1 2	Effect of spectrally varying albedo of vegetation surfaces on shortwave radiation fluxes and aerosol direct radiative forcing
3	L. Zhu, J. V. Martins, and H. Yu
4	Li Zhu
5	University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Department of Physics and Joint Center for Earth
6	Systems Technology, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD, 21250
7	410-455-1986
8	zhuli1@umbc.edu
9	
10	J. Vanderlei Martins
11	University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Department of Physics and Joint Center for Earth
12	Systems Technology, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD, 21250
13	410-455-2764
14	martins@umbc.edu
15	
16	Hongbin Yu
17 18	Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center (ESSIC)
19	University of Maryland, College Park
20	and
21	Climate and Radiation Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
22	301-614-6209
23	Hongbin.Yu@nasa.gov
24	
25	

27

Abstract

This study develops an algorithm for the representing large spectral variations of vegetation 28 29 albedo based on Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS) observations at 7 discrete 30 channels, referred to as MODIS Enhanced Vegetation Albedo (MEVA) algorithm. The MEVA algorithm empirically fills spectral gaps around the vegetation red edge near 0.7 µm and 31 32 represents vegetation absorption features at 1.48 and 1.92 µm, which can't be adequately 33 captured by the MODIS 7 channels. We then assess the effects of different characterizations of 34 vegetation albedo (including MEVA and four traditional approaches to applying the MODIS observed discrete reflectance) on calculations of solar fluxes and aerosol direct radiative forcing 35 36 (DRF) at the top of atmosphere (TOA). By comparing DRF results obtained through MEVA 37 method to the results obtained through the four traditional approaches, we show that filling the reflectance gap of the MODIS measurements around 0.7 µm based on the general spectral 38 behavior of healthy green vegetation leads to significant improvement in aerosol DRF at the top 39 of atmosphere (TOA) (up to 3.02 Wm⁻² being about 90% of the aerosol DRF calculated with 40 surface reflectance of high spectral resolution); the corrections to the other two spectral gaps in 41 the vegetation spectrum missed by the MODIS reflectances also contribute to improving TOA 42 DRF calculations but to a much lower extent (less than 0.27 Wm⁻² being about 57% of the DRF 43 44 calculated with surface reflectance of high spectral resolution). Compared to traditional approaches, MEVA improves the accuracy of the outgoing solar flux at the top of the atmosphere 45 by over 60 Wm⁻² and aerosol DRF by over 10 Wm⁻² in the tested cases. Specifically, for Amazon 46 47 vegetation types, MEVA can improve the accuracy of daily averaged aerosol radiative forcing at equator at equinox by 3.7 Wm⁻². These improvements indicate that MEVA can contribute to 48

vegetation covered regional climate studies, and help to improve understanding of climateprocesses and climate change.

51

52 **1 Introduction**

Vegetation covered land surface and the climate are linked together through complex ecological, 53 hydrological, and biogeochemical processes (Dickinson, 1983; Dirmeyer and Shukla, 1994; 54 Dickinson, 1995; Lyapustin, 1999; Betts, 2000; Lucht et al., 2002). Among these processes, the 55 surface directly reflects the solar radiation and affects the Earth's energy balance, and hence the 56 climate (Cess, 1978; Lofgren, 1995). The knowledge of the surface albedo properties affects 57 earth-atmosphere system related calculations and retrievals such as the direct aerosol forcing 58 59 calculation (Yu et al., 2006; McComiskey et al., 2008) and cloud properties retrieval (Popp et al., 2011). Specifically, spectral surface albedo is affected by leaf structure, water content, pigment, 60 chrolophyl, etc. (Collins, 1978; Kim et al., 1994; Asner et al., 2000; Ceccato et al., 2001). The 61 62 black sky albedo calculated from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data shown in Fig. 1 indicates that vegetation albedo has large spatial and spectral variations. 63 Adequate representation of these variations is important for estimating radiative flux and aerosol 64 radiative forcing. 65

Much work has been done to capture vegetation surface reflectance and albedo. Directly, surface
albedo can be obtained from field measurements (Gilgen et al., 1995; Sellers et al., 1992; Hall
and Sellers, 1995). Leaf samples have also been collected and their reflectance has been
determined by spectrophotometers in the laboratory (Hosgood et al., 1994; Clark et al., 2007).
Additionally, remote sensing techniques have been widely used to determine surface albedo, for

71	instance the Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer (AVIRIS) (Staenz et al., 1996),
72	and many satellite operations including, but not limited to: Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment
73	(GOME) (Kolemeijer et al., 2003), MEdium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS)
74	(Muller, 2006), Polarization and Directionality of the Earth's Reflectances (POLDER) (Leroy et
75	al., 1997), Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) (Diner, 2008), Advanced Very High
76	Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) (Saunders, 1990), Visible Infrared Imager Radiometer Suite
77	(VIIRS) (Miller, 2002), and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Liang
78	et al., 1999; Lucht et al., 2000; Schaaf et al., 2002; Moody et al., 2005).
79	Specifically, the MODIS sensor on board the NASA polar satellites TERRA (1999 – present)
80	and AQUA (2000 - present) measures the reflected solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere
81	(TOA) which can be used to retrieve surface properties (Vermote et al., 1997). The MODIS land
82	science team has used the MODIS measurements to develop a series of surface albedo data
83	products, including MCD43C among others. In detail, MCD43C provides three spectrally
84	dependent parameters f_{iso} , f_{vol} , f_{geo} for calculating black sky albedo and white sky albedo when
85	combined with a BRDF model. These parameters are available at MODIS bands 1 to 7
86	(nominally centered at 0.47, 0.55, 0.67, 0.86, 1.24, 1.63, and 2.11 μ m), and in the spectral ranges
87	of visible (0.3 to 0.7 μ m), near infrared (0.7 to 5 μ m), and total broadband (0.3 to 5 μ m).
88	Satellite remote sensing techniques have the advantages of having larger spatial and longer
89	temporal coverage than in situ measurements. However, most satellite sensors can only measure
90	reflectance at certain narrow bands and have the drawback of inadequately characterizing
91	spectral variations as the example shown in Fig.2. Clearly MODIS spectral measurements don't

92 well capture the rapid increase of reflectance from 0.67 to $0.86 \mu m$ and the dips at 1.48 and 1.92

µm. For flux and aerosol forcing calculations, broadband albedo is generally used and narrow
band albedo is usually ignored (Myhre et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2005; Patadi et al., 2009). The
limited spectral reflectance information and the simplified broad band albedos can be error prone
in radiative forcing calculations (Wang et al., 2011).

97 This work presents a new algorithm – the MODIS enhanced vegetation albedo (MEVA) – to
98 provide an integrated vegetation reflectance spectrum, with the advantage of global and temporal
99 coverage over the lifetime of MODIS. Given reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7, this project
100 demonstrates that the vegetation reflectance spectrum determined by the MEVA algorithm
101 improves the accuracy of the TOA flux and aerosol forcing calculations.

102 2

2 Methodology

2.1 Traditional approaches

104 Several methods have been traditionally used to integrate the surface albedo over the whole solar spectrum based on the MODIS bands 1-7. These methods are illustrated in Fig. 3 based on the 105 reflectance spectrum of miconia guianensis adapted from Arai et al. (2010) and can be described 106 107 as: (a) the narrowband reflectance is converted to reflectance in total shortwave broadband (from 0.3 to 2.5 µm) (Liang et al., 1999); (b) narrowband reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7 is converted 108 to reflectance in two broad bands: broadband "visible" (from 0.3 to 0.7 µm) and "near infrared" 109 110 (from 0.7 to 2.5 μ m) according to Liang et al. (1999); (c) the wavelength between two adjacent MODIS channels are averaged (which leads to 0.51, 0.61, 0.77, 1.10, 1.44, and 1.87 µm) and 111 seven reflectance values from MODIS centered in the native wavelengths are assigned to the 112 following bands: from 0.3 to 0.51 µm, from 0.51 to 0.61 µm, and so on (denoted "average band 113 MODIS" in following discussions); (d) the reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7 is linearly 114

interpolated. The approach in methods (a) and (b) is performed through polynomial regressions
to convert albedos at MODIS narrow bands to broadband albedos at visible, near infrared, and
total shortwave as described in Liang et al. (1999). Using the reflectance spectrum of vegetation *miconia guianensis* adopted from Arai et al. (2010) as an example, Fig. 3 illustrates results from
the four approaches described above.

This research will show that all of these traditional techniques produce significant errors in estimating TOA radiative fluxes and aerosol forcing. The new methodology proposed here based on MODIS bands 1-7 (MEVA – MODIS enhanced vegetation albedo) will minimize these errors. TOA solar fluxes and aerosol direct radiative forcing will be calculated for all these methods (traditional and proposed) and will be compared with the results calculated from the high resolution spectral libraries.

As shown by the solid blue line in Fig. 3(d), it is possible to linearly connect reflectance at
MODIS bands 1-7 in order to interpolate the reflectance data. However, in this method, there are
three distinct features missing from the actual spectrum, which can be seen in the shadowed
areas in Fig. 4 (b).

The first missing feature is associated with the vegetation red edge around 0.7 μ m, which is the division between the low reflectance in the visible and high reflectance in the near infrared. The red edge in the vegetation surface reflectance spectra have been used to study chlorophyl, water content, pigment content properties, and more (Horler et al., 1983; Guyot et al., 1992; Gitelson et al., 1996; Sims and Gamon, 2002; Stimson et al., 2005). As shown in Fig. 4 (a), solar radiation arriving at the surface is relatively strong around 0.7 μ m, which intensifies the errors in flux and aerosol forcing calculations associated with the missing feature of the red edge. The other two

important missing features in the interpolated spectrum are around 1.44 and 1.92 µm due to
radiation absorption by vegetation water. These two missing features are expected to lead to
smaller errors, due to the weaker solar radiation arriving at the surface resulting from the strong
atmospheric water vapor absorption in these two spectral ranges as shown in Fig. 4 (a). These
errors are discussed in more detail in the following section through flux and aerosol forcing
calculation in different spectral ranges.

143 **2.2 MODIS enhanced vegetation albedo (MEVA)**

We now describe a new empirical method which will show how the seven MODIS narrowband albedos can be extended in a continuous reflectance spectrum to minimize errors in the calculation of fluxes at the TOA and lead to more accurate aerosol radiative forcing and flux calculations.

The MODIS enhanced vegetation albedo (MEVA) algorithm is proposed here to minimize the 148 149 errors in flux and aerosol forcing calculations associated with these missing features. In addition to MODIS 7 channels, MEVA includes 7 auxiliary channels (0.69, 0.72, a variable channel at the 150 top of the red edge, 1.44, 1.84, 1.92, and 3 µm). Four of these auxiliary channels are shown in 151 152 Fig. 5: the reflectance at 0.69 µm is obtained by linearly extrapolating the reflectance at 0.55 and 0.67 μ m; the reflectance at 0.72 μ m is the average between 0.69 μ m and 0.86 μ m; the reflectance 153 at 1.44 µm is 40% of the reflectance at 1.24 µm; the reflectance at 1.92 µm is 20% of the 154 155 reflectance value at 1.63 µm. The remaining three auxiliary channels are a variable channel at the top of the red edge, and at 1.84 and 3 µm as shown in Fig. 6. The variable channel at the top of 156 157 the red edge is defined as the crossing point between the linearly extrapolated line connecting 0.69 to 0.72 µm and the linearly extrapolated line connecting 1.24 and 0.86 µm; the reflectance 158

159 at 1.84 µm is determined by linearly interpolating the reflectance at 1.63 and 2.11 µm; the 160 reflectance at 3 μ m is set to zero. Finally, the reflectance between 0.3 and 0.4 μ m were set constant to the reflectance at 0.47 µm. The auxiliary channels and the values of ratios were 161 determined here by the general behavior of vegetation spectra including the vegetation red edge 162 associated with ChlorophyII absorption at 0.7 µm and vegetation water absorption features at 163 about 1.5 and 1.9 µm (Hoffer [1987]). The final result is a reflectance spectrum based on the 164 MODIS bands 1-7 that better resembles the most important features of a typical vegetation 165 spectral reflectance. With *miconia guianensis* (named "vegetation 5" in the discussion) as an 166 167 example, the MEVA spectrum is displayed as the solid blue line in Fig. 6.

168

3 Evaluation of the methodology

In order to evaluate the relative merits of the MEVA methodology versus traditional approaches 169 170 to interpolate the MODIS bands 1-7, several high-resolution vegetation spectra from the 171 literature were used as input to the Santa Barbara DISORT Atmospheric Radiative Transfer (SBDART) program (Ricchiazzi et al., 1998) to calculate examples of the outgoing flux at TOA 172 and the direct aerosol forcing. Here the direct radiative forcing is defined as the difference of 173 174 total outgoing flux at TOA under clear sky with and without aerosols. A positive direct aerosol forcing value indicates that aerosols warm the earth-atmosphere system, and a negative value 175 176 shows that aerosols cool the earth-atmosphere system.

The SBDART is a radiative transfer model based on the discrete ordinate method which includes
aerosols, gases, and surface properties (Ricchiazzi et al., 1998) and can run with different
atmospheric input settings and customized spectral surface albedo. For this study, the main input
parameters are the spectral surface albedo in a spectral range of 0.3 um to 2.5 µm with a 0.01 µm

resolution; the spectral aerosol single-scattering albedo (SSA), aerosol optical depth (AOD), and phase function in a spectral range of 0.3 um to 2.5 μ m with a resolution of 0.1 μ m to 0.2 μ m; and the standard tropical atmospheric profile. The phase function was represented by 128 terms of Legengre moments calculated with Mie theory based on the Amazonian aerosol model presented by Dubovik et al. 2002. The outputs are the flux at TOA in Wm⁻² μ m⁻¹ from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m with a default resolution of 0.005 μ m.

During the simulation, the surface is assumed to be Lambertian and albedo is equal to reflectance. This approximation makes significant errors for directional radiance calculations but not so for the total TOA flux and aerosol forcing calculations. In addition, the MODIS surface albedo product already considers the surface BRDF effect. Thus we consider this approximation to be acceptable for this study. We also assume that the surface albedo doesn't depend on solar zenith angle. This assumption doesn't introduce significant errors to the calculation of daily averaged aerosol radiative forcing (Yu et al., 2004).

194 One possible scenario for biomass burning aerosols over the Amazon region is studied here using the following input parameters: AOD (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.32 and 0.64, SSA (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.89, 195 and solar zenith angle (SZA) = 30 degrees. Cases with different AODs, SSAs, and SZAs were 196 also studied, and will be discussed in the next section. The vegetation reflectance spectra used in 197 this study (denoted "true" in following discussions) were taken from the JHU spectral library, the 198 199 USGS Digital Spectral Library (Clark et al., 2007), and from the spectral signatures of leaves 200 from Amazonian trees presented by Aria et al. (2010). The MODIS data has 500 m spatial resolution and might contain mixtures of different land and vegetation types. This is a limitation 201 202 of this study which uses the laboratory measurement of the leaf spectral reflectance as the land

surface albedo in radiative transfer simulations. Since one main application of MEVA in this
 study is the calculation of biomass burning aerosol forcing and TOA flux calculation over the
 Amazon where green vegetation dominates over the whole year, this assumption is appropriate.

206

3.1 Dry grass, green grass, conifer, and deciduous surfaces

In this section, the studied vegetation types are dry grass, green grass, conifer, and deciduous; their reflectance spectra are provided by the JHU spectral library. Given reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7, the reflectance spectra are reconstructed through the methods discussed in the last section. Figure 7 shows the reflectance spectrum provided by the spectral library ("true") and MEVA. Though the dry grass case shows large difference between MEVA and the "true" spectrum, it was kept in all our calculations as an example of the "worst case" scenario and to demonstrate that even in this situation the fluxes and forcing errors are reasonable under control.

For each vegetation type, the outgoing solar flux at TOA and aerosol direct radiative forcing 214 215 (from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m) were calculated with the reflectance spectrum obtained from the high resolution spectral libraries ("true"), traditional approaches (the linear MODIS, the averaged 216 band MODIS, the Liang visible and near infrared, the Liang shortwave), and the MEVA method. 217 218 The results are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. As shown in Table 1, MEVA produces the outgoing flux that is closest to the "true" in all cases, with the difference varying from 0.58 to 219 1.31 Wm^{-2} , while the maximum deviation associated with other methods reaches 23 Wm^{-2} . A 220 221 simplistic and naive expectation would be that the surface does not matter to aerosol forcing because the difference between two radiative fluxes would cancel out the impact of the surface 222 223 reflectance. However this cancellation does not happen generally, because aerosol forcing depends on the balance among aerosol absorption, aerosol scattering, and surface reflectance. 224

For example, some aerosols can have a cooling effect over low reflectance surfaces (e.g.
vegetation), but have a warming effect over high reflectance surfaces (e.g. snow). With the
exception of dry grass, Table 2 shows that MEVA yields the aerosol forcing that is closest to the
"true" case with regard to aerosol forcing magnitude (varying from 0.43 to 0.63 Wm⁻²) and
percentage (below 10 %). The exception of dry grass is explained in the following sensitivity
discussion.

The sensitivity of aerosol DRF in different spectral ranges are investigated and shown in Table 3 231 for a deciduous vegetation surface. For the aerosol forcing in the spectral range of 0.55 to 1.24 232 μ m, MEVA provides a difference of 0.35 Wm⁻² from the "true", as compared to differences 233 between -1.41 to -2.67 Wm⁻² from traditional approaches. This demonstrates that MEVA 234 surpasses traditional approaches in calculating aerosol forcing. It can also be observed from 235 Table 3 that the spectral range from 0.55 to 1.24 µm presents the largest difference between each 236 237 method and calculations with the "true" spectrum (except for the case of Liang shortwave). 238 These results indicate the importance of filling the spectral gaps for the missing feature of the vegetation red edge around 0.7 µm, which is consistent with the discussion in Sect.2.2. In the 239 240 same fashion, gap filling through MEVA for the other missing features around 1.48 and 1.92 µm lead to the closest aerosol forcing to "true", with differences of -0.03 and 0.01 Wm⁻², compared 241 to the values from 0.01 to 0.08 Wm^{-2} estimated through traditional approaches. 242

According to Table 3, the aerosol forcing differences for the gap filling of the vegetation water absorption missing features (shown in the spectral range of 1.24 to 1.63 and 1.84 to 2.1 μ m) are smaller than the aerosol forcing difference obtained for the gap filling of the red edge missing feature (shown in the spectral range of 0.55 to 1.24 μ m), i.e. 0.03 and 0.01 being smaller than

247 0.35; 0.01 and 0.08 being smaller than 1.76; etc. This result indicates that gap filling for the vegetation water absorption missing features has a relatively small impact on aerosol forcing 248 calculation than the impact from the missing red edge. This conclusion is well explained by the 249 250 relatively weaker solar radiation and stronger atmospheric water absorption around 1.48 µm and 1.92 µm than those around 0.7 µm as shown in Fig. 4 (a). This suggests that the results are not 251 sensitive to the percentages we proposed in Figure 5. Very similar results were derived in the 252 253 analysis of green grass, conifer, aspens, and Amazon vegetation. The spectral analysis for dry grass indicates that the aerosol forcing difference of 1.84 Wm⁻² between MEVA and "true" (as in 254 Table 2) is predominantly caused by the difference in the spectral range of 0.3 to $0.55 \,\mu\text{m}$, where 255 the difference is 1.86 Wm^{-2} (compared to 0.17, -0.14, -0.01, -0.01, and -0.01 in the other five 256 spectral ranges: 0.55 to 1.24, 1.24 to 1.63, 1.63 to 1.84, 1.84 to 2.1 and 2.1 to 2.5 µm). This 257 258 might be related with the distinct spectral feature of dry grass in the range of the 0.3 to 0.55 µm (as shown in Fig. 7). In Fig. 7, the spectral reflectance for green grass, conifers, and deciduous is 259 characterized with a reflectance peak staring from about 0.5 µm and ends at about 0.7 µm which 260 261 is absent from dry grass. This distinct spectral behavior by dry grass might be caused by its low chlorophyl and vegetation water content (Hoffer, 1978). In general, the results in Table 3 justify 262 the gap filling procedure by MEVA for the three missing features shown in Fig. 4. 263

264 **3.2 Aspen surfaces**

In this section, the above procedures are applied to the reflectance spectrum for aspen surfaces
provided by the USGS digital spectral library (Clark et al., 2007). "Aspen 1" (green leaf), "aspen
2" (green leaf), "aspen 3" (yellow-green leaf), and "aspen 4" (yellow leaf) were sampled in
Boulder, Colorado, USA, and their reflectances were measured by a laboratory spectrometer;

"aspen 5" was sampled in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, USA, and its reflectance 269 spectrum was retrieved from AVIRIS data; "aspen 6" was collected in Denver, Colorado, USA, 270 and its reflectance spectrum is the average of the three measured spectra. Figure 8 shows the 271 272 reflectance spectra from "true" and MEVA for these six different aspen surfaces. 273 The outgoing flux at TOA and aerosol forcing were calculated using these reflectance spectra as surface albedo, and the results are shown in Tables 4 and 5. With the exception of aspen 4, 274 MEVA leads to the minimum difference to "true" for both flux and aerosol forcing compared to 275 traditional approaches. The aerosol forcing difference between MEVA and "true" is 0.61 Wm^{-2} 276 for aspen 4, which is greater than the difference of - 0.36 Wm^{-2} from the average band MODIS 277 method. This might be related with the leaf color being "yellow", which implies strong 278 reflectance in the range of 0.57 to 0.59 µm which can be seen in Fig. 8. Similar to the spectral 279 behavior of dry grass, the spectral behavior of aspen 4 might be caused by its low chlorophyl and 280 281 water moisture content (Hoffer, 1978). This indicates that MEVA works best for green 282 vegetation types, but still produces reasonable results for yellow leaves. Overall, MEVA consistently improves the accuracy of the calculated outgoing flux at TOA and aerosol forcing. 283

284 **3.3 Amazon vegetation**

Results for Amazonian vegetation are specifically investigated in this section. The Amazon
forest plays a unique role in climate change (Shukla et al., 1990; Nobre et al., 1991). However,
Amazon vegetation reflectance data is scarce (Roberts et al., 1990; Arai et al., 2010). The
reflectance spectrum for six Amazon vegetation types from Arai et al. (2010) were shown in Fig.
9 overlaid with their MEVA spectra. The spectra from Arai et al. did not show the reflectance

value in the range of 1.35 to 1.45 μ m and 1.85 to 1.95 μ m, which were linearly connected in this study to represent "true".

In a more detailed analysis, the outgoing flux at TOA and aerosol forcing were calculated with 292 three different typical biomass burning aerosol models shown in Fig. 10: SSA (at 0.55 μ m) = 293 294 0.95, 0.89, and 0.83, with AOD (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.32. In Fig. 10, the SSA curves are simulated from Mie code (Wiscombe, 1980) with 1.4589 as the real part of the refractive index and three 295 cases of spectrally constant imaginary refractive index equal to 0.0073, 0.0173, and 0.0273. 296 According to aerosol optical properties studies (e.g. Dubovik et al., 2002; Eck et al., 2003), 297 298 biomass burning aerosols have a relatively spectrally constant imaginary refractive index. This 299 simplification has also been applied to the biomass burning aerosol study by Procopio et al. 300 (2003). The size distribution was calculated through the Amazonian forest aerosol model by Dubovik et al. (2002). 301

Aerosol forcing efficiency (defined as aerosol direct radiative forcing per unit AOD) results 302 303 calculated with SSA (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.95 (noted as "model 1"), 0.89 (noted as "model 2"), and 0.83 (noted as "model 3") for Amazon vegetation types are presented in Fig. 11. Other 304 parameters used in these calculations include AOD (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.32 and SZA = 30 degrees. 305 The results in Fig. 11 shows that MEVA yields an aerosol forcing efficiency (in Wm⁻² AOD⁻¹) 306 closest to that provided by "true" surface albedo. Using broadband shortwave albedo could 307 introduce an error of 10 to 50 Wm⁻² AOD⁻¹. Similar to the earlier results for green grass, canopy, 308 deciduous, and aspens, the results for Amazon vegetation also indicate that the MEVA algorithm 309 leads to the best approximation to the "true" surface albedo spectra, regarding the accuracy of the 310 311 outgoing flux at TOA and the aerosol direct forcing.

Moreover, the differences of the aerosol forcing efficiency associated with different methods to estimate reflectance spectrum are averaged over the six Amazonian vegetation types studied here. The results in Fig. 12 indicate that the aerosol forcing efficiency calculated through MEVA is the closest to that from "true" than the other traditional approaches discussed here. The same conclusion is drawn from the studies with AODs equal to 0.64 and 1.28 (at 0.55 µm).

318 To assess the impacts of surface spectral albedo approximations on the daily average aerosol forcing, we perform the aerosol forcing calculations at the equator and in equinox condition. The 319 surface albedo is assumed to be SZA independent. Figure 13 indicates that MEVA yields aerosol 320 forcing closest to that from "true" than traditional approaches for all vegetation types and under 321 322 all SZAs (especially when SZA is smaller than 60 degrees). The daily averaged aerosol forcing was determined by the 24 hour average aerosol forcing. Table 6 shows that MEVA produces a 323 daily average aerosol forcing closest to that from "true" compared to traditional approaches for 324 325 all vegetation types. We also average the daily average aerosol forcing at equator at equinox over the studied six vegetation types. The results, shown in the last column of Table 6, indicate that 326 327 MEVA is the best approximation to the "true" case using the high resolution surface reflectance spectrum. The magnitude of the average aerosol forcing difference between MEVA and "true" is 328 about 0.05 Wm^{-2} , much smaller than -0.95, -1.09, -0.73, and 3.80 Wm^{-2} calculated using 329 traditional approaches. The magnitude of the ratio of this difference to that from "true" is also 330 the minimum at -0.9% compared to 18.0%, 20.6%, 13.8%, and -71.8% estimated through 331 traditional approaches. A similar investigation was done with different aerosol models: SSA (at 332 333 $(0.55 \ \mu\text{m}) = 0.95 \ \text{and} \ 0.83; \ \text{AOD} \ (\text{at} \ 0.55 \ \mu\text{m}) = 0.64 \ \text{and} \ 1.28. \ \text{Consistently, the results show that}$

334 MEVA yields average aerosol forcing closest to that from "true" compared with traditional335 approaches.

4 Conclusion

337 In this research, a new approach called MEVA was developed to estimate the continuous vegetation reflectance spectrum using the reflectance measurements acquired from MODIS 338 seven bands, namely 0.47, 0.55, 0.67, 0.86, 1.24, 1.63, and $2.11 \mu m$. The approach enhances the 339 MODIS vegetation albedo product by characterizing large spectral variation features at 0.7, 1.44, 340 and 1.92 µm that are missing in the MODIS observations. Several sources of vegetation spectral 341 reflectance were used to evaluate the MEVA approach: the JHU spectral library (for dry grass, 342 green grass, conifer, and deciduous surfaces), the USGS digital spectral library (for aspen 343 surface), and measurements of six Amazon vegetation types. The gap filling for the missing red 344 345 edge feature at 0.7 μ m is the most significant due to the strong solar radiation input in this spectral range; the other two gap fillings for vegetation water absorption signatures are less 346 important, due to the weaker solar radiation and strong atmospheric water absorption. 347 Flux and aerosol forcing calculation results indicate that MEVA has significant advantages over 348 349 traditional approaches in accurately calculating radiative fluxes and aerosol radiative forcing. For the studied cases with AOD (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.32, MEVA improved the accuracy of the outgoing 350 flux at TOA by up to 60 Wm⁻² (nearly 20% of the flux value derived from "true"), aerosol 351 forcing by up to 10 Wm⁻² (about 70% of the forcing value derived from "true"), daily averaged 352 aerosol forcing at equator at equinox by up to 3.7 Wm⁻² (about 70% of the forcing value derived 353 from "true"). A similar conclusion was drawn from parallel studies applying AOD (at 0.55 µm) 354

= 0.64 and 1.28. For aerosol forcing, MEVA led to errors less than 1 Wm⁻² with the exception of

dry grass which produced an error of 1.84 Wm⁻². This greater error might be associated with lower chrolophyl and water content of dry grass compared with the other discussed vegetation types. The combination of MEVA results with our retrievals of SSA for biomass burning aerosols (Zhu et al., 2011) will improve the estimate of radiative forcing and their impacts on climate by providing more accurate flux and aerosol forcing calculations.

Our exercise in this study shows that MEVA can be employed to improve the accuracy of flux 361 and aerosol forcing calculations for vegetated surfaces. Particularly, with the publically available 362 global surface albedo data at MODIS seven channels, MEVA can be integrated into radiative 363 transfer calculations and contribute to regional climate studies over vegetated areas. In this study, 364 365 the MEVA algorithm validation used laboratory measurements of leaf reflectance as land surface albedo in radiative transfer simulations. This work can be further improved with the analysis of 366 real remote sensing data where individual pixel might be composed of mixed different land and 367 368 vegetation types including yellow leaves.

369

370

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank NASA for funding this project under the grant NNX08AJ78G and IDS project, managed by H. Maring. We also would like to thank the MODIS team for their excellent work on the instrument development, maintenance, and calibration. We thank their effort on data quality control and providing data to the public as well. Thanks also go to Elisa Thome Sena for her constructive comments and suggestions.

376

377

379 **References:**

- Arai, E., Pereira, G., Coura, S. M. C., Cardozo, F. S., Silva, F. B., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Moraes,
- 381 E.C., Freitsa, R.M., and Espirito-Santo, F.D.B.: Spectral signature of leaves of Amazon rainforest
- tree species, IGRASS, 2011 IEEE International, 4788-4791, 2010.

383

- Asner, G. P., Wessman, C. A., Bateson, C. A. and Privette, J. L.: Impact of tissue, canopy, and
- landscape factors on the hyperspectral reflectance variability of arid ecosystems, Remote sensing
 of environment, Vol.74, 69-84, 2000.

387

Betts, R. A.: Offset of the potential carbon sink from boreal forestation by decreases in surface
albedo, Nature, Vol. 408, 187-190, 2000.

390

Ceccato, P., Flasse, S., Tarantola, S., Jacquemoud, S., and Gregoire, J. M.: Detecting vegetation
leaf water content using reflectance in the optical domain, Remote Sensing of Environment, Vol.
77, 22-33, 2001.

394

Cess, R. D.: Biosphere-albedo feedback and climate modeling, Journal of Atmospheric Sciences,
Vol.35, Issue 9, 1765-1767, 1978.

397

- 398 Clark, R. N., Swayze, G. A., Wise, R., Livo, E., Hoefen, T., Kokaly, R., Sutley, S. J.: USGS
- digital spectral library splib06a: U.S. Geological Survey, Digital Data Series 231,
- 400 <u>http://speclab.cr.usgs.gov/spectral.lib06</u>, 2007.

402	Collins, W.: Remote sensing of crop type and maturity, Engineering, Vol.44, No.1, 43-55, 1978
403	

404 Dickinson, R. E.: Land surface processes and climate-Surface albedos and energy balance.

Advances in Geophysics, Vol. 25, 305-353, Theory of Climate. Academic Press Inc. Published
by Elsevier Ltd, 1983.

407

Dickinson, R. E.: Land processes in climate models, Remote sensing of environment, Vol. 51,
27–38, 1995.

410

411 Diner, D.: Level 2 surface retrieval algorithm theoretical basis. JPL D-11401, Revision E, 2008.
412

Dirmeyer, P. A. and Shukla, J.: The response of the general circulation to deforestation in the
 tropics, American Meteorology Society, Proc.5th Symposium on Global Change, 131-134, 1994.

416 Dubovik, O., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., Kaufman, Y. J., King, M. D., Tanré, D.,

417 and Slutsker, I.: Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types observed in

418 worldwide locations. J. Atmos. Sci., 59:590–608, 2002.

419

420 Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Ward, D. E., Mukelabai, M. M., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Schafer, J.

421 S., Hsu, N. C., Piketh, S. J., Queface, A., Le Roux, J., Swap, R. J., and Slutsker, I.: Variability of

422 biomass burning aerosol optical characteristics in southern Africa during the SAFARI 2000 dry

season campaign and a comparison of single scattering albedo estimates from radiometric

424 measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8477, doi:10.1029/2002JD002321, 2003.

426	Gilgen, H., Whitlock, C., Koch, F., Müller, G., Ohmura, A., Steiger, D. and Wheeler, R.:
427	Technical Plan for BSRN (Baseline Surface Radiation Network) Data Management, Version 2.1
428	(final). MO/TD-No. 443, WCRP/WMO, 1995.
429	Gitelson, A. A., Merzlyak, M. N., and Lichtenthaler, H. K.: Detection of red edge position and
430	chlorophyII content by reflectance measurements near 700 nm. Journal of Plant Physiology,
431	Volume: 148, Issue: 3-4, Publisher: Stuttgart; New York: G. Fischer, c1984-, 501-508, 1996.
432	
433	Guyot, G., Baret, F., and Jacquemoud, S.: Imaging spectroscopy for vegetation studies, Imaging
434	Spectroscopy: Fundamentals and Prospective Applications, 145-165, 1992.
435	
436	Hall, F. G., and Sellers, P.J.: First International Satellite Land Surface Climatology Project
437	(ISLSCP) Field Experiment (FIFE) in 1995, J. Geophys. Res., 100(D12), 25,383-25,395,
438	doi:10.1029/95JD03300, 1995.
439	
440	Hoffer, R.M.: Biological and physical considerations in applying computer-aided analysis
441	techniques to remote sensing data, in Remote Sensing: The Quantitative Approach, P. H. Swain
442	and S.M.Davis, eds., McGraw-Hill, 227-289, 1978.
443	
444	Horler, D.N.H., Dockray, M., and Barber, J.: The red edge of plant leaf reflectance, International
445	Journal of Remote Sensing, Vol.1, 121-136, 1983.
446	

447	Hosgood, B., Jacquemoud, S., Andreoli, G., Verdebout, J., Pedrini, A., and Schmuck, G.: Leaf
448	Optical Properties EXperiment 93 (LOPEX93), Report EUR 16095 EN, 1994.
449	
450	Kim, M. S., Daughtry, C. S., Chappelle, E. W., Mcmurtrey, J. E. and Walthall, C. L.: The use of

- 451 high spectral resolution bands for estimating absorbed photonsynthetically active radiation (A
- 452 PAR), In: Proceedings of the 6th Symposium on Physical Measurements and Signatures in

453 Remote Sensing, Val D'Isere, France, January 17–21, 299–306, 1994.

454

455 Koelemeijer, R. B. A., de Haan, J. F., and Stammes, P.: A database of spectral surface

reflectivity in the range 335–772 nm derived from 5.5 years of GOME observations, J. Geophys.

457 Res., 108(D2), 4070, doi:10.1029/2002JD002429, 2003.

458

- 459 Leroy, M., Deuze, J. L., Breon, F. M., Hautecoeur, O., Herman, M., Buriez, J. C., Tanre, D.,
- Bouffies, S., Chazette, P., and Roujean, J. L.: Retrieval of atmospheric properties and surface
- bidirectional reflectances over land from POLDER/ADEOS, J. Geophys. Res., Vol.102, No.D14,
 17023 17037, 1997.

463

- Liang, S., Strahler, A. H., and Walthall, C.: Retrieval of land surface albedo from satellite
- 465 observations: a simulation study, Journal of Applied Meteorology, Vol.38, 712 725, 1999.

- Lofgren, B. M.: Surface albedo-climate feedback simulated using two-way coupling, Journal of
 Climate, Vol.8, 2543- 2562, 1995.
- 469

- Lucht, W., Schaaf, C. B. and Strahler, A.H.: An algorithm for the retrieval of albedo from space
 using semiempirical BRDF models, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol.38, No.2, 977998, 2000.
- 473
- 474 Lucht, W., Prentice, I. C., Myneni, R. B., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Cramer, W., Bousquet, P.,
- 475 Buermann, W. and Smith, B.: Climatic control of the high-latitude vegetation greening trend and
- 476 pinatubo effect, Science, Vol. 296 no. 5573, 1687-1689, DOI: 10.1126/science.1071828, 2002.
 477
- 478 Lyapustin, A. I.: Atmospheric and geometrical effects on land surface albedo, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 479 104(D4), 4127–4143, doi:10.1029/1998JD200064, 1999.
- 480
- 481 McComiskey, A., Schwartz, S. E., Schmid, B., Guan, H., Lewis, E. R., Ricchiazzi, P., and
- 482 Ogren, J. A.: Direct aerosol forcing: Calculation from observables and sensitivities to inputs, J.
- 483 Geophys. Res., 113, D09202, doi:10.1029/2007JD009170, 2008.
- 484
- 485 Miller, S.: Surface albedo: visible/infrared imager/radiometer suite algorithm theoretical basis

document, version 5, Ratheon systems company, SBRS Document # Y2398, 2002.

- 487
- 488 Moody, E. G., King, M. D., Platnick, S., Schaaf, C.B., and Gao, F.: Spatially complete global
- 489 spectral surface albedos: value-added datasets derived from Terra MODIS land products, IEEE
- 490 Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol. 43. Issue. 1, 144–158, 2005.
- 491

492	Muller, JP.: MERIS	GLOBAL LAND SURFAC	E ALBEDO MAPS: Alg	gorithm Theoretical
-----	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------------

- 493 Basis Document ATBD 1.4 BRDF/ALBEDO RETRIEVAL, available at:
- 494 http://www.brockmann-consult.de/albedomap/pdf/MERIS-AlbedoMap-ATBD_BRDF_Albedo-
- 495 1.0.pdf (last access: May 2012), 2006.
- 496
- 497 Myhre, G., Kvalevåg, M. M., and Schaaf, C. B.: Radiative frocing due to anthropogenic
- vegetation change based on MODIS surface albedo data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21410,
- doi:10.1029/2005GL024004, 2005.
- 500
- Nobre, C. A., Sellers, P. S., and Shukla, J.: Amazonian deforestation and regional climate
 change, Journal of climate, Vol.4, 957-988, 1991.
- 503
- Patadi, F., Yang, E.-S., and Christopher, S. A.: Does dust change the clear sky top of atmosphere
 shortwave flux over high surface reflectance regions? Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L15825,
- 506 doi:10.1029/2009GL039092, 2009.
- 507
- 508 Popp, C., Wang, P., Brunner, D., Stammes, P., Zhou, Y., and Grzegorski, M.: MERIS albedo
- climatology for FRESCO+ O2 A-band cloud retrieval, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 463–483,
- 510 doi:10.5194/amt-4-463-2011, 2011.
- 511
- 512 Procopio, A. S., Remer, L. A., Artaxo, P., Kaufman, Y. J., and Holben, B. N.: Modeled spectral
- 513 optical properties for smoke aerosols in Amazonia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(24), 2265,
- 514 doi:10.1029/2003GL018063, 2003.

- 515 Ricchiazzi, P., Yang, S., Gautier, C., and Sowle, D.: SBDART: A research and teaching software
- tool for plane-parallel radiative transfer in the Earth's atmosphere, Bulletin of the American

517 Meteorological Society, 79, 2101-2114, 1998.

- 518
- 519 Roberts, D. A., Nelson, B. W., Adams, J. B., and Palmer, F.: Spectral changes with leaf aging in
- 520 Amazon caatinga, Trees Structure and Function, Vol.12, Number. 6, 315-325, DOI:
- 521 10.1007/s004680050157, 1990.
- 522
- 523 Saunders, R. W.: The determination of broad band surface albedo from AVHRR visible and
- near-infrared radiances. International Journal of Remote Sensing, Vol.11, No.1, 49- 67, 1990.
- Schaaf, C. B., Gao, F., Strahler, A. H., Lucht, W., Li, X., and Tsnag, T.: First operational BRDF,
 albedo nadir reflectance products from MODIS, Remote Sensing of Environment ,Vol. 83, Issue
 1-2, 135-148, 2002.
- 529

530 Sellers, P. J., Hall, F. G., Asrar, G., Strebel, D. E., and Murphy, R. E.: An overview of the First

531 International Satellite Land Surface Climatology Project (ISLSCP) Field Experiment (FIFE). J.

532 Geophys. Res. 97: 18355–18371, 1992.

- 533
- 534 Shukla, J., Nobre, C., and Sellers, P.: Amazon Deforestation and climate change, Science, Vol.

535 247, No. 4948, 1322-1325; DOI: 10.1126/science.247.4948.1322, 1990.

537	Sims, D. A. and Gamon, J. A.: Relationship between leaf pigment content and spectral
538	reflectance across a wide range of species, leaf structures and developmental stages, Remote
539	Sensing of Environment, Vol. 81, 337-354, 2002.
540	
541	Staenz, K., Williams, D. J., and Walker, B.: Surface reflectance retrieval from AVIRIS data
542	using a six dimensional look up table, In Summaries of the Sixth Annual JPL Earth Science
543	Workshop, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, 223–230, 1996.
544	
545	Stimson, H. C., Breshears, D. D., Ustin, S. L. and Kefauver, S.C.: Spectral sensing of folier
546	water conditions in two co-occuring conifer species: Pinus edulis and Juniperus monosperma,
547	Remote Sensing of Environment, Vol. 96, Issue. 1, 108-118, 2005.
548	
549	Vermote, E. F, Saleous, N. E., Justice, C. O., Kaufman, Y.J., Privette, J. L. and Remer, L.:
550	Atmospheric correction of visible to middle-infrared EOS-MODIS data over land surfaces:
551	Background, operational algorithm and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D14), 17,131–17,141,
552	doi:10.1029/97JD00201, 1997.
553	
554	Wang, P., Knap, W. H., and Stammes, P.: Cloudy sky shortwave radiative closure for a Baseline
555	Surface Radiation Network site, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D08202, doi:10.1029/2010JD015141,
556	2011.
557	
558	Wiscombe, W.J.: Improved Mie scattering algorithm. Appl. Opt., 19, 1505-1509, 1980.
559	
	25

560	Yu, H., Dickinson, R.E., Chin, M., Kaufman, Y. J., Zhou, M., Zhou, L., Tian, Y., Dubovik, O.,
561	and Holben, B. N.: The direct radiative effect of aerosols as determined from a combination of
562	MODIS retrievals and GOCART simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03206,
563	doi:10.1029/2003JD003914, 2004.
564	
565	Yu, H., Kaufman Y. J., Chin, M., Feingold, G., Remer, L. A., Anderson, T. L., Balkanski, Y.,
566	Bellouin, N., Boucher, O., Christopher, S., Decola, P., Kahn, R., Koch, D., Loeb, N., Reddy,
567	M.S., Schulz, M., Takemura, T., and Zhou, M.: A review of measurement-based assessments of
568	the aerosol direct radiative effect and forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 613-666, 2006.
569	
570	Zhou, M., Yu, H., Dickinson, R.E., Dubovik, O., and Holben, B. N.: A normalized description of
571	the direct effect of key aerosol types on solar radiation as estimated from aerosol robotic network
572	aerosols and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer albedos, J. Geophys. Res., 110,
573	D19202, doi:10.1029/2005JD005909, 2005.
574	
575	Zhu, L., Martins, J. V., and Remer, L. A.: Biomass burning aerosol absorption measurements
576	with MODIS using the critical reflectance method, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D07202,
577	doi:10.1029/2010JD015187, 2011.
578	
579	
580	
-04	
581	

Table 1. The calculated outgoing solar flux (in Wm^{-2} ; from 0.3 to 2.5 µm) at TOA over dry

grass, green grass, conifer, and deciduous surfaces. Other parameters used include AOD (at 0.55

 μ m) of 0.32, SSA (at 0.55 μ m) of 0.89, and SZA of 30 degrees. Bold numbers represent the

- 586 difference in flux associated with different approaches to estimate the surface reflectance
- 587 spectrum.

Flux (in Wm ⁻²)	Dry grass	Green grass	Conifer	Deciduous
True	362.17	237.18	234.99	251.21
MEVA	361.59	238.49	235.84	252.49
Linear MODIS	357.37	223.53	218.61	235.17
Averaged band MODIS	360.04	223.05	218.84	234.98
Liang visible and near infrared	352.63	221.03	218.42	235.96
Liang shortwave	363.12	213.79	212.33	229.96
Differences of flux (in Wm ⁻²)				
MEVA - True	-0.58	1.31	0.85	1.28
Linear MODIS – True	-4.8	-13.65	-16.38	-16.04
Average band MODIS – True	-2.13	-14.13	-16.15	-16.23
Liang visible and near infrared – True	-9.54	-16.15	-16.57	-15.25
Liang shortwave - True	0.95	-23.39	-22.66	-21.25

588

589

Table 2. The calculated instantaneous aerosol direct radiative forcing (DRF, in Wm⁻²; from 0.3 to

591 2.5 µm) in clear-sky condition over dry grass, green grass, conifer, and deciduous surfaces. Other

parameters used include AOD (at 0.55 μ m) of 0.32, SSA (at 0.55 μ m) of 0.89, and SZA of 30

be degrees. Bold numbers represent the difference in aerosol forcing associated with different

approaches to estimate surface reflectance spectrum. The numbers in parentheses indicate the

ratio of the absolute difference to the aerosol forcing calculated with "true."

DRF (in Wm ⁻²)	Dry grass	Green grass	Conifer	Deciduous
True	14.28	-8.25	-7.86	-6.28
MEVA	16.12	-7.62	-7.43	-5.7
Linear MODIS	13.43	-10.39	-10.54	-8.84
Averaged band MODIS	15.2	-10.38	-10.33	-8.7
Liang visible and near infrared	17.72	-9.88	-10.07	-8.06
Liang shortwave	27.03	-0.46	-0.74	2.66
Differences of DRF (in Wm ⁻²)				
MEVA - True	1.84 (13%)	0.63 (8%)	0.43 (5%)	0.58 (9%)
Linear MODIS - True	-0.85 (6%)	-2.14 (26%)	-2.68 (34%)	-2.56 (41%)
Average band MODIS - True	0.92 (6%)	-2.13 (26%)	-2.47 (31%)	-2.42 (39%)
Liang visible and near infrared - True	3.44 (24%)	-1.63 (20%)	-2.21 (28%)	-1.78 (28%)
Liang shortwave - True	12.75 (89%)	7.79 (94%)	7.12 (91%)	8.94 (142%)

596

- Table 3.The calculated instantaneous aerosol DRF (in Wm⁻²) in different spectral ranges over
- deciduous surface. Other parameters used include: AOD (at 0.55 μ m) =0.32; SSA (at 0.55 μ m)

=0.89; and SZA = 30 degrees. Bold numbers represent the differences in aerosol forcing between

applying specific approaches and "true" in specified spectral ranges.

DRF (in Wm ⁻²)	0.3 -0.55	0.55 -	1.24 –	1.63 –	1.84 –	2.1 - 2.5
	μm	1.24 µm	1.63 µm	1.84 µm	2.1 µm	μm
True	-10.25	3.37	0.47	0.11	0	0.02
MEVA	-9.99	3.72	0.44	0.11	0.01	0.02
Linear MODIS	-11.13	1.61	0.55	0.1	0.01	0.01
Averaged band MODIS	-10.07	0.7	0.53	0.11	0.01	0.02
Liang visible and near infrared	-10.31	1.41	0.55	0.17	0.04	0.08
Liang shortwave	0.4	1.96	0.17	0.07	0.01	0.03
Difference of DRF (in Wm ⁻²)						
MEVA - True	0.26	0.35	-0.03	0	0.01	0
Linear MODIS - True	-0.88	-1.76	0.08	-0.01	0.01	-0.01
Average band MODIS - True	0.18	-2.67	0.06	0	0.01	0
Liang visible and near infrared - True	-0.06	-1.96	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.06
Liang shortwave - True	10.65	-1.41	-0.3	-0.04	0.01	0.01

602

603

- Table 4. The calculated integration of the outgoing solar flux (in Wm^{-2} ; from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m) at
- TOA over aspen surface. Other parameters used in the calculation include AOD (at 0.55 μ m) of
- 606 0.32; SSA (at 0.55 μm) of 0.89; and SZA of 30 degrees. Bold numbers represent the difference
- of the flux associated with applying different approaches to estimate the reflectance spectrum.

Flux (in Wm ⁻²)	Aspen 1	Aspen 2	Aspen 3	Aspen 4	Aspen 5	Aspen 6	
True	235.81	296.29	291.82	302.30	172.65	218.16	
MEVA	234.74	292.37	284.38	300.04	174.18	219.67	
Linear MODIS	219.98	277.69	273.17	296.36	164.42	204.73	
Averaged band MODIS	220.86	282.53	273.93	298.44	163.87	204.91	
Liang visible and near							
infrared	218.08	278.32	263.25	277.86	162.01	202.83	
Liang shortwave	208.10	252.65	219.64	253.95	165.69	198.23	
Differences of flux (in Wm ⁻²)							
MEVA - True	-1.07	-3.92	-7.44	-2.26	1.53	1.51	
Linear MODIS - True	-15.83	-18.60	-18.65	-5.94	-8.23	-13.43	
Average band MODIS - True	-14.95	-13.76	-17.89	-3.86	-8.78	-13.25	
Liang visible and near							
infrared - True	-17.73	-17.97	-28.57	-24.44	-10.64	-15.33	
Liang shortwave - True	-27.71	-43.64	-72.18	-48.35	-6.96	-19.93	

608

- Table 5. The calculated instantaneous aerosol DRF (in Wm^{-2} ; from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m) over aspen
- $\,$ 611 $\,$ surfaces. Other parameters used in the calculation include AOD (at 0.55 $\mu m)$ of 0.32; SSA (at
- $0.55 \ \mu m$) of 0.89; and SZA of 30 degrees. Bold numbers represent the differences of aerosol
- 613 forcing associated with applying different approaches and "true"; the numbers in parentheses
- represent the ratio of the absolute difference in aerosol forcing to the results calculated with
- 615 "true."

DRF (in Wm^{-2})	Aspen 1	Aspen 2	Aspen 3	Aspen 4	Aspen 5	Aspen 6
True	-7.28	5.79	3.04	6.32	-15.65	-10.37
MEVA	-6.90	6.11	2.58	6.93	-15.09	-9.73
Linear MODIS	-9.88	1.93	0.23	5.31	-16.95	-12.47
Averaged band						
MODIS	-9.43	4.21	0.44	5.96	-16.99	-12.37
Liang visible and						
near infrared	-9.18	4.30	1.01	7.89	-17.05	-12.17
Liang shortwave	-1.57	6.98	0.67	7.23	-9.95	-3.49
Differences of DR	F (in Wm ⁻²)					
MEVA - True	0.38 (5%)	0.32 (6%)	-0.46 (15%)	0.61 (10%)	0.56 (4%)	0.64 (6%)
Linear MODIS –						
True	-2.60 (36%)	-3.86 (67%)	-2.81 (92%)	-1.01 (16%)	-1.30 (8%)	-2.10 (20%)
Average band						
MODIS - True	-2.15 (30%)	-1.58 (27%)	-2.60 (86%)	-0.36 (6%)	-1.34 (9%)	-2.00 (19%)
Liang visible and						
near infrared -						
True	-1.90 (26%)	-1.49 (26%)	-2.03 (67%)	1.57 (25%)	-1.40 (9%)	-1.80 (17%)
Liang shortwave -						
True	5.71 (78%)	1.19 (21%)	-2.37 (78%)	0.91 (14%)	5.70 (36%)	6.88 (66%)

- - -

Table 6. The calculated daily average aerosol DRF at equator and in equinox (in Wm^{-2} ; from 0.3

to 2.35 µm) with different approaches to the surface reflectance spectrum. The last column

628 presents the average aerosol forcing over the six vegetation types. Bold numbers indicate the

629 differences in daily averaged aerosol forcing associated with different approaches; the numbers

- 630 in parentheses represent the ratio of these differences to the results calculated with "true." Other
- 631 parameters used in the simulation include SSA (at 0.55 μ m) of 0.89 and AOD (at 0.55 μ m) of
- 0.32.

Daily average DRF (in	Veg 1	Veg 2	Veg 3	Veg 4	Veg 5	Veg 6	Average over	
Wm^{-2})							the six	
							vegetation	
True	-2.65	-8.68	-5.42	-4.80	-4.94	-5.25	-5.29	
MEVA	-2.56	-8.68	-5.51	-4.73	-4.94	-5.04	-5.24	
Linear MODIS	-3.91	-9.35	-6.54	-5.94	-6.09	-5.60	-6.24	
Averaged band	-4.03	-9.50	-6.63	-6.14	-6.34	-5.63	-6.38	
Liang visible and near	-3.61	-9.57	-6.37	-5.91	-5.78	-4.85	-6.02	
Liang shortwave	2.21	-7.32	-2.66	-1.14	0.02	-0.07	-1.49	
Difference of daily average DRF (in Wm ⁻²)								
MEVA - True	0.09	0.00	-0.09	0.07	0.00	0.21	0.05 (-0.9%)	
Linear MODIS – True	-1.26	-0.67	-1.12	-1.14	-1.15	-0.36	-0.95 (18.0%)	
Average band MODIS - True	-1.38	-0.81	-1.21	-1.34	-1.40	-0.38	-1.09 (20.6%)	
Liang visible and near infrared - True	-0.96	-0.89	-0.95	-1.11	-0.84	0.39	-0.73 (13.8%)	
Liang shortwave - True	4.86	1.37	2.76	3.66	4.96	5.17	3.80 (-71.8%)	

640 Figure captions:

Fig. 1. Black sky albedo maps at MODIS bands 1-7 calculated from the MODIS file
MCD43C1.A2006241.005.2008109074010.hdf with SZA equaling 32 degrees.

Fig. 2. The x axis represents the wavelength from 0.3 to 2.35 μm labeled by seven MODIS
channels; the dotted red curve is the spectral reflectance for vegetation 5 (*miconia guianensis*)
adapted from Arai et al (2010); the green stars represent the corresponding reflectance at MODIS
bands 1-7. In this figure, the spectral reflectance results between 1.35 to 1.45 μm and 1.85 to
1.95 μm were linearly interpolated based on Arai et al (2010) data. These solid red lines are
shown as dots in Fig. 3, 5, 6, and 9.

649 Fig. 3. Traditional approaches to estimate the continuous reflectance spectra based on MODIS

bands 1-7: Liang short wave, Liang visible and near infrared, average band MODIS, and linear

MODIS. In each subplot, the x axis represents the wavelength from 0.3 to 2.35 μ m; the dotted

red curve is the spectral reflectance for *miconia guianensis* as shown in Fig. 2; the green stars represent the corresponding reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7; the solid blue lines represent each

654 traditional approach.

655 Fig. 4. (a) Downward fluxes at the TOA and surface. The x axis is the wavelength (from 0.3 to 2.5 µm) labeled with MODIS bands 1-7; the red curve represents the incoming solar radiation at 656 the top of the atmosphere (TOA); the green curve represents the downward radiation reaching 657 658 the surface. The simulation was done with the following inputs: no boundary layer aerosols, SZA=30 degrees, tropical atmospheric profile, and surface albedo being as the red curve in Fig. 659 2. Fig. 4. (b) The three missing features by linearly connecting the reflectance at MODIS bands 660 1-7. The x axis is the wavelength from 0.3 to 2.5 µm labeled with MODIS bands 1-7; the dotted 661 662 red curve represents the reflectance as shown in Fig. 2; the solid blue line represents the linearly 663 connected reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7; the shaded areas represent three distinct missing features: missing vegetation red edge feature at around 0.7 µm, and the missing water absorption 664

- features at around 1.44 and 1.92 μ m.
- Fig. 5. This figure illustrates four auxiliary channels and the ratios used to determine the MODIS
- enhanced surface albedo (MEVA), where the x axis represents wavelength (from 0.3 to 2.35 μ m)
- labeled with MODIS bands 1-7 on the bottom and four auxiliary channels (0.69, 0.72, 1.44, and
- $1.92 \ \mu$ m) at the top. Dotted red curve ("True") represents the reflectance spectrum as shown in
- Fig. 2; the green stars present the corresponding reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7. The
- reflectance at the four auxiliary channels are determined as: at $0.69 \mu m$, the reflectance is
- obtained by linearly extrapolating the reflectance at 0.55 and 0.67 μ m; at 0.72 μ m, the

reflectance is the average of the reflectance at 0.69 and 0.86 μ m; at 1.44 μ m, the reflectance is 40% of the reflectance at 1.24 μ m; at 1.92 μ m, the reflectance is 20% of the reflectance at 1.63 μ m.

676 Fig. 6. Processes used to develop MEVA. The x axis is wavelength (from 0.3 to $3 \mu m$) labeled with MODIS bands 1-7 on the bottom and the auxiliary channels (0.69, 0.72, the variable 677 channel ending the red edge, 1.44, 1.84, 1.92, and 3 µm) at the top. Four of these auxiliary 678 679 channels were shown in Fig. 5. For the other three auxiliary channels, the variable channel 680 ending the red edge is the crossing point between the linearly extrapolated line from 0.69 to 0.72 µm and the linearly extrapolated line from 1.24 to 0.86 µm; the auxiliary channel at 1.84 and 3 681 um are determined by averaging the experimental results of several types of vegetation. The 682 683 dotted red curve ("True") represents reflectance spectra as shown in Fig. 2; the green stars present the corresponding reflectance at MODIS bands 1-7; the ovals represent the determined 684 685 reflectance at auxiliary channels; the solid blue line represents MEVA. The detailed procedures

686 for MEVA are explained in section 2.2.

Fig. 7. The procedures for MEVA were applied to the reflectance spectra from the JHU spectral

688 library for dry grass, green grass, conifer, and deciduous. The x axis represents the wavelength

from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m; the y axis represents the reflectance; the dotted red curves represent the

reflectance spectra from the JHU spectral library; the solid blue lines represent the MEVAresults.

Fig. 8. In each subplot, the x axis represents wavelength from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m; the y axis represents

reflectance. The dotted red curves represent reflectance spectra for aspens from the USGS digital

694 spectral library; the solid blue lines represent the MEVA results.

Fig. 9. In each subplot, the x axis represents wavelength from 0.3 to 2.5 μ m; the y axis represents reflectance. The solid blue lines represent the MEVA results; the dotted red curves represent the

697 "true" reflectance spectra (adopted from Arai et al 2010) for the following six Amazonia

698 vegetation types: veg 1: *manilkara Hubert*; veg 2: *couratari guianensis*; veg 3: *lecythis lurida*;

699 veg 4: genipa Americana; veg 5: miconia guianensis; and veg 6: litter.

Fig. 10. The plot on the left shows the wavelength dependence of AOD for the aerosol models

visual rotation rotatio rotation rotation rotation rotation rotation rotation rotati

different aerosol models used in the flux and aerosol forcing calculations: SSA (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.95, 0.89, and 0.83.

Fig. 11. Difference of the aerosol forcing efficiency (in $Wm^{-2} AOD^{-1}$) associated with different

approaches to estimate reflectance spectra for vegetation types shown in Fig. 9. In each subplot,

three groups indicate the results caused by using three different aerosol models shown in Fig. 10:

307 SSA (at 0.55 µm) = 0.95 (noted as "model 1"), 0.89 (noted as "model 2"), and 0.83 (noted as

⁷⁰⁸ "model 3"). Other parameters used in the calculations include AOD (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.32 and SZA ⁷⁰⁹ = 30 degrees.

Fig. 12. The difference of aerosol forcing efficiency derived from averaging the results shown in

Fig. 11 over the six Amazonian vegetation types. Bars represent the standard deviation of the

aerosol forcing efficiency differences among the six vegetation types. The labels on the x axis
 denote different methods to estimate vegetation reflectance spectrum used in the aerosol forcing

calculation. The curves in green, blue, and red represent the results for different aerosol models:

SSA (at 0.55 μ m) = 0.95, 0.89, and 0.83. Other parameters used include AOD (at 0.55 μ m) of

- 716 0.32 and SZA of 30 degrees.
- Fig. 13. Aerosol forcing when SZA varies from 0 to 90 degrees for vegetation types shown in
- Fig. 9. Curves in different symbols are associated with different approaches to estimate
- vegetation reflectance spectrum used in the aerosol forcing calculation. Other parameters used in
- the calculations include AOD (at 0.55 μ m) of 0.32 and SSA (at 0.55 μ m) of 0.89.
- 721

722

- 723
- 724
- 725
- 726

727

728

729

730



735 Fig 1



737 Fig 2

















745 Fig 6









749 Fig 8











753 Fig 10



756 Fig 11



758 Fig 12



760 Fig 13