

## ***Interactive comment on “EARLINET Single Calculus Chain – general presentation methodology and strategy” by G. D’Amico et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 22 June 2015

This is a bit of an unusual submission. There is very little in the way of technical details (they are covered by two other companion papers) and the paper presents a high-level picture. In spite of the lack of technical information regarding the Earlinet algorithms and the general qualitative nature of the paper, I regard it as still being a useful submission. This paper does give the reader a good overview and appreciation of the issues surrounding the implementation of a standard processing chain complimented on top of a network which is heterogeneous in terms of hardware.

I do have a potential concerns though. I hope that when the companion papers appear that there is not too much redundancy. That is, I hope that the introductory material present in those papers is not just a repeat of the material already covered in this paper. If so, then I would question the need for this present submission.

C1647

With regards to the submission at hand, in general, the writing should be streamlined somewhat and the English grammar improved. Some of the figures I also find to be problematic. I have also noted a number of specific issues, mainly editorial in nature (see the attached commented pdf).

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/8/C1647/2015/amtd-8-C1647-2015-supplement.pdf>

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 4973, 2015.