Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, 8743–8776, 2014 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/7/8743/2014/ doi:10.5194/amtd-7-8743-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

A quantitative analysis of the impact of wind turbines on operational Doppler weather radar data

L. Norin

Atmospheric Remote Sensing, Research and Development, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, Sweden

Received: 26 July 2014 - Accepted: 18 August 2014 - Published: 27 August 2014

Correspondence to: L. Norin (lars.norin@smhi.se)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

AMTD 7, 8743–8776, 2014		
Wind turbines and Doppler weather radars		
L. Norin		
Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
I	►1	
	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
CC ()		

Abstract

In many countries wind turbines are rapidly growing in numbers as the demand for energy from renewable sources increases. The continued deployment of wind turbines can, however, be problematic for many radar systems, which are easily disturbed by ⁵ turbines located in radar line-of-sight. Wind turbines situated in the vicinity of Doppler weather radars can lead to erroneous precipitation estimates as well as to inaccurate wind- and turbulence measurements. This paper presents a quantitative analysis of the impact of a wind farm, located in southeastern Sweden, on measurements from a nearby Doppler weather radar. The analysis is based on six years of operational radar data. In order to evaluate the impact of the wind farm, average values of all three spectral moments (the radar reflectivity factor, absolute radial velocity, and spectrum width) of the nearby Doppler weather radar were calculated, using data before and after the construction of the wind farm. It is shown that all spectral moments, from a large area at and downrange from the wind farm, were impacted by the wind turbines. It

- ¹⁵ was also found that data from radar cells far above the wind farm (near 3 km altitude) were affected by the wind farm. We show that this is partly explained by changes in the atmospheric refractive index, bending the radar beams closer to the ground. In a detailed analysis, using data from a single radar cell, frequency distributions of all spectral moments were used to study the competition between the weather signal and wind turbine clutter. We show that when weather echoes give rise to higher reflectivity
- values than that of the wind farm, the negative impact of the wind turbines disappears for all spectral moments.

1 Introduction

As a response to the increasing demand for renewable energy the number of wind turbines is growing rapidly in many countries around the world. The worldwide installed cumulative energy capacity of wind turbines has shown a more than 13-fold increase



during 2001–2013. In Sweden, the wind power capacity has increased even more, 15 times, during the same period (Global Wind Energy Council, 2014). In the coming years many more wind turbines are expected to be built and existing, older ones are likely to be replaced by larger, next generation, turbines. Modern wind turbines are large structures, many reaching 150 m above the ground. Clusters of densely spaced wind turbines, so called wind farms, are being built both on- and offshore.

The continued deployment of wind turbines and wind farms presents a problem for many radar systems, which are easily disturbed by wind turbines located in radar lineof-sight. Due to their rotating blades, interference caused by wind turbines is more severe for radar systems than interference caused by stationary structures (e.g. masts

or towers). Many Doppler radars use a clutter filter that suppresses echoes originating from objects with no or little radial velocity but such filters do not work for moving objects such as the rotating blades of a wind turbine. It has been shown that wind turbines located in line-of-sight of Doppler radars can have a detrimental impact on the performance of both military- and civilian radar systems (see, e.g., Poupart, 2003;

10

Department of Defense, 2006; Lemmon et al., 2008; Lute and Wieserman, 2011).

Doppler weather radars, employed by meteorological and hydrological services, can also be negatively affected by nearby wind turbines. Weather radars are valuable tools for monitoring precipitation and wind shear as well as to observe hazardous events such as hailstorms, heavy rainfall, and tornadoes. Information from weather radars is

²⁰ such as hallstorms, heavy rainfall, and tornadoes. Information from weather radars is also used as input to numerical weather prediction- and flood forecasting models. Errors in weather radar data may propagate to affect the output of such forecast systems (Rossa et al., 2011).

Several studies dedicated to wind turbine impact on weather radar data have presented images of radar composites that convincingly show that wind farms indeed can be detected by weather radars (see, e.g., Burgess et al., 2008; Crum and Ciardi, 2010; Vogt et al., 2011). In other studies, time series of raw radar data have been recorded in order to perform detailed analyses of the impact of wind turbines by spectral analysis. Gallardo et al. (2008) collected raw radar data during a few months from a Spanish



C-band weather radar to analyse the impact of a large wind farm while Isom et al. (2009) used several hours worth of raw data from two US S-band weather radars to investigate the same phenomenon. Toth et al. (2011) used a mobile X-band Doppler radar to study the impact of wind turbines from close range. However, only a few anal-⁵ yses of wind turbine impact on long time series of operational radar data have been

published (Haase et al., 2010; Norin and Haase, 2012).

The main objective of this study is to analyse the impact of wind turbines on operational Doppler weather radar volume data. This study thus extends the work by Haase et al. (2010) and Norin and Haase (2012). In this study the wind turbine impact on all three spectral moments (the radar reflectivity factor, radial velocity, and spectrum width)

10

three spectral moments (the radar reflectivity factor, radial velocity, and spectrum width) have been investigated in order to improve the understanding of wind turbine impact on Doppler weather radar data. In total, six years worth of operational polar volume data were used for the data analysis.

The structure of the paper is as follows. The technical characteristics of the Swedish radars are described in Sect. 2. The radar data set together with the analysed wind farm are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4.1 we show the impact of wind turbines on data from a single radar scan by comparing average values of the spectral moments, before and after the construction of the wind farm. In Sect. 4.2 the wind turbine impact on polar volume data is investigated. Section 4.3 presents a detailed analysis of the

wind turbine impact on data from a single radar cell, by examining frequency distributions for all spectral moments as a function of reflectivity from a reference radar cell. The competition between the weather signal and wind turbine clutter is investigated in Sect. 4.4 and, finally, in Sect. 5, a summary of the study is given and conclusions of the analyses are drawn.

25 2 The Swedish weather radars

The Swedish weather radar network consists of 12 horizontally polarized Ericsson Cband Doppler radars, providing almost complete national coverage. The radars perform



azimuthal scans of 360° around a vertical axis for 10 tilt angles, θ , ranging from $\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ to $\theta = 40^{\circ}$. Together, these scans make up polar volume data sets which are provided with an update time of 15 min.

The data processing is managed by the radar signal processor and data are output in matrices consisting of 120×420 radar cells for every scan. The range resolution of each radar cell is 2 km for the four lowest tilt angles and 1 km for the others, the azimuthal resolution is $360/420 \approx 0.86^{\circ}$ for all scans. The main radar lobe has a half-power beam width of 0.9° .

Doppler weather radars measure three spectral moments (see, e.g., Doviak and Zrnić, 2006): the radar reflectivity factor (hereafter referred to as reflectivity), radial velocity, and spectrum width. These spectral moments are used to estimate quantities such as precipitation rate, wind speed, and turbulence.

Reflectivity, *Z*, is the power of the returned signal and is measured by the radar in units of dBZ. The Swedish weather radars have a dynamic range of > 85 dB and measures *Z* between -30 dBZ and 71.6 dBZ in steps of 0.4 dBZ. The minimum value

(-30 dBZ) represents all measurements ranging from $-\infty \text{ dBZ}$ to -30 dBZ. Such values are classified as undetected measurements.

Radial velocity, *V*, is obtained as the first moment of the power-normalised Doppler spectrum. Two pulse repetition frequencies (PRFs) are used alternatively to allow real-time dealiasing of the radial velocities. The maximum unambiguous velocity is $\pm 24 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for the four lowest scans and $\pm 48 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for the six highest scans. The radial velocity resolution is 0.1875 m s^{-1} (0.375 m s⁻¹ for the higher scans). Undetected reflectivity measurements ($Z \le -30 \text{ dBZ}$) result in unreliable estimates of radial velocity and such measurements are therefore classified as undetected.

²⁵ Spectrum width, *W*, is calculated as the square root of the second moment about the first of the power-normalised spectrum. The measurements of the spectrum width fall into one of four classes: 0-1, 1-2, 2-3, and $> 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for the four lowest scans and 0-2, 2-4, 4-6, and $> 6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for the six highest scans. As for radial velocity,



undetected reflectivity measurements ($Z \le -30 \text{ dBZ}$) result in unreliable estimates of spectrum width and such measurements are therefore classified as undetected.

Invalid measurements for any spectral moment are given separate values by the radar.

All radars in the network are equipped with clutter filters which are used to suppress ground echoes. The clutter filter is only turned on for the four lowest scans. Ground echo suppression is obtained by omitting amplitudes from frequency bins close to zero in the Doppler spectrum which removes echoes with radial velocities less than $\pm 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. To protect the radar receiver from overload the signal is damped by 60 dB near the radar, making the data of the first two range bins unusable.

Relevant radar characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

3 Data set and wind turbines

15

20

Operational polar volume data from the Swedish weather radars are available from year 2005 and later. Some changes were made in the radar scan strategy as well as to the radar hardware during 2007 but from 2008 the radar scan strategy and measurement techniques have remained unaltered. Radar data from 2008 and later hence constitute a homogeneous data set.

In order to investigate the impact of wind turbines on Doppler weather radar data we have analysed operational polar volume data from weather radar Karlskrona (56.2955° N, 15.6103° E) for a period of six years (1 January 2008 to 31 December 2013). Throughout this period no significant data gaps exist and radar service records do not show any indication of radar malfunctioning occurring during this period.

Brunsmo wind farm is located in southeastern Sweden, approximately 13 km northeast of weather radar Karlskrona. This wind farm consists of five General Electric 2.5 MW wind turbines with total heights of 150 m above the ground. These wind turbines



have a rotor diameter of 100 m, a cut-in wind speed of $3.5 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ and a cut-out wind speed of $25 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$.

The wind turbines were erected in October and November 2009 and the wind farm became operational in April 2010. The proximity of the Brunsmo wind farm to weather radar Karlskrona together with the date of the wind farm's start-of-operations (near the middle of the homogeneous radar data set) makes Brunsmo wind farm well suited for a detailed study.

Figure 1 shows a schematic picture of the locations and heights of the wind turbines of the Brunsmo wind farm together with the altitudes of the radar lobes' half-power beam width for the five lowest tilt angles (0.5°, 1.0°, 1.5°, 2.0°, and 2.5°) at the wind farm, assuming standard propagation conditions. From Fig. 1 it is seen that all wind turbines are in radar line-of-sight for the scans with the two lowest tilt angles ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$). Three of the five wind turbines are located within the same radar cell (azimuth gate 52, near 44° azimuth).

15 4 Methods and results

20

25

4.1 Wind turbine impact on a single radar scan

One way to investigate the impact of wind turbines on Doppler weather radar data is to compare the average values of the spectral moments before and after the construction of a wind turbine. The differences between these average values provide an estimate of the impact of the wind turbine.

Reflectivity, Z, and spectrum width, W, are well suited for calculating average values but radial velocity measurements, V, can be both positive and negative, depending on the wind direction relative to the radar. Since we are interested in finding an estimate of the wind turbine impact on V the influence of the wind direction was minimised by studying the absolute radial velocity, |V|.



The average values of the above described spectral moments (Z, |V|, and W) were calculated before the construction of the Brunsmo wind farm (January 2008–March 2010) and after the wind farm's start-of-operations (May 2010–December 2013). In order to investigate the areal extent of the impact of the wind turbines, multiple radar cells (range: 4–38 km, azimuth: 35–53°) in the vicinity of the Brunsmo wind farm were

analysed using data from the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$).

10

Undetected reflectivity measurements (see Sect. 2) were included when calculating the average value of Z since these measurements carry actual information. However, as undetected measurements for V and W do not contain reliable information, such measurements were excluded when calculating the average values of |V| and W. Invalid measurements were excluded for all spectral moments.

Figure 2a and b shows the average reflectivity, $\langle Z \rangle$, before and after the construction of the Brunsmo wind farm, respectively. Figure 2a shows that $\langle Z \rangle$ was uniform over the whole analysed area (especially in azimuth) before the construction of the wind farm,

with only small variations in amplitude. However, after the construction of the wind farm Fig. 2b shows that $\langle Z \rangle$ increases in amplitude, not only in the radar cells in which the wind turbines are located but also in several radar cells downrange of the wind turbines. One of the highest values of $\langle Z \rangle$ is seen in the radar cell in which three wind turbines are located. The average reflectivity in this radar cell is $\langle Z \rangle \approx -6$ dBZ which should be compared to $\langle Z \rangle \approx -18$ dBZ in the same radar cell before the wind turbines were built.

Tails of increased reflectivity downrange of wind turbines have been noted in several other works (see, e.g., Crum et al., 2008; Isom et al., 2009; Haase et al., 2010; Vogt et al., 2011; Norin and Haase, 2012). Such tails are believed to be caused by multiple scattering effects (scattering between multiple turbines and/or scattering between

²⁵ turbine and ground) (Isom et al., 2009; Vogt et al., 2011; Kong, 2014). The tails of increased reflectivity seen in Fig. 2b extends more than 20 km downrange of the wind turbines. The amplitude of $\langle Z \rangle$ in the tails is seen to reach a maximum shortly behind the wind turbines after which it decreases with increasing distance.



Figure 2c and d shows the average absolute radial velocity, $\langle |V| \rangle$, before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. Before the construction of the wind farm it is seen from Fig. 2c that the amplitude of $\langle |V| \rangle$ increases with range from the radar but is very homogeneous in azimuth. Figure 2d shows that after the wind farm became operational the amplitude of $\langle |V| \rangle$ increased in radar cells containing wind turbines. However, radar cells downrange from the wind turbines show a decrease in amplitude. The largest value of $\langle |V| \rangle$ is found in the radar cell containing three wind turbines where $\langle |V| \rangle > 8.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. In the radar cell behind the three turbines the smallest value of $\langle |V| \rangle$ is found, $\langle |V| \rangle \approx 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Before the construction of the wind farm the values in both these radar cells were $\langle |V| \rangle \approx 6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

Figure 2e and f shows the average spectrum width, $\langle W \rangle$, before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. In these figures it can be seen that after the construction of the wind farm a slight increase in $\langle W \rangle$ appears in the radar cells containing wind turbines whereas a decrease in $\langle W \rangle$ occurs over a large area cross- and downrange of the wind turbines. The average spectral width increased from $\langle W \rangle \approx 2.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$

to $\langle W \rangle > 2.5 \,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$ at the wind turbines whereas behind the turbines a decrease down to $\langle W \rangle \approx 1.8 \,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$ can be seen. Decreased levels of $\langle W \rangle$ can be seen up to 20 km behind the wind turbines.

The average values of *V* and *W* are based on real measurements, i.e. measurements that are neither undetected nor invalid. In addition to their average values it is also of interest to study their frequency of occurrence. Complementary to the results presented in Fig. 2 the relative frequency of occurrence of *V* and *W* are shown in Fig. 3.

Figure 3a and b shows the relative frequency of occurrence of V before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm, respectively. By comparing the two figures it is

25 seen that after the wind farm was constructed the relative frequency of detected radial velocity measurements increased from approximately 40 % to more than 70 % behind the wind turbines.

Figure 3c and d shows the relative frequency of occurrence of W before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm, respectively. It is seen that the presence of the



wind farm led to spectral width being detected more often. The relative frequency of occurrence of W increased from approximately 50 % to more than 90 %, at and behind the wind turbines.

Together, Figs. 2 and 3 show that the construction of the Brunsmo wind farm has led to real (detected) measurements of all spectral moments to occur more frequently. The average value of *Z* increased at and near the wind turbines whereas $\langle |V| \rangle$ and $\langle W \rangle$ increased in radar cells located at the wind turbines but decreased behind.

4.2 Wind turbine impact on polar volume data

As was shown in Fig. 1 the wind turbines in Brunsmo wind farm are in radar line-ofsight for the scans with the two lowest tilt angles ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$). However, this calculation is based on the assumption of standard atmospheric conditions and further assumes that the extent of the radar lobe is limited by its half-power beam width. In order to investigate whether scans with higher tilt angles also are affected by the Brunsmo wind farm, data from all 10 scans in the polar volume were analysed.

- Figure 4 shows the impact of the wind farm on $\langle Z \rangle$, $\langle |V| \rangle$, and $\langle W \rangle$ for all 10 scans in the polar volume. In Fig. 4 every scan is represented by the radar cells in the range bin in which the wind turbines would be located if a vertical line from the turbines was drawn from the ground. For the higher tilt angles (2.5° $\leq \theta \leq$ 40°) the average values of the spectral moments from the two range bins nearest to the wind turbines were used,
- ²⁰ since for these scans the range resolution is two times that of the lower scans (cf. Table 1). Furthermore, in order to clearly display the change in the spectral moments for all scans in the same figure the mean value in azimuth (for the extent of the analysed area, $35-53^{\circ}$) for every spectral moment from before the construction of the wind farm, were subtracted from the measurements (both before and after the construction of the 25 wind farm). These mean values are denoted by Z_0 , V_0 , and W_0 , respectively.

Figure 4a and b shows $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$ before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. Figure 4a shows that before the wind farm was built $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$ was homogeneous in azimuth for all scans. In Fig. 4b, after the construction of the wind farm, an



increase in $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$ can be seen in the radar cells near the location of the wind turbines for some scans. For the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$) the increase is approximately 12 dBZ (cf. Fig. 2b). However, increased levels of $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$ are not only seen in the lowest two scans (in which the wind turbines are in radar line-of-sight) but also in higher scans. From Fig. 4b it is seen that the fifth scan ($\theta = 2.5^{\circ}$) shows an increase of $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0 \approx 8$ dBZ which is much larger than that of the fourth scan ($\theta = 2.0^{\circ}$), where $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0 \approx 2$ dBZ. This is most likely due to the change in range resolution (2 km for the four lowest scans, 1 km for the six highest, cf. Table 1) although it could also partly be due to the first sidelobe, which has its maximum near this angle. A slight increase in $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$ (approximately 2 dBZ) still exists near 3 km altitude.

Figure 4c and d shows $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$ before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. Before the wind farm was built $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$ was uniform in azimuth for all scans, as seen in Fig. 4c. Figure 4d shows that after the wind farm was constructed an increase in $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$ exists in the radar cells near the wind turbines for the scans with the two lowest tilt angles ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$). The largest increase in $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$

- with the two lowest tilt angles ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$). The largest increase in $\langle |V| \rangle V_0$ is seen in the scan with the lowest tilt angle where the increase is close to 2.5 m s^{-1} . For higher tilt angles no change ($\theta = 1.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 2.0^{\circ}$) or a decrease ($2.5^{\circ} \le \theta \le 14^{\circ}$) in $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$ is seen. A decrease of approximately 1.5 m s^{-1} can still be seen near 3 km altitude, far above the wind farm.
- Figure 4e and f shows ⟨W⟩ W₀ before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. As for the other two examined spectral moments, ⟨W⟩ W₀ is homogeneous in azimuth for all scans before the construction of the wind farm (see Fig. 4e) whereas increased amplitudes in ⟨W⟩ W₀ are seen in Fig. 4f, after the wind farm was built. The increase in ⟨W⟩ W₀ for the second lowest scan (θ = 1.0°) is close to 1.0 m s⁻¹, higher than the increase for the lowest scan (θ = 0.5°) which is near 0.5 m s⁻¹. A sharp increase in ⟨W⟩ W₀ can be seen between the fourth scan (θ = 2.0°) and the fifth scan
- $(\theta = 2.5^{\circ})$, where the range resolution changes from 2 to 1 km. Increased values of $\langle W \rangle W_0$ can be seen far above the wind farm, near 3 km altitude.



Figure 5 shows a cross section in range (4–38 km) and height (0–4 km) of the polar volume for azimuth gate 52, the gate in which three wind turbines are located (near 44° azimuth). The same quantities as in Fig. 4 are shown (i.e. $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$, $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$, and $\langle W \rangle - W_0$).

- Figure 5a and b shows $\langle Z \rangle Z_0$, before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. In Fig. 5b it is seen that, after the construction of the wind farm, increased values of $\langle Z \rangle Z_0$ extends tens of kilometres downrange of of the wind turbines, for the lowest two tilt angles ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$), cf. Fig. 2b. For higher tilt angles no increase in $\langle Z \rangle Z_0$ downrange of the wind turbines can be seen.
- Figure 5c and d shows $\langle |V| \rangle V_0$, before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. Figure 5d shows that, after the wind farm was constructed, increased values of $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$ exist in the radar cells in which the wind turbines are located for the lowest two tilt angles ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$). Downrange of the wind turbines for these tilt angles a decrease in $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$ is seen. For higher tilt angles ($2.5^{\circ} \ge \theta \le 14^{\circ}$) a decrease in $\langle V \rangle - V_0$ exist at the location of the wind turbines.
- Figure 5e and f shows $\langle W \rangle W_0$, before and after the construction of the wind farm, respectively. Figure 5f shows that, after the construction of the wind farm, $\langle W \rangle W_0$ increased at and above the locations of the wind turbines, for altitudes up to 3 km. Downrange of the wind turbines a slight decrease in $\langle W \rangle W_0$ is seen for the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$), whereas a slight increase in $\langle W \rangle W_0$ can be seen for $\theta = 1.0^{\circ}$.

Supplementary to Figs. 4 and 5, animations of $\langle Z \rangle$, $\langle |V| \rangle$, and $\langle W \rangle$ for all 10 scans are shown in Figs. S1–S3 in the Supplement, respectively. These animations show a 3-D view of the impact Brunsmo wind farm has on the three spectral moments. From the animations it is seen that tails of changed amplitudes of the spectral moments are only visible for the scans with the lowest two tilt angles.

25

That a wind farm can have a negative impact on radar data at altitudes far above the wind turbines can be due by several mechanisms. Isom et al. (2009) showed that wind farms can be detected by radar sidelobes. It is also conceivable that increased levels in the spectral moments could be due to scattering off dust and turbulence, generated



by the wind farm. Here we have investigated a third possibility, that the impact could be due to anomalous propagation, i.e. bending of the radar beam due to changes in the atmospheric refractive index. Anomalous propagation can sometimes result in scattering from objects on the ground, not normally seen by the radar. Such propagation condi-

tions can be caused by temperature inversions or by a rapid decrease in water vapour content with height (see, e.g., Patterson, 2008). Temperature inversions can exist at any time of the day in Sweden during the cool season (Devasthale and Thomas, 2012) whereas such inversions most commonly occur in early morning during the warm season. A rapid decrease in water vapour content with height can occur during afternoons
 in summer (Bodine et al., 2011).

In order to investigate the effect of anomalous propagation, the data presented in Fig. 4 were divided into hourly and seasonally averages. Data for $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$, $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$, and $\langle W \rangle - W_0$ from the radar cell in which three wind turbines are located, from all tilt angles, as a function of time of day and season are shown Fig. 6. From Fig. 6a, e, and i it is seen that during winter (December, Japaner, and Fabruary, DJE) the impact on the apparture

- ¹⁵ that during winter (December, January, and February, DJF) the impact on the spectral moments is high, regardless of the time of day. During the warm season, especially during summer (June, July, and August, JJA), high impacts on the spectral moments are concentrated to early morning and late afternoon. This effect is most pronounced for scans with low tilt angles and cannot be seen for scans with tilt angles $\theta > 14^{\circ}$. For
- radial velocity it can be noted that the largest impact, resulting in a decrease in |V| for higher tilt angles, primarily occur during autumn (September, October, and November, SON).

For the Brunsmo wind farm it is clear that anomalous propagation conditions affects the size of the impact of the wind turbines. Higher impacts are seen when anoma-

²⁵ lous propagation conditions are common. However, it is still possible that part of the increased levels of the spectral moments can be explained by the radar sidelobes or by scattering from dust or turbulence above the wind farm.



4.3 Wind turbine impact on a single radar cell

10

In Sects. 4.1 and 4.2 average values of the spectral moments were compared before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm. While this is a robust and simple way to illustrate the impact of wind turbines on weather radar data these average values

⁵ do not separate the weather signal from the unwanted wind turbine clutter. Hence, this method tacitly assumes that the weather signal, on average, was similar during the two measurement periods (before and after the construction of the wind farm).

In order to perform a more in-depth analysis of the impact of wind turbines on radar data one would ideally want to know the actual, unaffected values of the weather signal at all times. A way to simulate this is to use simultaneous measurements from a reference radar cell, a radar cell unaffected by the wind turbines.

This technique was used by Haase et al. (2010) and Norin and Haase (2012) in their works. As reference cells they used radar cells with the same range bin and azimuth gate as the wind turbine-affected radar cells, but from a scan with a higher tilt

- angle ($\theta = 2.0^{\circ}$). Furthermore, in order to remove the influence of weather they chose to select only those measurements for which the reflectivity in the reference cell was undetected (i.e. $Z_{ref} = -30 \text{ dBZ}$). As can be seen from Fig. 4, such a choice of reference radar cells must be treated with caution since radar cells in scans from higher tilt angles may also be affected by the presence of the wind farm.
- In this work a different choice of reference radar cell was used: the radar cells one range bin up-range from the radar cells in which the wind turbines are located. From Fig. 2 it is seen that these radar cells are not affected by the wind farm.

In order to investigate the impact of wind turbines on a single radar cell, we chose to study data from the radar cell in which three wind turbines are located ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$, range

²⁵ bin 7, azimuth gate 52). The corresponding reference radar cell was selected from the scan with the same tilt angle and azimuth gate but one range bin up-range from the wind turbine-affected cell ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$, range bin 6, azimuth gate 52).



In the analysis frequency distributions of the spectral moments (Z, V, and W) were examined as functions of Z_{ref} . In order to have sufficiently many samples for the analysis, data for Z and V were sorted into 35 bins.

For *Z*, one bin was used to count all measurement for which Z = -30 dBZ (undetected measurements) and one bin counted all invalid measurements. The remaining 33 bins were equally spaced from Z = -29.6 dBZ to Z = 71.6 dBZ. For *V*, one bin was used to count all undetected measurements and one bin counted all invalid measurements. The remaining 33 bins were equally spaced from $V = -24 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ to $V = 24 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

Since measurements of W only consists of four different classes (see Sect. 2) and has separate representations for undetected and invalid measurements, data for Wwere used as they are.

Let us first examine the validity of the choice of reference radar cell. Figure 7 shows relative frequency distributions of reflectivity from the reference cell, Z_{ref} , and from the wind turbine-affected radar cell, Z_{wt} , before and after the construction of the Brunsmo wind farm, using data from the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$). From Fig. 7 it is seen that the distributions of Z_{ref} , both before and after the construction of the wind farm, are similar to the distribution of Z_{wt} from before the wind farm was built. Some small differences exist between these distributions but they are minor when compared to the distribution of the Z_{wt} after the construction of the wind farm, which is dominated

²⁰ by a prominent peak near $Z \approx 5 \text{ dBZ}$.

15

From Fig. 7 it is seen that neither Z_{ref} nor Z_{wt} are normally distributed. This means that the average value of Z, used in Sect. 4.1 and Sect. 4.2, does not coincide with the value of Z at the peak of the frequency distribution.

Distributions of reflectivity from scans with higher tilt angles, using the same choice for reference radar cells (the radar cells one range bin up-range from the wind turbineaffected cells), all show similar behaviour. The chosen reference radar cells were therefore judged to provide a good (albeit not perfect) representation of the weather signal in the wind turbine-affected radar cells.



Having validated the choice of reference radar cell, a more in-depth analysis of the wind turbine-affected radar cell from the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$) could be performed. To analyse the impact of the wind turbines, frequency distributions of the spectral moments from the wind turbine-affected radar cell (Z_{wt} , V_{wt} , and W_{wt}) were created as a function of the simultaneous reflectivity measurements from the reference radar cell (Z_{ref}). In Fig. 8 the relative frequency distributions of Z_{wt} , V_{wt} , and W_{wt} are shown.

Figure 8a shows relative frequency distributions of Z_{wt} as a function of Z_{ref} , before the wind farm was constructed. It is seen that, as expected, the measurements of Z_{ref} in general accurately represents the measurements of Z_{wt} . For $Z_{ref} \leq -15$ dBZ the values of Z_{wt} tends to be somewhat higher than Z_{ref} (cf. Fig. 7). In Fig. 8b the same relative frequency distributions are shown, but this time for data gathered after the construction of the wind farm. For $Z_{ref} \gtrsim 5$ dBZ it is seen that Z_{ref} provides a good representation for Z_{wt} whereas for $Z_{ref} \lesssim 5$ dBZ the situation is different. For all values of $Z_{ref} \lesssim 5$ dBZ the distributions of Z_{wt} exhibit a peak at $Z_{wt} \approx 5$ dBZ. This reflectivity value, $Z \approx 5$ dBZ, is the most frequent reflectivity value generated by the wind turbines. When the reflectivity value from the actual weather is smaller than this value, the wind turbines effectively

hide the weather signal. For larger reflectivity values the weather signal recovers to regain its true value.

Figure 8c shows the relative frequency distributions of V_{wt} as a function of Z_{ref} , before the wind farm was constructed. From Fig. 8c it is seen that the distributions of V_{wt} have their maxima near $V_{wt} \approx \pm 5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, regardless of the value of Z_{ref} . Figure 8d shows the same distributions, but for data recorded after the construction of the wind farm. For $Z_{ref} \gtrsim 5 \text{ dBZ}$ the distributions of V_{wt} are similar to those shown in Fig. 8c but for smaller values of Z_{ref} the distributions of V_{wt} look different. For $Z_{ref} \lesssim 5 \text{ dBZ}$ the distributions of V_{wt} all have their maxima at $V_{wt} \approx 0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Much as for Z_{wt} , the distributions of V_{wt} recover for $Z_{ref} \gtrsim 5 \text{ dBZ}$ to resemble the corresponding distributions with data from before the construction of the wind farm.



For $Z_{ref} \lesssim 5 \text{ dBZ}$, the frequency distribution of V_{wt} was spread over almost all velocity bins, resulting in an increase in $\langle |V_{wt}| \rangle$. However, extending the analysis to radar cells downrange of the wind turbines, it was found that the frequency distribution of V_{wt} was concentrated to the low velocity bins, resulting in a decrease in $\langle |V_{wt}| \rangle$. The reason for ⁵ this is not clear and should be investigated further.

Figure 8e shows the relative frequency distributions of W_{wt} as a function of Z_{ref} , before the wind farm was constructed. From Fig. 8e it is seen that the distributions of W_{wt} vary depending on the value of Z_{ref} . For $Z_{ref} \lesssim -15 \text{ dBZ}$, the distributions of W_{wt} peak for $W_{wt} = 0-1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ whereas for $Z_{ref} \gtrsim -15 \text{ dBZ}$ the distributions of W_{wt} have their maxima for $W_{wt} = 1-2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. From Fig. 8f it is seen that after the construction of the wind farm the distributions of W_{wt} are evenly spread out for $Z_{vef} \lesssim -6 \text{ dBZ}$. For larger

wind farm the distributions of W_{wt} are evenly spread out for $Z_{ref} \lesssim -5 \text{ dBZ}$. For larger reflectivity values the distributions of W_{wt} gradually recover to resemble those before the wind farm was built.

From Fig. 8 it is clear that a competition between the weather signal and the wind turbine clutter exists. Once the strength of the weather echoes exceed that of the wind turbine clutter, the true values of all spectral moments are seen to recover.

4.4 Recovery of the weather signal

In Fig. 8c and d it was shown that measurements of V_{wt} recovered their true (nonwind turbine-affected) values for $Z_{ref} \gtrsim 5 \text{ dBZ}$. Another way to see how V_{wt} recovers for increasing values of Z_{ref} is shown in Fig. 9. In this figure the relative frequency distributions of V_{wt} are shown as functions of V_{ref} and Z_{ref} . Figure 9a, c, and e show the distributions of V_{wt} as a function of V_{ref} for $Z_{ref} < -10 \text{ dBZ}$, $-10 \text{ dBZ} \ge Z_{ref} < 5 \text{ dBZ}$, and $Z_{ref} \ge 5 \text{ dBZ}$, respectively, using data from before the construction of the wind farm. From these figures it is seen that before the construction of the wind farm there was a strong correlation between V_{wt} and V_{ref} regardless of the value of Z_{ref} . In Fig. 9b, d, and f the corresponding distributions are shown, but for data gathered after the construction of the wind farm. In Fig. 9b, where $Z_{ref} < -10 \text{ dBZ}$, there is almost no



correlation between V_{wt} and V_{ref} , except for $V_{\text{ref}} \leq \pm 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. (It is interesting to note that the cut-in speed of the wind turbines is 3.5 m s^{-1} (see Sect. 3), which could explain the correlation for $V_{\text{ref}} \leq \pm 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.) In Fig. 9d the correlation between V_{wt} and V_{ref} is seen to increase for $-10 \text{ dBZ} \geq Z_{\text{ref}} < 5 \text{ dBZ}$, and for $Z_{\text{ref}} \geq 5 \text{ dBZ}$, shown in Fig. 9f, it is as strong as before the construction of the wind farm.

As was shown in Fig. 8, a recovery of all spectral moments occurs for increasing values of Z_{ref} . A way to quantitatively analyse this recovery is to calculate the correlation coefficient between the distributions of the spectral moments before and after the construction of the wind farm, as a function of Z_{ref} . This analysis was performed for all

- ¹⁰ 10 scans in the polar volume. The wind turbine-affected radar cells were represented by the radar cells with azimuth gate 52 and the range bin in which the wind turbines would be located if a vertical line was drawn from the ground. The corresponding reference cells were selected from the same azimuth gate but one range bin closer to the radar (cf. Sect. 4.3). Correlation coefficients were only calculated for distributions
- for which more than 300 measurements existed. The results of the analyses for the three spectral moments (Z, V, and W) for all 10 scans in the polar volume are shown in Fig. 10.

Figure 10a shows the correlation coefficient, ρ , between the distribution of *Z* at the wind turbines, before ($Z_{wt bef}$) and after ($Z_{wt aft}$) the construction of the wind farm, as a function of Z_{ref} , for all scans in the polar volume. The correlation coefficient, $\rho(Z_{wt bef}, Z_{wt aft})$, is seen to increase for increasing values of Z_{ref} (i.e. for increasing influence of the weather signal) and also for higher tilt angles (i.e. for decreasing influence of the wind farm). However, for $Z_{ref} < -5 \text{ dBZ}$ the correlation coefficient for the fifth scan ($\theta = 2.5^{\circ}$, the lowest scan using the higher radial resolution) is lower than for two scans with lower tilt angles ($\theta = 1.5^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 2.0^{\circ}$). This is most likely because these scans use a lower radial resolution (cf. Sect. 2). That the fifth scan is more affected by the wind farm than some of the lower scans can also be seen in Figs. 4 and 5, as well

as in Fig. S1 in the Supplement.



Figure 10b shows the correlation coefficient, ρ , between the distribution of *V* at the wind turbines, before ($V_{\text{wt bef}}$) and after ($V_{\text{wt aft}}$) the construction of the wind farm, as a function of Z_{ref} , for all scans in the polar volume. In Fig. 10b it is again seen that the correlation coefficient, $\rho(V_{\text{wt bef}}, V_{\text{wt aft}})$, increases for increasing values of Z_{ref} as well as for higher tilt angles. However, the use a higher radial resolution ($\theta \ge 2.5^{\circ}$) seems to have a smaller negative impact on the recovery of V_{wt} than for Z_{wt} .

Figure 10c shows the correlation coefficient, ρ , between the distribution of W at the wind turbines, before ($W_{wt bef}$) and after ($W_{wt aft}$) the construction of the wind farm, as a function of Z_{ref} , for all scan in the polar volume. As for Z and V, the correlation coefficient for W, $\rho(W_{wt bef}, W_{wt aft})$, increases for increasing values of Z_{ref} and also for higher tilt angles. For the scans with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$) a correlation greater than 0.9 is only achieved for $Z_{ref} > 15 \text{ dBZ}$. Since W is limited to four classes (see Sect. 2), fewer values of the correlation coefficient are statistically significant compared

to the other spectral moments.

15 **Summary and conclusions**

10

Wind turbines located in the vicinity of a Doppler weather radar can have a detrimental impact on the radar's performance. The objective of this study was to investigate the impact of wind turbines on operational Doppler weather radar data. Doppler weather radars measure three spectral moments: the radar reflectivity factor, *Z*, radial velocity,

 $_{20}$ *V*, and spectrum width, *W*. In this work we have analysed the impact of wind turbines on all spectral moments, using two different approaches.

First, the average values for the three spectral moments (reflectivity, $\langle Z \rangle$, absolute radial velocity, $\langle |V| \rangle$, and spectrum width, $\langle W \rangle$) were computed. In this study these averages were calculated using operational radar data from long periods of time before (approximately 2.5 years) and after (approximately 2.5 years) the construction of

fore (approximately 2.5 years) and after (approximately 3.5 years) the construction of a wind farm near radar Karlskrona in southeastern Sweden. By comparing the average values before and after, the impact of the wind farm on all spectral moments was



estimated. For the wind farm studied in this work the average values of the spectral moments in the radar cells in which wind turbines are located, from the scan with the lowest tilt angle, were shown to change after the wind turbines were built; reflectivity increased from $\langle Z \rangle \approx -18 \text{ dBZ}$ to $\langle Z \rangle \approx -6 \text{ dBZ}$, the absolute radial velocity increased from $\langle |V| \rangle \approx 6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ to $\langle |V| \rangle > 8.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, and the spectrum width increased from $\langle W \rangle \approx 2.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ to $\langle W \rangle > 2.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. However, not only those radar cells in which the wind turbines are located were affected by the presence of the wind farm. Several radar cells both cross- and downrange were also affected.

5

Downrange from the wind turbines tails with increased values of $\langle Z \rangle$ were seen, visible for more than 20 km behind the turbines. This is believed to be caused by multiple scattering of the radar signal between rotor blades and the ground. For $\langle |V| \rangle$ and $\langle W \rangle$, tails with decreased values were observed.

In addition to the changes in values of all spectral moments in data from the scan with the lowest tilt angle it was shown that data from scans with higher tilt angles, far above the wind farm were also affected. Increased values in $\langle Z \rangle$ and $\langle W \rangle$, and decreased values in $\langle |V| \rangle$ were seen up to three kilometres above the wind farm.

That a wind farm can have an impact on radar data at altitudes far above the wind turbines can be due to several reasons. Wind farms not in line-of-sight of a radar can still be detected by the radar's sidelobes. Scattering off dust and turbulence above the wind

- ²⁰ farm is also a conceivable explanation for changed values in the spectral moments. In this work we investigated the influence of anomalous propagation, i.e. bending of the radar beam due to changes in the atmospheric refractive index. It was shown that increased values in all spectral moment intensified during winter (regardless of the time of day) as well as during early morning and afternoon in the summer, consistent with
- times when anomalous propagation conditions that bend the radar beam toward the ground are known to occur more frequently.

One of the problems with wind turbine clutter is that their impact on the spectral moments is similar to that of real weather signals. To analyse the competition between the weather signal and wind turbine clutter a second approach, using data from reference



radar cells, was used. Ideally, data from a reference radar cell should, for any given measurement, show what the unaffected weather signal should be in the wind turbineaffected radar cell. In this work the reference cells were chosen as the radar cells one range bin up-range from the affected radar cells. Data from all three spectral moments, before and after the construction of the wind farm, were then visualised using relative

frequency distributions of the spectral moment under study, as a function of Z_{ref} .

The results using this method revealed that all spectral moments from wind turbineaffected radar cells recover their true value (i.e. the value of the weather signal) for increasing values of Z_{ref} . When precipitation gives rise to reflectivity values stronger than those caused by the wind turbines, the negative impact of the wind turbines disappears for all spectral moments.

These results suggest that the weather information from wind turbine-affected radar cells is not always lost. One way to mitigate the impact of wind turbines could be to create conditional filters. If reflectivity from a wind turbine-affected radar cell is larger than that on every accurate by the wind turbine, the radar data cauld still be used

than that on average caused by the wind turbine, the radar data could still be used. However, when the reflectivity is similar or lower than the average reflectivity caused by the wind turbine, caution should be applied when using radar data from any spectral moment from the wind turbine-affected radar cells.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/amtd-7-8743-2014-supplement.

10

20

Acknowledgements. This work was financed by the Swedish Energy Agency, under the project VINDRAD+.



References

- Bodine, D., Michaud, D., Palmer, R. D., Heinselman, P. L., Brotzge, J., Gasperoni, N., Cheong, B. L., Xue, M., and Gao, J.: Understanding radar refractivity: sources of uncertainty, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 50, 2543–2560, doi:10.1175/2011JAMC2648.1, 2011. 8755
- ⁵ Burgess, D. W., Crum, T. D., and Vogt, R. J.: Impacts of Wind Farms on WSR-88D Radars, 24th International Conference on Interactive Information and Processing Systems for Meteorology, Oceanography, and Hydrology, New Orleans, LA, United States, American Meteorological Society, paper 6 B.3, 2008. 8745

Crum, T. and Ciardi, E.: Wind farms and the WSR-88D: an update, Nexrad Now, 20, 17–22, 2010. 8745

- Crum, T., Ciardi, E., and Sandifer, J.: Wind farms: coming soon to a WSR-88D near you, Nexrad Now, 18, 1–7, 2008. 8750
- Department of Defense: The Effect of Windmill Farms on Military Readiness, Report to the congressional defense committees, Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, 2006. 8745

15

20

25

10

Devasthale, A. and Thomas, M. A.: An investigation of statistical link between inversion strength and carbon monoxide over Scandinavia in winter using AIRS data, Atmos. Environ., 56, 109–114, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.042, 2012. 8755

Doviak, R. J. and Zrnić, D. S.: Doppler Radar and Weather Observations, 2nd Edn., Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2006. 8747

Gallardo, B., Pérez, F., and Aguado, F.: Characterization approach of wind turbine clutter in the Spanish Weather Radar Network, in: Proceedings of the Fifth European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology, Helsinki, Finland, ERAD, 2008. 8745

Global Wind Energy Council: Global Wind Report, Annual market update 2013, Global Wind Energy Council. 2014. 8745

- Haase, G., Johnson, D., and Eriksson, K.-Å.: Analyzing the impact of wind turbines on operational weather radar products, in: Proceedings of the Sixth European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology, Sibiu, Romania, 276–281, ERAD, 2010. 8746, 8750, 8756
 Isom, B. M., Palmer, R. D., Secrest, G. S., Rhoton, R. D., Saxion, D., Allmon, T. L., Reed, J.,
- ³⁰ Crum, T., and Vogt, R.: Detailed observations of wind turbine clutter with scanning weather radars, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 26, 894–910, doi:10.1175/2008JTECHA1136.1, 2009. 8746, 8750, 8754



- Kong, F.: Wind Turbine Clutter in Weather Radar: Characterization and Mitigation, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, United States, 2014. 8750
- Lemmon, J. J., Caroll, J. E., Sanders, F. H., and Turner, D.: Assessment of the Effects of Wind Turbines on Air Traffic Control Radars, Tech. Rep. TR-08-454, US Department of Commerse, National Telecommunications & Information Administration, 2008. 8745
- National Telecommunications & Information Administration, 2008. 8745 Lute, C. and Wieserman, W.: ASR-11 radar performance assessment over a wind turbine farm, in: Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE Radar Conference, Kansas City, MO, United States, 226– 230, IEEE, 2011. 8745

Norin, L. and Haase, G.: Doppler weather radars and wind turbines, in: Doppler Radar Observa-

- tions Weather Radar, Wind Profiler, Ionospheric Radar, and Other Advanced Applications, edited by: Bech, J. and Chau, J. L., InTech, Croatia, doi:10.5772/39029, 2012. 8746, 8750, 8756
 - Patterson, W. L.: The propagation factor, *F*_p, in the radar equation, in: Radar Handbook, 3rd Edn., edited by: Skolnik, M., McGraw-Hill, United States, 2008. 8755
- ¹⁵ Poupart, G. J.: Wind Farms Impact on Radar Aviation Interests, Tech. Rep. FES W/14/00614/00/REP, DTI PUB URN 03/1294, QinetiQ, 2003. 8745
 - Rossa, A., Liechti, K., Zappa, M., Bruen, M., Germann, U., Haase, G., Keil, C., and Krahe, P.: The COST 731 Action: a review on uncertainty propagation in advanced hydro-meteorological forecast systems, Atmos. Res., 100, 150–167, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.11.016, 2011. 8745
 - Toth, M., Jones, E., Pittman, D., and Solomon, D.: DOW radar observations of wind farms, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 92, 987–995, doi:10.1175/2011BAMS3068.1, 2011. 8746
 - Vogt, R. J., Crum, T. D., Greenwood, W., Ciardi, E. J., and Guenther, R. G.: New criteria for evaluating wind turbine impacts on NEXRAD radars, in: Proceedings of WINDPOWER 2011,
- Anaheim, CA, United States, American Wind Energy Association, 2011. 8745, 8750

20



Tilt angles	0.5°, 1.0°, 1.5°, 2.0°	2.5°, 4.0°, 8.0°, 14.0°, 24.0°, 40.0°
Transmit power Wavelength Gain Bules width	250 kW 5.35 cm 44.7 dB	250 kW 5.35 cm 44.7 dB
Pulse width Beam width PRFs	0.5 μs 0.9° 600/450 Hz	0.5 μs 0.9° 1200/900 Hz
Rotational speed Measurement radius Radial resolution	2 rpm 240 km 2 km	2 rpm 120 km 1 km
Azimuthal resolution Range bins Azimuth gates Max unambiguous velocity	0.86 120 420 24 m s ⁻¹	0.86° 120 420 48 m s ⁻¹





Figure 1. Schematic plot of Brunsmo wind farm. The positions and heights of the wind turbines are shown together with the altitudes of the half-power beam width of the main radar lobe for five lowest tilt angles $(0.5^{\circ} \le \theta \le 2.5^{\circ})$ at the wind farm.





Figure 2. Average reflectivity $\langle Z \rangle$, average absolute radial velocity $\langle |V| \rangle$, and average spectrum width $\langle W \rangle$, before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm. The locations of the wind turbines are shown with black or white circles. The impact of the wind turbines is seen for all spectral moments as changes to their average value. The impact of the wind turbines extends to radar cells both cross- and downrange from the wind farm.







Figure 3. Relative frequency of real (detected and valid) measurements of radial velocity V and spectrum width W, before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm. The locations of the wind turbines are shown with black or white circles. The wind turbines are seen to increase the frequency of real measurements of V and W, both at the location of the wind turbines as well as cross- and downrange from the wind farm.



Figure 4. Difference in average reflectivity, $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$, difference in average absolute radial velocity, $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$, and difference in average spectrum width, $\langle W \rangle - W_0$, for all tilt angles $(0.5^\circ \le \theta \le 40^\circ)$, before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm. For each scan, Z_0 , V_0 , and W_0 were calculated as the mean value in azimuth (for the azimuth gates shown in the figure) of the corresponding spectral moment, before the construction of the wind farm. For every scan, the data shown were taken from the range bin corresponding to the location of the wind turbines. For scans with higher tilt angles $(2.5^\circ \le \theta \le 40^\circ)$ the average values of the two range bins nearest the wind turbines were used since for these scans the range resolution is two times that of the scans with lower tilt angles. The locations of the wind turbines are shown on the bottom of each plot as black or white vertical lines. The wind turbines are in radar line-of-sight for the scans with the two lowest tilt angles but are nevertheless seen to have an impact on scans with higher tilt angles.





Figure 5. Difference in average reflectivity, $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$, difference in average absolute radial velocity, $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$, and difference in average spectrum width, $\langle W \rangle - W_0$, for all tilt angles (0.5° $\leq \theta \leq 40^\circ$), before and after the construction of Brunsmo wind farm. For each scan, Z_0 , V_0 , and W_0 were calculated as the mean value in azimuth (for the azimuth gates shown in Fig. 4) of the corresponding spectral moment, before the construction of the wind farm. Data were taken from azimuth gate 52, the gate in which three wind turbines are located (near 44° azimuth). The locations of the wind turbines are shown on the bottom of each plot as black vertical lines. The wind turbines are in radar line-of-sight for the scans with the two lowest tilt angles but are nevertheless seen to impact scans with higher tilt angles.





Figure 6. Difference in average reflectivity, $\langle Z \rangle - Z_0$, difference in average absolute radial velocity, $\langle |V| \rangle - V_0$, and difference in average spectrum width, $\langle W \rangle - W_0$, for a radar cell in which three wind turbines are located for all tilt angles $(0.5^\circ \le \theta \le 40^\circ)$, before (thin lines) and after (thick lines) the construction of Brunsmo wind farm, as a function of season and time of day. For each scan, Z_0 , V_0 , and W_0 were calculated as the mean value in azimuth (for the azimuth gates shown in Fig. 4) of the corresponding spectral moment, before the construction of the wind farm. Variations in the spectral moments are partly attributed to changes in atmospheric refraction. During winter (DJF), temperature inversions are common over Sweden leading to increased amplitudes in the spectral moments, regardless of the time of day. During the warm season temperature inversions are most common during early morning, producing a peak in amplitude. The peak in the afternoon, most clearly seen during summer (JJA), is attributed to a rapid decrease in water vapour content with height.







Figure 7. Relative frequency distributions of reflectivity from a reference radar cell, Z_{ref} , and from a radar cell in which three wind turbines are located, Z_{wt} , before and after the construction of a wind farm, using data from the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$). The grey and white backgrounds represent the bins that were used to create the distributions.



Figure 8. Relative frequency distributions of reflectivity, Z_{wt} , radial velocity, V_{wt} , and spectrum width, W_{wt} , from a radar cell in which three wind turbines are located as a function of reflectivity from a reference radar cell, Z_{ref} , using data from the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$). Panels on the left-hand side (**a**, **c** and **e**) show relative frequency distributions before the wind farm was constructed and panels to the right (**b**, **d** and **f**) show the corresponding distributions after the wind farm start-of-operations. For $Z_{ref} \gtrsim 5 \text{ dBZ}$ the distributions of Z_{wt} , V_{wt} , and W_{wt} are similar before and after the construction of the wind farm. However, for $Z_{ref} \lesssim 5 \text{ dBZ}$ the distributions before and after the construction of the wind farm look different.





Figure 9. Relative frequency distributions of radial velocity from a radar cell in which three wind turbines are located, V_{wt} , as a function of radial velocity, V_{ref} , and reflectivity, Z_{ref} , from a reference radar cell. Data were taken from the scan with the lowest tilt angle ($\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$). Panels on the left-hand side (**a**, **c** and **e**) show relative frequency distributions of V_{wt} for $Z_{ref} < -10 \text{ dBZ}$, $-10 \text{ dBZ} \ge Z_{ref} < 5 \text{ dBZ}$, and $Z_{ref} \ge 5 \text{ dBZ}$, respectively, before the construction of the wind farm. Panels on the right-hand side (**b**, **d** and **f**) show the corresponding distributions, using data obtained after the construction of the wind farm. In (**b**), for $Z_{ref} < -10 \text{ dBZ}$, almost no correlation exists between V_{wt} and V_{ref} but it is clear that the correlation recovers for increasing values of Z_{ref} (**d** and **f**).





Figure 10. Correlation coefficients, ρ , between frequency distributions of spectral moments from a wind turbine-affected radar cell before ($Z_{wt bef}$, $V_{wt bef}$, and $W_{wt bef}$) and after ($Z_{wt aft}$, $V_{wt aft}$, and $W_{wt aft}$) the construction of Brunsmo wind farm, as a function of reflectivity from a reference radar cell, Z_{ref} , for all tilt angles. The correlation of the spectral moments recover for higher values of Z_{ref} (increasing influence of the weather signal) and for higher tilt angles (decreasing influence of the wind farm). Correlations significant on p < 0.05-level are drawn with thick lines.

