



Interactive comment on “Effect of sampling variation on error of rainfall variables measured by optical disdrometer” by X. C. Liu et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 29 January 2013

The paper presents a study on sampling errors of optical disdrometer via MonteCarlo simulations. While the approach has a good potential there are some garish errors in the paper, that makes the paper not publishable. I do recommend rejecting the paper. Also the English is in general poor and needs certainly to be revisited (if the authors intend to re-submit the paper). Some of the major problems I spotted: 1) All computed Z values are above 81 dBZ, i.e. in a totally unrealistic range; 2) Some of the W computed values are also in unphysical region (above 10 g/m^3); 3) The terminology is sometimes incorrect (e.g. N_0 is not the total drop concentration, what is the water concentration?). 4) Eq (7): I do not know where this parameterization is coming from (add a reference), but it seems to have unphysical discontinuity at $D=0.1$ and 1 mm. 5) I simply do not believe Fig2: it seems that actually lowest samples

C3731

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



number produce better results. The same applies to Fig.4. We would dream to have such small errors from disdrometer measurements!!!! The paper would also benefit from: a) Simulation based on different DSD shapes (e.g. changing the mu parameter) b) An in-depth comparison with error assessment coming from real measurements.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, 5, 8895, 2012.

AMTD

5, C3731–C3732, 2013

Interactive
Comment

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

