Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, C4989–C4990, 2015 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/7/C4989/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



AMTD

7, C4989-C4990, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Quality-based generation of weather radar Cartesian products" by K. Ośródka and J. Szturc

K. Ośródka and J. Szturc

jan.szturc@imgw.pl

Received and published: 27 February 2015

We have prepared new version, but due to a high number of corrections we are attaching it in the two forms: (i) the old text with marked all changes, (ii) the new text only, as both WORD and PDF files.

.

The work is scientifically weak and almost poorly described. The introduction is poor and some relevant works are missing (Zhang et al 2004, Norman et al. 2010).

Answer: The present version of the paper is significantly changed in terms of clarity and references in Sect. 1 are complemented.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Above all, there is not objective evidence that QI based products are better than traditional ones: there is not a quantitative analysis that clearly demonstrates the benefits of this new algorithm.

Answer: We have added new Section "Validation" in which the quality-based and traditional products are compared. We have shown some improvements in the 2D products generated using the quality-based approach.

It is not clear how equation (1) is derived (theoretical basis) and how the empirical coefficients are obtained.

Answer: We have explained the Eq. 1 in details in Sect. 3.1.

The data corrections are obscure and it is not completely described.

Answer: The corrections are made by RADVOL-QC system, which is too complex to be described in a few sentences, so we decided to include a reference to paper with detailed description instead.

Finally, the case study presented is poorly described, no information about radar site, weather conditions are available.

Answer: We have added some information about the case study.

There are not independent observations that validate the algorithm.

Answer: We do not have independent observations to validate the algorithm. We have used indirect way for validation, which is described in Sect. 5 with results.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/7/C4989/2015/amtd-7-C4989-2015-supplement.zip

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 7, 11711, 2014.

AMTD

7, C4989-C4990, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

