

## ***Interactive comment on “Aerosol retrieval from multiangle multispectral photopolarimetric measurements: importance of spectral range and angular resolution” by L. Wu et al.***

**T. Lurton**

thibaut.lurton@cnrs-orleans.fr

Received and published: 25 March 2015

Dear authors/editors,

I just wanted to raise two minor questions about the present version of the paper:

1) The authors often refer to "the real (resp. imaginary) refractive index", be it in the text or in figure legends. Should this not be replaced by "the real (resp. imaginary) part of the refractive index"? It can be a bit misleading: the clause "the real refractive index" for instance —as far as I understand it— refers to a purely real refractive index, with a null imaginary part, which I presume is not what is meant. The actual signification can

C425

of course be understood from the context of the study, but I must admit I was somewhat puzzled as to what the authors meant at my first reading.

2) Are the superscript letters p. 2805, lines 11 & onwards consistent? Unless I misunderstood, it seems to me that some superscript "f"s should be "s"s.

Best regards.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 2793, 2015.