

1 **Author's Response to Interactive comment from**
2 **Anonymous Reviewer #1**

3

4 **J. K. Lundquist^{1,2} et al.**

5 [1]{Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder,
6 Boulder, Colorado, United States}

7 [2]{National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado, United States}

8 Correspondence to: J. K. Lundquist (Julie.Lundquist@colorado.edu)

9

10 *This paper represents a valid contribution for assessing the LiDAR DBS measurement*
11 *technique, which is one of the most popular procedure used for LiDAR-generated vertical*
12 *profiles of the wind velocity. This analysis might be improved by also considering the LiDAR*
13 *weighting function, which is typically adopted to retrieve the wind velocity over a range gate.*

14

15 We thank the reviewer for their time in reviewing this contribution and for noting the
16 widespread use of the DBS method. In light of the reviewer's comment, we have
17 implemented an appropriate lidar weighting function and added a lengthy discussion of the
18 lidar weighting method in Section 2.2. Most of the results in Section 3 now incorporate the
19 lidar error measurements with the weighting function. It is interesting to note that the lidar
20 error actually increases due to the weighting function.

21