Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques

Open Access

Discussions

EGU

AMTD

Interactive
comment

Interactive comment on "Post-processing to remove residual clouds from aerosol optical depth retrieved using the Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer" *by* Larisa Sogacheva et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 16 September 2016

The paper deals with the improvement of a detection scheme (CPP) for residual clouds in AOD maps from ATSR-2 and AATSR, thus increasing AOD retrievals by 10-15% along with improvements when comparing AOD products with AERONET. The original method is based on four tests T1 to T4 which are shortly described. The need for an improvement is discussed as aerosols in the vicinity of clouds as well as high aerosol loading events (plumes) are partially excluded by the old CPP scheme.

Main reason for misclassification of aerosol cases as clouds is the spatial homogeneity test which appears to be too strict under plume conditions. Additional tests are included to retain pixels contained in aerosol plumes which were formerly discarded. This results in a better agreement of the AOD product with ground truth from AERONET, as well

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

as changes in climatologies and time series. Changes are most pronounced for cases with expected high aerosol loadings like for regions Asia and China. For aggregated products, changes are in the order of +/- 0.2 and significant.

The work is significant and ready for publication since an important global aerosol product shows non-negligible changes when the described method is used. I recommend to publish this paper with with minor changes:

Minor:

- p.7,l.3: Maybe change to: "spatially smooth".

- Figure 2, caption: Maybe mention that this is the same scene as shown in Figure 1.

- p.7,l.23: Please clarify which tests are used here (old or improved?)

- Figure 3, caption: Maybe mention that this is the same scene as shown in Figure 1.

- Figure 6: The figure is hard to decipher. I propose to not connect the different groups of points. I.e. when N increases, don't connect the following dots. Here, effectively, a two-dimensional case is linearized to a 1D case and lines only make sense within one "slice" to the data. Also, the plot markers are hard to distinguish. Why wasn't the highest ADV vs. Aeronet R (N>2,A<0.2 → 0.84 R value?) value chosen as parameters for the scheme?

- Figure 8: All scatter show the same AOD range up to 4 which makes them very hard to interpret for the Globe and Europe. I propose to use proper scaling such that one can see what actually happens. E.g. the most representative range for Europe is almost impossible to see. I also propose to use vector graphics such that one could zoom in.