

Interactive comment on “Next-generation angular distribution models for top-of-atmosphere radiative flux calculation from the CERES instruments: validation” by W. Su et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 9 June 2015

General comments

The paper describes the methods and results of validation of CERES derived TOA shortwave and longwave fluxes. Paper goes through estimating uncertainty in calculated fluxes due to errors in ADMs used to convert radiance to flux. Methods adopted in previous CERES validation efforts have been employed and applied to the new generation of fluxes (Edition 4 SSF) for Terra and Aqua and Edition 1 SSF product for Soumi NPP. A thorough job of accounting for all sources of error and quantifying and documenting them, to the extent possible, has been done. Validation and uncertainty characterization of the products is very important as it helps the community when draw-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



ing scientific conclusions from the product. The paper is a useful addition to the journal.

Other comments

* Errors from different sources are reported. What is the total [combined] error / uncertainty in the fluxes (monthly / instantaneous) from all these sources considered in paper.

* There are some mis-matches between numbers in the text and the tables. Kindly look closely and correct appropriately.

* Since narrow-band multi-spectral observations are regressed for comparison with broadband CERES fluxes; it might be useful for readers to know / re-iterate why we need broadband measurements if integrating narrow-band radiances can yield similar results? Some reference(s) of limitations and errors from this narrow-band integration for flux estimation, can be provided.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 4489, 2015.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

