

## ***Interactive comment on* “Evaluation of two Vaisala RS92 radiosonde solar radiative dry bias correction algorithms” by A. M. Dzambo et al.**

### **Anonymous Referee #5**

Received and published: 29 January 2016

#### 1. General comments

This is a solid article that I would recommend for the publication.

#### 2. Specific comments

# Title: MILO is not only a radiation correction, it includes as second component a time-lag correction. RH sensors of RS92 need time-lag correction (see Dirksen et. al. 2014).

# Representative data set: See P10766 L22-24: In section 4 a data set of 5 years from site SGP is used. That sounds good and means for me ~1825 soundings.

See P10768 L24: After removing all launches which are not fit several criteria → only

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



96 cases are used. Can you clarify, if these launches ( $\sim 5\%$  of full dataset) are representative for whole dataset?

# Comparison with a reference: See Fig.5, Fig. 8, Fig. 9, Table 2, Table 4

If I want to compute a bias or other difference to a reference, I would use following formula:  $\text{bias} = \text{data} - \text{reference}$

Unfortunately, in a couple of figures and tables the author computes it in a different way ( $\text{reference} - \text{data}$ ) as a residuum. Because that, it is confusing for me to follow at a couple of passages. Particularly if the author uses in the text other values than in the figures. One example: - See P10770 L6: ‘approximately 0.2 to 0.4 K’ - See Fig. 8: all differences are below zero

### 3. Technical corrections

# P10759 L1: Footnote 1 is not correct. RS92 needs a time-lag correction (see Dirksen et. al. 2014).

# P10759 L6: Vaisala published DigiCora v3.64 in December 2010. Note: it was possible to deactivate these new RH corrections (time-lag and radiation) in configuration.

# P10764 L26-27: Values should not have fraction with three digits. The results are not so exact.

# Figure 3: Ordinate is labelled with ‘PWV(MWR) – PWV(SONDE) (cm)’. But it should be labelled with ‘PWV(SONDE) – PWV(MWR) (cm)’, because plot shows dry bias of radiosonde against MWR.

### 4. Typos

# P10760 L22: ‘ile’ at begin of line is too much

# P10777 L31-: List of authors is wrong for Seidel et al., BAMS, 2009. Correct citation is: D. J. Seidel, F. H. Berger, F. Immler, M. Sommer, H. Vömel, H. J. Diamond, J.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

Dykema, D. Goodrich, W. Murray, T. Peterson, D. Sisterson, P. Thorne, and J. Wang, 2009: Reference Upper-Air Observations for Climate: Rationale, Progress, and Plans. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 90, 361–369.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 10755, 2015.

**AMTD**

8, C5226–C5228, 2016

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C5228

