

Interactive comment on “Observations of XCO₂ and XCH₄ with ground-based high-resolution FTS at Saga, Japan and comparisons with GOSAT products” by H. Ohyama et al.

F. Hase (Editor)

frank.hase@kit.edu

Received and published: 25 November 2015

Dear Ohyama-san,

thanks for your author responses to both reviewers. I write this short informal comment in order to avoid a little error of misunderstanding in your preparation of the revised manuscript:

The reviewer mentioned "I did not find enough typos to start a list and the manuscript will be copy-edited anyway. However, I noted that very often present tense is used to

C4066

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



report about past events (e.g. p. 8274, sentence spanning l. 24 - 26)."

And your response "We made changes to use present tense instead of past tense."

I think that the reviewer wants to indicate the opposite: when speaking about past events, the reviewer suggests to use past tense in the revised manuscript.

Yours Sincerely Frank Hase

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 8257, 2015.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper