

Interactive comment on “Influence of changes in humidity on dry temperature in GPS RO climatologies” by J. Danzer et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 16 July 2014

I thank the authors for taking my comments into account. I am happy in all respects, except for point 5, which appears to have been misunderstood.

#5: P5471, L12ff: Is it worth stressing the strong statement that "at low altitudes the trends in dry temperature become negative, since the increase in water vapor over-compensates the moderate increase in physical temperature"?

I didn't mean "Is it worth saying this? Could you omit it?". I meant "This is an interesting and important result. Could it be put more strongly?"

Perhaps I should have said "Could it be worth stressing more forcefully the strong statement that ...".

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Happily, your suggested revised text does indeed make the point more strongly.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, 5457, 2014.

AMTD

7, C1752–C1753, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C1753

