

## ***Interactive comment on “Using XCO<sub>2</sub> retrievals for assessing the long-term consistency of NDACC/FTIR data sets” by S. Barthlott et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 10 December 2014

I will follow up here with only those issues I feel are unresolved from the authors first response.

Main Issues 3. Reading of the paper suffers from the lack of an at least, semi-quantitative definition of the term 'long-term consistency'. It is easy enough for the reader to have a view of what this is, but since it is the primary point of the paper it should be defined probably in the introduction.

We want to check our datasets for shifts or biases caused by e.g. instrumental failure or change of instruments for the whole dataset of all used sites. The duration of these datasets varies from several to up to 20 years. We also want to compare datasets  
C4152

recorded continuously and datasets that have been only recorded during campaigns.

*Right, will this statement be made more complete and added into the manuscript? If so then this issue will be considered resolved.*

4. The most important issue: The 'NDACC-IR retrieval' used here employs profile scaling even when the data have high spectral resolution in the MIR. It is shown that the scaled single a-priori (WACCM) inflicted a bias. Why was the NDACC-IR standard procedure of profiling with appropriate constraints not used? This seems a glaring misuse of the standard NDACC-IR techniques when its primary attribute could be used to obvious advantage. Section 4.2 discusses the difficulty of gaining the seasonal cycle amplitudes by not employing the MIR data to its full extent. Here a correction is found to mitigate it but could it not have been avoided?

We agree that a profiling retrieval would likely improve the sensitivity of the NDACC XCO<sub>2</sub> product. Such further development of our here presented approach could be presented in a future study. For the current study we decided to present retrieval as simple as possible. This simplicity is an important aspect and assures that the method can be correctly and consistently applied for different sites and time periods:

*Given that the NDACC-IRWG raw data is very consistent and consistent retrievals are also implemented for other species it should be the case that a consistent profile retrieval for CO<sub>2</sub> is readily achievable. But that this is considered beyond the scope of the paper is reasonable but again it should be stated in the manuscript. This statement is very important given the problems faced by the scaling technique.*

The retrieval strategy is kept rather simple, which is an advantage:

- perform a simple scaling retrieval (TCCON also only performs a scaling retrieval).

This retrieval means a clear and simple constraint and can be very easily used at any measurement site. A profile means a more sophisticated constraint, which has to be clearly specified in order to assure consistency between the sites.

*The fact that the TCCON procedure uses scaling hides the fact that the scaled profile varies daily and widely through the year.*

- we use a fixed a priori. We want to use the retrieval result as stability criterion for the NDACC/FTIR measurement and by a fixed and well traceable a priori (WACCM) we can attribute the variations in the retrieved XCO<sub>2</sub> to the NDACC/FTIR measurement.

*This is precisely why a constrained profile would be a better choice even if it is more complex to implement.*

Minor Technical Issues

2. L192: NCEP data for pressure and temperature for NDACC are supplied at the NDACC DHF at [www.ndacc.org](http://www.ndacc.org). p-T data for TCCON are supplied elsewhere. The t-P data you use is one or the other or a third?

This must be stated clearly.

The NCEP p-T data used for the NDACC retrieval are available e.g. via the Goddard automailer (Lait, 2005). Lait, L.R.: Using the Goddard Automailer, available at: [http://code916.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data\\_services](http://code916.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services), 2014.

This information will be added.

*Thank you this should be added. But have you verified that the automailer NCEP data is identical to the p-T data supplied at the NDACC DHF? It may not necessarily be so.*

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, 10513, 2014.

C4154