

Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, C1063–C1064, 2012

www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/5/C1063/2012/

© Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



AMTD

5, C1063–C1064, 2012

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “SCIAMACHY WFM-DOAS XCO₂: comparison with CarbonTracker XCO₂ focusing on aerosols and thin clouds” by J. Heymann et al.

A. Galli

a.galli@sron.nl

Received and published: 22 May 2012

Dear authors

I find your paper interesting and understandably written. In particular, I like the results (and the description thereof) of the cloud detection algorithm and the viewing geometry correction. These are really important steps.

I have but two question about the correlation study: How come the (spatial and temporal) correlation of the XCO₂ differences with COD is stronger, and also more significant, in the Southern hemisphere? Do you have any (quantitative or intuitive) explanation?

C1063

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Or is this hemispheric discrepancy not significant at all?

And is it justified to interpret Table 5 as an evidence that aerosols have a weaker impact on the retrieved XCO₂ than clouds? I'm a little confused why (since on regional scales the correlation with COD is stronger) on a global scale the only significant correlation is the temporal correlation with aerosol OT.

Kind regards

Andre Galli

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, 2887, 2012.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

