Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 11171–11207, 2015 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/8/11171/2015/ doi:10.5194/amtd-8-11171-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

# Global stratospheric measurements of the isotopologues of methane from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer

E. M. Buzan<sup>1</sup>, C. A. Beale<sup>1</sup>, C. D. Boone<sup>2</sup>, and P. F. Bernath<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Received: 11 August 2015 – Accepted: 20 September 2015 – Published: 29 October 2015

Correspondence to: E. M. Buzan (ebuzan@odu.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



### Abstract

This paper presents an analysis of observations of methane and its two major isotopologues, CH<sub>3</sub>D and <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) satellite between 2004 and 2013. Additionally, atmospheric methane chemistry is modeled using the Whole Atmospheric Community Climate Model (WACCM). ACE retrievals of methane extend from 6 km for all isotopologues to 75 km for <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>, 35 km for CH<sub>3</sub>D, and 50 km for <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>. While total methane concentrations retrieved from ACE agree well with the model, values of  $\delta$ D–CH<sub>4</sub> and  $\delta$ <sup>13</sup>C–CH<sub>4</sub> show a bias toward higher  $\delta$ compared to the model and balloon-based measurements. Calibrating  $\delta$ D and  $\delta$ <sup>13</sup>C from ACE using WACCM in the troposphere gives improved agreement in  $\delta$ D in the stratosphere with the balloon measurements, but values of  $\delta$ <sup>13</sup>C still disagree. A model analysis of methane's atmospheric sinks is also performed.

### 1 Introduction

Methane is an important greenhouse gas, with a global-warming potential (GWP) of
<sup>15</sup> 72 over 20 years (Denman et al., 2007). In the troposphere, abundances of methane have increased since the Industrial Revolution, from mixing ratios of about 700 ppb in the 1800s to over 1700 ppb by the 1990s (Etheridge et al., 1998). From 1999 to 2006, methane levels remained stable, but have begun to increase again since 2007 (Terao et al., 2011). The mixing ratio of methane in the atmosphere is controlled by its sources
<sup>20</sup> and sinks. All sources of methane are from surface emissions, including wetlands (Bartlett and Harriss, 1993), ruminant livestock (Lassey, 2007), fossil fuel production (Kort et al., 2014), and biomass burning (Hao and Ward, 1993). Methane is primarily consumed by the OH radical in the troposphere, but may also react with Cl and singlet O (O<sup>1</sup>D) or be destroyed by photolysis higher in the atmosphere. With a global lifetime
<sup>25</sup> of about 9 years (Denman et al., 2007), methane is well-mixed in the troposphere but





affected by atmospheric circulation patterns. One major pattern is Brewer–Dobson circulation, in which equatorial air rises through the tropopause, travels poleward in the stratosphere, then descends back into the troposphere at high latitudes and returns to the equator (Remsberg, 2015).

Knowing the relative strengths of the different sources and sinks of methane is crucial for understanding its atmospheric behavior. As these sources and sinks are subject to isotopic fractionation, measurement of the common stable isotopologues of methane (<sup>12</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>, <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D, and <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>) gives more information about the origin of methane present in the atmosphere. Abundances of heavy isotopologues are typically reported using delta notation, where (for the case of carbon-13):

$$\delta^{13}C = \left(\frac{{}^{13}C/{}^{12}C}{{}^{13}C_{std}/{}^{12}C_{std}} - 1\right) \times 1000\%.$$

In this paper,  $\delta D$  will refer to the isotopologue CH<sub>3</sub>D, and  $\delta^{13}C$  will refer to  ${}^{13}CH_4$ .

Different isotopologues of methane will react at different rates, a phenomenon known as the kinetic isotope effect (KIE). Because of this, the isotopic signature of methane <sup>15</sup> in an air mass will change over time as methane is consumed. As is true of most molecules, the heavier isotopologues of methane react more slowly than unsubstituted methane, meaning  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  will increase as methane is consumed. KIEs are commonly reported as a ratio of rate constants:  $k_D/k_H$  and  $k_{12}/k_{13}$  for methane. The KIEs of methane with OH, O (<sup>1</sup>D), and CI at room temperature are listed in Table 2. Since each methane sink has a different KIE, the values of  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  can give some information about which species have reacted with methane.

As with several gases in the atmosphere, there is a strong inverse correlation between the total mixing ratio of methane and  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ . This relation was first noted by Keeling (1958) in samples of CO<sub>2</sub>, so a plot of [CH<sub>4</sub>] or [CH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-1</sup> vs.  $\delta$  is often called

<sup>25</sup> a "Keeling plot". This phenomenon has more recently been demonstrated by e.g. Röckmann et al. (2011) for methane. Plotting  $[CH_4]^{-1}$  vs.  $\delta$  of a time series of measurements

(1)

results in a ellipse rather than a straight line due to seasonal variation in the sources and sinks of methane (Allan et al., 2001; Lassey et al., 2011).

Measuring methane and its isotopologues is most commonly done in the troposphere. One large ground-based sampling program is the Global Greenhouse Gas

- <sup>5</sup> Reference Network, overseen by NOAA's Earth System Research Laboratory (Andrews et al., 2014). Sampling higher in the troposphere is frequently done by aircraft such as the CARIBIC program (Brenninkmeijer et al., 2007) or by balloon flights. In the upper stratosphere, measurements are far less common as only balloons can reach this height for sampling (Röckmann et al., 2011). An alternative to direct sampling at the transmission of transmission of the transmission of transmission of transmissio
- this altitude is satellite-based remote sensing. Some satellite instruments point toward nadir including GOSAT (Yokota et al., 2009), TES onboard the Aura satellite (Wecht et al., 2012) and IASI on MetOp (Xiong et al., 2013). Others observe the limb of the atmosphere including MIPAS (Payan et al., 2009) and HALOE (Park, 2004). A few, such as SCIAMACHY on ENVISAT (Schneising et al., 2009) and TES can look in either direction. However, these satellite measurements do not include the heavy isotopes of
- methane, and many of them have limited vertical sampling or only measure the total column density.

In this paper we present data on methane and its two heavy isotopologues from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS).

<sup>20</sup> Additionally, we performed a model run with Whole Atmosphere Climate Community Model for comparison to the data from ACE.

#### 2 Methods

25

The ACE-FTS is an infrared spectrometer on board the Canadian satellite SCISAT. SCISAT was launched in 2003 and is still currently active. The satellite orbits the Earth at an inclination of 74° and at a height of 650 km. It makes measurements of the atmosphere via solar occultation in which sunlight is used as a light source as it passes through the atmosphere at sunrise and sunset. The satellite has an orbital period of



97.7 min, allowing it to make measurements about every 49 min. The latitude of the measurement tangent point varies slowly with time (Fig. 1) but accumulates a set of global measurements in about two months. The geographic measurement cycle repeats in latitude annually (with a small degree of slippage), which provides a framework for determining trends as a function of time.

The analysis of ACE-FTS spectra begins by deriving altitude profiles for pressure and temperature through the analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> lines in the spectra, using an assumed profile for the CO<sub>2</sub> volume mixing ratio (VMR) below 60 km (Boone et al., 2013). The VMR profile for a particular molecule or isotopologue of interest is then retrieved via the analysis of a set of microwindows: a collection of relatively small (typically less than 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>) portions of the spectrum containing spectral features primarily from the target atmospheric constituent. Spectroscopic data employed in the forward model calculations in version 3.5 processing come mostly from the HITRAN 2008 database (Rothman et al., 2009).

- <sup>15</sup> Retrievals of ACE-FTS methane data were obtained using the latest version 3.5 of the ACE-FTS software. These retrievals are performed in the same way as those from version 3.0 (Boone et al., 2013) but with minor changes in a priori data used. Version 3.0 in turn includes several improvements for errors identified in the version 2.2 retrievals (Boone et al., 2005). The microwindows corresponding to the  $v_4$ ,  $2v_4$ , and  $v_4$  vibrational bands of CH, were used for these retrievals. The fundamental  $v_4$
- and  $v_3$  vibrational bands of CH<sub>4</sub> were used for these retrievals. The fundamental  $v_4$ and  $v_3$  bands are used at higher altitudes while the  $2v_4$  overtone band is used at lower altitudes where the fundamentals are saturated. A summary of the microwindows used in the current study is given in Table 1 while the full microwindow list is given in the Supplement.
- <sup>25</sup> Note that the microwindow set for the main isotopologue is different from that employed in normal version 3.5 processing. Preliminary results in the current study indicated problems in the main isotopologue results that yielded sharp, latitudeindependent, systematic features in the derived  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  plots. Therefore, a research product was generated for the molecule using a new microwindow set. This new



set featured more microwindows between 40 and 50 km than the version 3.5 microwindow set, as well as more microwindows at low altitudes (below 25 km). Spectroscopic parameters (positions, line widths, pressure shifts, and intensities) for  $CH_4$  lines in the  $2v_4$  band were adjusted to improve fitting residuals (which are poor when using existing spectroscopic data for these lines) and to improve the internal consistency between

the intensities of lines in this band and lines in the other two bands employed in the retrieval.

Interferers (molecules or isotopologues other than the target that feature significant absorption within the set of microwindows) are retrieved simultaneously with the target
 isotopologue in order to minimize their impact on retrieval accuracy. For the main isotopologue of CH<sub>4</sub>, the interferers were H<sub>2</sub>O, HDO, <sup>18</sup>OCO, <sup>17</sup>OCO, O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>D. For <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>, the interferers included in the retrieval were H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub><sup>17</sup>O, HDO, <sup>18</sup>OCO, O<sub>3</sub>, <sup>18</sup>OOO, O<sup>18</sup>OOO, N<sub>2</sub>O, N<sup>15</sup>NO, <sup>15</sup>NNO, CH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>D, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, COF<sub>2</sub>, HCOOH, CFC-12, and HCFC-22. For CH<sub>3</sub>D, the interferers were H<sub>2</sub>O, HDO, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, N<sup>15</sup>NO, <sup>15</sup>NO, <sup>15</sup>NNO, N<sup>15</sup>NO, N<sup>15</sup>N

Spacing of retrieval altitudes for VMR profiles of the isotopologues of methane varies from  $\sim 2 \text{ km}$  (low altitudes) to 6 km (high altitudes) and averages around 4 km. The profiles were interpolated onto a 1 km grid using a piecewise quadratic interpolation. Then, the profiles were placed into seasonal bins and 10° latitude bins. Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution of the profiles by season. Most of the profiles are at higher

the spatial distribution of the profiles by season. Most of the profiles are at higher latitudes, but there are at least 40 profiles in each equatorial bin every season.

25

Model calculations were performed using version 4 of WACCM, a component of the Community Earth System Model (Marsh et al., 2013). WACCM extends from the surface to  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  hPa (~ 140 km) and includes fully interactive chemistry and circulations patterns for the whole atmosphere. WACCM can be run as a standalone model or as the atmospheric component of CESM.

Out of the box, WACCM does not support molecular isotopologues, but the two isotopologues of  $CH_4$  can be inserted as separate species with a few modifications. First, the reactions of the first step of methane oxidation are duplicated and their rate con-



stants adjusted by the kinetic isotope effects  $k_D/k_H$  and  $k_{12}/k_{13}$ . The KIE of methane with each oxidant is given in Table 2 and the full set of modified reactions is listed in Table 3. No further reactions or molecules are modified as only the isotopic composition of methane is studied here. Next, new photolytic cross sections were added for all three isotopologues (Lee et al., 2001; Nair et al., 2005). The blue shifts of the cross sections are approximately 1 nm for CH<sub>3</sub>D and 0.04 nm for <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>. Finally, boundary conditions representing surface emissions were calculated for the two heavy isotopologues. Keeling plots presented by Röckmann et al. (2011) were used to derive relations between [CH<sub>4</sub>] vs.  $\delta$ D and  $\delta^{13}$ C:

$$\delta D = \frac{1.50 \times 10^4}{[CH_4]/(ppm)} - 55.6\%$$
  
$$\delta^{13}C = \frac{1.29 \times 10^4}{[CH_4]/(ppm)} - 151.4\%.$$

These relations were applied to the existing  $CH_4$  boundary conditions used by WACCM (Lamarque et al., 2010) to derive boundary conditions for  $CH_3D$  and  ${}^{13}CH_4$ .

WACCM was run as a standalone model with a resolution of 4° × 5° (latitude/longitude) and 66 vertical levels. The model was run as a perpetual year 2000 for a total of 20 years: 17 years of spin-up time followed by 3 years that were analyzed. Data from WACCM was analyzed in two ways. First, to observe general trends, the entire data set from the final 3 years was averaged monthly and placed into 10° latitude bins. Second, to remove sampling bias from ACE when comparing to WACCM,

<sup>20</sup> a smaller data set was constructed of profiles from the same times and locations as each ACE profile. This data set was averaged seasonally and placed into 10° latitude bins to match the analysis of ACE data.



(2)

(3)

### 3 Results

Figure 3 shows the total concentration of methane as a function of latitude and altitude as measured by ACE. In the well-mixed troposphere, the concentration of methane is nearly constant at around 1750 ppb. Above the tropopause methane concentrations de-

<sup>5</sup> crease steadily at higher altitudes to about 300 ppb at 20–25 km above the tropopause. Methane near the equator extends higher into the atmosphere primarily due to the higher tropopause, as well as the transport of air containing elevated levels of methane from the troposphere to the lower stratosphere in the tropics (as part of the Brewer–Dobson circulation). Some seasonal variation is visible: pockets of methane-depleted air are present over the poles especially during the spring months.

ACE data for  $\delta D$  as a function of latitude and altitude are plotted in Fig. 4. CH<sub>3</sub>D data is available from 5 km to 30–35 km, depending on latitude. Above 12 km, values of  $\delta D$  steadily increase with altitude from tropospheric values around 0 ‰, then sharply increase at the highest few kilometers of the available data to between +250 ‰ and

<sup>15</sup> +400 ‰. The nature of this sharp increase is discussed below. In addition, high levels of  $CH_3D$  are noticeably present over the South Pole from June to November. Below 12 km, the  $\delta D$  data is much noisier and averages around +35‰. This "step function" in the plot, with a sharp change in  $\delta D$  at a particular altitude that does not vary with latitude, likely indicates a problem in the retrieval below 12 km for either  $CH_3D$  or the <sup>20</sup> main isotopologue.

ACE data for  $\delta^{13}$ C are plotted in Fig. 5. These data are available from 6 to 50 km except over the poles during some seasons. Overall the data are noisier than for  $\delta$ D, but values of  $\delta^{13}$ C still increase with altitude. Tropospheric values average near -20 %, while lower stratospheric values average near 0%. Seasonal changes are also more apparent than in  $\delta$ D. Enrichment of <sup>13</sup>C is strongest during the summer and fall months: December to May over the South Pole and June to November over the North Pole. Values of  $\delta^{13}$ C as high as 100 are present over both poles between 35 and 50 km. Higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values are present in two bands at 22 and 40 km. Since these bands show



no variation in altitude as a function of latitude, they are also believed to be artifacts of the retrieval process.

Note that there was a very large step function in the original version 3.5  $\delta^{13}$ C results above and below 22 km, a consequence of poor internal consistency between the spectroscopic data for the CH<sub>4</sub> lines used to derived CH<sub>4</sub> VMR at low altitudes and the CH<sub>4</sub> lines used for the high altitude portion of the retrieval. For the CH<sub>4</sub> research product employed in the current study, the intensities of CH<sub>4</sub> lines in the 2v<sub>4</sub> band (the lines that contribute to retrieved CH<sub>4</sub> VMR at low altitude) were adjusted in an effort to improve the agreement with other CH<sub>4</sub> bands employed in the retrieval (i.e., the bands that contribute to the retrieved VMR at higher altitudes). The step function in the ACE  $\delta^{13}$ C results was greatly reduced, but the "bump" in  $\delta^{13}$ C near 22 km suggests that there may remain a spectroscopic compatibility problem for CH<sub>4</sub> lines in different bands.

The ACE-sampled WACCM data set is presented in Fig. 6 (total  $CH_4$ ), Fig. 7 ( $\delta D$ ), <sup>15</sup> and Fig. 8 ( $\delta^{13}C$ ). Figures of the full WACCM data set are present in the Supplement. The model output of total methane agrees well with ACE's observations. Tropospheric methane fluctuates slightly by season but is steady around 1700 ppb. The plume of methane-rich air over the equator in the stratosphere is also present, and mixing ratios of methane decrease with higher altitudes in the stratosphere and mesosphere. <sup>20</sup> Seasonal variation is noticeable here; air masses low in methane form over each pole

around 50 km during the summer, then sink and become further depleted during the fall.

These seasonal trends are especially visible in  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ . The polar air masses of depleted CH<sub>4</sub> are enriched in both CH<sub>3</sub>D and <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> and become further enriched

<sup>25</sup> as they sink. Enrichment in the southern air mass lingers for a longer period, February to June, than the northern air mass which is enriched only from July to October.



### 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Keeling plots of ACE data

As mentioned previously, the total concentration of atmospheric methane has an inverse relationship with  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  as shown in a Keeling plot. Keeling plots for both isotopologues are given in Fig. 9 by plotting the reciprocal of the methane mixing ratio against  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  for each altitude in every ACE profile. In these figures, the expected relationship should appear as a sloped line. Such a slope is visible for  $\delta D$  at stratospheric altitudes. However, there is still a significant range of  $\delta D$  values for a given mixing ratio of methane, especially in the troposphere where methane has little spatial variability due to being well-mixed. For <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>, a relationship between total methane and  $\delta^{13}C$  is much more difficult to distinguish. This is not surprising considering that the  $\delta^{13}C$  data show more variance than the  $\delta D$  data. Several streaks are also visible in the  $\delta^{13}C$  data but are considered artifacts. Since molecular concentrations from ACE are reported to three significant figures, a sharp change in precision occurs at multiples of ten, causing the data points to clump together into lines at just above 10 ppm. A similar artifact is slightly visible in  $\delta D$  at 1 ppm.

# 4.2 Comparison to WACCM output

In general, ACE and WACCM have good qualitative agreement with each other. The most noticeable shared feature between the two is the presence of masses of enriched isotopes over the poles. In the ACE data for  $CH_3D$ , the only visible seasonal change is an increase in  $\delta D$  over the South Pole during the winter (JJA). WACCM also shows this enrichment at the same time. Enrichment over the North Pole is not visible in the ACE data, but WACCM shows that  $CH_3D$  enriched air does not descend to altitudes low enough to be measurable with ACE. In addition, the rapid increase in enrichment at the highest altitudes, 30–35 km, measured by ACE at all latitudes is not present at the same location in WACCM. Increased enrichment is observable above 40 km in



WACCM, but the magnitude of this increase is much smaller. This suggests that the feature in ACE is not a real phenomenon, but rather it is possibly some systematic effect associated with the data near the upper altitude limit of the CH<sub>3</sub>D retrievals, a consequence of pushing the retrievals to altitudes where the spectra contain minimal signal from the isotopologue.

Though  $\delta^{13}$ C data from ACE are much noisier than for  $\delta$ D, seasonal enrichment over both poles is visible as the  $\delta^{13}$ C data extend to high altitudes. In both ACE and WACCM, enrichment over the South Pole is most visible in the fall (MAM) months with slightly lower enrichment during the winter (JJA) and spring (SON). The same trend is present over the North Pole in the fall (SON), but again the amount of enrichment fades more rapidly with time as it did with CH<sub>3</sub>D.

However, ACE and WACCM disagree greatly over the values of  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ . ACE reports values of  $\delta^{13}C$  of over +100% in highly enriched areas, while WACCM reports  $\delta^{13}C$  values only up to +5% at the altitudes measured by ACE. Tropospheric values are closer, but there is still a disparity: ACE measures  $\delta^{13}C$  around -20% while WACCM reports it at around -45%. The difference is more pronounced with  $\delta D$ .

Tropospheric values of  $\delta D$  differ by 100 ‰ between ACE and WACCM. A quantitative comparison of  $\delta D$  in the stratosphere is more difficult due to the sharp increase seen in ACE.

#### 20 4.3 Calibration of ACE data

10

15

If WACCM is assumed to accurately describe the distribution of methane and its isotopologues in the atmosphere, it can be used to calibrate ACE by accounting for unknown systemic error in the ACE retrievals of  $CH_3D$  and  ${}^{13}CH_4$ . These calibration factors, one for each isotopologue, are a shift applied to  $\delta D$  and  ${}^{13}C$  from ACE and are equivalent to a multiplication factor applied to the  $CH_3D$  and  ${}^{13}CH_4$  VMR profiles

<sup>25</sup> are equivalent to a multiplication factor applied to the  $CH_3D$  and  $^{\circ}CH_4$  VMR profiles retrieved by ACE. The calibration factors were derived by taking the difference of the median tropospheric  $\delta$  value for both isotopologues of ACE and WACCM. The height



of the tropopause for each ACE profile was taken from derived meteorological products (DMPs) provided by Manney et al. (2007) and was between 8 and 16 km for most profiles. The calculated calibration shifts are -92.4% for  $\delta D$  and -21.8% for  $\delta^{13}C$ .

The effect of this calibration at one location, the 60° S ACE latitude bin during the spring (SON), is shown in Fig. 12. Also shown here are error bars on the postcalibration ACE data. These error bars represent one standard deviation of measurements from the entire data set at that altitude and latitude bin. The calibration is effective for CH<sub>3</sub>D as ACE and WACCM now agree with each other up to 26 km where the sharp increase in  $\delta$ D is observed in ACE. On the other hand, this calibration does not function as well for <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>. After the calibration, ACE and WACCM agree up to a height of about 20 km, but the bump in the ACE results between 20 and 25 km (associated with the latitude-independent band in the  $\delta^{13}$ C plots near 22 km mentioned previously) yield significantly poorer agreement in that altitude range. The ACE results also show a stronger increase of  $\delta^{13}$ C with increasing altitude above 20 km compared to WACCM.

#### 15 4.4 Comparison to balloon profiles

20

ACE data were compared with balloon profiles analyzed by Röckmann et al. (2011). This data set consists of 13 balloon profiles, all of which have data for  $\delta^{13}$ C and all but two have data for  $\delta$ D. The balloon launches were performed at Hyderabad, India (17.5° N, 78.60° E), Kiruna, Sweden (67.9° N, 21.10° E), Aire sur l'Adour, France (43.70° N, -0.30° E), and Gap, France (44.44° N, 6.14° E). The balloon profiles from each location were compared to ACE profiles from the same season and the 10° latitude bin the balloon launches are located in. Both locations in France were considered together since only one launch was performed at Gap.

Figure 13 shows the comparison of  $\delta D$  among ACE (shown in red), WACCM (gray and black), and the balloon profiles (blue). The profiles over India and both locations in France show strong agreement among all three data sets to above 25 km. Over India, the balloon profiles end below 30 km, so there are no data to compare to the highest altitudes of ACE where  $\delta D$  rapidly increases. Over France, the balloon profiles reach



as high as 33 km, slightly higher than ACE, but do not show the spike in  $\delta D$  present in ACE, supporting the notion that the rapid increase in  $\delta D$  at the highest altitudes in the ACE results is a retrieval artifact. One profile, ASA9309, does show increased  $\delta D$  at the single highest point, but this is not conclusive. On the other hand, the profiles over

- <sup>5</sup> Sweden do not show such agreement. Above 20 km, the balloon profiles show a large increase and noticeable month-to-month changes in  $\delta D$ , whereas ACE shows a more gradual rise. The sharp increase is likely due to strong influence from the polar vortex during the two years of measurements. The ACE profile is a combination of 10 years of profiles, so years of strong vortex influence are balanced by years with less influence.
- <sup>10</sup> Also, the run of WACCM does not include any interannual variation, so the effect of an average polar vortex is expected.

Figure 14 shows the comparison of the three data sets for  $\delta^{13}$ C. Quantitatively, agreement is generally poorer between ACE and the balloon profiles than was observed for  $\delta$ D. Excluding the apparent artifact in the ACE  $\delta^{13}$ C results (the bump be-

- <sup>15</sup> tween 20 and 25 km), there is reasonable agreement for the balloon measurements over India. For the higher latitude measurements over France and Sweden, ACE indicates a smaller isotopic fractionation in the troposphere than was measured by the balloon campaign or predicted by WACCM. Interestingly, the balloon measurements in Sweden show fairly good agreement with the bump between 20 and 25 km in the ACE  $\delta^{13}$ C results, but since this bump in the ACE results is assumed to be an artifact, this
- agreement is probably a coincidence.

# 4.5 Distribution of methane sinks

A second set of WACCM runs were performed to further explore the effects of the different sinks of methane on its isotopic composition. The model was run an additional <sup>25</sup> year past the initial 20 years. Then, several one day branch runs were performed on the first day of each month of the extra year. In these runs, the reactions for methane with OH, O (<sup>1</sup>D), CI and sunlight (photolysis) were modified to additionally produce an inert dummy molecule. The abundance of this "molecule" at a specific location shows



how much methane reacted with a specific molecule or via photolysis at that location. Since the model reports molecular concentrations as mixing ratios, the abundance of the dummy molecules is relative to the number density of air at that location. The mixing ratios of the dummy molecules are on the order of  $10^{-9}$  or smaller, so their presence does not have a large effect on the pressure or other dynamics in the atmosphere.

Figure 15 shows the results of these runs for the months of January, April, July, and October. The plots in the left column show which of the four sinks destroys the most methane at a given latitude and altitude. The right column shows the total rate of methane destruction. At the most abundant radical in the atmosphere, OH is the most important oridant in the transphere and most of the stratesphere autside of the

- <sup>10</sup> most important oxidant in the troposphere and most of the stratosphere outside of the polar regions. From 50 to 65 km, singlet oxygen becomes the largest oxidant. It is also the largest oxidant between 30 and 40 km at the equator, likely due to the presence of the ozone layer below which readily photolyzes to give oxygen atoms. Above 65 km, photolysis becomes the major source of methane destruction as the atmosphere be-<sup>15</sup> comes thinner, making chemical reactions more difficult and allowing the increased
- penetration of UV radiation.

The reaction of methane with chlorine atoms demonstrates strong seasonal variation. Oxidation via chlorine is only major over the poles in the stratosphere around the winter months. At the same time over the poles, methane destruction reaches its low-

est rates. This is due to the presence of the polar vortex. The isolated air inside the vortex is not exposed to sunlight, so oxidizing radicals are quickly consumed and are not regenerated. Meanwhile, active chlorine-containing compounds build up within the vortex, providing a small source of chlorine atoms even with minimal sunlight.

#### 5 Conclusions

<sup>25</sup> The ACE data set presented in this paper greatly expands the number of observations of methane and its isotopologues in the stratosphere. The data for CH<sub>3</sub>D have been shown to be consistent with both model predictions and existing balloon-based mea-



surements after calibrating the ACE results using tropospheric  $\delta D$  calculated from the WACCM model. However, the data for <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> still show large discrepancies. Adjustment of spectroscopic parameters for CH<sub>4</sub> lines in the  $2v_4$  band significantly reduced the large step function observed in  $\delta^{13}$ C when using the spectroscopic parameters for this band that are currently available in the HITRAN database. However, a systematic

<sup>5</sup> this band that are currently available in the HITRAN database. However, a systematic latitude-independent bump near 22 km in the  $\delta^{13}$ C profiles derived from ACE in the current study suggest that further refinement of these spectroscopic constants may be required to improve the retrieval results for CH<sub>4</sub> from the ACE satellite.

# The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/amtd-8-11171-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. The ACE mission is funded primarily by the Canadian Space Agency. This project was initiated during a visit by P. Bernath to the National Center of Atmospheric Research (NCAR) in Boulder, CO, and the help with WAACM provided by D. Kinnison, D. Marsh and M. Mills is gratefully acknowledged. We thank T. Röckmann for supplying the methane isotopologue data from balloon measurements.

#### References

- Allan, W., Manning, M. R., Lassey, K. R., Lowe, D. C., and Gomez, A. J.: Modeling the variation of  $\delta^{13}$ C in atmospheric methane: phase ellipses and the kinetic isotope effect, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 15, 467–481, doi:10.1029/2000GB001282, 2001.
- Andrews, A. E., Kofler, J. D., Trudeau, M. E., Williams, J. C., Neff, D. H., Masarie, K. A., Chao, D. Y., Kitzis, D. R., Novelli, P. C., Zhao, C. L., Dlugokencky, E. J., Lang, P. M., Crotwell, M. J., Fischer, M. L., Parker, M. J., Lee, J. T., Baumann, D. D., Desai, A. R., Stanier, C. O., De Wekker, S. F. J., Wolfe, D. E., Munger, J. W., and Tans, P. P.: CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CH<sub>4</sub> measurements from tall towers in the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory's Global Greenhouse Gas Reference Network: instrumentation, uncertainty analysis, and rec-



ommendations for future high-accuracy greenhouse gas monitoring efforts, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 647–687, doi:10.5194/amt-7-647-2014, 2014.

- Bartlett, K. B. and Harriss, R. C.: Review and assessment of methane emissions from wetlands, Chemosphere, 26, 261–320, doi:10.1016/0045-6535(93)90427-7, 1993.
- <sup>5</sup> Boone, C. D., Nassar, R., Walker, K. A., Rochon, Y., McLeod, S. D., Rinsland, C. P., and Bernath, P. F.: Retrievals for the atmospheric chemistry experiment Fourier-transform spectrometer, Appl. Optics, 44, 7218, doi:10.1364/AO.44.007218, 2005.
  - Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., and Bernath, P. F.: Version 3 retrievals of the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS), in: The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment: ACE at 10, edited by: Bernath, P. F. A. Doopak Publishing, Hampton, VA
- <sup>10</sup> istry Experiment: ACE at 10, edited by: Bernath, P. F., A. Deepak Publishing, Hampton, VA, USA, 103–129, 2013.
  - Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Crutzen, P., Boumard, F., Dauer, T., Dix, B., Ebinghaus, R., Filippi,
    D., Fischer, H., Franke, H., Frieß, U., Heintzenberg, J., Helleis, F., Hermann, M., Kock, H.
    H., Koeppel, C., Lelieveld, J., Leuenberger, M., Martinsson, B. G., Miemczyk, S., Moret, H.
- P., Nguyen, H. N., Nyfeler, P., Oram, D., O'Sullivan, D., Penkett, S., Platt, U., Pupek, M., Ramonet, M., Randa, B., Reichelt, M., Rhee, T. S., Rohwer, J., Rosenfeld, K., Scharffe, D., Schlager, H., Schumann, U., Slemr, F., Sprung, D., Stock, P., Thaler, R., Valentino, F., van Velthoven, P., Waibel, A., Wandel, A., Waschitschek, K., Wiedensohler, A., Xueref-Remy, I., Zahn, A., Zech, U., and Ziereis, H.: Civil Aircraft for the regular investigation of the atmosphere based on an instrumented container: The new CARIBIC system, Atmos. Chem.
- 20 mosphere based on an instrumented container: The new CARIBIC system, Atmos Phys., 7, 4953–4976, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4953-2007, 2007.
  - Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P. M., Dickinson, R. E., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., Dias, P. L. da S., Wofsy, S. C., and Zhang, X.: Couplings between changes in the climate system
- and biogeochemistry, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B.,Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 499– 587, 2007.
- Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Francey, R. J., and Langenfelds, R. L.: Atmospheric methane between 1000 A.D., and present: evidence of anthropogenic emissions and climatic variability, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 15979, doi:10.1029/98JD00923, 1998.



- Feilberg, K. L., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, M. S., and Nielsen, C. J.: The <sup>13</sup>C and D kinetic isotope effects in the reaction of CH4 with Cl, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 37, 110–118, doi:10.1002/kin.20058, 2005.
- Hao, W. M. and Ward, D. E.: Methane production from global biomass burning, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 20657, doi:10.1029/93JD01908, 1993.

5

20

Keeling, C. D.: The concentration and isotopic abundances of atmospheric carbon dioxide in rural areas, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 13, 322–334, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(58)90033-4, 1958.

Kort, E. A., Frakenberg, C., Costigan, K. R., Lindenmaier, R., Dubey, M. K., and Wunch, D.:

- Four corners: the largest US methane anomaly viewed from space, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 6898–6903, doi:10.1002/2014GL061503, 2014.
  - Lamarque, J.-F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C., Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J., Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K.,
- and van Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7017–7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.

Lassey, K. R.: Livestock methane emission: from the individual grazing animal through national inventories to the global methane cycle, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 142, 120–132, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.03.028, 2007.

Lassey, K. R., Allan, W., and Fletcher, S. E. M.: Seasonal inter-relationships in atmospheric methane and companion  $\delta$ 13C values: effects of sinks and sources, Tellus B, 63, 287–301, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2011.00535.x, 2011.

Lee, A. Y. T., Yung, Y. L., Cheng, B.-M., Bahou, M., Chung, C.-Y., and Lee, Y.-P.: Enhancement of deuterated ethane on Jupiter, Astrophys. J., 551, L93–L96, doi:10.1086/319827, 2001.

- of deuterated ethane on Jupiter, Astrophys. J., 551, L93–L96, doi:10.1086/319827, 2001.
   Manney, G. L., Daffer, W. H., Zawodny, J. M., Bernath, P. F., Hoppel, K. W., Walker, K. A., Knosp, B. W., Boone, C., Remsberg, E. E., Santee, M. L., Harvey, V. L., Pawson, S., Jackson, D. R., Deaver, L., McElroy, C. T., McLinden, C. A., Drummond, J. R., Pumphrey, H. C., Lambert, A., Schwartz, M. J., Froidevaux, L., McLeod, S., Takacs, L. L., Suarez, M. J.,
   Trepte, C. R., Cuddy, D. C., Livesey, N. J., Harwood, R. S., and Waters, J. W.: So-
- lar occultation satellite data and derived meteorological products: sampling issues and comparisons with Aura Microwave Limb Sounder, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24S50, doi:10.1029/2007JD008709, 2007.



Marsh, D. R., Mills, M. J., Kinnison, D. E., Lamarque, J.-F., Calvo, N., and Polvani, L. M.: Climate Change from 1850 to 2005 Simulated in CESM1(WACCM), J. Climate, 26, 7372–7391, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00558.1, 2013.

Nair, H., Summers, M., Miller, C., and Yung, Y.: Isotopic fractionation of methane in the martian atmosphere, Icarus, 175, 32–35, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2004.10.018, 2005.

5

Park, M.: Seasonal variation of methane, water vapor, and nitrogen oxides near the tropopause: satellite observations and model simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03302, doi:10.1029/2003JD003706, 2004.

Payan, S., Camy-Peyret, C., Oelhaf, H., Wetzel, G., Maucher, G., Keim, C., Pirre, M., Huret, N.,

- Engel, A., Volk, M. C., Kuellmann, H., Kuttippurath, J., Cortesi, U., Bianchini, G., Mencaraglia, F., Raspollini, P., Redaelli, G., Vigouroux, C., De Mazière, M., Mikuteit, S., Blumenstock, T., Velazco, V., Notholt, J., Mahieu, E., Duchatelet, P., Smale, D., Wood, S., Jones, N., Piccolo, C., Payne, V., Bracher, A., Glatthor, N., Stiller, G., Grunow, K., Jeseck, P., Te, Y., and Butz, A.: Validation of version-4.61 methane and nitrous oxide observed by MIPAS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 413–442, doi:10.5194/acp-9-413-2009, 2009.
- Remsberg, E. E.: Methane as a diagnostic tracer of changes in the Brewer–Dobson circulation of the stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3739–3754, doi:10.5194/acp-15-3739-2015, 2015.

Röckmann, T., Brass, M., Borchers, R., and Engel, A.: The isotopic composition of methane

- in the stratosphere: high-altitude balloon sample measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 13287–13304, doi:10.5194/acp-11-13287-2011, 2011.
  - Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M., Boudon, V., Brown, L. R., Campargue, A., Champion, J.-P., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Fally, S., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Jacquemart, D., Kleiner, I.,
- Lacome, N., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Mikhailenko, S. N., Miller, C. E., Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Naumenko, O. V., Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V. I., Perrin, A., Predoi-Cross, A., Rinsland, C. P., Rotger, M., Šimečková, M., Smith, M. A. H., Sung, K., Tashkun, S. A., Tennyson, J., Toth, R. A., Vandaele, A. C., and Vander Auwera, J.: The HI-TRAN 2008 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 533–572, doi:10.1016/j.jgsrt.2009.02.013, 2009.
  - Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Wine, P. H., Ravishankara, A. R., Kolb, C. E., Molina, M. J., Diego, S., Jolla, L., Huie, R. E., and Orkin, V. L.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies Evaluation Num-



ber 15, JPL Publ., 06-2(Eval. 15), available at: http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov/ (last access: 31 July 2015), 2006.

- Saueressig, G., Crowley, J. N., Bergamaschi, P., Brühl, C., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., and Fischer, H.: Carbon 13 and D kinetic isotope effects in the reactions of CH 4 with O(1D) and OH:
- new laboratory measurements and their implications for the isotopic composition of strato-5 spheric methane, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 23127, doi:10.1029/2000JD000120, 2001.
  - Schneising, O., Buchwitz, M., Burrows, J. P., Bovensmann, H., Bergamaschi, P., and Peters, W.: Three years of greenhouse gas column-averaged dry air mole fractions retrieved from satellite - Part 2: Methane, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 443-465, doi:10.5194/acp-9-443-2009, 2009.
- Terao, Y., Mukai, H., Nojiri, Y., MacHida, T., Tohjima, Y., Saeki, T., and Maksyutov, S.: Inter-10 annual variability and trends in atmospheric methane over the western Pacific from 1994 to 2010, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116, 1-13, doi:10.1029/2010JD015467, 2011.
  - Wecht, K. J., Jacob, D. J., Wofsy, S. C., Kort, E. A., Worden, J. R., Kulawik, S. S., Henze, D. K., Kopacz, M., and Pavne, V. H.: Validation of TES methane with HIPPO aircraft observations: implications for inverse modeling of methane sources, Atmos, Chem. Phys., 12, 1823–1832.
- 15 doi:10.5194/acp-12-1823-2012, 2012.
  - Xiong, X., Barnet, C., Maddy, E. S., Gambacorta, A., King, T. S., and Wofsy, S. C.: Mid-upper tropospheric methane retrieval from IASI and its validation, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2255-2265, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2255-2013, 2013.
- Yokota, T., Yoshida, Y., Eguchi, N., Ota, Y., Tanaka, T., Watanabe, H., and Maksyutov, S.: Global 20 concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> retrieved from GOSAT: first preliminary results, Sci. Online Lett. Atmos., 5, 160-163, doi:10.2151/sola.2009-041, 2009.

<b>Discussion</b> Pa	<b>AM1</b> 8, 11171–112	<b>FD</b> 207, 2015			
aper   Discussior	Global m isotopologu ACE-F E. M. Buza	Global methane isotopologues from ACE-FTS E. M. Buzan et al.			
n Pape	Title Pa	Title Page			
er	Abstract	Introduction			
	Conclusions	References			
Discus	Tables	Figures			
sion		۲I			
Pap	•	•			
er	Back	Close			
Dis	Full Scree	Full Screen / Esc			
CUSS	Printer-friendly Version				
ion	Interactive Discussion				
Daper					

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

<b>AM</b> 8, 11171–1	<b>AMTD</b> 8, 11171–11207, 2015			
Global methane isotopologues from ACE-FTS				
E. M. Bu	E. M. Buzan et al.			
litle	Page			
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I.	۲I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive	Interactive Discussion			
CC O BY				

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion** Paper

Table 1. Summary of microwindows used by ACE for retrieval of CH<sub>4</sub>.

Isotopologue	Number of microwindows	Altitude Range (km)	Wavenumber Ranges (cm <sup>-1</sup> )
CH <sub>4</sub>	74	5–75	1139, 1219–1374, 1672, 1876, 1950, 2610–3086
CH <sub>3</sub> D	45	5–35	923–1480, 2623–3096
<sup>13</sup> CH <sub>4</sub>	36	5–50	1202–1339, 1950, 2566–2839

<b>Discussion</b> Pa	<b>AMTD</b> 8, 11171–11207, 2015				
per   Discussion	Global r isotopolog ACE E. M. Bu	Global methane isotopologues from ACE-FTS E. M. Buzan et al.			
Pape	Title	Title Page			
θŗ	Abstract	Introduction			
—	Conclusions	References			
Discus	Tables	Figures			
sion		▶1			
Pape	•	•			
er	Back	Close			
– Die	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
scussi	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
on F	Interactive	Interactive Discussion			
aper		ву			

**Table 2.** Kinetic isotope effect ratios of methane with OH, O<sup>1</sup>D, and Cl.

Reactant	k <sub>H</sub> /k <sub>D</sub>	$k_{12}/k_{13}$	Temperature	Ref.
ОН	$1.294 \pm 0.018$	$1.0039 \pm 0.0004$	296 K	Saueressig et al. (2001)
O <sup>1</sup> D	1.06	1.013	296 K	Saueressig et al. (2001)
CI	$1.47 \pm 0.03$	$1.06 \pm 0.01$	298 K	Feilberg et al. (2005)

**Table 3.** Kinetic constants of reactions modified to include the heavy isotopologues of methane used with WACCM. Temperature-independent reactions use a single rate constant A in units of cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Temperature-dependent reactions have a rate constant given by the equation  $k(T) = A \times \exp(-E/RT)$ . The factor E/R has units of K.

Reaction	A	E/R	Ref.
$\label{eq:constraint} \hline \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Reaction} \\ \hline \mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{OH} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{H}_2\mbox{O} \\ ^{13}\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{OH} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{H}_2\mbox{O} \\ \mbox{CH}_3\mbox{D} + \mbox{OH} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{H}_2\mbox{O} \\ \mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{CI} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{HCI} \\ \mbox{CH}_3\mbox{D} + \mbox{CI} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{HCI} \\ \mbox{CH}_3\mbox{D} + \mbox{CI} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{HCI} \\ \mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H}_2 \\ \mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{HO}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{H}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_2\mbox{O} + \mbox{H} + \mbox{H}_2 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{OH}_3 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{OH}_3 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{OH}_3 \\ \mbox{H}_3\mbox{CH}_4 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{D} \rightarrow \mbox{CH}_3 + \mbox{O}^{(1)}\mbox{CH}_3 + O$	A 2.45 × $10^{-12}$ 2.44 × $10^{-12}$ 3.50 × $10^{-12}$ 7.30 × $10^{-12}$ 6.89 × $10^{-12}$ 7.00 × $10^{-12}$ 1.31 × $10^{-10}$ 3.00 × $10^{-11}$ 7.50 × $10^{-12}$ 1.11 × $10^{-10}$ 2.96 × $10^{-11}$	<i>E/R</i> 1775 1775 1950 1280 1280 1380	Ref. Sander et al. (2006) Sander et al. (2006) Sander et al. (2006) Sander et al. (2006) Sander et al. (2006) Feilberg et al. (2006) Sander et al. (2006) Sander et al. (2006) Saueressig et al. (2001) Saueressig et al. (2001)
$CH_4 + O(D) \rightarrow CH_2O + H + HO_2$ $^{13}CH_4 + O(^{1}D) \rightarrow CH_2O + H_2$ $CH_3D + O(^{1}D) \rightarrow CH_3 + OH$ $CH_3D + O(^{1}D) \rightarrow CH_2O + H + HO_2$ $CH_3D + O(^{1}D) \rightarrow CH_2O + H_2$ $CH_4 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{products}$ $^{13}CH_4 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{products}$ $CH_3D + h\nu \rightarrow \text{products}$	$2.96 \times 10^{-12}$ $7.40 \times 10^{-12}$ $1.06 \times 10^{-10}$ $2.83 \times 10^{-11}$ $7.08 \times 10^{-12}$		Saueressig et al. (2001) Saueressig et al. (2001) Saueressig et al. (2001) Saueressig et al. (2001) Saueressig et al. (2001) Lee et al. (2001) Lee et al. (2001) Nair et al. (2005)



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper





**Figure 1.** ACE measurement latitude for sunrise and sunset and beta angle as a function of time of year. For a brief time around each solstice ACE is unable to make measurements due to the position of its orbit.



**Figure 2.** Location of all ACE  $CH_4$  measurements by season.





Figure 3. ACE total methane concentration by season.





**Figure 4.** ACE  $\delta D$  by season.





**Figure 5.** ACE  $\delta^{13}$ C by season.





Figure 6. Total methane concentration by season from the ACE-sampled WACCM data set.





**Figure 7.**  $\delta D$  by season from the ACE-sampled WACCM data set.



**Discussion** Paper



Figure 8.  $\delta^{13}$ C by season from the ACE-sampled WACCM data set.





**Figure 9.** Keeling plots of ACE data for  $\delta D$  (left) and  $\delta^{13}C$  (right). Each datapoint is color-coded by its measurement altitude. The streaks of data present in the right figure are artifacts; ACE measurements are retrieved to three significant figures, causing a sharp change in precision around 10 ppm (e.g., 9.99 vs. 10.1 ppm).



**Discussion** Paper



**Figure 10.** The difference in  $\delta D$  between ACE and WACCM. Negative values are given when ACE reports a larger value than WACCM.



**AMTD** 

8, 11171-11207, 2015



Figure 11. The difference in  $\delta^{13}$ C between ACE and WACCM. Negative values are given when ACE reports a larger value than WACCM.





**Figure 12.** Results of ACE calibration compared to WACCM. Data shown here is from the 60° S September/October/November data bin. The error bars on the calibrated ACE data are equal to one standard deviation of the measurements at that altitude.





**Figure 13.** Comparison of  $\delta D$  profiles from ACE before and after calibration, WACCM, and balloon profiles from Röckmann et al. (2011).





Figure 14. Comparison of  $\delta^{13}$ C profiles from ACE before and after calibration, WACCM, and balloon profiles from Röckmann et al. (2011).





**Discussion** Paper **AMTD** 8, 11171-11207, 2015 **Global methane** isotopologues from **ACE-FTS Discussion** Paper E. M. Buzan et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Discussion Paper** Tables Figures < Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

**Figure 15.** Dominant oxidizing species of  $CH_4$  by location and season (left) and total methane oxidation (right).