

Interactive  
Comment

# ***Interactive comment on “Retrieval of vertical profiles of atmospheric refraction angles by inversion of optical dilution measurements” by D. Fussen et al.***

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 27 April 2015

### General comments

The topic of this paper is of interest to atmospheric research community and fits well to the scope of Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. Authors show how the measurement of intensity in occultation measurements can be used to derive refraction angles. The paper is lucidly written, but in some cases there are “easy to see”-phrases that I have learned to hate (during my graduate school years). Please, use some more words to help a reader to see easier how your results have been derived.

Anyhow, I would like to recommend this paper for publication in AMT after the following

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



comments are successfully taken into account.

### Specific comments

1. You should enhance abstract by saying why this new method is better than the measurement of instrument pointing angles.
2. Sec. 2, line 59: The reference Born and Wolf: year 1950 does not agree the one in references.
3. Sec.2: Perhaps you should emphasize more clearly that you assume spherically symmetric situation.
4. Sec. 2, lines 86-87: You assume isothermal exponential atmosphere. How crucial is this assumption to the real life examples later in the article?
5. Fig. 1: Increase the size of symbols.
6. Fig 2: Increase the size of symbols and figure. And show all the symbols you are referring in text!
7. Derivation of Eq. (18). Do not be so sparing with words. Tell, for example, that: ... by calculating the beta angles for upper and lower edges of the Sun we get... or something similar.
8. Eq. (19): Provide an estimate of the size of this shift  $a$  in realistic case.
9. Sec. 4.2, lines 237-239: So what is the underlying reason for these oscillations?
10. Eq. (34): I do not really understand this result. You must first have optical depth for Rayleigh scattering  $=(\text{cross s.}) \times \text{integral (LOS) of the local neutral density}$ . How do you get your result?
11. Fig. 5: Can you comment about the large difference between the photometer and the angle measurement results at high altitudes?
12. Derivation of Eq. (39). I give up. Please explain again with more words. Improve

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



again the quality of your figure.

13. Eq. (42). You introduce the  $sld$ -function without any formula connecting to  $G$ . Do we really need it? Perhaps for a reader it would be more useful to explain physically the limb darkening effect than showing these formulas?

14. Sec. 5.2, line 349: Explain what is your empirical stray light removal method.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 3571, 2015.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper