

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Remote sensing of cloud top pressure/height from SEVIRI: analysis of ten current retrieval algorithms” by U. Hamann et al.

U. Hamann et al.

ulrich.hamann@gmx.net

Received and published: 26 May 2014

Dear Anonymous Referee 1,
thanks a lot for all comments.

"In the algorithm description section no reference is made to the surface parameterisations (albedo/brdf) used over land or sea. The sensitivity to thin cloud can be sensitive to this parametrisation so it would be useful to know if there are any differences between the algorithms in this respect."

Most of the algorithms use the MODIS albedo product, but some algorithms use different datasets for the description of the surface. We will add a paragraph to summarize the used albedo datasets.

C1076

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



"It would be desirable to have a short more physical explanation of the difference between the CPR and CALIOP data sets and what the implications are on the results i.e is one algorithm more/less sensitive to large particles/ice/water/ thin clouds etc."
We will add the lidar and radar equations to the description of the sensors and discuss the implication to the sensitivity to particle size and phase.

"P403 I21 CHT=CTH

P405 I13 nighttime night time

P406 I17 IR (infra red)

P415 I18 what are the 'essential observable properties'

P419 I4 'A large of these' ! A large percentage of these

P419 I12 Cannot begin a sentence with Thereby- rephrase

P423 I11 exceptions should also include UKM algorithm

P436 I9 understanding! understanding of

P436 I15 is !are

P436 I24 Cannot begin a sentence with also

P437 I6 focus ! to focus

P438 I5 route! root

P438 I16 'probably' is a big vague I suspect 'are required' more appropriate.

P439 I4 subject ! the subject

P439 I8 is !are

P439 I24 over! of"

corrected in the paper.

"P434 What does the author mean by a general temperature bias in the lower part of the atmosphere, that there is an uncertainty on the NWP temperature profile that is large in this part of the atmosphere?"

We wanted to illustrate two simple ways how to modify the temperature profile in order to be in accordance to the CALIOP observation (CTH=1.3km) and SEVIRI observation (CTT=281K). One possibility to create this CTH/CTT point is by raising the boundary

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



layer top by from 1km to 1.3km with a similar temperature gradient as in the boundary layer. Another way to “create” this point is to subtract a height independent bias of around 4K from the temperature profile. We will try to formulate this in a better way.

best regards, Ulrich Hamann and the co-authors of the publication

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, 401, 2014.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

