

Interactive comment on “Observations of XCO₂ and XCH₄ with ground-based high-resolution FTS at Saga, Japan and comparisons with GOSAT products” by H. Ohyama et al.

F. Hase (Editor)

frank.hase@kit.edu

Received and published: 25 November 2015

Dear Ohyama-san,

thanks for your author responses to both reviewers. I write this short informal comment in order to avoid a little error of misunderstanding in your preparation of the revised manuscript:

The reviewer mentioned "I did not find enough typos to start a list and the manuscript will be copy-edited anyway. However, I noted that very often present tense is used to

C4066

report about past events (e.g. p. 8274, sentence spanning l. 24 - 26)."

And your response "We made changes to use present tense instead of past tense."

I think that the reviewer wants to indicate the opposite: when speaking about past events, the reviewer suggests to use past tense in the revised manuscript.

Yours Sincerely Frank Hase

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 8257, 2015.