (bottom) vertical profiles, AM (left) and PM (right), for IASI (black lines) and IASI-NG pseudo-observations (red lines). All quantities are calculated with the ensemble of simulations for August 2009.







Fig. 8. TOC surface-6 km for 19–21 August 2009, AM and PM overpasses, MOCAGE pseudoreality (column 1), IASI and IASI-NG pseudo-observations (columns 2 and 3).







Fig. 9. Time series of MOCAGE pseudo-reality (green), and IASI (black) and IASI-NG pseudoobservations (red) over Po Valley **(a)**, eastern **(b)** and western section of the Mediterranean Basin **(c)**. Mean percent biases, percent RMSEs and Pearson coefficients are also reported for the two instruments.



Fig. 10. Time series of MOCAGE pseudo-reality (green), and IASI (black) and IASI-NG pseudoobservations (red) over Amsterdam, the Netherlands **(a)**; Berlin, Germany **(b)**; Paris, France **(c)**; Barcelona, Spain **(d)**; a marine location west of Norway, 58.0° N–5° E **(e)**. Mean percent biases, percent RMSEs and Pearson coefficients are also reported for the two instruments.





Fig. 11. MOCAGE pseudo-reality (green), IASI (black) and IASI-NG pseudo-observations (red) and a priori tropospheric profiles (blue) for the ozone peak of the 24 August in Paris (a); AvKs from surface (sky blue) to 12 km (red) for IASI and IASI-NG (b, c) for the same scenario.





Fig. 12. Maps of raw and smoothed MOCAGE pseudo-reality and IASI-NG pseudoobservations for the days 25 (top) and 11 August 2009 (bottom), AM overpass. Vertical ozone concentration profiles from a priori (blue), MOCAGE pseudo-reality (green), and IASI (black) and IASI-NG pseudo-observations (red) over Berlin for the two days are also shown.

