Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, C4086-C4087, 2013

www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/5/C4086/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Temperature dependent ozone absorption cross section spectra measured with the GOME-2 FM3 spectrometer and first application in satellite retrievals" by W. Chehade et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 15 March 2013

The paper presents GOME2-FM ozone absorption cross-sections and describes how these were measured in the laboratory and put on an absolute scale using published cross-section data at selected wavelengths from the literature. The integrated cross-section data from this work are compared with literature data for different wavelength regions (Hartley band, Huggins band, Chappuis band) and temperatures (203-293K). They show general good agreement within 3%. The application of the new cross-section data on the ozone retrieval by GOME2 is discussed. The work appears to be

C4086

thorough and the paper is mostly well written. For publication, the following technical changes should be made. Acronyms and abbreviations (e.g. MetOp-A) need to be explained. The whole paper should be checked for grammar and typographical errors (e.g. p.7984, line17: 'atmospheric trace gas'; p. 7985, line 7: 'inferred'; lines18-20: 'data set', 'high-quality', 'the instrument's own'; etc.) Abstract, last sentence: please specify the meaning of 'routinely'. Section 2.2: how stable was the ozone concentration during the measurement of the absorption cross-sections? Does the stability of the ozone concentration influence the accuracy of the measured results? How much time did it take to go through the measurement steps 1. - 3. (line 15-19)? Section 4.3: please explain briefly the meaning of 'DOAS retrieval window' Page 7994, line 22: was the scaling factor applied to the differential structure, or to the absolute absorption cross section? Fig. 5: the figure caption should state clearly that the figure compares absorption cross sections; a corresponding y-axis label is missing and should be added; units are missing in the line at figure top and should also be added. For better readability the legend could be shifted to the right part of the figure. Fig. 6: what is the meaning of 'ozone fit residual'? What is the unit (should be given on the y-axis)? Fig. 7+8: the black dots can hardly be seen. Try a different colour for better readability.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 5, 7983, 2012.