

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Evaluation of a Sequential Spot Sampler (S3) for time-resolved measurement of PM<sub>2.5</sub> sulfate and nitrate through lab and field measurements” by A. Hecobian et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 11 November 2015

Abstract, line 14: please specify what PEEK stands for in the abstract.

Section 2.1. What is the size range of particles that can be collected by the S3? The authors stated “Particles as small as 8 nm grow through ...”. Is 8 nm considered the lower limit of the size range? What about the upper limit? Have the authors quantify the collection efficiency of the aerosol components as a function of particle size?

Page 10616, line 24: change “, 30min” to “was 30min”

Page 10620, Line 6: Is the IC coupled with PILS the same model as the Dionex IC used for offline analysis?

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Figure 6(c): the correlation between the S3 Nitrate and PILS Nitrate seems to be mainly driven by the three points in the upper right corner of the scatter plot. Same for panel (d) although to a lesser degree. The points at the lower concentration end appear to be more scattered. What would the  $r^2$  of the correlation be without these three high concentration points? And if the  $r^2$  is significantly reduced by excluding these points, can the authors provide an explanation for the lack of correlation between the two instruments when the concentrations were relatively low?

Section 3.2. Could the authors comment on the possible loss of the semi-volatile aerosol component, e.g. nitrate, from the sampling well as they were kept under ambient temperature for an extended period?

---

[Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 10611, 2015.](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)