

# Quality-based generation of weather radar Cartesian products

K. Ośródka and J. Szturc

Institute of Meteorology and Water Management – National Research Institute, PL-01-673

Warszawa, ul. Podleśna 61, Poland

Correspondence to: jan.szturc@imgw.pl

## Abstract

Weather radar data volumes are commonly processed to obtain various 2D Cartesian products based on the transfer from polar to Cartesian representations through a certain interpolation method. In this research an algorithm of the spatial interpolation of polar reflectivity data employing  $QI$  (quality index) data is applied to find the Cartesian reflectivity as PPI (plan position indicator) products. On this basis, quality-based versions of standard algorithms for the generation of the following products have been developed: ETOP (echo top), MAX (maximum of reflectivity), and VIL (vertically integrated liquid water). Moreover, as an example of a higher-level product, a CONVECTION (detection of convection) has been defined as a specific combination of the above-listed standard products. A corresponding quality field is determined for each generated product, taking into account the quality of the pixels from which a given product was determined and how large a fraction of the investigated heights was scanned. Examples of such quality-based products are presented in the paper.

**Usunięto:** In this research, an algorithm of the spatial interpolation of polar reflectivity data with respect to  $QI$  (quality index) data is applied to find the Cartesian reflectivity as PPI (plan position indicator) product and generate a corresponding  $QI$  field.

## 1 Introduction

Weather radar measurements of reflectivity are burdened with numerous errors that are caused by both technical and meteorological factors (e.g. review by Villarini and Krajewski, 2010). These errors are recognised thanks to intensive empirical work performed at the national level (by national meteorological services) and at the international level, e.g. in the frame of weather radar-related COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology)

Actions (Michelson et al., 2005) or the BALTRAD (An Advanced Weather Radar Network for the Baltic Sea Region) project (Michelson et al., 2012).

The next step after error identification is the development of algorithms that can help to correct the data (Einfalt and Michaelides, 2008). Simultaneously to the correction of data, its quality can be estimated quantitatively, e.g. by means of quality index  $QI$  (Einfalt et al., 2010; Norman et al., 2010). Related research work, which has become more advanced with continuous progress in the field of correction algorithms, has continued with a view to operational work (Germann and Joss, 2004; Ośródką et al., 2010; Elo, 2012; Szturc et al., 2012a).

**Usunięto:** manage related problems

Raw weather radar data are generated as so called volumes, i.e. 3D polar data. Practically, such volumes consist of sets of measurement gates organised in polar scans related to the rotation of an antenna at selected elevation angles. Based on the transfer from polar to Cartesian representations through a certain interpolation method volumes are processed to obtain various 2D Cartesian products dedicated to specific user requirements (Heistermann et al., 2013).

**Usunięto:** and based on the transfer from polar to Cartesian representations through a certain interpolation method

The transformation is not a trivial task because the distances between neighbouring polar gates considerably vary with their location in relation to the distance to the radar site and scan strategy. It was analysed how much information is lost during this transformation and it turned out that the effect of the conversion of polar to Cartesian coordinates is significant, especially for smaller catchments such as urban or mountainous ones (Gonzalez-Ramirez and Cluckie, 2006). Research works have been undertaken to improve the transformation, especially in terms of radar precipitation estimation. For instance, Henja and Michelson (1999) stated that employing some distance-weighting technique is more appropriate than using the value from the nearest gate. At present such techniques are operationally employed in different hydrological systems (e.g. Harrison et al., 2009; Elo, 2012).

The main assumption of the presented work is to ensure maximum reliability of the final products, so the transformation and subsequent specific product generation should be quality-based, i.e. particular algorithms should be designed taking into account the quality of particular measurement gates. Therefore the quality index fields assigned to reflectivity volumes should play an essential role in the task of 2D product generation.

The paper is organised as follows. Since information about the weather radar data quality (expressed as quality index  $QI$ ) is incorporated into radar product definitions in the research,

the method of quality characterisation is briefly described in Sect. 2.2. The technique of quality control is based on algorithms developed for the RADVOL-QC package (Sect. 2.3). Having 3D volumes of reflectivity and relevant quality information, the set of 2D Cartesian PPI (plan position indicator) products may be generated (Sect. 3.1) together with corresponding quality fields (Sect. 3.2). The quality-based PPIs constitute a starting point for the generation of more sophisticated products such as: echo top (ETOP), maximum of reflectivity (MAX) and vertically integrated liquid water (VIL) (Sect. 4.1). As well as this, a non-standard product named CONVECTION, which is dedicated to the identification of convective area based on all the previously described products, is defined. In Sect. 4.2, the technique of *QI* field determination for the above products is described. Validation of the quality-based products is described and discussed in Sect. 5, and finally their examples are demonstrated in Sect. 6.

## 2 The characterisation of 3D weather radar data quality

### 2.1 Data

The framework of quality-based products generation has been tested on data from the Polish weather radar network POLRAD operated by the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management – National Research Institute (IMGW-PIB), which is a national meteorological service in Poland. The network consists of eight C-Band radars with the scan strategy defined in Table 1. The strategy includes 10 scans at elevations from 0.5 to 23.8° with a beam width of 1°. Sampling is performed every kilometre along the beam of 360° in azimuths.

### 2.2 Quality index approach

The quantitative estimation of error magnitude is necessary not only in order to gain general knowledge about data uncertainty, but also to apply quality information in further data processing, e.g. in the generation of standard or user-related specific products. One of the most common approaches in the characterisation of the quality of weather radar data is to employ quality index (*QI*) that is defined as a unitless quantity which provides information on the data reliability in a digital scale. Most often, the *QI* ranges from 0 (for the poorest quality) to 1 (for the best data), according to EUMETNET OPERA (Operational Programme for the Exchange of Weather Radar Information) definition (Michelson et al., 2014), but other scales can also be applied (see review provided by Einfalt et al., 2010).

**Usunięto:** The paper is organised in the following way: since in the research information about the weather radar data quality is incorporated into radar product definitions, an example of the method of quality characterisation is briefly described in Sect. 2. The technique is based on algorithms developed for the RADVOL-QC package. Based on 3D volumes of reflectivity and relevant quality information, the set of 2D Cartesian PPI (plan position indicator) products may be generated (Sect. 3) as a starting point for the generation of more sophisticated products such as: echo top (ETOP), maximum of reflectivity (MAX) and vertically integrated liquid water (VIL) (Sect. 4). As well as this, a non-standard product named CONVECTION, which is dedicated to the identification of convective area based on all the previously described products, is defined. Finally, in Sect. 5, the technique of *QI* field determination for the above products is described.

**Usunięto:** The strategy included 10 scans at elevations from 0.5 to 23.8° with a beam width of 1°. Sampling was performed every kilometre along the beam for 360° azimuths.

**Usunięto:** definitions

**Usunięto:** found

Each category of errors burdening radar data is characterised by specific properties, spatial and temporal structure, and the possibility of diagnosis and correction; this consequently requires dedicated quality control techniques. Thus, the processing of the radar data is performed in a certain number of steps and after each one the data quality improves and a particular *QI* field is generated. Having determined a set of quality indices, a total *QI* field describing overall data quality can be computed, most often by using a multiplicative scheme (e.g. Fornasiero et al., 2005; Germann et al., 2009; Ośródka et al., 2014).

## 2.3 RADVOL-QC algorithms

In this research the quality control of radar reflectivity volumes was performed by means of dedicated software RADVOL-QC, which was developed to correct the data and generate *QI* fields (Ośródka et al., 2014). The software was integrated with the BALTRAD system for radar data exchange (Michelson et al., 2012), where it can work on data in HDF5 file format according to the EUMETNET OPERA digital information model ODIM (OPERA Digital Information Model) (Michelson et al., 2014). Additionally, in the IMGW-PIB the RADVOL-QC version developed for Gematronik Rainbow radar software works operationally since 2014 as a volume postprocessing of data in native Rainbow format.

The RADVOL-QC is a system designed for quality control of 3D volumes in polar coordinates, which includes the data corrections and *QI* determination due to each recognised error source, and aggregation of the particular *QIs* into total *QI* using multiplicative scheme. At present the system consists of the following algorithms (Ośródka et al., 2014; Szturc et al., 2012b):

Usunięto: for data control

- quality characterisation due to effects related to the distance to the radar site (BROAD),
- removal of conventional non-meteorological echoes (NMET),
- removal of geometrically-shaped non-meteorological echoes caused by external signal interference (SPIKE),
- removal of measurement noise (SPECK),
- correction due to partial and total beam blockage (BLOCK),
- correction due to attenuation in rain (ATT).

The algorithms enable both the correction of data (excepting BROAD) and the estimation of the quality of corrected data expressed as *QI*. It should be emphasized that if a specific gate is

found burdened with an error, its quality index is reduced even though the reflectivity value is improved, because each correction algorithm introduces some uncertainty in the data.

Usunięto: : set of PPI products

### 3 Quality-based transformation of 3D polar data into 2D Cartesian data

The raw data volume is organised in a set of scans consisting of measurement gates expressed in polar coordinates: scan elevation angle ( $\varepsilon$ ), azimuth ( $\alpha$ ), and the distance from the radar site to the gate along the radar beam ( $l$ ). For further processing every scan needs to be transformed into Cartesian coordinates ( $x, y$ ). This is achieved by looping through all the Cartesian pixels of the 2D output field and finding the corresponding neighbouring polar gates by means of trigonometric functions (Elo, 2012; Selex, 2010).

Here, an algorithm based on spatial interpolation of polar reflectivity data with respect to quality index  $QI$  data is applied to find the Cartesian reflectivity  $Z$  data as PPI (plan position indicator) product and generate a corresponding  $QI_{PPI}$  field. Following this, standard products, such as MAX, VIL, etc., can be generated based on the set of PPIs and related quality information.

Usunięto: PPI product quality-based generation

#### 3.1 Generation of quality-based PPI product

PPI is one of the standard Cartesian products that represents reflectivity data generated from a single radar scan for constant elevation angle  $\varepsilon$ . The algorithm transforms values for measurement gates of polar coordinates ( $\varepsilon, \alpha, l$ ) into values interpolated for Cartesian pixels defined by coordinates ( $x, y$ ). The values are projected onto 2D plane although they can originate from different altitudes. Usually, the transformation is performed while considering two or four of the closest gates, not considering the quality of particular gates.

In the proposed technique, the method of the quality-based interpolation depends on the density of the gates within the given Cartesian pixel. If the number of the gates is larger than the preset threshold, that occurs close to the radar, then they all are taken into interpolation (the so called near-field sub-algorithm); otherwise, i.e. for pixels farther away from the radar, at the most four gates (independently of their distances to the Cartesian pixel centre) are considered (the far-field sub-algorithm).

Usunięto: inside

Usunięto: outside

In order to distinguish between the near-field and far-field pixels a threshold value for distance from the radar site ( $D$ ) is determined by the following function of the measurement parameters:

Usunięto: inside

Usunięto: outside

Usunięto: empirical

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{9500\left(\frac{1.3}{d\alpha} + \frac{2.3}{dl} + 1.6dx\right) - 39000}{\pi}} \quad (1)$$

where  $d\alpha$  is the step in azimuth ( $^{\circ}$ ),  $dl$  is the step in distance from the radar site (km), and  $dx$  is the spatial resolution of Cartesian pixel (km). For instance, for typical data resolution  $d\alpha = 1^{\circ}$ ,  $dl = 1$  km, and  $dx = 1$  km, the threshold  $D$  equals 57.5 km. The formula was empirically determined based on analysis of the number of gates projected onto particular pixels assuming different data spatial resolutions.

*The near-field sub-algorithm.* In cases when the distance from the radar site to the given Cartesian pixel does not exceed the threshold distance  $D$ , then the number of gates within the pixel is determined. If this number is higher than two, the near-field method based on quality weighted interpolation is used:

Usunięto: inside

Usunięto: inside

$$Z(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i QI_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n QI_i} \quad (2)$$

where  $n$  is the number of gates within the investigated area.

Otherwise, if the number of gates is not higher than two, the far-field sub-algorithm is applied.

Usunięto: outside

*The far-field sub-algorithm.* In the far-field area the closest gates are determined in a different way. The coordinates of the Cartesian pixel centre are transformed into polar coordinates and the four surrounding gates are taken into account. Generally, the reflectivity for the pixel is interpolated from the four corner values (Fig. 1), unless some of the corners (one or two) are very close to the considered pixel centre – then only the closest gates are taken into calculation.

Usunięto: outside

Usunięto: outside

Reflectivity in a given pixel with centre in  $(x, y)$  is estimated as weighing an average value  $Z(x, y)$  from selected gates  $Z_i$ , taking account of both distance to the gates and data quality information (quality index  $QI_i$ ):

$$Z(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i W_{Di} QI_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (W_{Di} QI_i)} \quad (3)$$

where:  $n$  is the number of the closest gates taken into account (1, 2, or 4);  $W_{Di}$  is the weight related to the distance of  $i$ -gate to the pixel centre  $(x, y)$  determined by means of one of the standard methods: nearest neighbour, uniform weights, inverse distance to the first or second power, bilinear method, or Cressman method. Differences in the overall view of 2D products generated employing these methods are not very noticeable. However, they can be significant for the estimation of precipitation for small river catchments in cases of flash floods.

Usunięto: Data quality c

### 3.2 Characterisation of PPI product quality

Simultaneously to the determination of reflectivity for each Cartesian pixel  $(x, y)$ , the relevant quality index  $QI_{PPI}$  is calculated, depending on the sub-algorithm applied to the data interpolation:

- for the near-field sub-algorithm:

$$QI_{PPI}(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n QI_i}{n}, \quad (4)$$

- for the far-field sub-algorithm:

$$QI_{PPI}(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (QI_i W_{Di})}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_{Di}} \quad (5)$$

Usunięto: inside

Usunięto: outside

**Usunięto: 3.3 Example¶**  
Differences in the overall view of 2D products generated employing the abovementioned methods are not very noticeable. However, they can be significant for the estimation of precipitation for small river catchments in cases of flash floods.

**Usunięto:** Therefore, in Figure 2 excerpts of radar PPIs obtained by means of different interpolation methods are demonstrated. ¶  
As was expected, the radar beam structure is most evident in the nearest neighbour method. The other methods are more smoothed and it seems that the bilinear method (Fig. 2d) gives a field with local extremes and an isohyet pattern reflected in the best way.

**Usunięto: Examples of quality-based 2D radar reflectivity**

## 4 Generation of quality-based 2D radar products based on PPI set

The described below algorithms (ETOP, MAX, and VIL) employed for 2D Cartesian product generation are standard ones (apart from CONVECTION product which is developed by IMGW-PIB for its needs). The proposed approach is to apply quality-based PPIs as input

instead of standard ones, which allows to obtain quality-based products. Moreover, the output products are complemented with related quality fields.

#### 4.1. Standard algorithms for 2D radar products generation

##### Echo top product (ETOP)

The echo top (ETOP) product represents a Cartesian image of heights of echo (cloud) tops defining the cloud boundary at a preset level of radar reflectivity  $Z_0$  (in dBZ). The ETOP (in km) is detected in a preset range of heights (between  $h_{\min}$  and  $h_{\max}$ ) and generally is determined by interpolation of reflectivity  $Z$  in pixel  $(x, y)$  between the two highest PPIs for which the reflectivity passes  $Z_0$  value (Fig. 2a).

##### Maximum of reflectivity product (MAX)

The maximum of reflectivity (MAX) product represents a Cartesian image of the highest measured value of radar reflectivity  $Z$  (in dBZ) in each vertical column. Generally, the product generation involves searching PPIs within a preset range of heights (between  $h_{\min}$  and  $h_{\max}$ ) for the maximal  $Z$  value in the column (Fig. 2b).

##### Vertically integrated liquid water (VIL) product

The vertically integrated liquid water (VIL) product represents a Cartesian image of the water content residing in a user-defined layer in the atmosphere (in dBA). The VIL is defined by the formula:

$$VIL \text{ (dBA)} = 10 \log_{10} \int_{h_{\min}}^{h_{\max}} M(h) dh \quad (6)$$

where the liquid water content  $M$  (in  $\text{cm}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ ) is related to radar reflectivity  $Z$  according to so called  $Z$ - $M$  relationship (Selex, 2010).

The integration range depends on values of both the required heights (between  $h_{\min}$  and  $h_{\max}$ ) and the measurement scope (between  $h_{\text{lowest}}$  and  $h_{\text{highest}}$  which are determined for the lowest and highest PPIs, respectively) – the integration is performed from the lower height of the bottom limits to the lower height of the upper limits (Fig. 2cd).

**Usunięto:** A set of PPIs fields of reflectivity (with related  $QI$ ) generated from radar data volume may constitute a basis for defining many standard 2D products, as described below – ETOP, MAX, and VIL. Moreover, a CONVECTION product developed for the identification of a convection area is presented here. Quality information plays a crucial role in the definition of the products.¶

##### Usunięto: 4.1 Quality-based e

**Usunięto:** If the searched height of  $Z_0$  value is between two measurements  $Z'$  and  $Z''$ , detected at heights  $h'$  and  $h''$  respectively, then in order to find the height ETOP at which echo top occurs ( $Z = Z_0$ ) the linear interpolation is applied:¶

$$ETOP = \frac{(Z_0 - Z'')(h' - h'')}{(Z' - Z'')}$$

... (6)¶

In cases where both considered measurements are with echo ( $Z \geq Z_0$ ) then: ¶

$$ETOP = \min(h_{\max}, \max(h$$

... (7)¶

If there is no measurement between  $h_{\min}$  and  $h_{\max}$  then the product value is "nodata". The algorithm is depicted in Fig. 3a.¶

##### 4.2 Quality-based

##### Usunięto: 4.3 Quality-based

**Usunięto:** in the form:¶  
 $Z \text{ (mm}^6 \text{ m}^{-3}\text{)} = cM^d \text{ or}$

$$M \text{ (cm}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}\text{)} = \left( \frac{Z}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

... (9)¶  
where:  $c = 24\,000$ ;  $d = 1.82$

**Usunięto:** as proposed by

**Usunięto:** In order to find the vertical profile of liquid water content,  $M(h)$ , the values between each two neighbouring measurements  $M'$  and  $M''$  detected at heights  $h'$  and  $h''$  respectively are interpolated linearly:¶ ... [1]

**Usunięto:** The algorithm is depicted in Fig. 5cd.



## 4.2 Detection of convection (CONVECTION) algorithm

The algorithm for the separation of convective precipitation from stratiform background was developed as the first stage of the SCENE (Storm Cell Evolution and Nowcasting) model of precipitation nowcasting which forecasts convective and stratiform precipitation in different ways (Jurczyk et al., 2015). Radar reflectivity data provide one of the most significant pieces of information in the algorithm and in an elementary version only radar information is employed (without data from other sources).

The dedicated radar product named CONVECTION is a second-order product as it is generated not from a set of PPIs but from earlier produced ETOP, MAX, and VIL products. Moreover, the horizontal structure of the radar reflectivity field turned out to also be a useful factor for distinguishing between convective and stratiform precipitation, therefore the fields of parameters computed from the analysis of the spatial structure of the MAX and VIL (Jurczyk et al., 2012) are factors in CONVECTION field determination: exceedance of the  $Z$  background ( $\Delta Z = Z / Z_{\text{mean}}$ ) and exceedance of the  $VIL$  background ( $\Delta VIL = VIL / VIL_{\text{mean}}$ ). The two parameters are calculated as a ratio of the value in a considered pixel to the average of the rain pixels within the surrounding background of an 11-km radius (respectively  $Z_{\text{mean}}$  and  $VIL_{\text{mean}}$  values).

The algorithm was designed employing a fuzzy logic approach. For both precipitation classes (convective C or stratiform S) membership functions  $f_{\text{class}}$  (i.e.  $f_C$  or  $f_S$ ) are defined for the five parameters described above. Then the functions' values are aggregated as weighted sums for the classes:

$$f_{\text{class}} = \sum_x f_{\text{class}}(x) \cdot W_{\text{class}}(x) \quad (7)$$

where  $\text{class}$  is the precipitation class (C or S);  $x$  is the particular convection parameter;  $f_{\text{class}}(x)$  is the membership function value for  $x$ -parameter;  $W_{\text{class}}(x)$  is the weight of  $x$ -parameter. Comparison of the weighted sums for the classes decides which category C or S a considered precipitation pixel belongs to.

## 4.3 Characterisation of product quality

Generally, the quality of the 2D product  $X$  (e.g. ETOP, MAX, and VIL), expressed by quality index  $QI_X$ , depends on the two factors:

- the quality of reflectivity data from which a given product was determined,  $QI_{Xsource}$ ,
- how large a fraction of investigated heights (between  $h_{min}$  and  $h_{max}$ ) was scanned,  $QI_{Xscope}$ .

The value of the first component  $QI_{Xsource}$  is based on the quality of the PPI products ( $QI_{PPI}$  from Formulas 4 and 5) defining the given product. Namely:

- $QI_{ETOPsource}$  for ETOP is obtained from the  $QI_{PPI}$  value in the pixel for which the ETOP was observed; and in cases of interpolation from two measurements, the minimum quality is chosen,
- $QI_{MAXsource}$  for MAX equals the  $QI_{PPI}$  of the pixel for which MAX value was observed,
- $QI_{VILsource}$  for VIL is an average quality of all PPIs defining the specific VIL.

Usunięto:  $QI = QI_{source} \cdot Q$

Usunięto: , the  $QI_{ETOPsource}$

Usunięto: is taken as the  $QI_{MAXsource}$

Usunięto: for VIL, which depends on all PPI values within an integration range, the  $QI_{VILsource}$  is taken as an average quality of all PPIs defining the specific VIL

For all the products, if the value of a given product equals “nodata”, then the  $QI_{Xsource} =$  “nodata” (and also the final  $QI_X =$  “nodata”), and if it equals “undetected” then the  $QI_{Xsource} = 1$ .

The second component,  $QI_{Xscope}$ , is determined based on the heights of the highest and lowest scans for considered Cartesian pixel ( $h_{highest}$  and  $h_{lowest}$  respectively) in relation to  $h_{min}$  and  $h_{max}$ . Its value depends on what part of the height range between  $h_{min}$  and  $h_{max}$  defining the given product was scanned over the given pixel (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

The final quality index  $QI_X$  is taken as product of the two components:

$$QI_X = QI_{Xsource} \cdot QI_{Xscope} \quad (8)$$

The above procedure of quality determination is applied to first-order products like ETOP, MAX, and VIL. The quality index for a CONVECTION product is defined by the values of the two considered membership functions (see Equation 7):

$$QI_{CONVECTION} = \sqrt{\frac{|f_C - f_S|}{f_C + f_S}} \quad (9)$$

## 5 Validation

The effectiveness of quality-based generation of 2D radar products can be indirectly evaluated by analysis of statistical properties of investigated product accumulated for longer time period, at least one month for the whole radar range (up to 250 km). It is assumed that data

reliability can be assessed by symmetry and smoothness (Joe, 2011) expressed in the following way:

- symmetry coefficient, which is quantified from differences between values  $x$  in pixels symmetrical with respect to the centre of the image:

$$symmetry = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i}{\sum_{i=0}^{trunc(n/2-1)} |x_i - x_{n-1-i}|} \quad (10)$$

where  $i$  is the radar pixel number,  $i \in (0, \dots, n-1)$ ;  $n$  is the number of pixels in the whole radar image;  $trunc()$  means truncation to integer;

- smoothness coefficient, which is evaluated employing a quantity called  $ENL_i$  (equivalent number of looks) calculated locally around  $i$ -pixel as ratio of squared mean and variance within a certain vicinity from the formula:

$$ENL_i = \frac{\mu_i^2(X)}{var_i(X)} \quad (11)$$

where  $\mu_i$  is the mean value in 5-pixel vicinity (grid of  $11 \times 11 = 121$  pixels) of  $i$ -pixel;  $var_i$  is the variation in the same vicinity. The smoothness is a mean of  $ENL_i$  for the whole radar image:

$$smoothness = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ENL_i \quad (12)$$

The evaluation has been performed for Brzuchania radar on data collected during May and June 2014. This radar was selected due to its specific features: location near mountainous area and strong presence of spike type echoes from interfering signals (mainly from wi-fi). For this reason the quality index field is strongly changeable in space so employing the proposed quality-based definition of 2D products can ensure meaningful benefits. The analysis was conducted on MAX products generated in a standard way, i.e. from PPIs obtained without quality information, and from quality-based PPIs (as described in Sects. 3 and 4).

The results of the analysis on two-month accumulations are presented in Table 3. The slightly higher values of the both coefficients indicate enhanced reliability of the quality-based MAX product in comparison with the standard one.

Evaluation of benefits from the proposed quality-based algorithm is difficult because its significant impact is observed only on relatively small excerpts of radar images. This impact is limited to these places where quality index considerably changes within small distance. However, the quality-based products can serve as more reliable input data to rainfall-runoff

models in the cases of flash floods and to other applications when very high spatial resolution is crucial, especially if software is able to take advantage of associated quality field.

## 6 Example

For case study data derived by Brzuchania radar on 27 May 2014 were employed. On that day convective rainfall with a large number of individual cells and multicell systems was observed. In Figure 4 a quality index for the lowest scan (0.5°) depicted in polar coordinates is presented together with the raw and corrected reflectivity data.

In this case numerous errors were detected, especially the non-meteorological echoes near the radar site, the external signal interferences (narrow echoes along radar beams), blockage on terrain in south-west section are the most evident in Figure 4a. A quality index is reduced for gates where these errors were detected, moreover influence of radar beam broadening (the *QI* decreases with distance to the radar) and attenuation in rain (especially in azimuths about 315°) are noticeable (Fig. 4c). In such a situation the quality index field has a very diversified spatial pattern and is dynamic in time.

The radar data volume, consisting of reflectivity and *QI* data, is the basis for the generation of the 2D products described above. In Figure 5, the products generated from the example volume are demonstrated: the lowest PPI, ETOP, MAX, VIL, and CONVECTION, along with the related quality fields.

The first four products are similar to ones obtained, not taking quality into account because the changes are evident only locally where *QI* values strongly vary from gate to gate. The relevant quality index fields are mostly influenced by the following factors: distance to the radar site, presence of wi-fi signals, blockage on terrain, and the fraction of scanned heights resulting from the scan strategy. The CONVECTION field indicates pixels where convection is detected according to the algorithm described in Section 4.2. Generally, locations of the convective areas detected by the algorithm correspond with high values of all three input products, but pattern of related quality field is more complex. The quality of this product depends on values of membership functions of both classes and may be connected with the probability of the presence of convection in a given pixel.

**Usunięto:** A quality field usually has a very diversified spatial pattern and is dynamic in time. It is demonstrated in Figure 5 for example data observed on the Ramża radar, where a quality index for the lowest PPI (0.5) depicted in polar coordinates is presented together with the lowest raw and corrected reflectivity PPIs. In this case, apart from numerous non-meteorological echoes detected near the radar site, the numerous external signal interferences, blockage on terrain in west-south section extremely strong attenuation caused by hail (of reflectivity of up to 65 dBZ) are observed. The *QI* field (Fig. 5c) depicts quality of all individual gates within the PPI after its corrections (Fig. 5b) (note that the corrected data are also in reduced quality as there are no perfect correction and some uncertainty remains).

**Usunięto:** using standard

**Usunięto:** algorithms

**Usunięto:** beam attenuation due to heavy hail in the south-west sector,

## 7 Conclusions

Reliable quality information is crucial for user-expected radar-based products because it can be helpful in the generation of more advanced information for various applications. The quality index ( $QI$ ) was found to be an appropriate quality metric. A starting point for this research was processing by means of RADVOL-QC software, which corrects 3D weather radar data and provides the total  $QI$  for each gate as a result of considering selected quality factors.

The proposal to generate some 2D products from 3D raw radar data in a more advanced way, when compared with standard procedures (e.g. Selex, 2010) is presented here. The main idea of the proposal is that interpolation of 3D data into a set of PPIs and then into other 2D products is performed in an optimal way, employing quality information related to each measurement gate. Here the following quality-based versions of standard products are defined: Echo Top, MAX, and VIL. Moreover, the CONVECTION product for the identification of convective precipitation based on the abovementioned products is described as an example of more advanced quality-based radar products. It is expected that the final products will be of higher reliability not only thanks to previous correction steps, but also because of the skilled introduction of quality information into the algorithms of product generation. Verification on the two-month dataset confirmed a slight improvement. However, due to employed methodology the validation was performed on the whole radar range whereas considerable enhancements are limited to smaller areas because of specific pattern of radar quality field.

The quality information assigned to the generated product also seems very important. It is obvious that individual schemes of quality characterisation for each specific product should be developed. However, a consistent framework needs to be agreed and implemented. The paper presents a proposal of such a framework.

The essential role of quality information in radar data processing is commonly appreciated. Areas where it may play an important role include the estimation of radar-based and multi-source (combined) surface rainfall rate, the generation of more reliable hazard indices for various services like civil protection or air traffic control, and especially the generation of probabilistic rainfall fields in the form of data ensemble or percentiles, as well as various other areas.

## Acknowledgment

The paper was prepared within the framework of the BALTRAD and BALTRAD+ Projects (under the Baltic Sea Region Programme 2007-2013 fund). The authors are especially grateful to Daniel Michelson (SMHI) and Jarmo Koistinen (FMI) for their cooperation and inspiring discussions during work on the projects.

## References

- Einfalt, T. and Michaelides, S.: Quality control of precipitation data. In: Precipitation: Advances in measurement, estimation and prediction, (Ed. S. Michaelides). Springer-Verlag: Berlin–Heidelberg, 101–126, 2008.
- Einfalt, T., Szturc, J., and Ośródk, K.: The quality index for radar precipitation data: a tower of Babel? Atmos. Sci. Let., 11, 139–144, 2010.
- Elo, C. A.: Correcting and quantifying radar data. Met.no report, 2/2012, pp. 34, 2012.
- [Fornasiero, A., Alberoni, P.P., Amorati, R., Ferraris, L., and Taramasso, A.C.: Effects of propagation conditions on radar beam-ground interaction: impact on data quality. Advances in Geoscience, 2, 201–208, 2005](#)
- Germann, U. and Joss, J.: Operational measurement of precipitation in mountainous terrain. In: Weather Radar: Principles and advanced applications, (Ed. P. Meischner). Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg, 52–77, 2004.
- [Germann, U., Berenguer, M., Sempere-Torres, D., and Zappa, M.: REAL – ensemble radar precipitation estimation for hydrology in a mountainous region. Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteorological Society, 135, 445–456, 2009.](#)
- [Gonzalez-Ramirez, E. and Cluckie, I.D.: Polar to Cartesian coordinate conversion algorithm and its effects over radar rainfall estimation. ENINVIE, Zacatecas, Zac, 1-6, 2006.](#)
- [Harrison, D.L., Scovell, R.W., and Kitchen, M.: High resolution precipitation estimates for hydrological uses. Proc. ICE: Water Management, 162, 125-135, 2009.](#)
- Heistermann, M., Jacobi, S., and Pfaff, T.: An open source library for processing weather radar data (*wradlib*). Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17, 863–871, 2013.

[Henja, A. and Michelson, D.: Improved polar to Cartesian radar data transformation. Proc. 29th AMS Conf. on Radar Meteorology, Montreal, 252-255, 1999.](#)

[Joe, P.: The WMO radar QC QPE inter-comparison Project RQQL. WMO/CIMO/IOC-RQQL dokument, 2011 \(www.wmo.int/pages/prog/www/IMOP/meetings/RS/IOC-RQQL-1/Doc.2.pdf\).](#)

Jurczyk, A., Ośródka, K., and Szturc, J.: Convective cell identification using multi-source data. IAHS Publ., 351, 360–365, 2012.

Jurczyk, A., Szturc, J., and Ośródka, K.: SCENE: nowcasting for convective and stratiform precipitation. (In preparation), 2015.

Michelson, D., Einfalt, T., Holleman, I., Gjertsen, U., Friedrich, K., Haase, G., Lindskog, M., and Jurczyk, A.: Weather radar data quality in Europe: Quality control and characterisation. Review. COST Action 717 – Use of radar observations in hydrological and NWP models, Luxembourg 2005, pp. 87, 2005.

Michelson, D., Koistinen, J., Peltonen, T., Szturc, J., and Rasmussen, M.R.: Advanced weather radar networking with BALTRAD+. Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology ERAD 2012, Toulouse, France (CD), 2012.

Michelson, D.B., Lewandowski, R., Szewczykowski, M., Beekhuis, H., and Haase, G.: EUMETNET OPERA weather radar information model for implementation with the HDF5 file format. Version 2.2. EUMETNET OPERA Document, 2014.

[Norman, K., Gaussiat, N., Harrison, D., Scovell, R., and Boscacci, M.: A quality index for radar data. EUMETNET OPERA Document, 2010.](#)

Ośródka, K., Jurczyk, A., Dziewit, Z., Szturc, J., and Korpus, L.: MeteoFlight: System of weather hazard monitoring for air traffic control. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology ERAD 2010, Sibiu, Romania (CD), 2010.

Ośródka K., Szturc J., and Jurczyk A.: Chain of data quality algorithms for 3-D single-polarization radar reflectivity (RADVOL-QC system). Meteorol. Appl., 21, 256–270, 2014.

Selex: Rainbow 5. Products and algorithms. Release 5.31.0. Selex SI GmbH, Neuss, pp. 442, 2010.

440 Szturc, J., Michelson, D., Koistinen, J., Haase, G., Peura, M., Gill, R., Sørensen, M., Ośródka,  
441 K., and Jurczyk, A.: Data quality in the BALTRAD+ project. Proc. 7th European Conference  
442 on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology ERAD 2012, Toulouse, France (CD), 2012a.

443 Szturc, J., Ośródka, K., and Jurczyk, A.: Quality control algorithms applied on weather radar  
444 reflectivity data. In: Doppler radar observations – weather radar, wind profiler, ionospheric  
445 radar, and other advanced applications, (Eds. J. Bech and J. L. Chau). InTech, 289–306,  
446 2012b.

447 [Villarini, G. and Krajewski, W.F.: Review of the different sources of uncertainty in single](#)  
448 [polarization radar-based estimates of rainfall. Surv. Geophys., 31, 107–129, 2010.](#)



449 Table 1. Scan parameters currently used in the POLRAD weather radar network of IMGW-  
 450 PIB.

451

Parameter	Value
Radar beam width	1°
Number of azimuths	360
Maximum range from radar site	250 km
Distance between sampling along radar beam	1 km
Number of elevations	10
Elevation angles (°)	0.5, 1.4, 2.4, 3.4, 5.3, 7.7, 10.6, 14.1, 18.5, 23.8

452

453 Table 2. Scheme of algorithm for  $QI_{\underline{X}scope}$  determination.

454

Case	$QI_{\underline{X}scope}$ determination
$h_{highest} \leq h_{min}$	$QI_{\underline{X}scope} = \text{“nodata”}$ (and $QI_{\underline{X}} = \text{“nodata”}$ )
$h_{min} \leq h_{highest} \leq h_{max}$ and $h_{lowest} \leq h_{min}$	$QI_{Xscope} = \frac{h_{highest} - h_{min}}{h_{max} - h_{min}}$
$h_{highest} \geq h_{max}$ and $h_{lowest} \leq h_{min}$	$QI_{\underline{X}scope} = 1$
$h_{highest} \geq h_{max}$ and $h_{min} \leq h_{lowest} \leq h_{max}$	$QI_{Xscope} = \frac{h_{max} - h_{lowest}}{h_{max} - h_{min}}$  but for ETOP if $ETOP \neq \text{undetected}$  then $QI_{ETOPscope} = 1$
$h_{lowest} \geq h_{max}$	$QI_{\underline{X}scope} = \text{“nodata”}$ (and $QI_{\underline{X}} = \text{“nodata”}$ )

455

456 Table 3. Criteria of reliability of standard and quality-based MAX products (Brzuchania  
457 radar, May-June 2014).

458

<u>Criterion</u>	<u>Standard MAX</u>	<u>Quality-based MAX</u>
<u>symmetry</u>	<u>1.582</u>	<u>1.588</u>
<u>smoothness</u>	<u>92.44</u>	<u>92.83</u>

459

Figures

Fig. 1. Scheme of interpolation of gate values into Cartesian pixel.

Fig. 2. Schemes of generation of products from reflectivity Z values at particular PPIs: (a) Echo Top, ETOP; (b) maximum of reflectivity, MAX; (c and d) vertically integrated liquid water, VIL (cases for the highest measurement gate above and below the highest measurement level).

Fig. 3. Quality  $QI_{Xscope}$  determination for 2D product in terms of fraction of scanned heights.

Fig. 4. The lowest scan ( $0.5^\circ$ ) in volume from Brzuchania radar, 27 May 2014, 1430 UTC, in polar coordinates: (a) raw data, (b) corrected data, (c) quality index.

Fig. 5. Cartesian radar products with their quality fields: (a) PPI at  $0.5^\circ$ , (b) ETOP with 4 dBZ as cloud boundary, (c) MAX, (d) VIL, (e) CONVECTION (Brzuchania radar, 27 May 2014, 1430 UTC, distance up to 250 km).

**Usunięto:** Fig. 2. Examples of interpolation of polar into Cartesian data using methods: nearest neighbour, inverse distance to the second power, Cressman, and bilinear (excerpt from Legionowo radar, 20 July 2011, 00 UTC).

**Usunięto:** 3

**Usunięto:** of measurement availability in the vertical profile are depicted,

**Usunięto:** 4

**Usunięto:** availability

**Usunięto:** 5

**Usunięto:** The lowest scan ( $0.5^\circ$ ) in volume from 10 June 27 May 2013 2014, 1430 UTC, Ramza Brzuchania radar, in polar coordinates. From the left: raw data, corrected data, and quality index.

**Usunięto:** 6

**Usunięto:** Ramza

**Usunięto:** 10 June 2013

In order to find the vertical profile of liquid water content,  $M(h)$ , the values between each two neighbouring measurements  $M'$  and  $M''$  detected at heights  $h'$  and  $h''$  respectively are interpolated linearly:

$$M(h) = \frac{(h - h'')(M' - M'')}{(h' - h'')} + M'' \quad (10)$$

Then the *VIL* in a preset range of heights (between  $h_{\min}$  and  $h_{\max}$ ) is calculated by the integration of the profile in this range.