The pilatus unmanned aircraft system for lower atmospheric research
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Abstract

This paper presents details of the University of Colorado (CU) Pilatus unmanned research aircraft, assembled to provide measurements of aerosols, radiation and thermodynamics in the lower troposphere. This aircraft has a wingspan of 3.2 m and a maximum take off weight of 25 kg and is powered by an electric motor to reduce engine exhaust and concerns about carburetor icing. It carries instrumentation to make measurements of broadband up- and downwelling shortwave and longwave radiation, aerosol particle size distribution, atmospheric temperature, relative humidity and pressure and to collect video of flights for subsequent analysis of atmospheric conditions during flight. In order to make the shortwave radiation measurements, care was taken to carefully position a high-quality compact inertial measurement unit (IMU) and characterize the attitude of the aircraft and it’s orientation to the upward looking radiation sensor. Using measurements from both of these sensors, a correction is applied to the raw radiometer measurements to correct for aircraft attitude and sensor tilt relative to the sun. The data acquisition system was designed from scratch based on a set of key driving requirements to accommodate the variety of sensors deployed. Initial test flights completed in Colorado provide promising results with measurements from the radiation sensors agreeing with those from a nearby surface site. Additionally, estimates of surface albedo from onboard sensors were consistent with local surface conditions, including melting snow and bright runway surface. Aerosol size distributions collected are internally consistent and have previously been shown to agree well with larger, surface-based instrumentation. Finally the atmospheric state measurements evolve as expected, with the near-surface atmosphere warming over time as the day goes on, and the atmospheric relative humidity decreasing with increased temperature. No directional bias on measured temperature, as might be expected due to uneven heating of the sensor housing over the course of a racetrack pattern, was detected. The results from these flights indicate that the CU Pilatus platform is capable of performing research grade lower tropospheric measurement missions.
1 Introduction

The use of unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) for Earth science missions has become increasingly popular over the last two decades. Interest in such deployments stems from the ability of these platforms to collect information on spatial variability of key atmospheric properties and the underlying surface, and provide profiles of atmospheric quantities related to aerosols (e.g. Corrigan et al., 2008; Bates et al., 2013), clouds (e.g. Ramana et al., 2007), thermodynamics (e.g. Lawrence and Balsley, 2013), turbulence (e.g. van den Kroonenberg et al., 2012), and radiation (e.g. Ramana et al., 2007; Valero et al., 1996). Additionally, their use has been buoyed by the potential to deploy these aircraft to areas difficult to sample with manned platforms (e.g. Lin, 2006; Elston et al., 2011), including the near surface environment at high latitudes (e.g. Curry et al., 2004; Cassano et al., 2010), and by the potential for significant cost-savings relative to routine deployment of manned-aircraft with continued miniaturization of instrumentation and platforms alike.

Programatic interest in the deployment of UAS developed approximately two decades ago, with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Office of Naval Research (ONR) and US Department of Energy (DOE) establishing UAS-based research programs (Stephens et al., 2000). These programs generally focused on larger, expensive platforms such as the General Atomics Altus and General Atomics Gnat-750. At present, while successful deployments of larger High-Altitude, Long Endurance (HALE) UAS continue (e.g. Jensen et al., 2013; Intrieri et al., 2014), there has been expanded focus on the development and deployment of smaller, low-cost systems. This focus has been fueled in part due to the attainability of such systems for the university research community, as well as by the continued development of regulations by the US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and regulating agencies of other countries for small UAS (generally 55 lbs and below). Some examples of such efforts include research flights to investigate lower atmospheric structure in the vicinity of supercell thunderstorms (Elston et al., 2011; Houston et al., 2012), and campaigns...
to understand lower tropospheric thermodynamics and turbulence (Martin et al., 2011; Reuder et al., 2012; Lawrence and Balsley, 2013).

One area of particular interest for UAS-based research is measurement of atmospheric aerosol particles. At high latitudes, where substantial atmospheric stratification is routinely observed (Persson et al., 2002), and long-range transport of particles is central in establishing the local Arctic aerosol population (e.g. Raatz and Shaw, 1984; Rahn, 1981), measurement of aerosols at the Earth’s surface is a critical but insufficient endeavor. In such situations, there is no guaranteed relationship between aerosols observed at the surface and those in the atmosphere above relevant for regulating atmospheric radiative transfer and development of cloud particles. Recent years have seen limited campaigns with manned aircraft (e.g. ISDAC, McFarquhar et al., 2011; ARCPAC, Brock et al., 2011; ARCTAS, Jacob et al., 2010) to better understand the vertical and horizontal variability of aerosol particles. While such campaigns can provide substantial insight and have the unique ability to deploy a variety of instruments to the same location, the cost of such efforts is unsustainable for routine observing. UAS can play a central role in decreasing the cost associated with making aerosol measurements at altitude in the high latitude atmosphere, and to date there have been limited UAS-based measurement campaigns (e.g. Bates et al., 2013). Of additional interest is the impact of the aerosol and associated cloud particles on the transfer of energy through the Earth’s atmosphere. While measurements of irradiance are commonly made at the Earth’s surface, such measurements generally only provide the integrated point of view representing the entire column, and do not provide information on specific layers of aerosol or cloud particles and their local radiative impact. Such information can provide critical insight necessary to reduce uncertainty associated with the radiative forcing of aerosol particles and clouds (Anderson et al., 2003). Again, while such measurements have been made using manned aircraft platforms, measurements of atmospheric radiation from UAS have been very limited (e.g. Corrigan et al., 2008; Stephens et al., 2000), and to date have generally focused on downward-looking multispectral cameras to evaluate surface properties.
In this paper, we describe the development and initial testing of the University of Colorado (CU) Research and Engineering Center for Unmanned Vehicles (RECUV) Pilatus. Development of this platform was funded as part of the ERASMUS (Evaluation of Routine Atmospheric Sounding Measurements using Unmanned Systems) campaign, supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE) Atmospheric System Research (ASR) and Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) programs. Instrumentation for this platform was selected in order to provide critically needed information to understand the vertical stratification of aerosol particles and their radiative impact at high latitudes. At the same time, care was taken to make the best quality measurements possible in order to support ARM’s history in providing high quality datasets. We first provide an overview of the platform, including background on the airframe and flight control systems, followed by details on the instrumentation payload. Subsequent sections provide details on initial results from testing and characterization flights carried out in Colorado, as well as a glimpse into the future deployment of this aircraft to the Arctic environment.

2 The Pilatus UAS

In this section, we first provide an overview of the Pilatus airframe, propulsion system, avionics, and performance characteristics. This is followed by discussion on the scientific payload designs and instrumentation.

2.1 Airframe and avionics

The RECUV Pilatus was developed from the airframe of the 3.2 m Pilatus Turbo Porter Almost Ready to Fly (ARF) kit distributed by Topmodel S.A.S. and sold by ICARE Sailplanes and Electrics. The Pilatus was chosen for this project due to its established structural integrity, low-speed handling characteristics, and ability to carry significant payloads while still maintaining a total weight of under the 55lbs weight limit estab-
lished by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for small UAS. This aircraft is also known for its Short Takeoff and Landing (STOL) performance, making it a good candidate for atmospheric research activities where extended runway surfaces are not always available. From a size and performance perspective, this aircraft is in a similar class as the ALADINA aircraft developed at the Technische Universität Braunschweig (Altstädt et al., 2015), although the measurement targets of the two systems are somewhat different and the Pilatus is capable of carrying a substantially heavier payload.

Because the primary mission for the ERASMUS campaign involves operation in the Arctic environment, a decision was made to replace the original 8.5 horsepower air cooled, aspirated 2-stroke gasoline engine with an electric propulsion system. This was primarily done due to fears of carburetor icing in the cold Arctic environment. The electric system that was chosen includes a Turnigy RotoMax 50cc brushless electric motor, powered by a set of four six-cell (22.2 V) 10 000 milliAmp hour (mAh) Multistar high capacity Lithium Polymer (LiPo) batteries. Unfortunately, this change in the propulsion system does have substantial impact on aircraft endurance, with flight times in the new configuration limited to 25–40 min, depending on payload. With continued development in battery technologies, it seems likely that this endurance will climb steadily in the coming years.

The aircraft is guided by the Piccolo SL autopilot and ground station from Cloud Cap Technology, which is widely used by UAS operators. The ground station’s graphical interface runs on a Microsoft Windows-based computer and communicates with the aircraft via a 900 MHz spread-spectrum data link. This interface allows an operator to control flight parameters of the Pilatus remotely, including setting of speed, altitude and ground track. Waypoints are used to establish the aircraft’s course and flight plans can be set ahead of time and can also be modified in-flight. The aircraft is also set up to be flown manually by an operator using a handheld controller, and flight operations have generally called for manual take-offs and landings while allowing for the Piccolo autopilot to handle the remainder of the established flight pattern.
Additional modifications made to the aircraft include replacement of the landing gear springs in order to handle the impact resulting from increased landing weight. The interior structure of the original aircraft was modified to include a plywood subfloor and the original tires were replaced with larger “tundra tires” for ease of operation from a variety of runway types. In its current configuration, the Pilatus generally cruises at approximately 92 km\(h^{-1}\) (50 knots), has a stall speed of approximately 52 km\(h^{-1}\) (28 knots), and has a dash speed of approximately 148 km\(h^{-1}\) (80 knots). When carrying payload it has a maximum climb rate of approximately 2.5 m\(s^{-1}\) and a turn rate of 30\(\degree\)s\(^{-1}\), resulting in a 91 m minimum turn radius. Because no fuel is carried or burned, the maximum takeoff and landing weights are the same, at 25 kg (55 lbs).

### 2.2 Scientific payload

To align with ERASMUS campaign and DOE ASR and ARM programatic scientific and measurement objectives (ASR, 2010), the Pilatus was outfitted with instrumentation that can provide information on atmospheric thermodynamic state (temperature, humidity, pressure), broadband radiation (both shortwave and longwave) and aerosol concentration and size. The following paragraphs provide descriptions of the sensors used on this platform.

To measure atmospheric thermodynamic state, a specially designed Pressure, Temperature and Humidity (PTH) sensor suite, mounted to the underside of the aircraft wing (Fig. 1) was employed. This PTH sensor module (Vaisala RSS904) is based on the sensor portion of the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) miniature dropsondes. This module is nearly identical to those used in the Vaisala RS-92 radiosondes used widely in the global radiosonde network in order to derive regular balloon-based thermodynamic profiles, with the exception of the temperature sensor which is larger and more mechanically robust than the RSS904 version. It features a capacitive wire temperature sensor with a response time of approximately 0.4 s at 1000 hPa and 0.1 \(\degree\)C resolution. Additionally, it includes a thin-film capacitor humidity sensor with a resolution of 1 % and a response time of 0.5 s (20 \(\degree\)C) to 20 s (\(-40\) \(\degree\)C).
Finally this sensor includes a silicon pressure sensor with a measurement resolution of 0.1 hPa.

To measure broadband shortwave (400–2700 nm) irradiance, the Pilatus was configured to carry three Delta-T Devices Ltd. SPN1 sunshine pyranometers (Fig. 1, top and bottom of aircraft). Of these, both a standard and modified version of this sensor look up towards the sky, and a single modified version looks down towards the ground. The standard SPN1 is unique in that it uses a shading pattern in combination with seven thermopile sensors. This shading pattern ensures that one of the seven sensors is always shaded, meaning that it is only subject to diffuse irradiance from the sun, and that another of the seven sensors is fully exposed to any direct solar radiation. This allows the device to separate the contributions of the incoming shortwave irradiance into cosine-corrected direct and diffuse components, which is critical for correction of the measurement for aircraft motion (see following paragraph). The modified SPN1s flown remove the shading pattern and have the internal programming changed to output the central detector as one output, and the average of the remaining six surrounding detectors as the other output. In this way, two separate measurements of total shortwave irradiance are obtained. Also important is the fast response time of this sensor (100 ms). Because the aircraft will potentially be flying around broken clouds, being able to quickly resolve transitions in the measured irradiance is important. The SPN1 is equipped with a heater to prevent condensate formation on the dome. However, in order to reduce power consumption and because we are not planning to operate the aircraft in high-humidity environments, we decided to forgo use of the heater in the Pilatus installation. Without the heaters, the SPN1 requires a power supply of 2 mA at 5–15 V. Each of these sensors has a 126 mm diameter and weighs 786 g.

Downwelling shortwave measurements, such as those provided by the SPN1, are very sensitive to aircraft attitude (pitch, roll) due to changes in the orientation of the sensor relative to the sun. Long et al. (2010) provide a technique for correcting for this potential source of error for a combined angular offset from level of up to 10°. In order to follow their approach, it is necessary to be able to distinguish between direct and
diffuse contributions to the irradiance, which the SPN1 allows, as discussed above. Additionally, it is necessary to have high-precision information on sensor attitude relative to level. This information was obtained using a VectorNav VN-200 high precision Inertial Navigation System (INS). The VectorNav combines a three axis Accelerometer, a three axis gyroscope, a three axis magnetometer, a barometric pressure sensor and a high-sensitivity 50-channel GPS receiver in a small 16 g housing to provide detailed information on aircraft attitude. In order to ensure minimal offset between the position of the VectorNav and the upward-facing SPN1s, the VectorNav was mounted to the bottom of the plate used to mount the SPN1s to the fuselage. Although the standard (with shading pattern) SPN1 allows us to partition between direct and diffuse downwelling total solar irradiance as necessary for correcting for aircraft attitude, the shading pattern used to block half of the sky view increases overall measurement uncertainty. To reduce this uncertainty, we additionally employ a modified (no shading pattern) upward-looking SPN-1, providing hemispheric total solar measurements. While a similar SPN1 configuration has previously been installed and flown on manned research aircraft (Long et al., 2010), to our knowledge, this is the first application of this sensor to an unmanned research aircraft of any size.

For measuring broadband longwave (4500–42 000 nm) irradiance, up- and downward facing Kipp and Zonen CGR4 pyrgeometers were integrated into the aircraft system. The CGR4 is among the best pyrgeometers available commercially and is among those used in the World Meteorological Organization (WMO)’s Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN). The CGR4 uses a silicon meniscus dome which provides a 180° field of view. Additionally, the design of the CGR4 reduces dome heating due to absorption of solar radiation to a negligible level when ventilated eliminating the need for dome temperature measurements or dome shading. Because the CGR4 has a very low output signal (−1.5 to 0 mV), the instrument is paired with a Kipp and Zonen AMP-BOX amplifier in order to convert this into a more reliably readable 4–20 mA current loop signal. Each CGR4, with the shading dome, has an exterior diameter of 150 mm, and a weight of 600 g.
To characterize aerosol size distribution, the Printed Optical Particle Spectrometer (POPS, Gao et al., 2015) designed, engineered and constructed at the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) Chemical Sciences Division (CSD), was integrated into the aircraft. This lightweight, low-cost sensor is constructed using 3-D printing technology and provides aerosol concentrations and particle size distributions for particles between 140–3000 nm, drawing air from an inlet on the aircraft wing using a small pump. A compact data system features a custom electronic design including a single board computer. The sensor and electronics consume 7 W of power at 9–15 V, allowing for extended operation on a relatively small battery system. POPS components combine for a total weight of approximately 800 g.

Finally, in order to document the flight environment a FatShark PilotHD V2 video camera capable of recording 720p video at 30 frames per second (fps) to an integrated SD card logger. This camera is equipped with a 1/2.5 inch 5 megapixel imager and features a metal cased shell for protection and minimization of radio frequency interference with aircraft controls. The weight of the camera system is approximately 33 g.

Unfortunately, due to the weight of the radiation instrumentation, not all of the instruments listed above can fly simultaneously. Therefore, we have configured our data logging and electronics systems and the distribution of sensors on the aircraft in order to allow for easy swapping of three payload configurations (Table 1). The first configuration includes POPS and the PTH module only and allows for the use of two extra 10 000 mAh propulsion system batteries, extending flight duration to approximately 40 min with a combined instrument payload mass of approximately 2 kg. This configuration is ideal if an extended range of operation is desired, or if aerosol profiles to higher altitudes (> 750 m) are desired. The second configuration carries the PTH module and POPS, as well as upward and downward looking CGR-4s with a combined instrument payload mass of approximately 3.3 kg. Using this configuration, flight time is restricted to approximately 25 min, depending on the mission flown. The third, and heaviest configuration includes the PTH module and POPS in combination with the
three SPN1s and the VectorNav INS, resulting in a combined instrument payload mass of 4.3 kg. In order to make the instrument swaps as easy as possible, the CGR4 and SPN1 instruments were fixed to separate mounting plates which had uniform mounting points for attachments to the airframe. To ensure that the upward looking radiometric instrumentation is as level as possible during flight, the roof-mounted plate is placed upon a shim which angles those instruments at approximately eight degrees relative to the roofline (see Fig. 1 inset) in order to set them as close to level as possible during flight.

To command and collect information from the various payload components, a custom command and data handling/signal conditioning (C&DH) board was designed. This board consists of various components, including a main board borrowed from the autopilot of the DataHawk UAS (Lawrence and Balsley, 2013) consisting of a STM32F427 microcontroller with support components and a Micro-SD card for data storage. Additionally, the C&DH board includes a STEVAL-MKI124V1 10 axis IMU with a 3 axis gyroscope, a 3 axis accelerometer, a 3 axis magnetometer and a barometric pressure sensor, an XBee XSC Pro 900 MHz radio for real-time telemetry, signal conditioning circuitry for the analog components and an MS5611 barometric pressure sensor. With this configuration, there were some key design requirements, including achieving the highest precision and accuracy possible from the CGR4s and SPN1s, integration of a high-quality attitude measurement from the VectorNav VN-200 IMU, and efficient routing of power from the 3-cell Lithium Polymer payload battery, which is separate from the larger propulsion and avionics batteries. Software was designed to provide two forms of payload telemetry. The primary mode of telemetry is a log file generated and stored on the onboard Micro SD card. Main payload packets are generated at 25 Hz, though individual instruments do not report at this frequency. For example, the PTH module and POPS both report at approximately 1 Hz, resulting in duplicated information between the main 25 Hz packets. Conversely, state estimator data from filtered gyroscope, accelerometer and barometric pressure data is generated at 100 Hz, resulting in multiple samples per 25 Hz packet. In addition to the main data packet,
a 5 Hz GPS packet is also generated. Together, this results in a data rate of approximately 13 KB s\(^{-1}\). In addition to the SD card logging, a 1 Hz real-time telemetry stream is generated via the XBee radio, containing only PTH data in ASCII text format.

A central design requirement for the C&DH board was minimization of electronic noise on the analog sensor (SPN1 and CGR4) outputs. As discussed above, we integrated a Kipp and Zonen AMPBOX with the CGR4s. In order to minimize the potential for noise pickup and generation, this AMPBOX was mounted directly on the bottom of the CGR4 housing to minimize the length of the cable carrying the low-voltage signal from the sensor to the amplifier. In general, all voltages were amplified as early as possible in order to match the range of the analog-to-digital converter. Additionally, the data system is powered by a dedicated battery in order to separate the electronics from those associated with the avionics and motor, and we used linear power supply regulators and decoupling capacitors on all circuit power lines. The circuit board and cables were designed using best practices, separating analog and digital circuits to minimize noise coupling. Finally, all cables used were shielded and extra care was taken to avoid ground loops. With this, the estimated electronic noise levels for the analog sensors used are 0.15° for the CGR4 temperature reading, 3 W m\(^{-2}\) for CGR4 irradiance, and 1.5 W m\(^{-2}\) for SPN1 irradiance.

3 Characterization of IMU offset

Options for operation of unmanned aircraft in US airspace for government operators, including the university research community, are limited. To date, application for a Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Certificate of Authorization (COA) has been the most common option for legal UAS operation unless such activities are carried out in restricted airspace. Testing and evaluation of Pilatus equipment was completed under COA 2013-WSA-26, allowing for operation of the Pilatus by University of Colorado operators at the Arvada (Colorado, USA) Field in class G airspace at or below 122 m (400 ft) a.g.l. In order to correct the measured SPN1 values for orientation as outlined
in Long et al. (2010), it is necessary to determine the angular offsets between the level as determined by the VectorNav IMU and the actual level state of SPN1 detectors. To do so, it is necessary to collect a dataset containing various permutations of pitch, roll, and heading plus changes in latitude and longitude. Because the VectorNav has to be moving to get accurate measurements of heading, it is necessary to complete offset characterization using a moving platform. However, the limited spatial domain available for flight under the COA is not supportive of the execution of extended level flight legs as would be preferable for radiation measurements and offset characterization. Therefore, we instead implemented a car-based solution for characterization of the VectorNav-SPN1 offset with a roof-mounted system (Fig. 2).

In order to obtain the measurements required to characterize the offset between the VectorNav and the SPN1 sensors, it is required to vary the orientation of this platform over a range of pitch and roll angles under a variety of solar zenith angles. Using this ground-based approach, this requires the execution of a series of rectangular patterns driven on a cloud-free day over terrain with rolling hills from sunrise until around solar noon (Fig. 2b). To ensure variability in both pitch and roll (Fig. 2c), the sensor plate was turned 90° in orientation (yaw) between each executed run. In total, 14 circuits were completed on public roads in northwestern Boulder, Colorado, between 07:20 and 12:20 LT, with approximately 20 min in between the start of each circuit. Using the results from these patterns, we applied the technique outlined in Long et al. (2010) to characterize the offset between the VectorNav and the SPN1s and to allow for the correction of SPN1 measurements for deviations from level of up to 10°. These offsets were found to be very small (0.4, 0.4 and 2.4°, for pitch, roll, and yaw, respectively), which is not surprising considering the VectorNav and SPN1s are co-mounted on a single plate.

Figure 3 shows the raw (red) and corrected (green) downwelling broadband short-wave irradiance measurements from the car-top SPN1 runs. The raw measurements show the effect of small pitch and roll variations on the measured irradiance, with spikes in the data of up to nearly 100 W m⁻² for tilt from horizontal of only up to 7°. After the
correction is applied, these are efficiently corrected, providing an accurate representation of the clear-sky irradiance at this time. The reductions in the measured irradiance visible in both the raw and corrected data are the result of shadows from trees and structures along the route of travel. The gradual increase of the measured irradiance with time is the result of the increasing elevation of the sun in the sky moving from early morning to the middle of the day.

4 Flight testing and airborne data

In-flight testing of the aircraft and integrated instrumentation was completed at Arvada Field (COA 2013-WSA-26) during February–April 2015. Here, we provide an overview of results from a series of fully-instrumented preparation flights completed on 3 April 2015. These flights were completed between 11:30 and 15:00 LT, under partly cloudy conditions and relatively light winds. Synoptically, a weak area of low pressure moved through the Front Range of Colorado on the evening of 2 April, resulting in a few inches of snowfall. This snow was covering much of the ground surface early on, but by the time the first flight began, the runway was already clear of snow and the snow cover on the surrounding fields was spotty. The snow would continue to melt throughout the day, as broken clouds and sun resulted in fairly rapid heating of the lower atmosphere.

In total, four flights were carried out at an altitude of 100 m, with the aircraft executing a racetrack pattern under autopilot guidance. These flights ranged from 20 to nearly 24 min in duration. The first and last flights carried the “shortwave” payload (see Table 1), while the second and third flights carried the “longwave” payload.

Temperature profiles from the four flights (Fig. 4, top) show a lower-atmospheric column that is slowly warming up as a result of solar heating of the surface. These profiles represent binned distributions at 5 m resolution, with the mean at each height illustrated by the filled circles. The thin lines represent the interquartile range at each altitude, providing insight into the variability at a height. It should be noted that very little time was spent at intermediate altitudes, and the majority of each flight was conducted at the 12000
cruise altitude (near 90–100 m in flights 1 and 3 and 80–90 m in flights 2 and 4). This, in combination with some lag inherent to the sensor response time results in values over the lower atmosphere that appear warmer while ascending than descending. This is the primary driver for the apparent increase in variability (larger IQR spread) at lower altitudes. The profile from all flights is close to dry-adiabatic (dry adiabatic lapse rate, or DALR, indicated by thin grey dashed lines) over the lowest 100 m of the atmosphere. Again, deviations from the DALR at lower altitudes are likely the result of sensor latency and a warm runway surface observed right before take-off. Since relative humidity is a function of temperature, over a short amount of time and without significant advection of water vapor, increasing temperatures resulting from solar radiation will tend to decrease relative humidity values. Profiles of relative humidity from the PTH module (Fig. 4, middle) appear to illustrate this phenomenon, with relative humidity values decreasing throughout the day as boundary layer temperatures increase. There does appear to be a thin layer of elevated moisture levels near the surface, potentially the result of melting snow and the associated evaporation of surface water into the relatively dry atmosphere. Atmospheric pressure drops slightly during the third and fourth flights, with pressures from the first two flights being nearly identical (Fig. 4, bottom).

One question that we attempt to answer with the test data collected is if sensor orientation influences the temperature observed with the PTH module mounted on the wing. Figure 5 provides distributions of the difference between the measured temperature above 60 m in altitude (GPS) and the mean temperature at this elevation, binned by aircraft heading. The distributions include a mean value (symbol), the interquartile range (thick line) and the 10th–90th percentiles (thin lines), with positive values indicating that measurements from that heading were warmer than the mean. The yellow bars represent the range in solar azimuth angles covered during that specific flight to provide information on how the sensor is oriented with respect to the sun. With the sensor mounted on the starboard wing, this results in shading of the nose of the sensor housing when the aircraft is flying away from the sun and across the sun towards the west. While both flights 3 and 4 appear to demonstrate warming when the starboard wing is
oriented toward the sun (directions less than the solar azimuth angle), such warming is less apparent in the first two flights. There does not appear to be a systematic bias based on heading relative to the sun from these flights, with the caveat that the aircraft is only maintaining any given heading for a maximum of 20–30 s at a time. This seems to support design of the PTH sensor housing to both reduce direct airflow (and thereby convective cooling) as well as direct heating through absorption of solar radiation.

In addition to the PTH data, we also present measurements from flights completed with the Kipp and Zonen CGR4 (broadband longwave) and Delta-T SPN1 (broadband shortwave) sensors. As mentioned above, the first and last flights of the day were completed with the SPN1s, and the second and third were completed with the CGR4s installed. In general, the scene for these flights is rather complex from a radiation perspective. The surface included both dark (earth) and bright (snow) covered areas, and the sky featured broken fair-weather cumulus clouds. To add to the complexity, there is some terrain around the flight test site that can enter the field of view of the sensors, and substantial terrain (front range of the Rocky Mountains) approximately 10–20 miles away. Given the limited amount of area available for flight, we were also required to fly a relatively tight racetrack pattern, resulting in a substantial fraction of non-level flight.

As visible in Figs. 6 and 7, the factors discussed in the previous paragraph result in substantial variability in both the short- and longwave radiation measured during these flights. Both datasets show regular periodic variability as a result of aircraft motion. While the downwelling shortwave signal is corrected for tilt effects, the complex cloud cover scene and surrounding terrain result in real variability with tilt that is not directly connected to the solar position relative to the sensor.

Looking first at the longwave irradiance (Fig. 6), variability in the signal is largely the result of the wide field of view of the sensor. While this wide field of view is desirable for surface-based operations in order to ensure that contributions from the entire hemispheric atmosphere are represented, unfortunately for aircraft-based operations, each change in flight heading results in an instrument reading from a non-level configuration, which results in measurements that represent a combination of sky and surface radia-
The limited flight area, in combination with the relatively slow response time of the CGR-4 (18 s at 95% response, 6 s at 63% response), results in a continuously varying signal in both the down- and upwelling longwave radiation measured during these flights. In order to gain insight into the accuracy of the aircraft-based measurement, we compare the Pilatus measurements to one-minute averaged irradiances obtained at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory “South Table Mountain” radiometer facility in Golden, Colorado. This site is approximately 12 km from the Arvada airfield where the flights took place, and therefore the values are not expected to compare exactly. From a general comparison, however, the aircraft-based measurements appear to agree reasonably with the surface-based measurements. The largest difference appears to be that the surface at Table Mountain appears to be warming faster than at Arvada, resulting in a larger difference between the two measurements during the second flight. Interestingly, the Pilatus-measured downwelling measurement appears to agree very well with the Table Mountain measurement, once the aircraft is at altitude.

The tilt-corrected broadband shortwave irradiance measured by the SPN1s are illustrated in Fig. 7. To perform the tilt correction, we used the offset coefficients previously calculated using our car-top deployment together with information from the VectorNav INS to derive the corrections required. These corrections are illustrated in Fig. 8 as a function of aircraft heading and total (two-dimensional) tilt angle. The top panel of this figure illustrates the general tendency of positive tilt angles (towards the sun) to have higher irradiance values, and negative tilt angles to have lower irradiance values. The calculated corrections are illustrated in the central panel, with corrections limited to a maximum tilt magnitude of 10°. Finally, the tilt-corrected irradiance is shown in the bottom panel of the figure.

Looking at the corrected values (Fig. 7), the blue color represents downwelling irradiance from the aircraft (thin line) and the NREL Table Mountain Kipp and Zonen CMP22 pyranometer (thick line). The large drops visible in the NREL dataset during this time represents the passage of clouds over the sensor. The Pilatus SPN1 measurements agree very well with the CMP22 measurement for both flights, although the...
first flight happened to coincide with the overpass of one of these clouds over the Table Mountain site. The red lines indicate the upwelling values measured using the downward looking SPN1 on the Pilatus (thin line) and a downward looking Kipp and Zonen CM3 at the Table Mountain site (thick line). At the time of the first flight, the upwelling shortwave measured by the CM3 is substantially higher than that measured by the aircraft. Because this time period featured a rapidly melting snow layer on the surface, this difference is likely the result of a more uniform or thicker snow surface at the Table Mountain site. By the time of the second flight, the difference between the two sensors and locations has decreased dramatically, with the Pilatus SPN1 measurement actually being slightly higher than the Table Mountain CM3. Again, this is likely due to differences in the surface state and type at the location of the measurement. The black lines represent the net broadband shortwave irradiance, calculated as the difference between the measured downwelling and upwelling irradiance.

One of the unique aspects of the SPN1 instrument is that it provides a direct measurement to distinguish between direct and diffuse contributions to the measured irradiance. The values for the two flights are shown in Fig. 9, with the light blue line representing the measured (uncorrected) total irradiance, the dark blue line representing the corrected total irradiance, the black line representing the direct component of the measured signal and the grey line representing the diffuse component of the signal. From this, we can see some subtle differences between the two flights. For example, the first flight has substantially greater variability in the net irradiance, with several instances where the direct component drops to zero. This is the result of a substantial coverage of broken cumulus clouds, which, at times completely shielded the SPN1 from direct sunlight. These clouds had mostly dissipated later in the day, resulting in a more consistent total irradiance and ratio of direct to diffuse irradiance. We also note that the diffuse contribution has decreased from the first and the second flights, which results from a combination of lower sun angles for the second flight, less cloud cover, and a generally drying atmosphere (see Fig. 4).
In addition to the computed irradiances, we can use these measurements to measure the surface albedo. As discussed previously, 3 April initially featured a patchy snow-covered ground surface, but warm temperatures helped to rapidly melt the snow. This is very apparent in the albedo measurements (Fig. 10), with the Flight 1 albedo values generally varying between 0.25 and 0.35, with some higher and lower values. In contrast, the albedo measurements from the later flight, which occurred after the snow had completely melted, are all generally in the 0.2 range, with the exception of the portion of the flight over the light-colored concrete runway, where values were closer to 0.27. Gaps in the albedo measurement are found in places where the autopilot was transitioning between waypoints with bank angles in excess of 10° (generally at the corners of the racetrack pattern).

Finally, POPS was operated on three of the four flights completed on 3 April 2015, with the instrument disabled on the third of the four completed flights. Particle size distributions indicating number (top), surface area (middle) and volume (bottom) are shown in Fig. 11 for the three remaining flights. As may be expected, there was very little change to the total size distributions obtained over the 5 h period between the surface and 100 m altitude. There does appear to be a slight redistribution of particles on the small end of the size spectrum (between 200–300 nm) from the first two flights to the last flight. Note that sharp features in the size distribution like the dip at 300 nm or the peak at 350 nm are caused by a mismatch of the index of refraction of the environmental aerosol particles and the particles used to calibrate POPS (Gao et al., 2015).

5 Summary

In this paper, the RECUV Pilatus unmanned research aircraft is presented. This system was developed specifically for the measurement of atmospheric radiation, atmospheric aerosol particle size distribution, and atmospheric thermodynamic state. To do so, the aircraft is equipped with up- and downward looking Delta-T SPN1 broadband
pyranometers, up- and downward looking Kipp and Zonen CGR4 pyrgeometers, the NOAA-designed Printed Optical Particle Spectrometer (POPS) and a housing to carry an NCAR PTH module. The PTH module and POPS instrument are flown at all times, and the radiation payload is configurable to measure up- and downwelling shortwave or longwave, but not both together due to size and weight restrictions. In order to correct the measured downwelling shortwave irradiance for variability resulting from aircraft pitch and roll, the Pilatus is also equipped with a VectorNav high grade INS. In order to characterize any angular offset between the VectorNav and the SPN1s, the two sensors were co-mounted on a single plate. This configuration was calibrated by mounting the system to the top of a car and driving a predefined path on a cloudless, dry day.

Measurements from a series of test flights flown on 3 April 2015 are presented. The first and last flights of that day featured the SPN1 (shortwave) payload, while the second and third flights featured the CGR-4 (longwave) payload. All four flights also included the PTH module and POPS, although POPS data was not collected during the third flight. These initial flights clearly illustrated the sensitivity of both the short- and longwave measurements to aircraft orientation, with a combination of partial cloud cover and rolling terrain resulting in regular oscillations in both components. Such oscillations would likely be largely avoided when flying extended level legs, as planned for future deployments in regions where airspace is less restricted than at our test site.

The first extended field deployment of this system is planned for early April 2016. At this time, the aircraft and its crew are scheduled to deploy to Oliktok Point, Alaska to measure aerosol and radiation properties associated with the end of the Arctic haze season. Oliktok Point provides a unique operating environment due to the presence of US DOE-controlled restricted airspace (area R-2204). This area of restricted airspace is made up of two cylinders with a four nautical mile diameter, the first of which extends from the surface to 457 m (1500 ft) a.s.l. and the second of which extends between 457 m (1500 ft) to 2134 m (7000 ft). Access to this airspace allows for the execution of the extended level legs desired for radiation measurements, as well as increased
vertical space to acquire profiles of aerosols, radiation and thermodynamics over the lowest kilometer of the Arctic atmosphere.

The general concept of making radiation, aerosol and thermodynamic measurements from platforms such as the Pilatus holds a lot of promise. Favorable comparison of Pilatus measurements with those obtained from other sources gives confidence in the ability of this aircraft to obtain high quality observations. Future development of a new airframe with similar instrumentation and payload capabilities would likely result in a more efficient system, and allow flight duration to increase. Similarly, continued advancement in battery technology will allow the current aircraft to extend its mission time over the coming years. Extended flight time will allow aircraft such as the Pilatus to explore higher altitudes and greater spatial scales. For the time being, the upcoming Alaska deployment represents a fantastic opportunity to evaluate Arctic Haze in a new manner, with emphasis on the lowest kilometer of the atmosphere. Using this platform, we hope to be able to capture information on the vertical variability of aerosol size distribution, as well as the radiative impact of this polluted layer. We expect to time the campaign in a way where we will be able to measure the transition from polluted to cleaner conditions along the North Slope of Alaska during this deployment. In the near future, we will work to further improve the quality of the measurements being made by attempting to further minimize noise, particularly in the radiation measurements. Over a longer time frame, we hope to deploy the aircraft for future missions in the Arctic as well as at lower latitudes to observe processes related to aerosols, radiation and thermodynamics.
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Table 1. An overview of the three different Pilatus payload configurations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Payload Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>PTH, Camera, POPS</td>
<td>2 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave</td>
<td>Core, plus SPN1s, VectorNav</td>
<td>4.3 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longwave</td>
<td>Core, plus CGR-4s</td>
<td>3.3 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The RECUV Pilatus UAS shown with PTH module (white pod on starboard wing), POPS aerosol spectrometer (gold box in windshield), and three SPN1 pyranometers (two sensors on roof and one sensor on belly). The inset shows the aircraft with the upward looking CGR4 mounted.
Figure 2. (a) The SPN1 configuration mounted on top of a team member's vehicle for obtaining measurements required to calculate the relative offset between the VectorNav and upward-looking SPN1s. (b) The elevation map of the route driven for offset characterization purposes. (c) Pitch (magenta) and roll (red) measured during one transit of this route. The instrumentation was turned 90° (yaw) between laps in order to ensure that the hill structure provided adequate variation in both pitch and roll for offset characterization.
Figure 3. Downwelling broadband shortwave irradiance (Wm\(^{-2}\)) obtained using the car-top mounted SPN1s during offset characterization runs. The red line represents the uncorrected values, while the green line represents the measurement after attitude correction has been applied.
Figure 4. Air temperature (top), relative humidity (middle) and atmospheric pressure (bottom) from the four 3 April flights. The circles represent the mean value at each altitude, while the lines represent the inter-quartile range. The dashed lines on the temperature figure illustrate the dry adiabatic lapse rate relative to each profile.
Figure 5. Distributions of temperature anomalies from the mean of all points above 60 m for each flight as a function of aircraft heading. The mean of the distribution is presented as a closed circle, the interquartile range is presented as the thick line, and the 10th–90th percentile range is presented as the thin line. The yellow bars represent the range of solar azimuth angles for each flight.
Figure 6. Broadband longwave radiation measured during the time of testing on 3 April 2015. Included are upwelling (red), downwelling (blue) and net (black) radiation from the aircraft (thin, shorter line segments with high variability) and 1 min averages from the NREL site at Table Mountain (thicker lines). An inset is included to provide additional detail on the downwelling radiation measured from the aircraft and at Table Mountain for the third Pilatus flight of the day.
Figure 7. Broadband shortwave radiation measured during the time of testing on 3 April 2015. Included are upwelling (red), downwelling (blue) and net (black) radiation from the aircraft (thin, shorter line segments with high variability) and 1 min averages from the NREL site at Table Mountain (thicker lines).
Figure 8. Downwelling shortwave irradiance (W m\(^{-1}\)) from the two flights completed with the SPN1s. The top figure shows the mean, uncorrected irradiance detected across a variety of aircraft headings and tilt angles. The center figure illustrates the amount of the adjustment as dictated by the correction algorithm, and the bottom figure shows the final corrected values. Note that tilt angles greater than 10° in magnitude are not corrected.
Figure 9. Timeseries of the SPN1 measured downwelling broadband irradiance for the two flights on which these sensors were flown. Included are the raw (uncorrected) total values in light blue, the tilt-corrected total values in darker blue, as well as the direct (black) and diffuse (grey) contributions to the measured signal.
Figure 10. Surface albedo, as derived from the onboard SPN1 instruments. Note that the first flight was completed when the surface still had a significant amount of snow present, while the second flight was completed when most of the snow had melted. Points with tilt angles exceeding 10° were excluded from this figure.
Figure 11. Particle size distributions represented as the number of particles (top), the surface area of particles (middle) and the volume of particles (bottom) for the three test flights during which POPS was operating on 3 April 2015.