

Interactive comment on “Tropospheric ozone and ozone profiles retrieved from GOME-2 and their validation” by G. M. Miles et al.

G. M. Miles et al.

georgina.miles@stfc.ac.uk

Received and published: 6 August 2014

It has been kindly brought to the attention of the authors that there are some typographical inaccuracies relating to the labeling and discussion of Figure 5 of this manuscript. In the second paragraph of page 7942, it should be stated that it is the standard deviation of the sub-column error ratio that is around 1 that is indicative that the estimate of the retrieval error is good, rather than the mean. Furthermore, the reference to the equation in that paragraph should be 15 rather than 14. In a related issue, the abscissa of the panels should have retained the terminology explained in this paragraph as at present it is slightly confusing. These minor issues will be addressed during the review process, but we considered it worth noting in the discussion to avoid difficulty in inter-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



preting what the figure demonstrates, namely that the estimated error of the retrieval is indicative of the real error of the retrieval.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, 7923, 2014.

AMTD

7, C2056–C2057, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C2057

