Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 2379–2407, 2015 www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/8/2379/2015/ doi:10.5194/amtd-8-2379-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

An enhanced procedure for measuring organic acids and methyl esters in PM_{2.5}

F. Liu^{1,2}, F. Duan^{1,3}, K. He^{1,3}, Y. Ma^{1,3}, K. A. Rahn¹, and Q. Zhang^{1,3}

 ¹School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
 ²Research Institute of Chemical Defense, Beijing, China
 ³State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Sources and Control of Air Pollution Complex, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Received: 18 December 2014 – Accepted: 29 January 2015 – Published: 4 March 2015

Correspondence to: F. Liu (liu-f11@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn) and K. He (hekb@tsinghua.edu.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

We have developed an enhanced analytical procedure to measure organic acids and methyl esters in fine aerosol with much greater specificity and sensitivity than previously available. This capability is important because of these species and their low concentrations, even in highly polluted atmospheres like Beijing, China. The procedure first separates the acids and esters from the other organic compounds with anion-exchange solid- phase extraction (SPE), then, quantifies them by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. This allows us to accurately quantify the C4-C11 dicarboxylic and the C8-C30 monocarboxylic acids. Then the acids are separated from the esters on an aminopropyl SPE cartridge, whose weak retention isolates and enriches the acids from esters prevents the fatty acids and dimethyl phthalate from being overestimated. The resulting correlations between the aliphatic acids and fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) suggest that FAMEs had sources similar to those of the carboxylic acids, or were formed by esterifying carboxylic acids, or that aliphatic acids were formed by hydrolyzing FAMEs. In all, 17 aromatic acids were identified and

- quantified using this procedure coupled with gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, including the five polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) acids 2-naphthoic, biphenyl-4-carboxylic, 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic, biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic, and phenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid, plus 1,8-naphthalic anhydride. Correlations between
 the PAH-acids and the dicarboxylic and aromatic acids indicated that the first three
- acids and 1,8-naphthalic anhydride were mainly secondary, the last two mainly primary.

1 Introduction

Organic matter can account for 20–50 % of the mass of fine aerosol (PM_{2.5}) (Turpin et al., 2000; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2011). Organic acids, including C6– C32 monocarboxylic acids, C2–C12 dicarboxylic acids (DCAs), ketocarboxylic acids,





and 1-, 2-, and 3-substituted aromatic acids (AMAs), are ubiquitous in aerosols (Kawamura, 1993; Sempére and Kawamura et al., 1994; Fraser et al., 2003; Kawamura and Yasui, 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Li and Yu, 2005; Jung et al., 2010), and can account for 20–80 % of the organic matter (Satsumabayashi et al., 1989; Barbara and Ho, 2001; Huang et al., 2006; Duan et al., 2009).

Organic acids can be primary or secondary. The C20–C30 monocarboxylic and terephthalic acids are primary, mainly from the combustion of fossil fuels and biomass, vehicular exhausts, and cooking (Kawamura and Kaplan, 1987a; Sempére and Kawamura, 1994; Reid et al., 1998, 2005; Graham et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2003; Pio et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2009; Kundu et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2012), C2 C6 DCAs are mainly seen

- ¹⁰ 2008; Yu et al., 2008; Kundu et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2013). C2-C6 DCAs are mainly secondary, formed in the atmosphere as their anthropogenic and biogenic precursors are oxidized (Kawamura et al., 1996; Claeys et al., 2004; Ervens et al., 2004; Gelencser, 2004; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Kawamura and Yasui, 2005). Phthalic acids are oxidation products of naphthalene and alkyl naphthalenes (Wang et al., 2007). OH radicals
- ¹⁵ can initiate the aerobic degradation of phenanthrene (Tan et al., 2007), but only limited products were detected for explaining the degradation mechanism of three-ring PAHs. So it is necessary to develop more-sensitive analytical methods that can be used to identify possible tracers to help understand the formation mechanisms of secondary organic aerosol.

Organic acids are commonly extracted from aerosol samples along with other organics by sequential solvents, then converted to esters with BF₃-methanol, BF₃-butanol, or N,O-bis-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) before they are measured by gas chromatography (Kawamura, 1985, 1993; Fu et al., 2009). The butyl- and trimethylsilyl-(TMS) derivatives have been used more often than methyl esters for low-molecular weight organic acids, including C2-C10 DCAs, C2-C9 ketocarboxylic acids (Boucharat et al., 1998; Limbeck and Puxbaum, 1999; Nolte et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2006; Oliveira et al., 2007). Yet the methyl esters of C8–C32 monocarboxylic acids and AMAs are more volatile and convenient for GC analysis than butyl- and TMS-esters (Kawamura and Gagosian, 1987c; Plewka et al., 2003; Fraser et al., 2003).





GC-MS methods have been used to identify organic compounds (mainly organic acids, alkanes, cycloalkanes, PAHs, oxy-PAHs, and steranes) in atmospheric aerosols (Kawamura, 1993; Jaoui et al., 2004; Simoneit et al., 2004; Medeiros and Simoneit, 2007). However, it is difficult to use GC-MS to identify the trace oxygenated PAHs in raw extracts because other compounds interfere (Walgraeve et al., 2010). To deal with problem, advanced pretreatment methods have been coupled with high-resolution analytical instruments. For example, solid phase extraction (SPE) is a fast and sim-

- ple method for separating, purifying, and concentrating organic compounds, and it offers clean extracts with very good recoveries and good enrichment (Rosenfeld, 1999;
- Liska, 2000; Sochor, 2000; Wells and Yu, 2000; Anastassiades et al., 2003; Ericsson and Colmsjo, 2003). Organic acids have been purified and concentrated through ion exchange with OH groups on anion exchange SPE cartridges and then eluted with eluents that contain a stronger acid (Wang et al., 2014). Gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS-MS) has been used to measure oxygenated polycyclic
- ¹⁵ aromatic compounds in aerosol. The MS-MS technique allows multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) to exclude extraneous chromatographic peaks. The first MS detector is used in selected-ion monitoring (SIM) mode, and excludes all of the ions produced except for precursor ions that are used for qualitative analysis. The selected ions enter the collision cell, where ions are produced under specific collision energy. The second
- MS detector is also used in SIM mode. It monitors the daughter ions (the selected fragments of the precursor ions), and generates a unique product-ion mass spectrum for quantification.

We applied our enhanced analytical procedure to organic aerosol in Beijing. Extracts of $PM_{2.5}$ were fist cleaned with a selective SPE cartridge, then analyzed with GC and

²⁵ a triple quadrupole mass analyzer. The organic material was sampled in January 2013, when the haze was particularly heavy and had spread over much of eastern China. The air quality index in Beijing (Beijing Environment Protection Bureau, http://www.zhb.gov. cn/) reached nearly 500, and visibility was sometimes below 100 m.





2 Experiment and methodology

2.1 PM_{2.5} sample collection

Samples of PM_{2.5} were collected between 1 June 2012 and 30 April 2013. The samples discussed here came from 1–30 January, which contained the periods of heaviest haze. The samples were collected on quartz-fiber filters (20 cm × 25 cm Pallflex; Pall Corporation, Port Washington, NY, USA) with a high-volume sampler (VFC-PM10; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) at 1.13 m³ min⁻¹ from 9.00 p.m. local time for 24 h). The sampler was installed on the roof of a building at Tsinghua Univer-

sity (40°00′ N, 116°32′ E, 52 m a.s.l.). Before sampling, unexposed filters and foils were
baked at 560 °C for 6 h to remove organic contaminants. Each sample was wrapped in aluminum foil and stored in a freezer (at -25 °C) until it was extracted.

2.2 Sample pretreatment

An area of 40 cm² was punched from the sample and extracted successively with 20 mL dichloromethane, 20 mL hexane, and 20 mL acetonitrile (all chromatographically pure;

- ¹⁵ Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Acetonitrile was used as a polar organic extraction solvent (rather than methanol) because it gives better recoveries of fatty acids and avoids the risk of esterification between organic acids and methanol (Polidori et al., 2008). Each extraction lasted for 10 min in an ultrasonic instrument. The three extracts were combined and filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon syringe filter (Millex, Billerica, MA,
- USA) to remove quartz fiber filter particles and insoluble suspended particles. The extracts were cleaned by passing them through one of two commercial reverse-phase SPE cartridges, an LC-NH₂ cartridge (3 mL, containing 500 mg of a silica-based matrix with bonded aminopropyl active groups; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) or an LC-SAX cartridge (3 mL, containing 500 mg of a silica-based matrix with quaternary amine active groups and Cl⁻ counterions; Supelco). The 60 mL extract was percolated through a preconditioned cartridge at a flow rate of 1–1.5 mL min⁻¹ (controlled)





throughout the SPE procedure by adjusting the vacuum). After the extract had been passed through the cartridge, the cartridge was washed with 8 mL hexane and then 4 mL dichloromethane, and air was passed through the cartridge to dry it. The retained organic acids were eluted with 2 mL of 5 % HCl in MeOH, which was collected in a con-

- ⁵ ical flask. The cleaned extract was concentrated under vacuum and then mixed with 500 µL of 14 % BF₃ in MeOH (Sigma-Aldrich) to allow the analytes to be derivatized following the Kawamura method, and the mixture was placed in a water bath at 55 °C for 40 min (Kawamura and Gagosian, 1987c). The reaction mixture was washed with 3 mL of hexane and then 1 mL of a saturated Na₂SO₄ (ag) solution. The hexane layer (containing the derivatized analytes) was transferred to a clean 2-mL vial and (Millex, 10

Billerica, MA, USA). The NH₂ SPE cartridge extracted the acids more efficiently than the SAX cartridge did. The sample from 1 January 2013 provides an example. The numbers of each class of analyte (DCAs, AMAs, monocarboxylic acids, and FAMEs) and the concentration of

each analyte that was found when the NH₂ and SAX SPE cartridges were used to con-15 centrate a PM_{2.5} extract are shown in Fig. 1. The total concentrations with the NH₂ SPE cartridge were 430 ng m⁻³ for nine DCAs, 250 ng m⁻³ for 17 AMAs, and 6020 ng m⁻³ for 34 MCAs. They contrasted with 320 ng m⁻³ for eight DCAs, 160 ng m⁻³, for 13 AMAs, and 3950 ng m⁻³ for 27 MCAs with the SAX cartridge. The numbers and concentrations of the FAMEs were similar for the two cartridges, however: (17 FAMEs for each, and 20 total concentrations of 251 and 256 ng m⁻³ with NH₂ and SAX, respectively).

These results indicate that the active group bonded to the silica-based matrix in the SPE cartridge had a marked influence on the effectiveness of the cartridge for extracting the analytes from the PM25 extract. This was probably explained by the ability of

nonpolar groups to become detached from the silica surface and leave behind strong anionic groups that could interact with the bonded amine groups. The extraction efficiency with the SAX cartridge was relatively low because of the relatively strong alkaline nature of the active quaternary amine groups. The SAX cartridges have exclusively anion exchange interactions with weak acids, which will lead some of each analyte to





be retained by the cartridge rather than eluted. The aminopropyl active groups in the NH_2 cartridges offer only weakly selective retention. These results showed that the NH_2 cartridges were the most suitable for isolating organic acids, but that the SAX cartridges were most suitable for purifying nonpolar groups.

- ⁵ The uncleaned extracts and the solutions that had been passed through the cartridges were concentrated under vacuum, and then dried under a stream of nitrogen, washed with 2 mL of dichloromethane, and evaporated to 1 mL under a steam of nitrogen before being analyzed. A solution of 1 μg mL⁻¹ of hexamethylbenzene in hexane (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each sample and calibration standard just before in-
- strumental analysis to serve as an internal standard (to account for variations in the injected volume). The recoveries of the overall procedure were tested by extracting and analyzing blank filters that had been spiked with known quantities (at five different concentrations) of about 55 organic acid standards. The adipic acid standard was used to determine the recoveries and to quantify adipic acid and 3-methylpentanedioic
- acid; the pentadecanoic acid standard was used for 13-methyltetradecanoic acid, 12-methyltetradecanoic acid, and pentadecanoic acid; the palmitoleic acid standard was used for 14-methylpentadecanoic acid and palmitoleic acid; the heptadecanoic acid standard was used for 15-methylhexadecanoic acid, 14-methylhexadecanoic acid, and heptadecanoic acid; the fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) standards were used for the methyl ester (FAME) standards were used for the methyl ester (FAME) standards were used for the methylexadecanoic acid; the fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) standards were used for the methylexadecanoic acid.
- appropriate fatty acids and FAMEs 9-hydroxy-9-fluorene carboxylic acid methyl ester was used for 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic acid. We used separate phthalic acid and dimethyl phthalate standards. The whole extraction and analytical procedure had a reproducibility of 96.8%. Those values were high enough that no correction factors were applied to the analyte concentrations.

25 2.3 GC-MS and GC-MS-MS analysis

The organic compounds in the extracts were identified by comparing the spectra with spectra from the National Institute of Standards and Technology NIST08 mass spectral reference library, and the identities were confirmed by comparing the spectra with





spectra for authentic standards. An Agilent 6890 N GC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an Agilent 7683 autosampler was coupled to a Quattro Micro GC triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The GC separation was achieved using an SPBTM-1 fused silica capillary column (30 m

- ⁵ long, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness; Supelco). A 1 μL aliquot of each sample was injected into the GC instrument in splitless injection mode. The MS system was operated in MS-MS (MRM) mode using the parameters shown in the targeted analysis section. The collision gas was argon (99.995%), at 2.8 × 10⁻³ mbar. The GC carrier gas was high-purity helium, at a flow rate of 1 mLmin⁻¹.
- ¹⁰ The column oven was programmed as follows: the temperature was initially set at 60 °C, held for 1 min, increased at 9 °C min⁻¹ to 160 °C, held for 1 min, increased at 3 °C min⁻¹ to 250 °C, held for 1 min, increased at 15 °C min⁻¹ to 280 °C, and held for 1 min. The injector, ion source and interface transfer line temperature were 260, 230 and 270 °C, respectively. The analytes were ionized by electron impact (70 eV), and ¹⁵ the emission current was 800 μ A. the trap current was 200 mA, the repeller was set at
 - 7.2 V and the Multiplier was set at 650.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Identification of organic acids and FAMEs

Chromatograms of the organic acids in a sample that had been pretreated with an NH₂
 SPE cartridge are shown in Fig. 2. The GC-MS chromatogram (Fig. 2a) shows that only the organic acids were isolated and concentrated from the extract and that polar and weakly polar compounds had been removed (meaning that the background noise level was low). However, the peaks of the trace multi-substituted AMAs and PAH-acids were so low that even their SIM signals were masked by the baselines. The selectivity and sensitivity of the assay was improved by using GC-MS-MS in MRM mode, because





only the daughter ions of the selected precursors were detected (Fig. 2b), decreasing the disturbances in the signals and improving the signal strengths.

3.2 Ambient concentrations of acids in January

Table 1 presents the ambient concentrations of the aliphatic acids in PM_{2.5} during
January 2013, along with the GC-MS validation parameters. Table 2 presents the ambient concentrations of the aromatic acids and GC-MS-MS validation parameters. The results suggest that the method could also be used on samples from other seasons. Nonanedioic acid and succinic acid were the most abundant of the DCAs that were measured, with concentrations of 21–398 ngm⁻³ (mean 124 ngm⁻³) and 6–
225 ngm⁻³ (mean 78 ngm⁻³), respectively. The aromatic DCAs were dominated by 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic (phthalic) acid and 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic (terephthalic) acid, with concentrations of 31–286 ngm⁻³ (mean 131 ngm⁻³) and 13–187 ngm⁻³ (mean 54 ngm⁻³), respectively.

The mean concentrations of the n-alkanoic acids, n-alkenoic acids, and FAMEs in the samples from January 2013 are shown in Fig. 3. The alkanoic acids were strongly dominated by those with even numbers of carbon atoms, and the pattern reached a maximum at stearic acid (C18 : 0), with a concentration of 270–3268 ng m⁻³ (mean of 1491 ng m⁻³). The acid with the next highest concentration was palmitic acid (C16 : 0), which was found at concentrations of 124–1487 ng m⁻³ (mean of 743 ng m⁻³). The

C18: 0 and C16: 0 acids contributed 20–65% (mean of 43%) by mass to the organic acids that were quantified, consistent with previous measurements of a similar suite of organic acids in various ambient environments (Hou et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2007; Duan et al., 2009, 2013).

The polarity of the residual solutions (from which the acids had been removed by passing them through the SPE cartridges) had been decreased enough to make them suitable for direct GC analysis (Fig. 2c), and the concentrations of 17 FAMEs were measured. Of those, methyl stearate had the highest concentrations, 19–332 ng m⁻³ (mean of 65 ng m⁻³), contributed 2–23 % (mean of 6 %) to the total FAME concent





trations. Next highest were the concentrations of methyl palmitate, at $18-278 \text{ ngm}^{-3}$ (mean of 63 ngm^{-3}), contributed 2–28% (mean of 10%) of the total FAME concentrations. The integrated area of the palmitic acid peak in the initial extract of the PM_{2.5} sample from 22 January and the area of the methyl palmitate peak in the solution that had been passed through the NH. SPE cartridge are shown in Fig. 2d. It is clear that

⁵ had been passed through the NH_2 SPE cartridge are shown in Fig. 2d. It is clear that there is a complex suite of organic compounds in $PM_{2.5}$ extracts, but the selective separation of organic acids improves our ability to detect species that are otherwise difficult to determine and increases the sensitivity of the method.

 From the SPE-GC-MS-MS data, we measured the concentrations of 1,8-naphthalic
 anhydride and five PAH-acids (2-naphthoic, biphenyl-4-carboxylic, 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic, biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic, and phenanthrene-1-carboxylic acids) in the.
 Phenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid and 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic acid were the most abundant of the PAH-acids that were measured, and had concentrations of 10– 32 ng m⁻³ (mean of 16 ng m⁻³) and 9–19 ng m⁻³ (mean 12 ng m⁻³), respectively. PAH acids can be primary or derived from parent PAHs. Phenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid can be derived from phenanthrene, and 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic acid can be derived from 9-fluorenone, and this suggests that they have similar sources or formation mechanisms.

3.3 Correlations between FAME and n-alkanoic acid concentrations

- ²⁰ The correlation coefficients between the C16:0, C14:0, and C18:0 acids, and between the C13–C30 n-alkanoic acids except for C14, C16, and C18 were significant $(R^2 > 0.88, p < 0.01)$, but those between C14, C16, and C18 and the other fatty acids were lower ($R^2 < 0.80$). The scatter plots and best-fit curves for C14:0 and C18:0 vs. C16:0, for C15: and C26:0 vs. C24:0, for C14: and C18: vs. FAME16, and for C17:0,
- and C24 : 0 vs. FAME24 are shown in Fig. 4. Their high correlations implied that these acids had common sources (Ho et al., 2011; Hyder et al., 2012). The C14–C18 fatty acids are considered to have mainly primary sources, with dominant contributions from





direct emission sources, such as biomass burning, vehicular exhausts, and cooking (Pietrogrande et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014). The n-alkanoic acids (C14:0, C16:0, and C18 acids) are mainly primary, with dominant contributions from sources such as cooking, biomass burning, fossil fuels (including in motor vehicles), and vegetation detritus (Simoneit, 1986; Rogge et al., 1991, 1993; Schauer et al., 1999, 2001; Fraser et al., 2003).

5

The C18 : 0/C16 : 0 ratio has been used as a qualitative tool for assessing organic acid sources (Ho et al., 2010), and we found C18 : 0/C16 : 0 > 1 during our sampling period. Taking into account a recent study of organic acids (Zhao et al., 2014), we suggest that there was a strong input of organic acids to PM_{2.5} from cooking emissions (as well as contributions from vehicular emissions) in Beijing in January 2013. Concentrations of high molecular-weight n-alkanoic acids (≥C20) in aerosols are generally higher in the winter because of the release of plant waxes from dead leaves and wind abrasion of other sources (Simoneit and Mazurek, 1982; Rogge et al., 1993; Guo et al., 2003; Simoneit et al., 2004; Kawamura et al., 2005; Feng et al., 2006).

The methyl esters of the C20–C30 waxy acids were strongly dominated by those with even numbers of carbon atoms (as found for the n-alkanoic acids). The pattern had a maximum at methyl lignocerate (C24), at concentrations of 26–148 ngm⁻³ (mean of 70 ngm⁻³). The next-highest ester was methyl hexacosanoate (C26). The methyl esters of the C13 and C19 acids were lower than the GC-MS (in SIM mode) detection limits, and they could not be measured by GC-MS-MS-MRM because of their low molecular-ion signal strengths. The correlation coefficients for the relationships between the methyl esters of the C12–C30 fatty acids were similar to those for the fatty acids. The correlations between C14, C16, and C18 methyl esters, and between the C13–C30 methyl esters (except for the C14, C16, and C18 methyl esters) were high

 $(R^2 > 0.85)$. Those between the C14, C16, and C18 methyl acids and the other fatty acid methyl esters were lower ($R^2 < 0.70$).

From the information described above and the molecular structures, we suggest that FAMEs could either have similar sources to carboxylic acids, be formed by esterify-





ing carboxylic acids, or that a fraction of the fatty acids were formed by hydrolyzing FAMEs. Also, the uncertainty in the measurements of phthalic acid and dimethyl phthalate would have been 20% during the sampling period if they had not been separated. The abundances of dimethyl phthalate and FAMEs justify the use of the NH_2 SPE technique to avoid overestimating the corresponding fatty acids in the extracts

SPE technique to avoid overestimating the corresponding fatty acids in the extracts. The measurements of fatty acids and esters were more accurate when the NH₂ SPE technique was used.

3.4 Correlations between DCA and AMA concentrations

The correlation coefficients between the C4–C11 DCAs and AMAs are shown in Table 3. The DCAs were strongly correlated (*r*² > 0.85, *p* < 0.01). They could be divided into two groups, low molecular weight DCAs (di-C4–C5) and high molecular weight DCAs (di-C7–C11). The di-C4–C5 DCAs (succinic, glutaric, and 3-methylpentanedioic acids) were strongly correlated with three of the AMAs (phthalic, 4-methylphthalic, and benzene-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acids), two of the PAH-acids (9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-
carboxylic and 2-naphthoic acids), and 1,8-naphthalic anhydride. Previous studies have suggested that C4–C5 DCAs are mainly secondary products of a range of volatile organic compound precursors (Kawamura and Gagosian, 1987a; Kawamura et al., 1996; Graham et al., 2002; Claeys, 2004; Ervens et al., 2004; Gelencser, 2004; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Kawamura and Yasu, 2005; Oliveira et al., 2007). Phthalic acid is derived from incomplete combustion of PAHs (e.g., naphthalene) in vehicular exhausts (Kawamura and Gagosian, 1987a; Analysian and Yasu, 2005; Oliveira et al., 2007).

- mura and Gagosian, 1987a), or produced by atmospheric oxidation of PAHs (Guillard, 1993; Kawamura and Ikushima, 1993; Kawamura and Yasui, 2005). Phthalic acids are widely used as plasticizer substrates (Fraser et al., 2003; Fine et al., 2004), indicators of photochemical activity, and surrogates for the contributions of secondary organic
- ²⁵ aerosols to particular ambient environments (Fine et al., 2002; Ho et al., 2010). In view of this, we suggest that 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic acid, 2-naphthoic acid, and 1,8naphthalic anhydride, together with the di-C4–C5 acids, are mainly secondary.





The di-C7–C11 acids correlated strongly with five of the AMAs (terephthalic, isophthalic, 2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzoic, 4-(ethoxycarbonyl) benzoic, and phenanthrene-1carboxylic acids). Correlations between adipic acid (di-C6) and the di-C4-C5 acids were higher ($r^2 > 0.85$) than those between adipic acid and the di-C7–C11 acids. How-⁵ ever, the correlation between adipic acid and terephthalic acid (0.61) and isophthalic acid (0.68) acid, which are used as tracers of primary emissions from motor vehicles and domestic heating with fossil fuels (Kawamura and Kasukabe, 1996; Fine et al., 2002; Fraser et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2006), were higher than for adipic acid and phthalic acid (0.34) and 4-methylphthalic acid (0.45), which are tracers of secondary sources. Adipic acid (di-C6) is produced by oxidizing anthropogenic cyclic hexane 10 (Grosjean et al., 1978; Kawamura and Ikushima, 1993), and may also be emitted directly by motor vehicles (Fraser et al., 2003). Azelaic acid (di-C9) has been found to be a product of biogenic unsaturated fatty acids containing double bonds predominantly at the C9 position, such as C18:1 (Kawamura and Kaplan, 1987), so the di-C6/di-C9 ratio has been used to indicate the relative strengths of anthropogenic and biogenic 15 sources of precursors to the diacids (Kawamura and Ikushima, 1993). We found low di-C6/di-C9 ratios (0.18–0.62, mean 0.33) which seem to mean that phenanthrene-1-

carboxylic acid and di-C6 acid were primary during January. The correlation between biphenyl-4-carboxylic acid and benzoic acid, 0.73, is higher

than those between the other acids. Benzoic acid may be primary from vehicular exhausts (Kawamura and Gagosian, 1987a; Rogge et al., 1993) and secondary from photochemical degradation of aromatic hydrocarbons such as toluene, emitted by automobiles (Ho et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009). The aromatic biphenyl is a widely distributed pollutant (Selesi and Meckenstock, 2009), and it is found in coal tar at concentrations of 0.2 to 0.4%. The oxidation of toluene in the atmosphere is more complicated than the breaking of the single bond that is found in biphenyl, so we suggest that biphenyl-4-carboxylic acid is mainly a secondary product of the photochemical degradation of biphenyl. The biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid correlated



relatively strongly with di-C8 acids (0.80), di-C10 acids (0.82), and terephthalic acid

2392

(0.81), which are all mainly primary, as noted above. In view of this, we suggest that biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid in $PM_{2.5}$ is mainly primary.

Conclusions 4

Organic acids (alkanoic acids, alkenoic acids, and AMAs) and FAMEs were identified and measured with NH₂ SPE pretreatment and GC-MS and GC-MS-MS. They con-5 tributed strongly PM_{2.5} in January 2013 at Beijing. The NH₂-SPE method is easy to perform and combines high preconcentration with satisfactory precision and selectivity. Five PAH-acids and 1,8-naphthalic anhydride were identified and measured in PM_{2.5}. Seventeen FAMEs were separated from their corresponding n-alkanoic acids, and, tak-

ing into account the large amounts of FAMEs that are emitted, were found to be of 10 potential use determining the relative importance of primary emissions and secondary processes to the concentrations of organic acid esters that are found in typical winter haze episodes in Beijing.

The interference of FAMEs on the corresponding fatty acids was eliminated by using the NH₂ SPE cartridge cleanup, so the overestimation of the fatty acid concentrations 15 was avoided using the selective SPE extraction technique. The correlations between the FAMEs and the aliphatic acids were statistically significant, indicating that FAMEs could come from the same sources as the waxy acids. The correlations between tracer and DCA and AMA suggested that 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic acid, 2-naphthoic acid, C3–C5 DCAs, and AMAs (including phthalic acids) were mainly secondary dur-20 ing January 2013. We found that phenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid, the C6–C10 DCAs, biphenyl-4-carboxylic acid and benzoic acid were mainly secondary products of photochemical degradation, and that biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid could primarily have been produced by burning coal. The C18:0/C16:0 ratio was > 1, which indicated that, apart from the contribution of vehicular emissions, there were significant inputs 25

from cooking emissions in January 2013 in Beijing.





Discussion **AMTD** 8, 2379-2407, 2015 Paper An enhanced procedure for measuring organic Discussion acids and methyl esters in PM_{2.5} F. Liu et al. Paper **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21107061, 21190054).

References

10

Anastassiades, M., Lehotay, S. J., Štajnbaher, D., and Schenck, F. J.: Fast and easy mul-

- tiresidue method employing acetonitrile extraction/partitioning and "dispersive solid-phase extraction" for the determination of pesticide residues in produce, J. AOAC Int., 86, 412–431, 2003.
 - Barbara, R., Ho, F. D., Hudson, T. D., Helvajian, H., Janson, S. W., and Laermer, F.: Modeling of MEMS switches, in: MEMS Components and Applications for Industry, Automobiles, Aerospace, and Communication, 22 October 2001, 4559, 112–119, 2001.
- Boucharat, C., Desauziers, V., Le Cloirec, P., and Pierre: Experimental design for the study of two derivatization procedures for simultaneous GC analysis of acidic herbicides and water chlorination by-products, Talanta, 47, 311–323, 1998.
- Cheng, Y., Zheng, M., He, K.-B., Chen, Y., Yan, B., Russell, A. G., Shi, W., Jiao, Z., Sheng, G.,
- ¹⁵ Fu, J., and Edgerton, E. S.: Comparison of two thermal-optical methods for the determination of organic carbon and elemental carbon: results from the southeastern United States, Atmos. Environ., 45, 1913–1918, 2011.

Claeys, M., Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Graham, B., Vas, G., Wang, W., Vermeylen, R., Pashynska, V., Cafmeyer, J., Guyon, P., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., and Maenhaut, W.: Formation of

- secondary organic aerosols through photooxidation of isoprene, Science, 303, 1173–1176, 2004.
 - Duan, F. K., He, K. B., and Liu, X. D.: Concentration characteristics and sources of organic acids in PM_{2.5} in Beijing, Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 6, 1139–1145, 2009.

Ervens, B., Feingold, G., Clegg, S. L., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A modeling study of aqueous

- production of dicarboxylic acids: 2. Implications for cloud microphysics, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D15206, doi:10.1029/2004JD004575, 2004.
 - Ericsson, M. and Colmsjö, A.: Dynamic microwave-assisted extraction coupled on-line with solid-phase extraction and large-volume injection gas chromatography, determination of organophosphate esters in air samples, Anal. Chem., 75, 1713–1719, 2003.

- Feng, J. L., Chan, C. K., Fang, M., Hu, M., He, L. Y., and Tang, X. Y.: Characteristics of organic matter in PM_{2.5} in Shanghai, Chemosphere, 64, 1393–1400, 2006.
- Fine, P. M., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Chemical characterization of fine particle emissions from the fireplace combustion of woods grown in the southern United States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 1442–1451, 2002.
- Fine, P. M., Chakrabarti, B., Krudysz, M., Schauer, J. J., and Sioutas, C.: Diurnal variations of individual organic compound constituents of ultrafine and accumulation mode particulate matter in the Los Angeles basin, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 1296–1304, 2004.

5

15

30

- Fraser, M. P., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Air quality model evaluation data for organics. 6. C3-C24 organic acids, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 446–453, 2003.
 - Fu, P. Q., Kawamura, K., and Barrie, L. A.: Photochemical and other sources of organic compounds in the Canadian high Arctic aerosol pollution during winter–spring, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 286–292, 2009.
 - Gao, S., Hegg, D., and Jonsson, H.: Aerosol chemistry, and light-scattering and hygroscopicity budgets during outflow from East Asia, J. Atmos. Chem., 46, 55–88, 2003.
- Gelencsér, A.: Carbonaceous Aerosol, Atmospheric and Oceanographic Sciences Library, vol. 30, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2004.
 - Graham, B., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Guyon, P., Roberts, G. C., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Köll, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Watersoluble organic compounds
- in biomass burning aerosols over Amazonia: 1. Characterization by NMR and GC-MS, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 8091, doi:10.1029/2001JD000522, 2002.
 - Guillard, C., Delprat, H., Hoang-Van, C., and Pichat, P.: Laboratory study of the rates and products of the phototransformations of naphthalene adsorbed on samples of titanium dioxide, ferric oxide, muscovite, and fly ash, J. Atmos. Chem., 16, 47–59, 1993.
- Guo, X. Q., Wang, Y. F., Shi, Y. Z., Li, X. F., and Wang, Y. S.: Determination of organic acids in atmospheric aerosol during heating period in Beijing, Journal of Instrumental Analysischinese, z1, 181–183, 2007.
 - Guo, Z. G., Sheng, L. F., Feng, J. L., and Fang, M.: Seasonal variation of solvent extractable organic compounds in the aerosols in Qingdao, China, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1825–1834, 2003.
 - He, K. B., Yang, F. M., Ma, Y. L., Zhang, Q., Yao, X. H., Chan, C. K., Cadle, S., Chan, T., and Mulawa, P.: The characteristics of PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 35, 4959–4970, 2001.





- Ho, K. F., Lee, S. C., Cao, J. J., Kawamura, K., Watanabe, T., Cheng, Y., and Chow, J. C.: Dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids and dicarbonyls in the urban roadside area of Hong Kong, Atmos. Environ., 40, 3030–3040, 2006.
- Ho, K. F., Lee, S. C., Ho, S. S. H., Kawamura, K., Tachibana, E., Cheng, Y., and Zhu, T.:
 Dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids, *α*-dicarbonyls, fatty acids, and benzoic acid in urban aerosols collected during the 2006 Campaign of Air Quality Research in Beijing (CAREBeijing-2006), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D19312, doi:10.1029/2009JD013304, 2010.

Hou, X. M., Zhuang, G. S., Sun, Y., and An, Z. S.: Characteristics and sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and fatty acids in PM_{2.5} aerosols in dust season in China, Atmos. Environ., 40, 3251–3262, 2006.

Huang, X. F., He, L. Y., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y. H.: Annual variation of particulate organic compounds in PM_{2.5} in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2449–2458, 2006.
Hyder, M., Genberg, J., Sandahl, M. A., Swietlicki, E., and Jönsson, J. Å.: Yearly trend of di-

- carboxylic acids in organic aerosols from south of Sweden and source attribution, Atmos.
 Environ., 57, 197–204, 2012.
 - Jaoui, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., and Edney, E. O.: Identification and quantification of aerosol polar oxygenated compounds bearing carboxylic or hydroxyl groups. 1. Method development, Anal. Chem., 76, 4765–4778, 2004.
- Jung, J., Tsatsral, B., Kim, Y. J., and Kawamura, K.: Organic and inorganic aerosol compositions in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia, during the cold winter of 2007 to 2008: dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids, and *α*-dicarbonyls, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D22203, 2010.
 - Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkan-
- ski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
 Kawamura, K.: Identification of C2-C10 @-oxocarboxylic acids, pyruvic acid, and C2-C3 α-
- dicarbonyls in wet precipitation and aerosol samples by capillary GC and GC/MS, Anal. 30 Chem., 65, 3505–3511, 1993.
 - Kawamura, K. and Gagosian, R. B.: Implications of *ω*-oxocarboxylic acids in the remote marine atmosphere for photo-oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids, Nature, 325, 330–332, 1987a.





Kawamura, K. and Gagosian, R. B.: Identification of ω-oxocarboxylic acids as acetal esters in aerosols using capillary gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr., 390, 371– 377, 1987b.

Kawamura, K. and Kaplan, I. R.: Motor exhaust emissions as a primary source for dicarboxylic acids in Los Angeles ambient air, Environ. Sci. Technol., 21, 105–110, 1987.

Kawamura, K. and Kasukabe, H.: Source and reaction pathways of dicarboxylic acids, ketoacids and dicarbonyls in artic aerosols: one year of observations, Atmos. Environ., 30, 1709–1722, 1996.

Kawamura, K. and Yasui, O.: Diurnal changes in the distribution of dicarboxylic acids.ketocarboxylic acids and dicarbonyls in the urban Tokyo atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 39, 1945–1960, 2005.

- Kundu, S., Kawamura, K., Andreae, T. W., Hoffer, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Molecular distributions of dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids and α -dicarbonyls in biomass burning aerosols: implications for photochemical production and degradation in smoke layers, At-
- mos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2209–2225, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2209-2010, 2010.

5

10

15

20

30

Li, X. R., Guo, X. Q., Liu, X. R., Liu, C. S., Mang, S. S., and Wang, Y. S.: Distribution and sources of solvent extractable organic compounds in PM_{2.5} during 2007 Chinese Spring Festival in Beijing, J. Environ. Sci.-China, 21, 142–149, 2009.

Li, Y. C. and Yu, J. Z: Characterization of polar organic compounds and source analysis of fine

organic aerosols in Hong Kong, Ph.D. thesis, Abstracts International, 70-03B, 2008.

Li, Y. H. and Yu, J. Z.: Simultaneous determination of mono- and dicarboxylic acids, omega-Oxo-carboxylic acids, midchain ketocarboxylic acids, and aldehydes in atmospheric aerosol samples, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 7616–7624, 2005.

Limbeck, A. and Puxbaum, H. A.: A GC-MS method for the determination of polar organic com-

- pounds in atmospheric sample, International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 73, 329–343, 1999.
 - Liška, I.: Fifty years of solid-phase extraction in water analysis-historical development and overview, J. Chromatogr. A, 885, 3–16, 2000.

Medeiros, P. M. and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Analysis of sugars in environmental samples by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A, 1141, 271–278, 2007.

Nicol, S., Dugay, J., and Hennion, M. C.: Determination of oxygenated polycyclic aromatic compounds in airborne particulate organic matter using gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, Chromatographia, 53, S464–S469, 2001.





Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

AMTD

8, 2379-2407, 2015

An enhanced

procedure for

measuring organic

acids and methyl

esters in PM_{2.5}

F. Liu et al.

Discussion

Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Nolte, C.G, Schauer, J. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Trimethylsilyl derivatives of organic compounds in source samples and in atmospheric fine particulate matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 4273–4281, 2002.

Oliveira, C., Pio, C., Alves, C., Evtyugina, M., Santos, P., Gonçalves, V., Nunes, T., Sil-

vestre, A. J. D., Palmgren, F., Wåhlin, P., and Harrad, S.: Seasonal distribution of polar organic compounds in the urban atmosphere of two large cities from the North and South of Europe, Atmos. Environ., 41, 5555–5570, 2007.

Pietrogrande, M. C., Bacco, D., Visentin, M., Ferrari, S., and Poluzzi, V.: Polar organic marker compounds in atmospheric aerosol in the Po Valley during the Supersito campaigns – Part

- 10 1: Low molecular weight carboxylic acids in cold seasons, Atmos. Environ., 86, 164–175, 2014.
 - Plewka, A., Hofmann, D., Müller, K., and Herrmann, H.: Determination of biogenic organic compounds in airborne particles by solvent extraction, derivatization and mass spectrometric detection, Chromatographia, 57, S253–S259, 2003.
- Polidori, A., Turpin, B. J., Davidson, C. I., Rodenburg, L. A., and Maimone, F.: Organic PM_{2.5}: fractionation by polarity, FTIR spectroscopy, and OM/OC ratio for the Pittsburgh aerosol, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 42, 233–246, 2008.
 - Reid, J. S. and Hobbs, P. V.: Physical and optical properties of young smoke from individual biomass fires in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 32059–32080, doi:10.1029/98JD00458, 1998.

20

Reid, J. S., Koppmann, R., Eck, T. F., and Eleuterio, D. P.: A review of biomass burning emissions part II: intensive physical properties of biomass burning particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 799–825, doi:10.5194/acp-5-799-2005, 2005.

Rogge, W. F., Hildemann, L. M., Mazurek, M. A., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Sources

- ²⁵ of fine organic aerosol. 3. Road dust, tire debris, and organometallic brake lining dust: roads as sources and sinks, Environ. Sci. Technol., 27, 1892–1904, 1993.
 - Rogge, W. F., Hildemann, L. M., Mazurek, M. A., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Sources of fine organic aerosol. 4. Particulate abrasion products from leaf surfaces of urban plants, Environ. Sci. Technol., 27, 2700–2711, 1993.
- Rosenfeld, J. M.: Solid-phase analytical derivatization: enhancement of sensitivity and selectivity of analysis, J. Chromatogr. A, 843, 19–27, 1999.
 - Satsumabayashi, H., Kurita, H., Yokouchi, Y., and Ueda, H.: Mono- and di-carboxylic acids under long-range transport of air pollution in central Japan, Tellus B, 41, 219–229, 1989.

Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 1. C1-C29 organic compounds from meat charbroiling, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 1566–1577, 1999.

Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions

- from air pollution sources. 3. C1-C29 organic compounds from fireplace combustion of wood, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 1716–1728, 2001.
 - Selesi, D. and Meckenstock, R. U.: Anaerobic degradation of the aromatic hydrocarbon biphenyl by a sulfate-reducing enrichment culture, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 68, 86–93, 2009.
- Sempére, R. and Kawamura, K.: Comparative distributions of dicarboxylic acids and related polar compounds in snow, rain and aerosols from urban atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 28, 449–459, 1994.

Simoneit, B. R. T.: Characterization of organic-constituents in aerosols in relation to their origin and transport, International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 2, 207–237, 1986.

Simoneit, B. R. T. and Mazurek, M. A.: Organic tracers in ambient aerosols and rain, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 10, 267–291, 1989.

15

- Simoneit, B. R. T., Kobayashi, M., Mochida, M., Kawamura, K., and Huebert, B. J.: Aerosol particles collected on aircraft flights over the northwestern Pacific region during the ACE-
- Asia campaign: Composition and major sources of the organic compounds, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D19S09, doi:10.1029/2004JD004565, 2004.
 - Sochor, J., Klimeš, J., Sedláček, J., Somolíková, B., and Slovenčík, D.: HPLC analysis of tiaprofenic acid in the samples of whole blood using L-L and S-L extractions, J. Liq. Chromatogr. R. T., 23, 3191–3201, 2000.
- ²⁵ Sun, P., Backus, S., Blanchard, P., and Hites, R. A.: Annual variation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations in precipitation collected near the Great Lakes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 696–701, 2006.
 - Tan, W. J., Li, Z. L., Ding, A. Z., and Wang, J. S.: Biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in soil and groundwater: a review, Ecol. Envir., 16, 1310–1317, 2007.
- ³⁰ Turpin, B. J., Saxena, P., and Andrews, E.: Measuring and simulating particulate organics in the atmosphere, problems and prospects, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2983–3013, 2000.



Walgraeve, C., Demeestere, K., Dewulf, J., Zimmermann, R., and Van Langenhove, H.: Oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in atmospheric particulate matter: molecular characterizationand occurrence, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1831–1846, 2010.

Wang, H. B., Kawamura, K., Ho, K. F., and Lee, S. C.: Low molecular weight dicarboxylic acids, ketoacids, and dicarbonyls in the fine particles from a roadway tunnel: possible secondary

5

- production from the precursors, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 6255–6260, 2006. Wang, L., Atkinson, R., and Arey, J.: Dicarbonyl products of the OH radical-initiated reactions of naphthalene and the C1- and C2-alkylnaphthalenes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2803–2810,
- 2007.
 Wang, N., Guo, Y., Wang, L., Liang, X., Liu, S., and Jiang, S.: Preparation of an aminopropyl imidazole-modified silica gel as a sorbent for solid-phase extraction of carboxylic acid com-

pounds and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Analyst, 139, 2531–2537, 2014. Wells, M. J. M. and Yu, L. Z.: Solid-phase extraction of acidic herbicides, J. Chromatogr. A, 885, 237–250, 2000.

¹⁵ Zhao, X. Y., Wang, X. M., Ding, X., He, Q. F., Zhang, Z., Liu, T. Y., Fu, X. X., Gao, B., Wang, Y. P., Zhang, Y. L., Deng, X. J., and Wu, D.: Compositions and sources of organic acids in fine particles (PM_{2.5}) over the Pearl River Delta region, south China, J. Environ. Sci., 26, 110– 121, 2014.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Table 1. Parameters used to determine organic acids and the concentrations found in samples collected in January 2013, Beijing.

Acids	MW^{a}	RT⁵	SIM	Recovery	MDL°	Air concentration				
		(min)	(derivatization m/z)	(%)	ng µL ⁻¹	(ng m ⁻³)			
						mean	range			
Dicarboxylic acids (DCAs)										
Succinic	118	5.52	115/87/59/55	86.4 ± 4.2	0.14	78.5	6.4-225.5			
Glutaric	132	7.22	129/100/59/55	93.1 ± 3.9	0.26	38.3	6.3-108.6			
3-Methylpentanedioic	146	7.76	143/114/101/69	89.6 ± 0.7	0.27	20.7	6.0-62.6			
Adipic	146	8.90	143/101/111/59	89.6 ± 0.7	0.27	35.6	7.7–71.5			
Heptanedioic	160	10.44	157/128/115/74	83.7 ± 1.4	0.16	10.6	6.4-21.5			
Octanedioic	174	11.95	171/138/129/125/74/55	93.5 ± 6.2	0.18	24.2	10.9-64.3			
Nonanedioic	188	13.52	185/152/143/111/74/55	92.3 ± 5.4	0.12	123.7	20.9-398.1			
Decanedioic	202	15.37	199/166/138/125/77/55	88.4 ± 4.1	0.10	30.6	17.0-80.3			
Undecanedioic	216	17.61	213/171/152/139/98/74	80.3 ± 2.1	0.19	17.4	10.9-41.2			
Monocarboxylic acids (MCAs)										
Octanoic (C8 : 0)	144	7.03	144/115/127/87/74	114.2 ± 9.7	0.08	24.2	6.1–58.5			
Nonanoic (C9 : 0)	158	8.60	143/141/129/87/74	88.4 ± 3.6	0.26	17.6	6.0-45.8			
Decanoic (C10 : 0)	172	10.14	186/155/143/87/74	112.6 ± 4.7	0.06	12.8	6.8-22.7			
Undecanoic (C11 : 0)	186	11.60	200/169/157/143/87/74	88.5 ± 4.2	0.17	12.6	7.1–23.5			
11-Dodecenoic (C12:1)	198	11.79	212/196/166/124/77/55	72.5 ± 2.6	0.07	16.3	6.6-41.0			
Dodecanoic (C12:0)	200	13.10	214/183/171/143/87/74	96.9 ± 4.5	0.10	41.4	9.4-99.6			
Tridecanoic (C13 : 0)	214	14.93	228/197/185/143/87/74	97.7 ± 5.8	0.24	22.9	8.1–53.3			
Tetradecanoic (C14 : 0)	228	17.00	242/211/199/143/87/74	109.4 ± 5.4	0.38	182.1	15.0–937.1			
13-Methyltetradecanoic	242	18.49	256/225/213/143/87/74	96.7 ± 3.8	0.60	12.0	7.56-22.3			
12-Methyltetradecanoic	242	18.69	256/225/213/143/87/74	96.7 ± 3.8	0.60	13.5	7.7–25.2			
Pentadecanoic (C15:0)	242	19.32	256/225/213/143/87/74	96.7 ± 3.8	0.60	59.7	8.2-154.2			
Palmitic (C16 : 1)	254	20.39	268/236/194/152/87/74	83.4 ± 5.1	0.56	22.8	8.8–54.3			
14-Methylpentadecanoic	256	20.99	270/239/227/143/87/74	111.3 ± 9.2	0.25	12.7	8.9-22.1			
Palmitoleic (C16 : 0)	256	22.02	270/239/227/143/87/74	111.3 ± 9.2	0.25	742.6	123.7–1487.4			
15-Methylhexadecanoic	270	23.66	284/253/241/143/87/74	95.9 ± 2.7	0.16	15.5	10.3-28.0			
14-Methylhexadecanoic	270	23.88	284/253/241/143/87/74	95.9 ± 2.7	0.27	22.8	10.9-46.5			
Heptadecanoic (C17 : 0)	270	24.70	284/253/241/143/87/74	95.9 ± 2.7	0.16	86.4	17.9–236.3			
Linoleic (C18:2)	294	26.51	294/263/95/81/67/55	78.7 ± 3.1	0.18	118.4	12.7–328.0			
Oleic (C18:1)	296	26.68	296/264/222/191/69/55	93.8 ± 2.1	0.22	74.7	10.7-208.6			
Stearic (C18:0)	284	27.43	298/255/199/143/87/74	97.9 ± 2.9	0.14	1490.5	269.8-3268.3			
Nonadecanoic (C19:0)	298	30.13	312/281/269/143/87/74	94.8 ± 2.3	0.29	34.9	10.8-90.3			
11-Eicosenoic (C20:1)	324	32.06	324/292/250/67/55	83.9 ± 3.9	0.37	22.5	10.6-142.1			
10-Oxooctadecanoic	312	32.13	281/214/156/55	78.9 ± 2.7	0.18	24.5	16.8-49.5			
Eicosanoic (C20 : 0)	312	32.82	326/295/283/143/87/74	95.2 ± 2.2	0.26	130.9	24.6–370.1			

^a Molecular weight.

^b Retention time.

^c Method detection limit.





Table 1. Continued.

Acids	MW ^a	RT⁵	SIM	Recovery	MDL ^c	Air concentration			
		(min)	(derivatization m/z)	(%)	ngµL ⁻¹	(ng m ⁻³)		
						mean	range		
Henicosanoic (C21 : 0)	326	35.44	340/297/241/143/87/74	96.7 ± 3.8	0.36	80.9	14.2-239.3		
Docosanoic (C22 : 0)	340	37.99	354/311/255/143/87/74	96.5 ± 2.6	0.17	196.2	17.2-626.3		
Tricosanoic (C23 : 0)	354	40.43	368/325/269/143/87/74	93.5 ± 2.9	0.27	114.6	16.1–380.6		
Tetracosanoic (C24 : 0)	368	42.86	382/339/199/143/87/74	94.8 ± 7.3	0.19	322.5	19.2-1080.3		
Pentacosanoic (C25 : 0)	382	45.10	396/353/297/143/87/74	97.2 ± 6.5	0.34	74.7	15.6-244.2		
Hexacosanoic (C26 : 0)	396	46.51	410/367/311/143/87/74	89.5 ± 5.6	0.34	252.4	17.5-858.5		
Heptacosanoic (C27 : 0)	410	47.92	424/381/355/143/87/74	91.6 ± 6.4	0.41	46.6	22.4–129.3		
Octacosanoic (C28 : 0)	424	49.50	438/395/199/143/87/74	84.9 ± 3.8	0.46	143.2	15.1–538.2		
Nonacosanoic (C29 : 0)	438	51.47	452/423/199/143/87/74	79.4 ± 3.7	0.51	23.0	13.5–56.2		
Triacontanoic (C30 : 0)	452	53.74	466/437/199/143/87/74	73.6 ± 2.7	0.64	45.9	13.3–161.0		
Fatty acid methyl esters (I	FAMEs)								
Methyl dodecanoate	172	13.10	214/183/171/143/87/74	96.9 ± 4.5	0.10	10.3	7.2–16.8		
Methyl myristate	242	17.00	242/211/199/143/87/74	109.4 ± 5.4	0.38	13.6	8.1–33.8		
Methyl pentadecanoate	256	19.32	256/225/213/143/87/74	96.7 ± 3.8	0.64	12.7	8.4–18.6		
Methyl palmitate	270	22.00	270/239/227/143/87/74	111.3 ± 9.2	0.25	62.9	17.8–279.6		
Methyl heptadecanoate	284	24.70	284/253/241/143/87/74	95.9 ± 2.7	0.14	15.4	10.8–27.8		
Methyl stearate	298	27.42	298/255/199/143/87/74	97.9 ± 2.9	0.26	85.3	18.8–654.0		
Methyl arachidate	326	32.82	326/295/283/143/87/74	95.2 ± 2.2	0.32	30.7	20.1-50.7		
Methyl heneicosanoate	340	35.44	340/297/241/143/87/74	96.7 ± 3.8	0.36	12.9	12.3–13.9		
Methyl behenate	354	37.99	354/311/255/143/87/74	96.5 ± 2.6	0.17	25.2	14.6–47.6		
Methyl tricosanoate	368	40.43	368/325/269/143/87/74	93.5 ± 2.9	0.27	11.6	8.3-24.4		
Methyl lignocerate	382	42.86	382/339/199/143/87/74	94.8 ± 7.3	0.19	69.9	26.4–148.3		
Methyl pentacosanoate	396	45.10	396/353/297/143/87/74	97.2 ± 6.5	0.34	17.1	14.6–23.5		
Methyl hexacosanoate	410	46.51	410/367/311/143/87/74	89.5 ± 5.6	0.34	32.6	17.7–60.2		
Methyl heptacosanoate	424	47.92	424/381/355/143/87/74	91.6 ± 6.4	0.41	20.9	18.4–35.0		
Methyl octacosanoate	438	49.50	438/395/199/143/87/74	84.9 ± 3.8	0.46	22.3	14.3–36.8		
Methyl nonacosanoate	452	51.47	452/423/199/143/87/74	79.4 ± 3.7	0.51	13.4	12.0–18.3		
Methyl triacontanoate	466	53.74	466/437/199/143/87/74	73.6 ± 2.7	0.64	12.7	12.2–13.9		

^a Molecular weight.

^b Retention time.

^c Method detection limit.





Table 2.	Parameters	used to	determine	aromatic	acids	(AMAs),	dimethyl	phthalate	and	the
concentrations found in samples collected in Jan 2013, Beijing.										

Compounds	MW	RT	MRM	Recovery	MDL	Air concentration			
		(min)	Quantitative ion pairs	(%)	ng µL ⁻¹	(1	(ng m ⁻³)		
		. ,	(collision energy ev)	. ,		mean	range		
Benzoic	122	6.61	136 > 105(10); 136 > 77(15)	86.4 ± 4.3	0.24	23.3	6.5–40.8		
2-Hydroxybenzoic	136	8.21	152 > 1120(10); 152 > 92(15)	81.4 ± 5.1	0.16	15.0	6.1–36.5		
2-Hydroxy-5-methylbenzoic	152	10.00	166 > 134(10); 166 > 77(15)	79.6 ± 2.7	0.37	8.5	5.6-12.0		
3,5-Dimethylbenzoic	150	10.59	164 > 133(10); 166 > 105(15)	83.2 ± 4.4	0.46	16.6	7.3–22.4		
Phthalic	166	12.12	194 > 163(15); 163 > 135(20)	91.5 ± 5.2	0.38	54.1	13.3–187.2		
Terephthalic	166	12.74	194 > 163(15); 163 > 135(20)	92.3 ± 4.6	0.22	130.6	31.3-286.4		
Isophthalic	166	12.98	194 > 163(15); 163 > 135(20)	88.4 ± 4.3	0.40	12.8	7.6–23.5		
4-Methylphthalic	180	14.00	208 > 163(10); 193 > 149(20)	80.8 ± 5.1	0.39	15.2	6.8-48.5		
4-(ethoxycarbonyl)benzoic	180	14.07	208 > 163(15); 179 > 149(25)	67.5 ± 3.2	0.57	7.8	7.0–10.5		
2-Naphthoic	172	15.38	186 > 155(20); 155 > 127(25)	84.2 ± 7.4	0.49	2.5	2.8-8.1		
Benzene-1,2,4-tricarboxylic	210	19.81	252 > 221(20); 221 > 193(25)	80.4 ± 3.6	0.46	3.4	2.6-10.8		
Biphenyl-4-carboxylic	198	19.99	212 > 181(10); 181 > 152(20)	92.6 ± 5.8	0.36	11.5	4.5-22.2		
1,8-Naphthalic anhydride	198	24.67	198 > 154(15); 154 > 126(25)	82.5 ± 4.2	0.58	11.0	4.8-26.9		
9-Oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic	224	27.76	238 > 207(10); 180 > 151(25)	68.5 ± 3.4	0.37	11.6	3.7-19.0		
Biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic	242	29.37	270 > 239(15); 270 > 152(25)	76.9 ± 4.5	0.49	10.3	2.7–15.8		
Phenanthrene-1-carboxylic	222	30.87	236 > 205(20); 205 > 177(25)	87.3 ± 5.8	0.44	16.4	3.5–32.2		
Dehydroabietic	300	32.97	314 > 299(20); 299 > 239(25)	79.4 ± 5.7	0.68	25.4	16.7-40.2		
Dimethyl phthalate	194	12.12	194 > 163(15); 163 > 135(20)	87.5 ± 2.7	0.54	11.4	8.1–18.8		



Discussion Paper



AMTD 8, 2379–2407, 2015									
An enh proced measurin acids an esters i F. Liu	hanced dure for ng organic nd methyl in PM _{2.5}								
Title	Page								
Abstract	Introduction								
Conclusions	References								
Tables	Figures								
14	۶I								
•	•								
Back	Close								
Full Scre	een / Esc								
Printer-frier	ndly Version								
	AM 8, 2379–2 An enh proced measurin acids an esters i F. Liu Title Abstract Conclusions Tables I Back Full Scree Printer-frier								

Interactive Discussion

ě



Table 3. Correlation matrix of C4-C11 DCAs and aromatic acids concentrations measured Jan 2013, Beijing.

	$C5^{b}$	$C5^{\circ}$	C6 ^d	C7 ^e	C8 ^f	C9 ^g	C10 ^h	C11 ⁱ	AMA^J	AMA^k	AMA	AMA^m	AMA^{n}	AMA°	AMA^p	AMA ^q	AMA^{r}	AMA ^s	AMA ^t	AMA^u	AMA^{v}	AMA^{w}	AMA^{x}	AMA ^y	AMA^{z}
C4 ^a	0.97	0.87	0.75	0.74	0.62	0.50	0.80	0.77	0.42	0.23	0.67	0.26	0.79	0.68	0.78	0.77	0.43	0.54	0.63	0.31	0.70	0.68	0.63	0.46	0.31
C5 ^b		0.93	0.86	0.91	0.90	0.85	0.92	0.88	0.40	0.21	0.61	0.21	0.76	0.61	0.78	0.73	0.36	0.50	0.71	0.31	0.68	0.68	0.63	0.39	0.27
C5°			0.91	0.61	0.59	0.76	0.64	0.82	0.27	0.20	0.61	0.20	0.93	0.66	0.87	0.90	0.37	0.46	0.75	0.28	0.76	0.70	0.62	0.44	0.20
C6 ^d				0.82	0.76	0.66	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.35	0.71	0.34	0.45	0.61	0.68	0.44	0.45	0.28	0.43	0.56	0.59	0.49	0.68	0.55	0.47
C7 ^e					0.96	0.85	0.95	0.93	0.54	0.25	0.74	0.34	0.55	0.69	0.82	0.53	0.46	0.34	0.66	0.58	0.74	0.61	0.78	0.64	0.62
C8 ^t						0.94	0.95	0.97	0.51	0.25	0.68	0.29	0.54	0.70	0.82	0.50	0.41	0.25	0.64	0.59	0.77	0.54	0.80	0.66	0.56
C9 ⁹							0.86	0.90	0.46	0.20	0.59	0.30	0.59	0.59	0.73	0.58	0.37	0.16	0.50	0.64	0.66	0.45	0.77	0.66	0.56
C10 ⁿ								0.91	0.55	0.30	0.75	0.29	0.58	0.78	0.87	0.65	0.46	0.26	0.61	0.61	0.81	0.57	0.82	0.74	0.60
C11'									0.55	0.20	0.62	0.26	0.42	0.79	0.74	0.78	0.34	0.21	0.51	0.60	0.81	0.46	0.73	0.55	0.56
AMA										0.72	0.67	0.43	0.21	0.50	0.49	0.26	0.43	0.18	0.22	0.73	0.43	0.28	0.57	0.69	0.55
AMA											0.63	0.54	0.19	0.45	0.52	0.45	0.30	0.56	0.12	0.13	0.52	0.36	0.43	0.46	0.57
AMA'												0.55	0.57	0.80	0.79	0.62	0.83	0.57	0.51	0.64	0.75	0.72	0.66	0.83	0.77
AMA'''													0.20	0.25	0.42	0.23	0.41	0.25	0.18	0.36	0.22	0.44	0.46	0.36	0.50
AMA"														0.84	0.90	0.97	0.34	0.45	0.72	0.30	0.93	0.70	0.66	0.44	0.18
AMA°															0.93	0.89	0.60	0.34	0.53	0.46	0.91	0.62	0.78	0.84	0.57
ANIA																0.40	0.73	0.65	0.81	0.50	0.87	0.84	0.81	0.83	0.00
																	0.30	0.55	0.05	0.34	0.95	0.74	0.62	0.93	0.21
																		0.40	0.25	0.33	0.92	0.75	0.01	0.31	0.39
AMAt																			0.00	0.32	0.86	0.70	0.64	0.37	0.29
AMA ^u																				0.02	0.37	0.84	0.62	0.37	0.61
AMA ^v																						0.66	0.69	0.86	0.48
AMA^w																							0.67	0.61	0.58
AMA [×]																								0.83	0.63
AMA ^y																									0.79

^a Succinic acid. ^b Glutaric acid. ^c 3-methylpentanedioic acid. ^d Adipic acid. ^e Heptanedioic acid. ^f Octanedioic acid. ^g Nonanedioic acid. ^h Decanedioic acid. ⁱ Undecanedioic acid. ^j Benzoic acid. ^k 2-hydrobenzoic acid. ^l 2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzoic acid. ^m 3,5-dimethylbenzoic acid. ⁿ Phthalic acid. ^o Terephthalic acid. ⁹ Isophthalic acid. ¹ 4-methylphthalic acid. ¹ 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)berzoir acid. ⁵ 2-naphtholic acid. ¹ Benzene-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid. ¹ Biphenyl-4-carboxylic acid. ⁹ 1,8-naphthalic anhydride. ¹⁹ 9-oxo-9H-fluorene-1-carboxylic acid. ¹ Biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid. ⁹ Phenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid. ² Dehydroabietic acid.



Figure 1. Concentrations of analytes found when an extract of $PM_{2.5}$ collected on 1 January 2013 in Beijing was analyzed using the SAX and NH_2 solid phase extraction cartridges. The left-hand *y* axis (in black) is for the dicarboxylic acids (DCAs), aromatic acids (AMAs), and fatty acid methyl esters. The right-hand *y* axis (in red) is for the monocarboxylic acids. The T-shaped marks indicate the numbers of compounds (cpds.) that were found.



Discussion

Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper





Figure 2. Chromatograms of the organic compounds in an extract of a $PM_{2.5}$ sample that was collected on 22 January 2013 in Beijing after the extract had been pretreated using an NH_2 solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge. (a) GC-MS chromatograms of organic acids. (b) GC-MS-MS chromatograms of PAH-acid. (c) GC-MS chromatogram of compounds in the extract solution that had been passed through the SPE cartridge (i.e, from which the analytes had been removed). (d) The integrated areas of palmitoleic acid in the uncleaned solution and methylhexadecanoate in the eluted (cleaned) extract.







Figure 3. Mean monocarboxylic acid (MCA) and fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) concentrations in $PM_{2.5}$ samples collected in January 2013 in Beijing.







Figure 4. Correlations between selected pairs of carboxylic acids and fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs).

