

Interactive comment on “Raman Lidar for Meteorological Observations, RALMO – Part I: Instrument description” by T. S. Dinoev et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 25 November 2012

This manuscript reports on a new instrument regarding the measurement of the water vapour profile using the Raman lidar techniques. A special feature is the near-range optical fiber that allows water vapour measurements close to the Earth surface. The conclusions are supported by the measurements and the results are worthwhile for publication in AMT. However, a robust error analysis regarding systematic uncertainties is not given in the paper. Despite the data source from measurements with this new instrument might be small for a detailed statistical analysis, it is mandatory to add a brief paragraph on the systematic errors which are specific to the proposed observational concept. Below there are a few other points which need to be considered before publication:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Introduction, p 6862: Raman lidars for the measurement of water vapour are in operational use at various places. The authors should indicate the originality of the reported instrument with respect to current ones.

P 6875/6876: the authors mention several possibilities of a range-dependent calibration constant which can pose severe measurement errors. However the discussion is not clear at this point and it is recommended to add a few numbers on the estimated error contribution for the most important uncertainties which are known from previous setups.

P 6882: Eq. 7 is somewhat confusing. The description points on count rate for the lidar signal S on one hand and on radiance in $\text{mW}/\text{m}^2/\text{sr}/\mu\text{m}$ for the background light. Also it is not clear in the equation whether the lidar signal S has been corrected by the background light.

P 6890: What is meant by the phrasing that all systematic errors can be kept low? Also an error figure for the saturation effect should be given.

P 6891: Eqs. 3, 7, and 10 should be harmonized which respect the nomenclature for the different parameter. It is mandatory that the authors add a small paragraph on the specific sources of systematic error for this particular instrument e.g. regarding the extension to near field observations using the fiber.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, 6867, 2012.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)