Appendix

Averaging Time	Pollutant	Sensor	Artifact	R <sup>2</sup> adj	Equation
			Variable		
12-hr	PM	Shinyei SAFT-2	RH, Day	0.42	$C_{\text{FEM}} = 11.3 + 0.787C - 0.0400RH - 0.0112Day$
		Dylos SAFT-2 Small	RH, Day	0.60	$C_{FEM} = 14.8 + 0.00164 C_{Sensor} - 0.0751 RH - 0.0358 Day$
		Airbeam SAFT-2	RH, Day	0.51	$C_{FEM} = 12.2 + 0.00203 C_{Sensor} - 0.0693 RH - 0.0167 Day$
Hourly	O <sub>3</sub>	Aeroqual SAFT-1			NA
		CairClip SAFT-1	RH	0.95	$C_{\text{FEM}} = 26.6 + 0.709 C_{\text{Sensor}} - 0.175 \text{RH}$
	NO <sub>2</sub>	CairClip SAFT-1	T, RH	0.81	$C_{\text{FEM}} = 26.2 + 1.18C_{\text{Sensor}} - 0.483T - 0.129RH$
	NO	AQMesh SAFT-1			NA
	CO	AQMesh SAFT-1	Day	0.75	$C_{\text{FEM}} = -7.09\text{E}-02 + 8.88\text{E}-04C_{\text{Sensor}} + 2.52\text{E}-03\text{Day}$

Table A-1. Multiple Regression Models for Selected Sensors with Environmental Artifact Corrections

Table A-2. Linear Regression Equations Used to Correct Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) Hourly Sensor Data

Pollutant	Sensor	r <sup>2</sup>	Equation
PM	N1 Shinyei	0.20	$C_{\text{FEM}} = 0.5 C_{\text{Sensor}} + 7.3$
	N2 Shinyei	0.21	$C_{FEM} = 0.66C_{Sensor} + 7.3$
	N3 Shinyei	0.17	$C_{FEM} = 0.96C_{Sensor} + 6.4$
	N4 Shinyei	0.18	$C_{FEM} = 0.53C_{Sensor} + 6.4$
03	N1 Aeroqual	0.39	$C_{\text{FEM}} = 630C_{\text{Sensor}} + 8.9$
	N4 Aeroqual	0.76	$C_{\text{FEM}} = 815C_{\text{Sensor}} + 5.6$



**Figure A-1.** Scatterplot and correlation matrix of 12-hr average PM readings between sensors and co-located FEM instrument. Raw sensor units are shown in the comparison. Linear regressions are superimposed on pairwise plots. (\*: data with alumnimum foil added)



Figure A-2. Scatterplot and correlation matrix of hourly average ozone readings between sensors and co-located FEM instrument. Raw sensor units are shown in the comparison. Linear regressions are superimposed on pairwise plots. (\*: data after sensor replacement on 2014/11/15).



**Figure A-3.** Scatterplot and correlation matrix of hourly average NO<sub>2</sub> readings between sensors and co-located FEM instrument. Raw sensor units are shown in the comparison. Linear regressions are superimposed on pairwise plots. (\*: data after sensor replacement on 2014/11/15).



Figure A-4. Effect of adding alumnium foils on 2014/09/18 to avoid spurious readings in Shinyei sensors for Wireless Sensor Network nodes.



Figure A-5. Time variation in Wireless Sensor Network with FEM-corrected hourly PM (with aluminum foil) and Ozone sensor measurements.





(c) Node 3 Shinyei PM

(d) NCore co-located Node 4 Shinyei PM

**Figure A-6.** Example percentile rose plots of wireless sensor network (WSN) Shinyei sensors for hourly FEMcorrected PM between mid-September 2014 and May 2015.