

Interactive comment on “The Aerosol Limb Imager: acousto-optic imaging of limb scattered sunlight for stratospheric aerosol profiling” by B. J. Elash et al.

B. J. Elash et al.

brenden.elash@usask.ca

Received and published: 9 March 2016

We would like to thank the referee for their helpful comments and suggestions. Below are the referee's comments in italics followed by our reply.

Equations should have numbers. Now some of them have random identification numbers.

Reply: Corrected.

C5638

p. 8, l. 2: Telecentric and telescopic systems. I am not familiar with these terms. Perhaps you could define them briefly.

Reply: Brief descriptions of the terms were added: “. . . telecentric and telescopic systems. The telecentric system uses a layout that removes perspective from the image and object plane by creating a condition that requires the chief ray to be parallel to the optical axis in both object and image space. The telescopic system uses a simple two lens afocal system to resize and collimate the incoming rays of light into the AOTF.”

Sec. 3.3: Please provide some quantitative estimates of the magnitude of the stray light compared to the signal.

Reply: Using an average of the entire FOV, a stray light to signal ratio of $2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ is noted. A sentence has been added into section 3.3.

p.17, l. 16: The value of z_{ref} ?

Reply: The following sentence has been modified to include the typical values of z_{ref} . “For the ALI measurements, the highest possible tangent altitude where the signal is above the noise threshold is approximately 30 km tangent height and typical values for z_{ref} were between 27 and 30 km”

p.17, l. 16: Perhaps you should differentiate the observed values from the modeled values by improving notation ('m' or 'model',...).

C5639

Reply: The notation model has been added to the equation.

*p. 17, l. 28: Is MART better than, for example, Levenberg-Marquardt minimization? What is the function you minimize by MART? Is it quadratic distance $(y_{obs_model})^{**2}$ or something else?*

Reply: The MART method minimizes the function $y_{obs}/y_{mod} * \ln(y_{obs}/y_{mod})$. For application used here MART and Levenberg-Marquardt return similar results. MART was selected since the OSIRIS aerosol product uses MART and would help to negate errors from algorithm differences in comparing the results and helps in the intercomparison.

Fig. 7: What are the thin horizontal and vertical lines?

Reply: No thin horizontal or vertical lines are noted in the figure produced for the AMTD paper.

Fig. 8: Fig. (a) looks very dark.

Reply: Fig. 8 (a) brightness has been increased by 20% and makes the image easier to read and view. See Figure 1 for the updated figure.

Fig. 10. Provide the zenith angle step used to generate the dashed and solid lines.

C5640

Reply: For the measurements during the mission a zenith angle step of approximately 2 degrees occurred. Dashed lines represent solar zenith angles greater than 90 degrees, solid lines are profiles with solar zenith angles less than 90. A sentence in the figure caption has been added to include this information.

p.20, l.28: Tack or tackle?

Reply: Corrected.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
<http://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/8/C5638/2016/amtd-8-C5638-2016-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 13285, 2015.

C5641




Fig. 1. \textbf{(a)} The GPS data from ALI during the Nimbus 7 mission generated via Google Earth. The colour of the line represents the absolute speed of the gondola during the mission and the blue, gr

C5642