

Interactive comment on “Measurement of carbonaceous aerosol with different sampling configurations and frequencies” by Y. Cheng and K.-B. He

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 17 April 2015

Review of AMT-2014-395: This manuscript continues to be poor in English writing. Thus, making it difficult to follow and provide constructive comments. The “Abstract” is very confusing and difficult to follow with statements like “(denuded vs. ubdenuded)” and “frequencies (24 vs 48 h averaged)”. It is not clear what is “operationally defined value of the thermal optical method”? There are number of confusing statements. A disagreement of 15

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 3171, 2015.